

# Engaging the Family in the Care of Young Adults With Substance Use Disorders

Sarah M. Bagley, MD, MSc,<sup>a,b,c</sup> Alicia S. Ventura, MPH,<sup>a,b</sup> Karen E. Lasser, MD, MPH,<sup>b,d</sup> Fred Muench, PhD<sup>e</sup>

abstract

Efforts to engage young adults with substance use disorders in treatment often focus on the individual and do not consider the role that the family can play in the recovery process. In summarizing the proceedings of a longitudinal meeting on substance use among young adults, this special article outlines three key principles concerning the engagement of broader family units in substance use treatment: (1) care should involve family members (biological, extended, or chosen); (2) these family members should receive counseling on evidence-based approaches that can enhance their loved one's engagement in care; and (3) family members should receive counseling on evidence-based strategies that can improve their own health. For each principle, we provide an explanation of our guidance to practitioners, supportive evidence, and additional practice considerations.

<sup>a</sup>Grayken Center for Addiction, Boston Medical Center; Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, Massachusetts; <sup>b</sup>Section of General Internal Medicine, Department of Medicine, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, Massachusetts; <sup>c</sup>Division of General Pediatrics, Department of Pediatrics, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, Massachusetts; <sup>d</sup>Department of Community Health Sciences, Boston University School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts; and <sup>e</sup>Partnership to End Addiction, New York City, New York

The guidelines/recommendations in this article are not American Academy of Pediatrics policy, and publication herein does not imply endorsement.

Dr Bagley conducted the literature review, wrote the first draft, reviewed and revised the manuscript, and approved the final manuscript as submitted; Ms Ventura contributed to the literature review, wrote a section of the first draft, and revised and approved the final manuscript; Drs Muench and Lasser provided a significant contribution to the revision of the initial draft and approved of the final manuscript; and all authors approved the final manuscript as submitted and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2020-023523C>

Address correspondence to Sarah M. Bagley, MD, MSc, Grayken Center for Addiction / Department of Medicine, Boston Medical Center, 801 Massachusetts Ave, Crosstown 2, Boston, MA, 02118. E-mail: [sarah.bagley@bmc.org](mailto:sarah.bagley@bmc.org)

PEDIATRICS (ISSN Numbers: Print, 0031-4005; Online, 1098-4275).

Copyright © 2021 by the American Academy of Pediatrics

**FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE:** The authors have indicated they have no financial relationships relevant to this article to disclose.

**FUNDING:** Dr Bagley was supported by NIH/NIDA (K23DA044324). The funder did not participate in this work.

**POTENTIAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST:** The authors have indicated they have no conflicts of interest relevant to this article to disclose.

Engagement strategies that enhance substance use treatment outcomes, even those that are developmentally appropriate, often do not consider the important role that family members (biological, extended, or chosen family) have in promoting risk reduction strategies and supporting the recovery of young adults. Without the right support and information, family members can have unintentional adverse effects on a young adult's engagement and recovery. Furthermore, substance use recovery programs rarely include components to improve the health of family members affected by a loved one's substance use disorders (SUDs). Despite consensus that family involvement is critical, most family-based interventions have been studied in families of adolescents who use substances, and few evidence-based approaches are available for families of young adults. Most of the evidence presented in the following article, therefore, is based on data gleaned from adolescents and extrapolated to the young adult population.

The objective of this article is to communicate a series of evidence-informed principles of care pertaining to family members of young adults with SUDs, as derived by an expert panel convened by the Grayken Center for Addiction at Boston Medical Center. The principles pertain to both primary care and specialty addiction settings. The recommendations in this article are not American Academy of Pediatrics policy, and publication herein does not imply endorsement. We outline three principles of care for engaging family members of young adults with SUDs, and we review both supportive evidence and practice considerations. We conclude with potential action steps to leverage the family to help young adults engage in care.

