



The Apgar Score

AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS COMMITTEE ON FETUS AND NEWBORN,
AMERICAN COLLEGE OF OBSTETRICIANS AND GYNECOLOGISTS COMMITTEE ON OBSTETRIC PRACTICE

The Apgar score provides an accepted and convenient method for reporting the status of the newborn infant immediately after birth and the response to resuscitation if needed. The Apgar score alone cannot be considered as evidence of, or a consequence of, asphyxia; does not predict individual neonatal mortality or neurologic outcome; and should not be used for that purpose. An Apgar score assigned during resuscitation is not equivalent to a score assigned to a spontaneously breathing infant. The American Academy of Pediatrics and the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists encourage use of an expanded Apgar score reporting form that accounts for concurrent resuscitative interventions.

abstract

INTRODUCTION

In 1952, Dr Virginia Apgar devised a scoring system that was a rapid method of assessing the clinical status of the newborn infant at 1 minute of age and the need for prompt intervention to establish breathing.¹ Dr Apgar subsequently published a second report that included a larger number of patients.² This scoring system provided a standardized assessment for infants after delivery. The Apgar score comprises 5 components: (1) color; (2) heart rate; (3) reflexes; (4) muscle tone; and (5) respiration. Each of these components is given a score of 0, 1, or 2. Thus, the Apgar score quantitates clinical signs of neonatal depression, such as cyanosis or pallor, bradycardia, depressed reflex response to stimulation, hypotonia, and apnea or gasping respirations. The score is reported at 1 minute and 5 minutes after birth for all infants, and at 5-minute intervals thereafter until 20 minutes for infants with a score less than 7.³ The Apgar score provides an accepted and convenient method for reporting the status of the newborn infant immediately after birth and the response to resuscitation if it is needed; however, it has been inappropriately used to predict individual adverse neurologic outcome.

The purpose of the present statement was to place the Apgar score in its proper perspective. This statement revises the 2006 College Committee Opinion/American Academy of Pediatrics policy statement to include updated guidance from the 2014 report *Neonatal Encephalopathy and Neurologic Outcome* (second edition)⁴ published by the American College

FREE

This document is copyrighted and is the property of the American Academy of Pediatrics and its Board of Directors. All authors have filed conflict of interest statements with the American Academy of Pediatrics. Any conflicts have been resolved through a process approved by the Board of Directors. The American Academy of Pediatrics has neither solicited nor accepted any commercial involvement in the development of the content of this publication.

Policy statements from the American Academy of Pediatrics benefit from expertise and resources of liaisons and internal (AAP) and external reviewers. However, policy statements from the American Academy of Pediatrics may not reflect the views of the liaisons or the organizations or government agencies that they represent.

The guidance in this statement does not indicate an exclusive course of treatment or serve as a standard of medical care. Variations, taking into account individual circumstances, may be appropriate.

All policy statements from the American Academy of Pediatrics automatically expire 5 years after publication unless reaffirmed, revised, or retired at or before that time.

Also published in Obstetrics & Gynecology. Copyright October 2015 by the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, 409 12th Street, SW, PO Box 96920, Washington, DC 20090-6920 and the American Academy of Pediatrics, 141 Northwest Point Blvd, PO Box 927, Elk Grove Village, IL 60009-0927. All rights reserved. ISSN 1074-861X

The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists Committee Opinion no. 644: The Apgar score. Obstet Gynecol. 2015;126:e52–e55. Accepted for publication Jul 22, 2015

www.pediatrics.org/cgi/doi/10.1542/peds.2015-2651

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2015-2651

PEDIATRICS (ISSN Numbers: Print, 0031-4005; Online, 1098-4275).

