

Risky Music-Listening Behaviors and Associated Health-Risk Behaviors

AUTHORS: Ineke Vogel, PhD,^a Petra M. van de Looij-Jansen, PhD,^b Cathelijne L. Mieloo, MSc,^b Alex Burdorf, PhD,^a and Frouwkje de Waart, PhD^b

^aDepartment of Public Health, Erasmus MC University Medical Center, Rotterdam, Netherlands; and ^bDepartment of Youth Policy, Municipal Public Health Service for Rotterdam Area, Rotterdam, Netherlands

KEY WORDS

health risk behaviors, binge drinking, cannabis use, risky music listening, unsafe sexual intercourse

ABBREVIATIONS

dB—decibel

dBA—dB, A-weighting filter

MP3—Moving Picture Experts Group-1 Audio Layer 3

NIHL—noise-induced hearing loss

PEL—permissible exposure limits

www.pediatrics.org/cgi/doi/10.1542/peds.2011-1948

doi:10.1542/peds.2011-1948

Accepted for publication Feb 1, 2012

Address correspondence to I. Vogel, PhD, Department of Public Health, Erasmus MC University Medical Center Rotterdam, PO Box 2040, 3000 CA Rotterdam, Netherlands. E-mail: i.vogel@erasmusmc.nl

PEDIATRICS (ISSN Numbers: Print, 0031-4005; Online, 1098-4275).

Copyright © 2012 by the American Academy of Pediatrics

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE: *The authors have indicated they have no financial relationships relevant to this article to disclose.*

FUNDING: No external funding.



WHAT'S KNOWN ON THIS SUBJECT: Traditional health-risk behaviors, such as problem drinking, smoking, marijuana use, and unsafe sexual behavior are interrelated and not isolated events in the life of adolescents. New health-risk behaviors are emerging: risky music-listening behaviors, which may induce hearing loss.



WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS: Risky music-listening behaviors are highly associated with traditional health-risk behaviors. Risky MP3-player listeners are often cannabis users. Frequent visitors of music venues are less often cannabis users, but are often binge drinkers and have sexual intercourse without using a condom.

abstract

FREE

OBJECTIVE: To examine, among adolescents and emerging adults attending inner-city lower education, associations between risky music-listening behaviors (from MP3 players and in discotheques and at pop concerts) and more traditional health-risk behaviors: substance use (cigarettes, alcohol, cannabis, and hard drugs) and unsafe sexual intercourse.

METHODS: A total of 944 students in Dutch inner-city senior-secondary vocational schools completed questionnaires about their music-listening and traditional health-risk behaviors. Multiple logistic regression analyses were used to examine associations between music-listening and traditional health-risk behaviors.

RESULTS: Risky MP3-player listeners used cannabis more often during the past 4 weeks. Students exposed to risky sound levels during discotheque and pop concert attendance used cannabis less often during the past 4 weeks, were more often binge drinkers, and reported inconsistent condom use during sexual intercourse.

CONCLUSIONS: The coexistence of risky music-listening behaviors with other health-risk behaviors provides evidence in support of the integration of risky music-listening behaviors within research on and programs aimed at reducing more traditional health-risk behaviors, such as substance abuse and unsafe sexual intercourse. *Pediatrics* 2012;129:1097–1103

It is well known that “traditional” health-risk behaviors, such as problem drinking, marijuana use, and unsafe sexual behavior are interrelated and not isolated events in the life of adolescents.¹ When adolescents take 1 risk, they also tend to take other risks.^{2,3} Moreover, these health-risk behaviors have been found to be more prevalent among adolescents of lower socioeconomic groups.⁴

More recently, the rapid development of digital technology has led to the production of new kinds of music players whose sound quality at higher volumes is much better, because the sound is no longer distorted,⁵ leading to “new” health-risk behaviors: risky music-listening behaviors.^{6–8} The sound level of these players may range between 75 and 105 dB; ear-bud type insert ear phones produce maximum levels ranging from 88 to 113 dB across different devices. In the worst scenario, it is possible to reach levels of ~120 dB.⁵ In addition to MP3-player music exposure, many young people are exposed to high-volume music in discotheques, where mean sound levels range from 104 to 112 dB, and at pop concerts where sound levels are often even higher.^{9,10}