## PRINCIPLES OF CARE

### Principle 1: When Possible, Care Should Involve Family Members

#### *Guidance*

The workgroup concluded that when possible, and with the permission of the young adult (defined as a person aged 18–25), family members should be invited to participate in the patient's course of treatment. Currently, however, most SUD treatment happens in specialty treatment programs with little family involvement; even when treatment is offered in the primary care setting, limited time during visits makes it difficult to engage family members in safety and treatment planning. As addiction treatment has expanded from almost exclusively behavioral-oriented treatment to include more pharmacologic therapies, there has been even less opportunity to engage family members in care. Care, therefore, continues to be patient-focused and typically does not involve the patient's social network and family members. In light of these challenges, it is critical to develop strategies to involve family members as key members of the care team, with specific foci on safety and treatment planning.

#### *Evidence*

There are few empirically supported, family-based therapies for young adults with SUDs. Many of these therapies have been studied in younger populations; applying the data to young adults, therefore, requires extrapolation. Three family therapies with a strong evidence base for reducing adolescent substance use are multidimensional family therapy, functional family therapy, and brief strategic family therapy.<sup>1,2</sup> Recent evidence suggests that all three models can be distilled into four core practice elements: interactional change, relational reframe, adolescent engagement, and relational emphasis.<sup>3</sup> Each element includes

specific techniques that a therapist can use to achieve reduction in substance use through improved family functioning. The interactional change element focuses on assessment of family dynamics and communication, so that therapists can provide guidance to family members on how to improve their interactions with their loved one with an SUD. The relational reframe element helps the adolescent or young adult see the clinical problem (substance use) as related to family relational problems. These elements aim to improve family relations to address substance use. In adolescent engagement, the therapist elicits the adolescent's perspective about the problem and how family therapy can address salient issues. Finally, relational emphasis includes interventions that can improve whole family health and functioning. Studies that include family members of young adults are needed to determine whether family therapy is also effective in this specific age group.

#### *Practice Considerations*

Despite the evidence that family members can play a positive role in care, many obstacles prevent family member involvement. First, few therapists are trained in family therapy for SUDs.<sup>3</sup> Most current clinical models of care fail to involve family members routinely as therapeutic allies. Even if a program does offer services that include family members, young adults may choose not to include families as contacts. Federal confidentiality rules and regulations including the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) and 42 Code of Federal Regulations Part 2 preclude providers from contacting families without consent of the young adult. If the young adult initially refuses to consent to family involvement, this decision can be revisited, because social support is an important factor in recovery and treatment adherence. For young

adults unable to identify any family member they would like to be involved in treatment, the importance of peer social networks that support risk reduction should be emphasized. It is also important to note that although family members cannot receive information about their loved one without consent, there is nothing that prohibits family members from providing the care team with information about the young adult.

### **Principle 2: Family Members Should Be Counseled on Evidence-Based Approaches That Can Enhance Their Loved One's Engagement in Care**

#### *Guidance*

The workgroup concluded that family members, using evidence-based communication skills, can increase the likelihood that their loved one will enter care, thus improving SUD outcomes.<sup>4</sup> It is important that care teams working with young adults engage the family, provide accurate information about evidence-based treatment approaches, and provide education about the important role the family can plan in their loved one's recovery. Because evidence-based approaches exist that promote engagement with care, family members should specifically be trained in these behavioral approaches and counseled on techniques to practice these approaches with their loved ones.

#### *Evidence*

Providing support to families can have a positive impact on engagement in care, even if the loved one is initially not ready for treatment.<sup>5-14</sup> The Community Reinforcement and Family Training (CRAFT) model teaches family members to engage loved ones who are not yet ready for behavior change and, importantly, does not rely on the involvement of the individual using alcohol or other drugs. The intervention has three goals: (1) help a loved one move toward treatment, (2) reduce substance use if treatment is not an

option, and (3) improve the well-being of the engaged family members.<sup>15</sup> The CRAFT model teaches family members skills such as using positive contingencies (rewarding the desired behavior), problem solving, self-care, and communication strategies (eg, avoiding conversations when the loved one is intoxicated).<sup>6,15-18</sup>

CRAFT increases treatment engagement from 40% to 71% for individuals with alcohol, opioid, and other SUDs and improves anxiety and depression scores among parents.<sup>16,19,20</sup> In a study of adults with opioid use disorder discharged from a detoxification program, Brigham et al<sup>19</sup> demonstrated that when the family member was a parent, loved ones were significantly less likely to drop out of treatment.