Copyright © 2015 by the American Academy of Pediatrics

of Obstetricians and Gynecologists in collaboration with the American Academy of Pediatrics, along with new guidance on neonatal resuscitation. The guidelines of the Neonatal Resuscitation Program state that the Apgar score is useful for conveying information about the newborn infant's overall status and response to resuscitation. However, resuscitation must be initiated before the 1-minute score is assigned. Therefore, the Apgar score is not used to determine the need for initial resuscitation, what resuscitation steps are necessary, or when to use them.³

An Apgar score that remains 0 beyond 10 minutes of age may, however, be useful in determining whether continued resuscitative efforts are indicated because very few infants with an Apgar score of 0 at 10 minutes have been reported to survive with a normal neurologic outcome.^{3,5,6} In line with this outcome, the 2011 Neonatal Resuscitation Program guidelines state that "if you can confirm that no heart rate has been detectable for at least 10 minutes, discontinuation of resuscitative efforts may be appropriate."³

The *Neonatal Encephalopathy and Neurologic Outcome* report defines a 5-minute Apgar score of 7 to 10 as reassuring, a score of 4 to 6 as moderately abnormal, and a score of 0 to 3 as low in the term infant and late-preterm infant.⁴ In that report, an Apgar score of 0 to 3 at 5 minutes or more was considered a nonspecific sign of illness, which "may be one of the first indications of encephalopathy." However, a persistently low Apgar score alone is not a specific indicator for intrapartum compromise. Furthermore, although the score is widely used in outcome studies, its inappropriate use has led to an erroneous definition of asphyxia. Asphyxia is defined as the marked impairment of gas exchange, which, if prolonged, leads to progressive

hypoxemia, hypercapnia, and significant metabolic acidosis. The term asphyxia, which describes a process of varying severity and duration rather than an end point, should not be applied to birth events unless specific evidence of markedly impaired intrapartum or immediate postnatal gas exchange can be documented on the basis of laboratory test results.

LIMITATIONS OF THE APGAR SCORE

It is important to recognize the limitations of the Apgar score. It is an expression of the infant's physiologic condition at 1 point in time, which includes subjective components. There are numerous factors that can influence the Apgar score, including maternal sedation or anesthesia, congenital malformations, gestational age, trauma, and interobserver variability.⁴ In addition, the biochemical disturbance must be significant before the score is affected. Elements of the score, such as tone, color, and reflex irritability, can be subjective and partially depend on the physiologic maturity of the infant. The score may also be affected by variations in normal transition. For example, lower initial oxygen saturations in the first few minutes need not prompt immediate supplemental oxygen administration; the Neonatal Resuscitation Program targets for oxygen saturation are 60% to 65% at 1 minute and 80% to 85% at 5 minutes.³ The healthy preterm infant with no evidence of asphyxia may receive a low score only because of immaturity.^{7,8} The incidence of low Apgar scores is inversely related to birth weight, and a low score cannot predict morbidity or mortality for any individual infant.^{8,9} As previously stated, it is also inappropriate to use an Apgar score alone to diagnose asphyxia.

APGAR SCORE AND RESUSCITATION

The 5-minute Apgar score, and particularly a change in the score

between 1 minute and 5 minutes, is a useful index of the response to resuscitation. If the Apgar score is less than 7 at 5 minutes, the Neonatal Resuscitation Program guidelines state that the assessment should be repeated every 5 minutes for up to 20 minutes.³ However, an Apgar score assigned during resuscitation is not equivalent to a score assigned to a spontaneously breathing infant.¹⁰ There is no accepted standard for reporting an Apgar score in infants undergoing resuscitation after birth because many of the elements contributing to the score are altered by resuscitation. The concept of an assisted score that accounts for resuscitative interventions has been suggested, but the predictive reliability has not been studied. To correctly describe such infants and provide accurate documentation and data collection, an expanded Apgar score reporting form is encouraged (Fig 1). This expanded Apgar score may also prove useful in the setting of delayed cord clamping, in which the time of birth (ie, complete delivery of the infant), the time of cord clamping, and the time of initiation of resuscitation can all be recorded in the comments box.