Combined exposures to high-volume music can have cumulative effects with regard to hearing impairment and thus increase the risk of hearing loss. At sound levels equivalent to 90 dB, A-weighting filter (dBA) or above, the average permanent hearing loss after 10 years of exposure may reach values that produce a level of hearing loss (20 dB) that is noticeable to individual people themselves. Sound levels equivalent to 100 dBA or above may cause a permanent hearing loss of ~40 to 45 dB after 10 years of exposure.¹¹ It has been estimated that ~20% of Dutch adolescents aged 12 to 16 years are at risk for developing noise-induced hearing loss (NIHL) after 5 years because of

listening at potentially hazardous music levels.⁸

Thus, as with more traditional risk behaviors such as substance use and unsafe sexual intercourse, of which the adverse health consequences have been recognized as important public health issues,¹² risky music-listening behaviors pose a threat to young peoples’ future health.⁵ Individuals with NIHL often have reduced psychological and social function, such as increased feelings of isolation, depression, loneliness, anger, and fear.^{13,14} Also, it is a growing social problem that young people are limited in their choice of, or even rejected from, jobs because of preventable NIHL.⁹

Risky music-listening behaviors have been linked to the more traditional health-risk behaviors,^{15,16} but interrelations between risky music-listening behaviors and traditional health-risk behaviors remain unclear. Such insight is needed to assist in the development of integrated preventive interventions. As with traditional health-risk behaviors, risky music-listening behaviors are more prevalent among youth attending lower educational levels, especially as adolescents attending prevocational education report relatively high levels of music exposure, both through head- or earphones on MP3 players as well as during discotheque attendance.^{17,18} Therefore, the aim of this study was to examine among adolescents and emerging adults attending a lower educational level, that is, senior-secondary vocational education, associations between risky music-listening behaviors and more traditional health-risk behaviors such as substance use and unsafe sexual intercourse.

METHODS

Study Population and Procedure

A total of 1228 students (aged ~15–25 years) of 2 Dutch inner-city senior-secondary vocational schools were

invited to complete questionnaires about their sociodemographics and music-listening and traditional health-risk behaviors (see Appendix) under supervision at school. The response was 77.9% ($n = 956$); 272 students did not complete the questionnaire because of illness, visiting a doctor or nurse, staying away without leave, or unknown reasons; resulting in a total of 956 questionnaires. We excluded 12 questionnaires because of an age of >25 years; thus, 944 questionnaires were used in the analyses. Participants’ ages ranged from 15 to 25 years (mean 18; SD 2). A total of 63% were female, and 66.3% were of non-Dutch ethnicity. Table 1 gives an overview of the sociodemographic characteristics of the study population. Administration of the questionnaire at schools was conducted by specially trained researchers and public health promoters of the Municipal Public Health Service and/or a teacher. All data were gathered within and as part of existing research of preventive youth health care on health and lifestyle among senior-secondary vocational education. Students received information about the study; participation was voluntary and anonymous. Separate informed consent therefore was not requested. This study conformed to the principles embodied in the Declaration of Helsinki.¹⁹

The questionnaire included items on participants’ gender and age; whether the participant shared a household with at least 1 of his or her own parents (yes, no); and country of birth of participant and both parents. Ethnicity (Dutch, non-Dutch) was determined on the basis of mother’s and father’s country/countries of birth according to definitions of Statistics Netherlands.²⁰

Health-Risk Behaviors

Estimation of risky music-listening behaviors (MP3 player listening and discotheque and pop concert attendance)

TABLE 1 Sociodemographic Characteristics of Study Population (*N* = 944)

	Frequency in Study Population (Unless Otherwise Specified)
Mean age, y	18 (SD 2; range, 15–25)
Gender	
Female	594 (62.9%)
Ethnicity	
Non-Dutch	626 (66.3%)
Home situation	
Living with parent(s)	746 (79.0%)

