

Improving Screening for Autism Spectrum Disorder: Is It Time for Something New?

Sarabeth Broder-Fingert, MD, MPH,^a Emily Feinberg, ScD,^{a,b} Michael Silverstein, MD, MPH^a

Although autism spectrum disorder (ASD) can be reliably detected by age 2, the average age of diagnosis ranges from age 4 to 6, depending on the population.¹ Because earlier access to treatment is thought to improve outcomes,² delays in diagnosis may lead to avoidable morbidity. In an effort to lower the average age of diagnosis, considerable efforts are being made to promote early ASD screening.^{3–5} Two predominant strategies exist: broad-based developmental surveillance and targeted ASD screening.^{6,7} Although there are data to support each strategy, targeted ASD screening has recently gained popularity. The American Academy of Pediatrics, for example, recommends all children receive an ASD-specific screener at their 18- and 24-month well-child visit, and it proactively supports these guidelines with resources and trainings.³

A number of studies support ASD-specific screeners (the Modified Checklist for Autism in Toddlers [MCHAT] and the Modified Checklist for Autism in Toddlers-Revised [MCHAT-R]) for detecting early ASD risk.⁷ Yet, few longitudinal cohort studies of ASD screening exist, and none of the studies, to our knowledge, has reported on the longitudinal follow-up of screen-negative children until now. In the current study by Øien et al,⁸ the authors managed 68 197 children who screened negative for ASD using the MCHAT. These children were also screened with the broad-based Ages and Stages Questionnaire (ASQ)⁹ and the Emotionality, Activity,

and Sociability Temperament Survey (EAS).¹⁰ The authors found that children who screened negative on the MCHAT at 18 months but went on to receive a later ASD diagnosis (false-negatives) demonstrated significantly greater deficits in the developmental and temperamental domains of the ASQ and EAS compared with those with a negative MCHAT screen who did not receive a subsequent ASD diagnosis (true-negatives). The authors assert that even among children who screen negative on the MCHAT, those with ASD frequently display early signs and symptoms. On the basis of this assertion, they conclude that improved screening methods (specifically with more sensitive instruments) may enhance early ASD detection.

Given the publication of this well-designed, population-based study, what does it ultimately mean for ASD screening? Does it lend further support to the American Academy of Pediatrics guidelines recommending ASD-specific screening at 18 and 24 months? Is the MCHAT the appropriate screening tool, or are more sensitive tools needed? Finally, will these data help fill the knowledge gaps cited in the 2016 United States Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) statement of insufficient evidence relative to ASD screening?⁷

Unfortunately, the answers may not be straightforward. The current study reveals that young children with ASD, even with a negative MCHAT screen, display some signs and symptoms consistent with ASD that can be detected by

FREE

^aDepartment of Pediatrics, Boston University School of Medicine/Boston Medical Center, Boston, Massachusetts; and ^bDepartment of Community Health Sciences, School of Public Health, Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts

Dr Silverstein is a member of the US Preventive Services Task Force. This article does not necessarily represent the views and policies of the US Preventive Services Task Force.

Opinions expressed in these commentaries are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the American Academy of Pediatrics or its Committees.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2018-0965>

Accepted for publication Mar 27, 2018

Address correspondence to Sarabeth Broder-Fingert, MD, MPH, Division of General Pediatrics, Boston University School of Medicine, 850 Harrison Ave, Room 310A, Boston, MA 02118. E-mail: sarabeth.broder-fingert@bmc.org

PEDIATRICS (ISSN Numbers: Print, 0031-4005; Online, 1098-4275).

Copyright © 2018 by the American Academy of Pediatrics

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE: The authors have indicated they have no financial relationships relevant to this article to disclose.

FUNDING: No external funding.

POTENTIAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST: The authors have indicated they have no potential conflicts of interest to disclose.

To cite: Broder-Fingert S, Feinberg E, Silverstein M. Improving Screening for Autism Spectrum Disorder: Is It Time for Something New?. *Pediatrics*. 2018;141(6):e20180965

existing, non-MCHAT screening tools. The authors conclude, “To maximize opportunities for early ascertainment...screening instruments should be refined to improve their capacity for identifying the patterns of deficits...in early life.” Or, more succinctly, more sensitive screening instruments are needed.

In light of the findings in the study, such a conclusion is logical. However, the data presented reveal that the MCHAT has a sensitivity of 23% in this population, dramatically lower than that reported in other studies. For example, in the 2016 USPSTF review on ASD screening,⁷ the 2 included studies in which MCHAT or MCHAT-R sensitivities were reported revealed them to be 75% and 91%, respectively. If the sensitivity of the MCHAT-R is truly 91%,¹¹ it is hard to argue that more sensitive tools are needed. Of note, the MCHAT was recently replaced by the MCHAT-R.¹¹ The MCHAT-R was developed to improve usability and decrease the false-positive rate of the MCHAT. Therefore, the difference in tools is unlikely to account for the

low sensitivity demonstrated in the current study.