The Apgar score alone cannot be considered to be evidence of or a consequence of asphyxia. Many other factors, including nonreassuring fetal heart rate-monitoring patterns and abnormalities in umbilical arterial blood gas results, clinical cerebral function, neuroimaging studies, neonatal electroencephalography, placental pathology, hematologic studies, and multisystem organ dysfunction, need to be considered in diagnosing an intrapartum hypoxic-ischemic event.⁶ When a category I (normal) or category II (indeterminate) fetal heart rate tracing is associated with Apgar scores of 7 or higher at 5 minutes, a normal umbilical cord arterial blood pH (± 1 SD), or both, it is not

Apgar Score _____ Gestational age _____ weeks

Sign	0	1	2					
				1 minute	5 minute	10 minute	15 minute	20 minute
Color	Blue or Pale	Acrocyanotic	Completely Pink					
Heart rate	Absent	<100 minute	>100 minute					
Reflex irritability	No Response	Grimace	Cry or Active Withdrawal					
Muscle tone	Limp	Some Flexion	Active Motion					
Respiration	Absent	Weak Cry; Hypoventilation	Good, Crying					
Total								

Comments:	Resuscitation					
	Minutes	1	5	10	15	20
	Oxygen					
	PPV/NCPAP					
	ETT					
	Chest Compressions					
	Epinephrine					

FIGURE 1

Expanded Apgar score reporting form. Scores should be recorded in the appropriate place at specific time intervals. The additional resuscitative measures (if appropriate) are recorded at the same time that the score is reported by using a checkmark in the appropriate box. The comment box is used to list other factors, including maternal medications and/or the response to resuscitation between the recorded times of scoring. ETT, endotracheal tube; PPV/NCPAP, positive pressure ventilation/nasal continuous positive airway pressure.

consistent with an acute hypoxic-ischemic event.⁴

PREDICTION OF OUTCOME

A 1-minute Apgar score of 0 to 3 does not predict any individual infant's outcome. A 5-minute Apgar score of 0 to 3 correlates with neonatal mortality in large populations^{11,12} but does not predict individual future neurologic dysfunction. Population studies have uniformly reassured us that most infants with low Apgar scores will not develop cerebral palsy. However, a low 5-minute Apgar score clearly confers an increased relative risk of cerebral palsy, reported to be as high as 20- to 100-fold over that of infants with a 5-minute Apgar score of 7 to 10.^{9,13-15} Although individual risk varies, the population risk of poor neurologic outcomes also increases when the Apgar score is 3 or less at 10 minutes, 15 minutes, and 20 minutes.¹⁶ When a newborn infant has an Apgar score of 5 or less at 5 minutes, umbilical arterial blood gas samples from a clamped section of the umbilical cord should be obtained, if possible.¹⁷ Submitting the placenta for pathologic examination may be valuable.

OTHER APPLICATIONS

Monitoring of low Apgar scores from a delivery service may be useful. Individual case reviews can identify needs for focused educational programs and improvement in systems of perinatal care. Analyzing trends allows for the assessment of the effect of quality improvement interventions.

CONCLUSIONS

The Apgar score describes the condition of the newborn infant immediately after birth and, when properly applied, is a tool for standardized assessment.¹⁸ It also provides a mechanism to record fetal-to-neonatal transition. Apgar scores do not predict individual mortality or adverse neurologic outcome. However, based on population studies, Apgar scores of less than 5 at 5 and 10 minutes clearly confer an increased relative risk of cerebral palsy, and the degree of abnormality correlates with the risk of cerebral palsy. Most infants with low Apgar scores, however, will not develop cerebral palsy. The Apgar score is affected by many factors, including gestational age, maternal

medications, resuscitation, and cardiorespiratory and neurologic conditions. If the Apgar score at 5 minutes is 7 or greater, it is unlikely that peripartum hypoxia-ischemia caused neonatal encephalopathy.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. The Apgar score does not predict individual neonatal mortality or neurologic outcome and should not be used for that purpose.
2. It is inappropriate to use the Apgar score alone to establish the diagnosis of asphyxia. The term asphyxia, which describes a process of varying severity and duration rather than an end point, should not be applied to birth events unless specific evidence of markedly impaired intrapartum or immediate postnatal gas exchange can be documented.
3. When a newborn infant has an Apgar score of 5 or less at 5 minutes, umbilical arterial blood gas samples from a clamped section of the umbilical cord should be obtained. Submitting the placenta for pathologic examination may be valuable.
4. Perinatal health care professionals should be consistent in assigning an Apgar score during resuscitation; therefore, the American Academy of Pediatrics and the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists encourage use of an expanded Apgar score reporting form that accounts for concurrent resuscitative interventions.