previously has been described in detail.⁸ Within current European occupational safety standards,²¹ music volume levels equal to or exceeding the equivalent of 80 dB (dB/dBA) for 40 hours per week are assumed to be potentially damaging. However, in the report of the Scientific Committee on Emerging and Newly Identified Health Risks, it is assumed that listening for 1 hour a day to a sound level of >89 dBA is potentially damaging. Because sound pressure levels are measured on a logarithmic scale, they cannot be added or averaged arithmetically; adding 2 sounds of equal pressure levels and durations results in a total pressure level with that same duration that is only 3 dB higher than each individual sound pressure level.²² For example, if you add up an exposure of 80 dBA during 1 hour to another exposure of 80 dBA during 1 hour, the sum is equivalent to 80 dBA during 2 hours, but also equivalent to 83 dBA during 1 hour. As another example, by applying the principle that a doubling in level (+3 dB) can be offset by halving the permissible exposure duration,^{23,24} it can be calculated that listening 7 hours per week to a music level of 89 dB is equal to listening for 56 hours per week to a music level of 80 dBA. Therefore, we chose to use a loosened 56-hours criterion instead of the more stringent safety standard of 40 hours; that is, 16 hours are added for the weekend days, because music listening is not restricted to working days.

To estimate a weekly music-listening dose based on reported exposure times and estimated decibel levels, we first calculated permissible exposure limits (PELs) for the estimated decibel levels of each participant per music source, by using the equation $PEL_{(week)} = 56/2^{(L-80)/3}$, where L stands for the estimated dBA level.²³ Second, each respondent's actual exposure time was divided by the PEL to compute his or her estimated weekly music-listening dose.²³ To evaluate risk behavior, responses were dichotomized into adolescents who were considered not to be exposed to risky music levels (dose <1; listening on average <1 hour per day to an equivalent music level of 89 dBA) and those who were considered to be exposed to risky music levels (dose ≥1; listening on average ≥1 hour per day to an equivalent music level of 89 dBA).

Traditional health-risk behaviors were categorized as follows: current daily cigarette smoking (yes/no); binge drinking, drank 5 or more alcoholic drinks in a row on 1 occasion at least once in the past 4 weeks (yes/no); cannabis use in the past 4 weeks (yes/no); hard drug use (Ecstasy, cocaine, amphetamines, and/or heroin) in the past 4 weeks (yes/no); and inconsistent use of condom during sexual intercourse (yes/no).

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were performed by using SPSS (version 15; SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL). Frequency tables were used to explore the health-risk behaviors of the total study population (*N* = 944), and females (*n* = 594) and males (*n* = 350); frequency differences of the health-risk behaviors were examined through χ^2 statistics. To explore interrelations between health-risk behaviors, multiple logistic regression analyses were performed in which 1 behavior was the dependent variable and the other behavior was the independent variable

(adjusted for age, gender, ethnicity, and home situation). The resulting odds ratios indicate the risk for a behavior to be reported present under the condition that the other behavior was reported also to be present. Multivariate odds ratios and their 95% confidence intervals were calculated with multiple logistic regression analyses to explore for both music-listening behaviors the association with more traditional health-risk behaviors (adjusted for age, gender, ethnicity, and home situation). In the latter analyses, only traditional health-risk behaviors were included that were found significantly related to music-listening behaviors in the former analyses. Any *P* values of <.05 were considered to be statistically significant.

RESULTS

We estimated that 30.4% of the study population exceeded the revised safety threshold for MP3-player use; with regard to music from discotheques and pop concerts, 48.1% exceeded the threshold. Regarding substance use, frequencies ranged between 5.5% (hard drugs during the past 4 weeks) and 33.2% (binge drinking in the past 4 weeks), and 37.5% reported inconsistent use of condoms during sexual intercourse (Table 2). Except for MP3-player use, cigarette smoking, and inconsistent use of condoms during sexual intercourse, in comparison with females, males reported more often unhealthy-lifestyle behaviors. Table 3 shows that, except for hard-drug use during the past 4 weeks with risky music-listening behaviors, all health-risk behaviors were significantly correlated with each other.

Table 4 presents results of the multiple regression analyses. Risky MP3-player listeners more often used cannabis during the past 4 weeks. Students exposed to risky sound levels during discotheque and pop concert attendance

TABLE 2 Unhealthy Lifestyle Behaviors of Study Population (*N* = 944)

Unhealthy Lifestyle Behaviors	Total <i>N</i> = 944	Females <i>n</i> = 594	Males <i>n</i> = 350	<i>P</i> ^a
Music exposure ^b				
MP3 players (past month)	30.4	31.3	28.8	
Discotheque and pop concert visits (past year)	48.1	44.1	54.8	**
Substance use				
Cigarette smoking (current)	33.1	33.3	32.9	
Binge drinking ^c (past 4 wk)	33.2	29.6	39.5	**
Cannabis (past 4 wk)	13.3	10.3	18.7	**
Hard drugs (past 4 wk)	5.5	3.4	9.2	***
Sexual behavior				
Inconsistent use of condoms ^d	37.5	37.5	37.8	

Values reported are percentages.