A newer approach, the invitation to change model, draws from CRAFT, motivational interviewing, and acceptance and commitment therapy (an intervention that uses acceptance, mindfulness, and behavior change to address unpleasant feelings and increase psychological flexibility). In this parent-to-parent model, parents with a child with a history of substance use (parent coaches) are trained over 2 days by mental health professionals to deliver a phone-based intervention to other parents seeking advice about how to address their children's substance use. Coaches provide support to parents, education about addiction, and information on how to access treatment and promote the well-being of families. Although one study has demonstrated feasibility and acceptability of this approach,<sup>21</sup> effectiveness has not yet been established.

#### *Practice Considerations*

Currently, it is difficult for family members to receive evidence-based support for a loved one unless the loved one is ready to discuss

treatment. Currently, family members rely on support groups such as Al-Anon, Families Anonymous, or national non-profits such as the Partnership for Families. Although these are important resources for families to access, they have not been rigorously studied and cannot replace professional support.

### **Principle 3: Family Members Should Be Counseled on Resources That Can Improve Their Own Health**

#### *Guidance*

It is estimated that  $\geq 20\%$  of the US population has a family member with an SUD,<sup>22</sup> and that family members of young adults who use alcohol and other drugs have more physical and mental health conditions, and higher levels of health care utilization, than similar family members not impacted by addiction.<sup>23,24</sup> The presence of an SUD in a young adult also has a significant impact on the emotional and physical health of other family members. Therefore, the workgroup felt that it is important that parents and other family members are offered resources and support to address their own health.

#### *Evidence*

The more family members are able to engage in activities to improve their own health, the greater the probability that the health of the entire family system will improve.<sup>25</sup> "The Five Step Method" is an intervention developed specifically for primary care settings to reduce stress-related symptoms and improve coping skills of family members affected by addiction. In this model, the five steps (or sessions) are: listening nonjudgmentally, providing relevant information, exploring ways of coping, discussing social support, and establishing the need for further help.<sup>26</sup> This method can be delivered in person or with a self-help manual. The Five Step Method has been studied in the United Kingdom and has been shown to decrease stress and improve coping among family

members.<sup>26,27</sup> The CRAFT intervention, described in Principle 2, has a similar goal of improving family member well-being, regardless of whether the loved one enters treatment or reduces substance use. CRAFT improves overall family functioning independent of whether an adolescent is engaged in treatment.<sup>18</sup>

### Practice Considerations

Family members may not seek counseling or support due to stigma and shame.<sup>28,29</sup> Some healthcare providers may hold stigmatizing beliefs about the family, viewing family members as a barrier, rather than a facilitator, to treatment. An additional challenge is simply identifying family members who could benefit from support services; screening to identify such individuals has not been studied.

### SUMMARY AND OPPORTUNITIES FOR IMPROVEMENT

Care for young adults with SUDs can be structured so that family members are included regardless of whether the care is outpatient, inpatient, or residential. Even if the young adult chooses not to allow family involvement in care, family members should still have access to accurate information about addiction, treatment, and how to reduce the risks to their own well-being from their loved one's substance use. Furthermore, given the impact that a loved one's substance use can have on the physical and mental health of the family and associated health care costs, an explicit focus on improving family member health is warranted. Providers can provide guidance to family members about how they can help support recovery, including support through housing, income, and transportation, as well as through substance use-specific support, such as monitoring for substance use and managing medications. In conclusion, family members can provide valuable

support to loved ones with SUDs and should be educated and empowered to do so. Research is needed to improve understanding of the role of the family in treatment of addiction for young adults.