AAP COMMITTEE ON FETUS AND NEWBORN, 2014-2015

Kristi L. Watterberg, MD, FAAP, Chairperson
 Susan Aucott, MD, FAAP
 William E. Benitz, MD, FAAP
 James J. Cummings, MD, FAAP
 Eric C. Eichenwald, MD, FAAP
 Jay Goldsmith, MD, FAAP
 Brenda B. Poindexter, MD, FAAP
 Karen Puopolo, MD, FAAP
 Dan L. Stewart, MD, FAAP
 Kasper S. Wang, MD, FAAP

LIAISONS

Captain Wanda D. Barfield, MD, MPH, FAAP – *Centers for Disease Control and Prevention*
James Goldberg, MD – *American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists*
Thierry Lacaze, MD – *Canadian Pediatric Society*
Erin L. Keels, APRN, MS, NNP-BC – *National Association of Neonatal Nurses*
Tonse N.K. Raju, MD, DCH, FAAP – *National Institutes of Health*

STAFF

Jim Couto, MA

ACOG COMMITTEE ON OBSTETRIC PRACTICE, 2014–2015

Jeffrey L. Ecker, MD, Chairperson
Joseph R. Wax, MD, Vice Chairperson
Ann Elizabeth Bryant Borders, MD
Yasser Yehia El-Sayed, MD
R. Phillips Heine, MD
Denise J. Jamieson, MD
Maria Anne Mascola, MD
Howard L. Minkoff, MD
Alison M. Stuebe, MD
James E. Sumners, MD
Methodius G. Tuuli, MD
Kurt R. Wharton, MD

LIAISONS

Debra Bingham, DrPh, RN – *Association of Women's Health Obstetric Neonatal Nurses*
Sean C. Blackwell, MD – *Society for Maternal–Fetal Medicine*
William M. Callaghan, MD – *Centers for Disease Control and Prevention*
Julia Carey-Corrado, MD – *US Food and Drug Administration*
Beth Choby, MD – *American Academy of Family Physicians*
Joshua A. Copel, MD – *American Institute of Ultrasound in Medicine*
Nathaniel DeNicola, MD, MS – *American Academy of Pediatrics Council on Environmental Health (ACOG liaison)*
Tina Clark-Samazan Foster, MD – *Committee on Patient Safety and Quality Improvement – Ex-Officio*
William Adam Grobman, MD – *Committee on Practice Bulletins-Obstetrics – Ex-Officio*
Rhonda Hearn-Stokes, MD – *US Food and Drug Administration*
Tekoa King, CNM, FACNM – *American College of Nurse-Midwives*

Uma Reddy, MD, MPH – *National Institute of Child Health and Human Development*
Kristi L. Watterberg, MD – *American Academy of Pediatrics*
Cathy H. Whittlesey – *Executive Board – Ex-Officio*
Edward A. Yaghmour, MD – *American Society of Anesthesiologists*

STAFF

Gerald F. Joseph, Jr, MD
Mindy Saraco, MHA
Debra Hawks, MPH
Margaret Villalonga
Amanda Guiliano