^a Females compared with males. ***P* < .01, ****P* < .001.

^b Average exposure equivalent to sound levels of 89 dBA during ≥1 h per day.

^c Drank 5 or more alcoholic drinks in a row on 1 occasion at least once.

^d Reported to “not always” use condoms during sexual intercourse.

less often used cannabis during the past 4 weeks, were more often binge drinkers, and reported inconsistent condom use during sexual intercourse. No gender differences were present in these associations.

DISCUSSION

This study highlights the need to regard high-volume music listening as a risk factor for risk-taking behavior. Risky music-listening behaviors were highly correlated, and it is well known that combined exposures to high-volume music increases the risk of hearing loss. In addition, both risky music-listening behaviors were associated with more traditional health-risk behaviors such as the use of substances and unsafe sexual intercourse.

Although the risky music-listening behaviors were highly correlated, it seems that 2 distinct groups can be distinguished when looking at coexistence with other health-risk behaviors.

First, risky MP3-player listeners were often also cannabis users. The combination of cannabis use and risky MP3-player listening could be related to the existential period in life that constitutes adolescence and emerging adulthood, not only because of the positive feeling to be alive and the experience of existential meaning, but also as something that can fill existential emptiness.²⁵ Previously, the experience of intrinsic rewards was found to be an important correlate of adolescents' risky-MP3 player listening, implying that the need for music is important for

young people and perhaps for their psychological well-being.¹⁸ It is also known that cannabis users have a need for internal sensations (internally generated feelings)^{26–28} and that cannabis generates feelings of happiness and relaxation.²⁹ Furthermore, it enhances activities, that is, music sounds better with cannabis use. It could be that this subgroup of listeners want to experience a feeling of “getting lost” in the music and to cut oneself off from one's surroundings,^{18,30} which may be intensified by using cannabis while listening to high-volume music on an MP3 player. Therefore, interventions aimed at reducing cannabis use should also take into account risky MP3-player use and vice versa, while facilitating the experience of internal rewards and sensations in a safe way. For example, manufacturers of MP3 players and earphones should be encouraged to create a safer listening environment by producing players and phones that enable people to experience intrinsic rewards and sensations while listening at lower music levels and without using cannabis.

Second, frequent visitors of discotheques and pop concerts reported less often the use of cannabis, but more often binge drinking and sexual intercourse without using a condom. The use of alcohol is thought to impair cognitive processes, including the ability to make

TABLE 3 Associations Between Unhealthy Lifestyles

	MP3 Player ^a	Music Venues ^b	Smoking ^c	Binge Drinking ^d	Cannabis Use ^e	Hard Drug Use ^e
MP3 player ^a						
Music venues ^b	2.22 (1.64–3.01)					
Smoking ^c	1.64 (1.21–2.22)	1.70 (1.26–2.28)				
Binge drinking ^d	1.70 (1.25–2.31)	6.22 (4.47–8.65)	3.06 (2.24–4.18)			
Cannabis use ^e	2.28 (1.53–3.41)	1.66 (1.10–2.51)	9.71 (6.07–15.54)	5.29 (3.42–8.17)		
Hard drug use ^e	1.22 (0.66–2.25)	1.76 (0.95–3.24)	2.96 (1.60–5.48)	3.47 (1.86–6.49)	9.53 (5.04–18.01)	
Inconsistent condom use ^f	1.44 (1.05–1.97)	2.85 (2.08–3.91)	2.06 (1.51–2.80)	2.91 (2.11–4.00)	2.72 (1.78–4.18)	1.72 (0.91–3.23)

Values reported are odds ratios (95% confidence interval). Odds ratios are adjusted for age, gender, ethnicity, and home situation

^a Risky MP3-player music listening; listening on average ≥1 hour per day to an equivalent music level of 89 dBA.

^b Risky music listening during discotheque and pop concert attendance; listening on average ≥1 hour per day to an equivalent music level of 89 dBA.

^c Daily cigarette smoking.

^d Drank 5 or more alcoholic drinks in a row on 1 occasion at least once in the past 4 wk.

^e Use in the past 4 wk.

^f Reported to “not always” use condoms during sexual intercourse.

