

PEDIATRICS

OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS

Under the Right Conditions, Center-Based Child Care Is an Unlikely COVID-19 Threat to Staff

Heather L. Tubbs-Cooley, PhD, RN, FAAN, Emily Oster, PhD,
Katherine A. Auger, MD, MSc

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2020-034405

Journal: *Pediatrics*

Article Type: Solicited Commentary

Citation: Tubbs-Cooley HL, Oster E, Auger KA. Under the right conditions, center-based child care is an unlikely COVID-19 threat to staff. *Pediatrics*. 2020; doi: 10.1542/peds.2020-034405

This is a prepublication version of an article that has undergone peer review and been accepted for publication but is not the final version of record. This paper may be cited using the DOI and date of access. This paper may contain information that has errors in facts, figures, and statements, and will be corrected in the final published version. The journal is providing an early version of this article to expedite access to this information. The American Academy of Pediatrics, the editors, and authors are not responsible for inaccurate information and data described in this version.

**Under the Right Conditions, Center-Based Child Care
is an Unlikely COVID-19 Threat to Staff**

Heather L. Tubbs-Cooley ^{a,b}, PhD, RN, FAAN, Emily Oster ^c, PhD,
Katherine A. Auger ^{d,e}, MD, MSc

Affiliations: ^aThe Ohio State University College of Nursing, Columbus, Ohio; ^bThe Research Institute at Nationwide Children's Hospital, Columbus, Ohio; ^cBrown University, Providence, Rhode Island; ^dUniversity of Cincinnati College of Medicine, Cincinnati, Ohio; ^eCincinnati Children's Hospital, Cincinnati, Ohio

Address correspondence to:

Heather Tubbs Cooley
The Ohio State University College of Nursing
1585 Neil Ave
Columbus OH 43210
[tubbscooley.1@osu.edu]
614-292-6217

Funding Source: No funding was secured for this commentary.

Potential Conflict of Interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Research on coronavirus transmission from young children to adults in child care settings is largely based on case reports with limited generalizability,^{1,2} impeding evidence-based assessment of infection risk to child care providers. Gilliam and colleagues address these limitations in their multistate study of 57,335 child care providers reporting on exposures, risk mitigation strategies in the workplace, and COVID-19 infection from March through May 2020.³ The team solicited child care providers through contact lists provided by national child care organizations and state child care workforce registries, conducted three rounds of surveys, and collected data on key variables including exposure to child care (provided child care during the pandemic compared to program closure) and COVID-19 infection based on a positive test or hospitalization for COVID-19. Respondents who had exposure to child care services from March through May also reported numerous infection prevention strategies, such as class size reduction, masking, and disinfection practices. This exposure was not associated with odds of COVID-19 infection in both unmatched and propensity score matched analyses that accounted for community infection indicators. However, being a home-based child care provider was associated with COVID-19 infection in matched analyses. These findings are of national and international interest, and we comment on strengths, weaknesses, and additional considerations for policymakers, child care providers, and the public.

Study strengths are numerous and include a large sample with respondents from multiple states, high response rate for an online survey, and multiple modeling strategies to understand robustness of findings. The use of propensity scoring in the context of non-randomness of child care closure across the nation is a significant strength. The ability of the authors to compare infection likelihood of in-person providers to a control group of providers with similar demographics but who were not exposed is a significant improvement over the approach of

looking only at providers in child care settings. Studying COVID cases in the context of infection prevention measures in child care settings is also critical, as data on real-world effectiveness of these mitigation strategies in school and childcare settings are only now emerging.

However, several limitations bear mentioning. First, most data are self-reported and may suffer from self-report bias. The outcome, COVID-19 infection, was limited to cases confirmed by testing and/or hospitalization at a time when national testing capacity was limited; individuals diagnosed by health care providers with presumed COVID would not be included and as a result true infection burden is likely underestimated. In both cases it is unclear how and in which direction biases could influence results. Importantly, the dose of exposure to child care for those who remained working is unmeasured; the dichotomization of the exposure variable into program open/closed misses the opportunity to understand potential differences in infection risk among workers with varying weeks of exposure during the study period. The study also occurred at a time when infection rates in children were low, limiting generalizability to periods when infection rates are higher.

The study adds to the body of literature on COVID-19 transmission in child care settings in notable ways. First, it focuses on child care providers rather than children, providing crucial evidence to understand risks to adults working in direct contact with young children. The low risk to adults is consistent with epidemiologic evidence from South Korea, where household transmission was least common among index cases aged 0-9 years.³ In contrast to case reports this study also investigates a counterfactual question, albeit retrospectively: what happened to demographically similar providers who were not exposed to child care during the same period? This approach has an advantage over recent contact tracing reports in child care environments

by holding constant other important contextual factors influencing infection risk. Finally, the study finds different infection transmission risk between center- and home-based childcare providers and this could signal a socioeconomic disparity between centers that can afford expenses related to risk mitigation and home-based providers who cannot.

We caution policymakers, school leaders, and the general public against extending these findings beyond the early childhood (age < 6) population. The authors are clear – and we affirm – the results cannot be directly applied to debates on re-opening of K-12 schools because student, teacher, and classroom dynamics differ from child care settings in ways that meaningfully influence infection transmission (e.g., increased movement of students between classrooms, increased out-of-school mobility and social activity of adolescents, more adults in the school environment). Gilliam et al. provide a methodologic roadmap for other research teams to evaluate primary and secondary school reopening patterns and school staff infection risk, and we underscore the urgency for high quality research to inform school return. The results from this study should give confidence to center-based child care providers that the risk of COVID-19 infection from young children is low when community transmission is low and multiple risk-mitigation strategies are employed.

References

1. Link-Gelles R, DellaGrotta AL, Molina C, et al. Limited Secondary Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in Child Care Programs — Rhode Island, June 1–July 31, 2020. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2020;69:1170–1172. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6934e2>
2. Lopez AS, Hill M, Antezano J, et al. Transmission Dynamics of COVID-19 Outbreaks Associated with Child Care Facilities — Salt Lake City, Utah, April–July 2020. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2020;69:1319–1323. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6937e3>
3. Gilliam WS, Malik AA, Shafiq M, et al. COVID-19 Transmission in U.S. Child Care Programs. IN PRESS, this issue, *Pediatrics*.
4. Park Y, Choe Y, Park O, et al. Contact Tracing during Coronavirus Disease Outbreak, South Korea, 2020. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2020;26(10):2465-2468. <https://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid2610.201315>

Under the Right Conditions, Center-Based Child Care is an Unlikely COVID-19 Threat to Staff

Heather L. Tubbs-Cooley, Emily Oster and Katherine A. Auger
Pediatrics originally published online October 15, 2020;

Updated Information & Services

including high resolution figures, can be found at:
<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/early/2020/10/13/peds.2020-034405.citation>

Permissions & Licensing

Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at:
<http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/Permissions.xhtml>

Reprints

Information about ordering reprints can be found online:
<http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/reprints.xhtml>

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN®



PEDIATRICS®

OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS

Under the Right Conditions, Center-Based Child Care is an Unlikely COVID-19 Threat to Staff

Heather L. Tubbs-Cooley, Emily Oster and Katherine A. Auger
Pediatrics originally published online October 15, 2020;

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on
the World Wide Web at:

<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/early/2020/10/13/peds.2020-034405.citation>

Pediatrics is the official journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. A monthly publication, it has been published continuously since 1948. Pediatrics is owned, published, and trademarked by the American Academy of Pediatrics, 345 Park Avenue, Itasca, Illinois, 60143. Copyright © 2020 by the American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 1073-0397.

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN®