REFERENCES

1. Apgar V. A proposal for a new method of evaluation of the newborn infant. *Curr Res Anest Anal.* 1953;32(4):260–267
2. Apgar V, Holaday DA, James LS, Weisbrot IM, Berrien C. Evaluation of the newborn infant; second report. *J Am Med Assoc.* 1958;168(15):1985–1988
3. American Academy of Pediatrics and American Heart Association. *Textbook of Neonatal Resuscitation.* 6th ed. Elk Grove Village, IL: American Academy of Pediatrics and American Heart Association; 2011
4. American College of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Task Force on Neonatal Encephalopathy, American Academy of Pediatrics. *Neonatal Encephalopathy and Neurologic Outcome.* 2nd ed. Washington, DC: American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists; 2014
5. Jain L, Ferre C, Vidyasagar D, Nath S, Sheftel D. Cardiopulmonary resuscitation of apparently stillborn infants: survival and long-term outcome. *J Pediatr.* 1991; 118(5):778–782
6. Kasdorf E, Laptook A, Azzopardi D, Jacobs S, Perlman JM. Improving infant outcome with a 10 min Apgar of 0. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed.* 2015;100(2):F102–F105
7. Catlin EA, Carpenter MW, Brann BS IV, et al. The Apgar score revisited:

- influence of gestational age. *J Pediatr.* 1986;109(5):865–868
8. Hegyi T, Carbone T, Anwar M, et al. The Apgar score and its components in the preterm infant. *Pediatrics.* 1998;101(1 pt 1):77–81
 9. Ehrenstein V. Association of Apgar scores with death and neurologic disability. *Clin Epidemiol.* 2009;1:45–53
 10. Lopriore E, van Burk GF, Walther FJ, de Beaufort AJ. Correct use of the Apgar score for resuscitated and intubated newborn babies: questionnaire study. *BMJ.* 2004;329(7458):143–144
 11. Casey BM, McIntire DD, Leveno KJ. The continuing value of the Apgar score for the assessment of newborn infants. *N Engl J Med.* 2001;344(7):467–471
 12. Li F, Wu T, Lei X, Zhang H, Mao M, Zhang J. The Apgar score and infant mortality. *PLoS One.* 2013;8(7):e69072
 13. Moster D, Lie RT, Irgens LM, Bjerkedal T, Markestad T. The association of Apgar score with subsequent death and cerebral palsy: a population-based study in term infants. *J Pediatr.* 2001;138(6): 798–803
 14. Nelson KB, Ellenberg JH. Apgar scores as predictors of chronic neurologic disability. *Pediatrics.* 1981;68(1):36–44
 15. Lie KK, Grøholt EK, Eskild A. Association of cerebral palsy with Apgar score in low and normal birthweight infants: population based cohort study. *BMJ.* 2010;341:c4990
 16. Freeman JM, Nelson KB. Intrapartum asphyxia and cerebral palsy. *Pediatrics.* 1988;82(2):240–249
 17. Malin GL, Morris RK, Khan KS. Strength of association between umbilical cord pH and perinatal and long term outcomes: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ.* 2010;340:c1471
 18. Papile LA. The Apgar score in the 21st century. *N Engl J Med.* 2001;344(7): 519–520

The Apgar Score
AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS COMMITTEE ON FETUS AND
NEWBORN and AMERICAN COLLEGE OF OBSTETRICIANS AND
GYNECOLOGISTS COMMITTEE ON OBSTETRIC PRACTICE
Pediatrics originally published online September 28, 2015;

Updated Information & Services	including high resolution figures, can be found at: http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/early/2015/09/22/peds.2015-2651
Subspecialty Collections	This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the following collection(s): Fetus/Newborn Infant http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/fetus:newborn_infant_sub
Permissions & Licensing	Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at: http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/Permissions.xhtml
Reprints	Information about ordering reprints can be found online: http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/reprints.xhtml

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN®



PEDIATRICS®

OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS

The Apgar Score

AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS COMMITTEE ON FETUS AND
NEWBORN and AMERICAN COLLEGE OF OBSTETRICIANS AND
GYNECOLOGISTS COMMITTEE ON OBSTETRIC PRACTICE

Pediatrics originally published online September 28, 2015;

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is
located on the World Wide Web at:

<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/early/2015/09/22/peds.2015-2651>

Pediatrics is the official journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. A monthly publication, it has been published continuously since 1948. Pediatrics is owned, published, and trademarked by the American Academy of Pediatrics, 345 Park Avenue, Itasca, Illinois, 60143. Copyright © 2015 by the American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 1073-0397.

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN®