TABLE 4 Multivariate Odds Ratios and 95% Confidence Intervals From Multiple Logistic Regression Analyses With Risky Music-Listening Behaviors as Dependent Variables and Other, More Traditional, Unhealthy Lifestyle Behaviors as Independent Variables

Unhealthy Lifestyle Behaviors	MP3 Player Use	Music Venues ^a
Unsafe MP3 player use		2.07 (1.46–2.94)
Unsafe exposure in music venues ^a	2.06 (1.46–2.90)	
Cigarette smoking (current/daily)	1.19 (0.84–1.68)	1.12 (0.77–1.63)
Binge drinking ^b (past 4 wk)	1.01 (0.70–1.45)	5.94 (4.09–8.61)
Cannabis use (past 4 wk)	1.99 (1.26–3.16)	0.57 (0.34–0.97)
Inconsistent condom use ^c	1.10 (0.78–1.55)	2.03 (1.41–2.91)

Values reported are odds ratios (95% confidence interval). Odds ratios are adjusted for age, gender, ethnicity, and home situation. Risky music listening means listening at an equivalent sound level of ≥ 89 dBA for 1 hour per day or 7 hours per week.

^a Risky music exposure during discotheque and pop concert attendance.

^b Drank 5 or more alcoholic drinks in a row on 1 occasion at least once.

^c Reported to “not always” use condoms during sexual intercourse.

clear judgments, which can make people “loosen up” and feel more socially confident and comfortable initiating or engaging in sex, and reducing the likelihood that condoms would be used during sexual intercourse.³¹ Furthermore, alcohol has a greater impact on attitudes and intentions toward unprotected sex when individuals are sexually aroused.³² High-volume music in music venues provides its visitors with an opportunity to congregate without having to communicate; this is referred to as the “social noise phenomenon.”³³ Because loud music prevents communication at distances of <1 m, it enables people to move inside the personal space of members of the opposite sex to communicate with them, however limited the mode of communication may be.³³ Therefore, it may well be possible that people meet and explore each other at music venues before they have unsafe sex. Thus, interventions to reduce the occurrence of unprotected sex, for example, might best be implemented in contexts where people will be making

the decision to have unprotected sex, such as in discotheques or at pop concerts. A discotheque or pop concert could not only be a place where hearing protection is provided, but also where condoms are provided. In addition, inhibiting cues could be provided by posters with warnings against alcohol abuse and unsafe sex at discotheque entrances or by printing messages on admission tickets.

This study has several limitations, 1 of which was the use of a convenience sample of students attending senior-secondary vocational education; however, most of the characteristics of the study group reflected those of this subgroup of Dutch inner-city adolescents and emerging adults. Although the proportion of females attending inner-city senior-secondary vocational education is somewhat greater than the proportion of males, the proportion of females was relatively greater in our sample.²⁰ Also, we have no information about the behaviors of nonparticipants

in the study. With regard to selective nonresponse, our nonparticipation rate was 22.1%; this may have affected the results. The data used in our study were cross-sectional and self-reported. Dichotomization of variables reduces statistical power to detect a relation and leads to a loss of effect size.^{34,35} However, we chose to dichotomize the behaviors into people who did or did not perform unhealthy behavior. This may have resulted in a loss of information about individual differences. It could be possible that there are small differences between the individuals that score close to the cut-off value. However, because our primary interest was in comparing people who do not perform unhealthy behaviors and people who do perform unhealthy behaviors, even if it is only 1 time, we chose to dichotomize into people who did or did not perform unhealthy behavior.

CONCLUSIONS

The high prevalence of risky music-listening behavior among youth attending lower education and its coexistence with other health-risk behaviors has important implications for both research on understanding the behaviors and planning interventions. The results provide evidence in support of the integration of risky music-listening behaviors within research on and programs aimed at reducing more traditional health-risk behaviors such as substance abuse and unsafe sexual intercourse.

