OBJECTIVES: Validated prognostic tools for pediatric community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) do not exist. Thus, clinicians rely on “gestalt” in management decisions for children with CAP. We sought to determine the ability of clinician gestalt to predict severe outcomes.

METHODS: We performed a prospective cohort study of children 3 months to 18 years old presenting to a pediatric emergency department (ED) with lower respiratory infection and receiving a chest radiograph for suspected CAP from 2013 to 2017. Clinicians reported the probability that the patient would develop severe complications of CAP (defined as respiratory failure, empyema or effusion, lung abscess or necrosis, metastatic infection, sepsis or septic shock, or death). The primary outcome was development of severe complications.

RESULTS: Of 634 children, 37 (5.8%) developed severe complications. Of children developing severe complications after the ED visit, 62.1% were predicted as having <10% risk by the ED clinician. Sensitivity was >90% at the <1% predicted risk threshold, whereas specificity was >90% at the 10% risk threshold. Gestalt performance was poor in the low-intermediate predicted risk category (1%–10%). Clinicians had only fair ability to discriminate children developing complications from those who did not (area under the receiver operator characteristic curve 0.747), with worse performance from less experienced clinicians (area under the receiver operator characteristic curve 0.693).

CONCLUSIONS: Clinicians have only fair ability to discriminate children with CAP who develop severe complications from those who do not. Clinician gestalt performs best at very low or higher predicted risk thresholds, yet many children fall in the low-intermediate predicted risk range in which clinician gestalt is more limited. Evidence-based prognostic tools likely can improve on clinician gestalt, particularly when risk is low-moderate.
As the second most costly and fifth most prevalent reason for pediatric hospitalization in the United States, community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) remains a significant contributor to health care burden and expenditures.\(^1\) Although most children who develop pneumonia fully recover after nonsevere illness, some become severely ill and develop serious complications.\(^5\) No validated prognostic tools exist for children with CAP, leaving clinicians to rely largely on clinical gestalt in making management decisions.

Clinician gestalt informs management decisions on the basis of patient history and physical examination findings.\(^6\)\(^7\) Gestalt relies on the ability of the physician to recognize patterns of disease, such as fever, hypoxia, and tachypnea, to distinguish between severe and nonsevere disease.\(^8\) Few studies have been used to examine the predictive value of a clinician’s gestalt for subsequent clinical outcomes.\(^9\) One prospective study in the primary care setting revealed that a clinician’s gut feeling that a child is seriously ill substantially increased the chance that a severe infection was present, even when the clinical appearance of the child was reassuring.\(^10\) However, authors of another prospective study in a similar setting found no association between gestalt and disease prognosis in children with respiratory tract infections.\(^11\) Given these conflicting results and the lack of evidence-based prognostic tools, it is important to further understand the ability of clinician gestalt to predict severe complications in children with CAP, particularly in the emergency department (ED) setting in which most disposition decisions are made. We sought to determine if clinician gestalt is an accurate predictor of severe outcomes in children with suspected CAP who present to the ED.

**METHODS**

**Study Design**

This study is a planned analysis from a prospective cohort study of children with suspected CAP, called Catalyzing Ambulatory Research in Pneumonia Etiology and Diagnostic Innovations in Emergency Medicine (CARPE DIEM).\(^12\)\(^-\)\(^14\) Patients who presented to the ED at Cincinnati Children’s Hospital Medical Center (CCHMC) and enrolled in CARPE DIEM from July 2013 to December 2017 were eligible for inclusion. The study was approved by the CCHMC Institutional Review Board. Informed consent was obtained from all legal guardians, and assent was obtained from children ≥11 years of age.

**Study Population**

Children 3 months to 18 years of age with signs and symptoms of lower respiratory tract infection who received a chest radiograph (CXR) for clinical suspicion of CAP were enrolled.\(^12\)\(^\#\)\(^14\)\(^-\)\(^16\) We excluded children hospitalized ≤14 days before the study ED visit to exclude possible hospital-acquired pneumonia. Children with immunocompromising or chronic medical conditions known to predispose to severe or recurrent pneumonia (eg, immunodeficiency, chronic corticosteroid use, cystic fibrosis, chronic lung disease, malignancy, sickle cell disease, congenital heart disease, tracheostomy, and neuromuscular disorders) were not included, nor were children with a history of aspiration or aspiration pneumonia. Patients who were enrolled within 30 days before the study ED visit were excluded to ensure a distinct episode of infection.

**Study Procedures**

After informed consent, trained research coordinators recorded demographic, historical, and examination findings. After their examination, the treating clinician was asked about their clinical impressions, including probability of the child developing severe complications of CAP. Responses were recorded on a standardized case report form. At the end of the ED visit, the treating clinicians were asked to complete questions regarding disposition and their final clinical impressions after all data were considered. Severity outcomes occurring after the ED visit were assessed through abstraction from the electronic health record and manual record review.