What then accounts for the low sensitivity of the MCHAT in this population? Possibilities include timing (in the current study, MCHATs were evaluated only at 18 months), differential follow-up across false- and true-negative screens, or population differences between the Øien et al⁸ study and previous work. Regardless of cause, the discrepancy between MCHAT sensitivities reveals we may need to temper the conclusions of the investigators that more sensitive ASD screening tools are needed. More importantly, with a sensitivity that is dramatically lower than that reported in other studies, it calls into question the generalizability of the current sample and whether the ASQ or EAS would stand up in other populations.

These criticisms withstanding, the current study does send a clear warning that the MCHAT likely does not identify all manifestations, or clinical phenotypes, of ASD equally. The data begin to address an important gap cited by the USPSTF

(but likely not in the direction many concerned with ASD screening were hoping). The findings lend credence to the USPSTF concern that “clinical and convenience samples do not adequately demonstrate the psychometric properties of screeners in practice.”⁷ In this study, Øien et al⁸ reinforce the notion that screened and clinical populations may be systematically different and that more research is needed to understand such differences.

ABBREVIATIONS

ASD: autism spectrum disorder
ASQ: Ages and Stages Questionnaire
EAS: Emotionality, Activity, and Sociability Temperament Survey
MCHAT: Modified Checklist for Autism in Toddlers
MCHAT-R: Modified Checklist for Autism in Toddlers-Revised
USPSTF: United States Preventive Services Task Force

COMPANION PAPER: A companion to this article can be found online at www.pediatrics.org/cgi/doi/10.1542/peds.2017-3596.

REFERENCES

1. Mandell DS, Morales KH, Xie M, Lawer LJ, Stahmer AC, Marcus SC. Age of diagnosis among Medicaid-enrolled children with autism, 2001-2004. *Psychiatr Serv*. 2010;61(8):822–829
2. Klin A, Klaiman C, Jones W. Reducing age of autism diagnosis: developmental social neuroscience meets public health challenge. *Rev Neurol*. 2015;60(suppl 1):S3–S11
3. Zwaigenbaum L, Bauman ML, Fein D, et al. Early screening of autism spectrum disorder: recommendations for practice and research. *Pediatrics*. 2015;136(suppl 1):S41–S59
4. Coury DL. Babies, bathwater, and screening for autism spectrum disorder: comments on the USPSTF recommendations for autism spectrum disorder screening. *J Dev Behav Pediatr*. 2015;36(9):661–663
5. Pierce K, Carter C, Weinfeld M, et al. Detecting, studying, and treating autism early: the one-year well-baby check-up approach. *J Pediatr*. 2011;159(3):458–465.e1–e6
6. Wiggins LD, Piazza V, Robins DL. Comparison of a broad-based screen versus disorder-specific screen in detecting young children with an autism spectrum disorder. *Autism*. 2014;18(2):76–84
7. McPheeters ML, Weitlauf A, Vehorn A, et al. *Screening for Autism Spectrum Disorder in Young Children: A Systematic Evidence Review for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force*. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2016
8. Øien RA, Schjølberg S, Volkmar FR, et al. Clinical features of children with autism who passed 18-month screening. *Pediatrics*. 2018;141(6):e20173596
9. Squires J, Bricker D, Potter L. Revision of a parent-completed development screening tool: Ages and Stages Questionnaires. *J Pediatr Psychol*. 1997;22(3):313–328
10. Mathiesen KS, Tambs K. The EAS temperament questionnaire—factor structure, age trends, reliability, and stability in a Norwegian sample. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*. 1999;40(3):431–439
11. Robins DL, Casagrande K, Barton M, Chen CM, Dumont-Mathieu T, Fein D. Validation of the Modified Checklist for Autism in Toddlers, Revised with Follow-up (M-CHAT-R/F). *Pediatrics*. 2014;133(1):37–45

Improving Screening for Autism Spectrum Disorder: Is It Time for Something New?

Sarabeth Broder-Fingert, Emily Feinberg and Michael Silverstein
Pediatrics originally published online May 21, 2018;

Updated Information & Services	including high resolution figures, can be found at: http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/early/2018/05/17/peds.2018-0965
References	This article cites 10 articles, 3 of which you can access for free at: http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/early/2018/05/17/peds.2018-0965#BIBL
Subspecialty Collections	This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the following collection(s): Developmental/Behavioral Pediatrics http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/development:behavioral_issues_sub Autism/ASD http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/autism:asd_sub
Permissions & Licensing	Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at: http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/Permissions.xhtml
Reprints	Information about ordering reprints can be found online: http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/reprints.xhtml

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN®



PEDIATRICS[®]

OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS

Improving Screening for Autism Spectrum Disorder: Is It Time for Something New?

Sarabeth Broder-Fingert, Emily Feinberg and Michael Silverstein
Pediatrics originally published online May 21, 2018;

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:

<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/early/2018/05/17/peds.2018-0965>

Pediatrics is the official journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. A monthly publication, it has been published continuously since 1948. Pediatrics is owned, published, and trademarked by the American Academy of Pediatrics, 345 Park Avenue, Itasca, Illinois, 60143. Copyright © 2018 by the American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 1073-0397.

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN[®]