REFERENCES

1. Donovan JE, Jessor R. Structure of problem behavior in adolescence and young adulthood. *J Consult Clin Psychol*. 1985;53(6): 890–904
2. Sychareun V, Thomsen S, Faxelid E. Concurrent multiple health risk behaviors among adolescents in Luangnamtha province, Lao PDR. *BMC Public Health*. 2011;11:36
3. World Health Organization (WHO). *The World Health Report. Reducing Risks, Promoting Healthy Life*. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO; 2002
4. Petridou E, Zavitsanos X, Dessypris N, et al. Adolescents in high-risk trajectory: clustering of risky behavior and the origins of socioeconomic health differentials. *Prev Med*. 1997;26(2):215–219
5. Scientific Committee on Emerging and Newly Identified Health Risks (SCENIHR). Potential health risks of exposure to noise from personal music players and mobile phones including a music playing function. Available at: http://ec.europa.eu/health/ph_risk/committees/04_scenihhr/docs/scenihhr_o_018.pdf. Accessed October 17, 2008

6. Vogel I, Brug J, van der Ploeg CP, Raat H. Young people: taking few precautions against hearing loss in discotheques. *J Adolesc Health*. 2010;46(5):499–502
7. Vogel I, Verschuure H, van der Ploeg CPB, Brug J, Raat H. Adolescents and MP3 players: too many risks, too few precautions. *Pediatrics*. 2009;123(6). Available at: www.pediatrics.org/cgi/content/full/123/6/e953
8. Vogel I, Verschuure H, van der Ploeg CPB, Brug J, Raat H. Estimating adolescent risk for hearing loss based on data from a large school-based survey. *Am J Public Health*. 2010;100(6):1095–1100
9. Serra MR, Blassoni EC, Richter U, et al. Recreational noise exposure and its effects on the hearing of adolescents. Part I: an interdisciplinary long-term study. *Int J Audiol*. 2005;44(2):65–73
10. Chung JH, Des Roches CM, Meunier J, Eavey RD. Evaluation of noise-induced hearing loss in young people using a web-based survey technique. *Pediatrics*. 2005;115(4):861–867
11. Ward WD. Auditory effects of noise. In: Berger EH, Ward WD, Morrill JC, Royster LH, eds. *Noise & Hearing Conservation Manual*. Fairfax, VA: American Industrial Hygiene Association; 1986:197–216
12. World Health Organization (WHO). Broadening the horizon. Balancing protection and risk for adolescents. WHO/FCH/CAH/01.20. Available at: http://whqlibdoc.who.int/hq/2001/WHO_FCH_CAH_01.20.pdf. Accessed March 23, 2011
13. Crandell C, Mills TL, Gauthier R. Knowledge, behaviors, and attitudes about hearing loss and hearing protection among racial/ethnically diverse young adults. *J Natl Med Assoc*. 2004;96(2):176–186
14. Lusk SL. Preventing noise-induced hearing loss. *Nurs Clin North Am*. 2002;37(2):257–262, vi
15. Bohlin MC, Erlandsson SI. Risk behaviour and noise exposure among adolescents. *Noise Health*. 2007;9(36):55–63
16. Bohlin MC, Sorbring E, Widén SE, Erlandsson SI. Risks and music—patterns among young women and men in Sweden. *Noise Health*. 2011;13(53):310–319
17. Vogel I, Brug J, Van der Ploeg CP, Raat H. Discotheques and the risk of hearing loss among youth: risky listening behavior and its psychosocial correlates. *Health Educ Res*. 2010;25(5):737–747
18. Vogel I, Brug J, Van der Ploeg CPB, Raat H. Adolescents risky MP3-player listening and its psychosocial correlates. *Health Educ Res*. 2011;26(2):254–264
19. World Medical Association. Declaration of Helsinki. Ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects. Available at: www.wma.net/en/30publications/10policies/b3/17c.pdf. Accessed November 9, 2011
20. Statistics Netherlands. *Statline*. Heerlen, Netherlands: Statistics Netherlands; 2007
21. European Parliament and Council. Directive 2003/10/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council. *Official J Europ Union*. 2003; 15.2.2003
22. World Health Organization (WHO). Guidelines for community noise. Available at: <http://whqlibdoc.who.int/hq/1999/a68672.pdf>. Accessed January 9, 2008
23. American Academy of Audiology. Position statement: preventing noise-induced occupational hearing loss. Available at: www.audiology.org/resources/documentlibrary/Documents/niohlprevention.pdf. Accessed December 31, 2007
24. International Organization for Standardization (ISO). *Acoustics—Determination of Occupational Noise Exposure and Estimation of Noise-Induced Hearing Impairment*. ISO 1999:1990. Geneva, Switzerland: ISO; 1990
25. Bohlin M. *Music and Risk in an Existential and Gendered World* [doctoral dissertation]. Gothenburg, Sweden: University of Gothenburg and University West; 2011
26. Pearson PH. Relationships between global and specified measures of novelty seeking. *J Consult Clin Psychol*. 1970;34(2):199–204
27. Kohn PM, Annis HM. Drug use and four kinds of novelty-seeking. *Br J Addict Alcohol Other Drugs*. 1977;72(2):135–141
28. Kohn PM, Fox J, Barnes GE, Annis HM, Hoffman FM, Ejchental B. Progressive development of a model of youthful marijuana use. *Represent Res Soc Psychol*. 1979;9(2):122–139
29. Lee CM, Neighbors C, Woods BA. Marijuana motives: young adults' reasons for using marijuana. *Addict Behav*. 2007;32(7):1384–1394
30. Héту R, Fortin M. Potential risk of hearing damage associated with exposure to highly amplified music. *J Am Acad Audiol*. 1995;6(5):378–386
31. Halpern-Felsher BL, Millstein SG, Ellen JM. Relationship of alcohol use and risky sexual behavior: a review and analysis of findings. *J Adolesc Health*. 1996;19(5):331–336
32. MacDonald TK, MacDonald G, Zanna MP, Fong GT. Alcohol, sexual arousal, and intentions to use condoms in young men: applying alcohol myopia theory to risky sexual behavior. *Health Psychol*. 2000;19(3):290–298
33. Clark WW. Noise exposure from leisure activities: a review. *J Acoust Soc Am*. 1991; 90(1):175–181
34. Altman DG, Royston P. The cost of dichotomising continuous variables. *BMJ*. 2006;332(7549):1080
35. MacCallum RC, Zhang S, Preacher KJ, Rucker DD. On the practice of dichotomization of quantitative variables. *Psychol Methods*. 2002;7(1):19–40