**Measurements and Outcomes**

The primary exposure variable for this analysis was the treating clinician’s gestalt after reviewing the patient history, laboratory results, and imaging findings, as measured in 2 ways. First, for patients with CXR findings suspicious for radiographic pneumonia, clinicians were asked to “estimate the probability they will develop severe disease or complications of pneumonia.” Categorical response options included <1%, 1% to 5%, 5% to 10%, 10% to 25%, 25% to 50%, 50% to 75%, or 75% to 100%. Clinicians were provided a definition of “severe disease or complications” that included respiratory failure (with or without intubation), empyema or effusion, lung abscess or necrosis, disseminated infection to other sites (eg, osteomyelitis, meningitis), sepsis or septic shock, or death. Second, clinicians for all patients in CARPE DIEM, regardless of CXR findings, were asked, “What is your overall clinical impression of this participant?” with the response options of “mild,” “moderate,” “severe,” and “very severe.” These questions were asked at the completion of the ED visit when the clinician had all clinical, laboratory, and imaging data available to them.

The primary outcome for this study was the development of severe...
disease or complications, defined identically as presented to the ED clinician (i.e., respiratory failure [with or without intubation], empyema or effusion, lung abscess or necrosis, disseminated infection to other sites, sepsis or septic shock, or death). Participants with any of these outcomes at the time of the ED visit were excluded from this analysis.

Our secondary outcome was a composite outcome representing increasing disease severity occurring within 7 days of the study ED visit as a 4-tiered ordinal variable: mild, moderate, severe, and very severe disease. Mild disease was defined as discharge from the ED without return for hospitalization within 7 days. Moderate disease was defined as those hospitalized on initial visit or after revisit within 7 days but not meeting severe or very severe criteria. Severe disease was classified as hospitalization with at least 1 of the following: at least 1 intravenous (IV) fluid bolus, continuous IV fluids for $>12$ hours, supplemental oxygen, broadening of antibiotics from aminopenicillin to any other antibiotic class, complicated pneumonia (moderate-large pleural effusion, metastatic infection associated with pneumonia, lung abscess, or lung necrosis), or presumed sepsis (systemic inflammatory response syndrome with receipt of antibiotics and $\geq 40$ mL/kg of IV bolus fluid). Very severe disease required at least 1 of the following: treatment in the ICU, positive-pressure ventilation (including continuous positive-pressure ventilation, bilevel positive-pressure ventilation, or endotracheal intubation with mechanical ventilation), vasoactive infusions, chest drainage, extracorporeal membrane oxygenation, severe sepsis or septic shock (using validated *International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision* diagnosis codes), or death$^{17,18}$.

**Statistical Analysis**

The association of the ED clinician's predicted probability of complications and proportions of patients at each risk threshold who developed the primary outcome of severe complications was compared by using Fisher's exact test with $P<.05$ accepted for statistical significance. Each reported predicted risk threshold was used as a dichotomous cutoff (above or below the reported threshold), for which sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), and negative predictive value (NPV) were calculated. A receiver operator characteristic curve was calculated for the ability of clinical gestalt to discriminate those who go on to develop severe complications from those who do not.$^{19}$ The area under the receiver operator characteristic curve (AUC) was calculated. Additional analyses were performed, stratified by clinician years of experience posttraining ($\leq5$ or $>5$ years), patient age ($\leq5$ or $>5$ years old), and days of illness ($\leq4$ or $>4$ days). Statistical analyses were performed in Stata (release 16; Stata Corp, College Station, TX) and R statistical software (version 3.6.1; R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

**RESULTS**

**Cohort Characteristics**

Out of the 1142 children enrolled in CARPE DIEM, clinicians documented their overall impressions on 1075 children (Fig 1). Of those, predictions about severe complications of CAP, our primary outcome, were recorded for 634 who had findings on CXR suspicious for radiographic pneumonia. The median age was 3.3 years (interquartile range: 1.4–7.1) (Table 1). Most were boys (54.7%), and most had their race reported by parents or guardians as white (62.8%).
Most children with suspected CAP on CXR (n = 468; 73.8%) were given a predicted probability of <5% of developing severe complications by their treating ED clinician (Table 2). Of 634 children with suspected radiographic CAP, 37 (5.8%) experienced severe complications overall. Clinician gestalt was associated with the development of severe complications (P < .01); however, in those who developed complications, clinicians tended to underestimate risk. Of the 37 children who developed severe complications, most (n = 25; 67.6%) were initially estimated to have a ≤10% chance of developing such complications.

TABLE 1 Cohort Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient Characteristics</th>
<th>Overall Study Population (n = 1075, n (%))</th>
<th>Children With Suspected Radiographic CAP (n = 634, n (%))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>Median (IQR) 3.3 (1.4–7.1)</td>
<td>5.2 (1.7–7.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Male 588 (54.7)</td>
<td>347 (54.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female 487 (45.3)</td>
<td>267 (45.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td>White 675 (62.8)</td>
<td>410 (64.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Black 325 (30.2)</td>
<td>180 (28.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other 75 (7.0)</td>
<td>44 (6.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease severity</td>
<td>Mild 517 (48.1)</td>
<td>246 (38.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moderate 243 (22.6)</td>
<td>147 (23.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Severe 242 (22.5)</td>
<td>191 (30.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Very severe 73 (6.8)</td>
<td>50 (7.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disposition</td>
<td>Discharge from the hospital 530 (49.3)</td>
<td>255 (40.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Admit to floor 498 (46.3)</td>
<td>348 (54.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Admit to ICU 47 (4.4)</td>
<td>31 (4.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IQR, interquartile range.