### ABBREVIATIONS

CRAFT: Community Reinforcement and Family Training  
SUD: substance use disorder

### REFERENCES

1. Hogue A, Henderson CE, Becker SJ, Knight DK. Evidence base on outpatient behavioral treatments for adolescent substance use, 2014–2017: Outcomes, treatment delivery, and promising horizons. *J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol.* 2018;47(4):499–526
2. McCart MR, Sheidow AJ. Evidence-based psychosocial treatments for adolescents with disruptive behavior. *J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol.* 2016;45(5): 529–563
3. Hogue A, Bobek M, Dauber S, Henderson CE, McLeod BD, Southam-Gerow MA. Core Elements of Family Therapy for Adolescent Behavior Problems: Empirical Distillation of Three Manualized Treatments. *J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol.* 2019;48(1):29–41
4. Ventura AS, Bagley SM. To Improve Substance Use Disorder Prevention, Treatment and Recovery: Engage the Family. *J Addict Med.* 2017;11(5): 339–341
5. Tanner-Smith EE, Wilson SJ, Lipsey MW. The comparative effectiveness of outpatient treatment for adolescent substance abuse: a meta-analysis. *J Subst Abuse Treat.* 2013;44(2):145–158
6. Liddle HA. Family-based therapies for adolescent alcohol and drug use: research contributions and future research needs. *Addiction.* 2004; 99(Suppl 2):76–92
7. Bissetto Pons D, González Barrón R, Botella Guijarro Á. Family-Based Intervention Program for Parents of Substance-Abusing Youth and Adolescents. *J Addict.* 2016;2016: 4320720

8. Allen ML, Garcia-Huidobro D, Porta C, et al. Effective parenting interventions to reduce youth substance use: a systematic review. *Pediatrics.* 2016; 138(2):e20154425
9. Austin AM, Macgowan MJ, Wagner EF. Effective Family-Based Interventions for Adolescents With Substance Use Problems: A Systematic Review. *Res Soc Work Pract.* 2005;15(2):67–83
10. Copello AG, Velleman RD, Templeton LJ. Family interventions in the treatment of alcohol and drug problems. *Drug Alcohol Rev.* 2005;24(4):369–385
11. Hornberger S, Smith SL. Family involvement in adolescent substance abuse treatment and recovery: What do we know? What lies ahead? *Child Youth Serv Rev.* 2011;33(Supplement 1): S70–S76
12. Rowe CL. Family therapy for drug abuse: review and updates 2003-2010. *J Marital Fam Ther.* 2012;38(1):59–81
13. Williams RJ, Chang SY. A comprehensive and comparative review of adolescent substance abuse treatment outcome. *Clin Psychol Sci Pract.* 2000;7(2): 138–166
14. Velleman RD, Templeton LJ, Copello AG. The role of the family in preventing and intervening with substance use and misuse: a comprehensive review of family interventions, with a focus on young people. *Drug Alcohol Rev.* 2005; 24(2):93–109
15. Meyers RJ, Miller WR, Hill DE, Tonigan JS. Community reinforcement and family training (CRAFT): engaging unmotivated drug users in treatment. *J Subst Abuse.* 1998;10(3):291–308
16. Bischof G, Iwen J, Freyer-Adam J, Rumpf H-J. Efficacy of the Community Reinforcement and Family Training for concerned significant others of treatment-refusing individuals with alcohol dependence: A randomized controlled trial. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2016;163:179–185
17. Meyers RJ, Miller WR, Smith JE, Tonigan JS. A randomized trial of two methods for engaging treatment-refusing drug users through concerned significant others. *J Consult Clin Psychol.* 2002; 70(5):1182–1185
18. Waldron HB, Kern-Jones S, Turner CW, Peterson TR, Ozechowski TJ. Engaging