APPENDIX Survey Items

- 1 Sociodemographic characteristics
- Are you a boy or a girl?
 - What is your age in years?
 - What is your country of birth?
 - What is your father's country of birth?
 - What is your mother's country of birth?
 - With whom do you share a household?
- 2 Music-listening behavior
- MP3 player*
- Do you ever listen to music through earphones on a portable music player (MP3 player)?
 - On average, over the past month, on how many days per week did you listen to music on an MP3 player?
 - How long do you normally use your MP3 player per day?
 - At what volume-control level do you normally listen?
- Discotheque and pop concerts*
- Have you been to a discotheque in the past year?
 - On average, over the past year, how many times per month did you go to a discotheque?
 - During the past year, how often did you go to a pop concert?
- 3 Traditional health-risk behaviors
- On average, how many cigarettes do you smoke per day?
 - During the past 4 wk, on how many days did you have 5 or more drinks of alcohol in a row?
 - On average, over the past 4 wk, how often did you use cannabis?
 - On average, over the past 4 wk, how often did you use Ecstasy?
 - On average, over the past 4 wk, how often did you use cocaine?
 - On average, over the past 4 wk, how often did you use amphetamines?
 - On average, over the past 4 wk, how often did you use heroin?
 - During sexual intercourse, how often do you use condoms?
-

Risky Music-Listening Behaviors and Associated Health-Risk Behaviors
Ineke Vogel, Petra M. van de Looij-Jansen, Cathelijne L. Mieloo, Alex Burdorf and
Frouwkje de Waart
Pediatrics originally published online May 21, 2012;

Updated Information & Services

including high resolution figures, can be found at:
<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/early/2012/05/15/peds.2011-1948>

Permissions & Licensing

Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at:
<http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/Permissions.xhtml>

Reprints

Information about ordering reprints can be found online:
<http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/reprints.xhtml>

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN™



PEDIATRICS®

OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS

Risky Music-Listening Behaviors and Associated Health-Risk Behaviors

Ineke Vogel, Petra M. van de Looij-Jansen, Cathelijne L. Mieloo, Alex Burdorf and
Frouwkje de Waart

Pediatrics originally published online May 21, 2012;

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is
located on the World Wide Web at:

<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/early/2012/05/15/peds.2011-1948>

Pediatrics is the official journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. A monthly publication, it has been published continuously since 1948. Pediatrics is owned, published, and trademarked by the American Academy of Pediatrics, 141 Northwest Point Boulevard, Elk Grove Village, Illinois, 60007. Copyright © 2012 by the American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 1073-0397.

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN™