- resistant adolescents in drug abuse treatment. *J Subst Abuse Treat.* 2007; 32(2):133–142
19. Brigham GS, Slesnick N, Winhusen TM, Lewis DF, Guo X, Somoza E. A randomized pilot clinical trial to evaluate the efficacy of Community Reinforcement and Family Training for Treatment Retention (CRAFT-T) for improving outcomes for patients completing opioid detoxification. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2014;138:240–243
  20. Manuel JK, Austin JL, Miller WR, et al. Community Reinforcement and Family Training: a pilot comparison of group and self-directed delivery. *J Subst Abuse Treat.* 2012;43(1):129–136
  21. Carpenter KM, Foote J, Hedrick T, Collins K, Clarkin S. Building on shared experiences: The evaluation of a phone-based parent-to-parent support program for helping parents with their child's substance misuse. *Addict Behav.* 2020;100:106103
  22. Daley DC, Smith E, Balogh D, Toscolani J. Forgotten but not gone: The impact of the opioid epidemic and other substance use disorders on families and children. *Commonwealth.* 2018: 20(1)
  23. Ray GT, Mertens JR, Weisner C. The excess medical cost and health problems of family members of persons diagnosed with alcohol or drug problems. *Med Care.* 2007;45(2): 116–122
  24. Ray GT, Mertens JR, Weisner C. Family members of people with alcohol or drug dependence: health problems and medical cost compared to family members of people with diabetes and asthma. *Addiction.* 2009; 104(2):203–214
  25. Watzlawick P, Weakland JH, Fisch R. *Change: Principles of Problem Formation and Problem Resolution.* WW Norton & Company; 2011
  26. Copello A, Templeton L, Orford J, Velleman R. The 5-Step Method: Principles and practice. *Drugs Educ Prev Policy.* 2010;17(sup1):86-99
  27. Copello A, Templeton L, Orford J, et al. The relative efficacy of two levels of a primary care intervention for family members affected by the addiction problem of a close relative: a randomized trial. *Addiction.* 2009; 104(1):49–58
  28. Corrigan PW, Watson AC, Miller FE. Blame, shame, and contamination: the impact of mental illness and drug dependence stigma on family members. *J Fam Psychol.* 2006;20(2):239–246
  29. Wilkens C, Foote J. “Bad Parents,” “Codependents,” and Other Stigmatizing Myths About Substance Use Disorder in the Family. In: Avery JD, Avery JJ, eds. *The Stigma of Addiction.* Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2019: 33–53

## Engaging the Family in the Care of Young Adults With Substance Use Disorders

Sarah M. Bagley, Alicia S. Ventura, Karen E. Lasser and Fred Muench

*Pediatrics* 2021;147;S215

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2020-023523C

<b>Updated Information &amp; Services</b>	including high resolution figures, can be found at: <a href="http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/147/Supplement_2/S215">http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/147/Supplement_2/S215</a>
<b>References</b>	This article cites 26 articles, 1 of which you can access for free at: <a href="http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/147/Supplement_2/S215#BIBL">http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/147/Supplement_2/S215#BIBL</a>
<b>Permissions &amp; Licensing</b>	Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at: <a href="http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/Permissions.xhtml">http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/Permissions.xhtml</a>
<b>Reprints</b>	Information about ordering reprints can be found online: <a href="http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/reprints.xhtml">http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/reprints.xhtml</a>

# American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN®



# PEDIATRICS<sup>®</sup>

OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS

## **Engaging the Family in the Care of Young Adults With Substance Use Disorders**

Sarah M. Bagley, Alicia S. Ventura, Karen E. Lasser and Fred Muench

*Pediatrics* 2021;147;S215

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2020-023523C

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:

[http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/147/Supplement\\_2/S215](http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/147/Supplement_2/S215)

Pediatrics is the official journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. A monthly publication, it has been published continuously since 1948. Pediatrics is owned, published, and trademarked by the American Academy of Pediatrics, 345 Park Avenue, Itasca, Illinois, 60143. Copyright © 2021 by the American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 1073-0397.

## American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN<sup>®</sup>