Predicting Severe Complications

Overall, physicians were more accurate when predicted probability was very low. For example, of those children with predicted risk of 1% to 5%, 5.8% experienced severe complications, but when predicted risk was 50% to 75%, 37.5% experienced severe complications. When examining test characteristics of various thresholds of predicted risk, clinicians predicting <1% probability of severe complications demonstrated high sensitivity and NPV (Table 3). At all remaining thresholds, sensitivity was poor to fair. Clinicians demonstrated high specificity of >90% at risk thresholds of 10% or greater. More experienced clinicians had slightly improved sensitivity for severe complications, with similar specificity. The overall AUC was 0.747, with an AUC of 0.693 for clinicians with ≤5 years of experience and 0.82 for those with >5 years of experience (Fig 2). Results did not change by patient age or illness duration.

Predicting Disease Severity

When asked their overall clinical impression, no clinician classified children as very severe. Children classified as severe by gestalt (n = 25) were likely to develop severe disease (n = 6; 24%) or very severe disease (n = 16; 64%) (Supplemental Table 4). In children classified as mild (n = 617), few children developed severe (n = 58; 9.4%) or very severe (n = 5; 0.8%) disease. Whereas physicians were more accurate at predicting disease severity in children classified as mild and severe by gestalt, accuracy was lower for those classified as moderate. Of the 433 children classified as moderate by gestalt, 10.6% developed only mild disease, whereas 53.1% developed severe or very severe disease. Of 242 children who developed severe disease, most (n = 178; 73.6%) were initially classified as moderate by gestalt. Similarly, out of the 73 children who developed very severe disease, most (n = 52; 71%) were initially classified as moderate by gestalt (Supplemental Fig 3).

DISCUSSION

In this prospective cohort study, although we found a significant association between clinician gestalt and disease severity in children with suspected CAP, ED clinicians had only fair ability to discriminate those who go on to develop complications from those who do not. More experienced clinicians had higher discriminatory capability over those with less experience. In predicting the development of severe complications, physicians were most sensitive when their predicted risk was <1%. As
predicted risk increased, sensitivity decreased substantially. Gestalt had higher specificity when predicted risk was higher than 10%. Gestalt was generally most accurate when the clinician classified the patient as either mild or severe. The greatest degree of misclassification occurred in those initially classified as moderate by gestalt.

Our results are comparable to the performance of clinician gestalt in several other disease processes, including acute coronary syndrome, sinusitis, and pulmonary embolism. Clinician gestalt has fair diagnostic accuracy in acute coronary syndrome, with an AUC of 0.75 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.72–0.79), and sinusitis with an AUC of 0.73 (95% CI: 0.67–0.80). Clinician gestalt revealed slightly better accuracy when diagnosing pulmonary embolism, with an AUC of 0.81 (95% CI: 0.78–0.84).

Few studies have been used to examine the role of clinician gestalt in predicting outcomes in CAP. In a study of adults with CAP, researchers found that clinician gestalt is a strong predictor of hospitalization, although clinicians tended to overestimate short-term mortality. In another study, rates of hospitalization of adults with CAP varied greatly among emergency physicians; the variation was not associated with differing clinical outcomes and could not be explained by objective data. In previous studies, researchers have also examined the accuracy of clinician gestalt in predicting the presence of radiographic pneumonia. In adults presenting to primary care with suspected CAP, CXR was most useful for those in whom the diagnosis was uncertain rather than those at the extremes of definitely having or not having pneumonia. In children, similar results were found, in which CXR was least helpful in altering management in children with high clinical suspicion for CAP; however, if the clinical suspicion was low or uncertain, a CXR did guide clinical management. When ED clinicians were asked to provide their predictions for the presence of radiographic pneumonia, similar to our study, they were most accurate at the extremes (very low suspicion or very high suspicion), whereas they tended to overestimate the presence of pneumonia when predicted risk was in the middle. Although these previous studies reveal similar results for the prediction of radiographic CAP, none were used to examine the ability of clinicians to prognosticate severe outcomes.

Our study revealed that clinician gestalt has fair ability to predict the development of complications of pneumonia. The NPV of clinician gestalt was high, suggesting that gestalt may be most useful for ruling out the development of complications. Although the NPV was high, the prevalence of severe complications was low. Sensitivity and specificity do not depend on disease prevalence. Sensitivity, although high at a risk threshold of <1%, drops off substantially as risk threshold moves to 5% and greater. Specificity increases when risk exceeds 10% to 25%. The combination of high sensitivity at very low risk thresholds and high specificity at high risk thresholds emphasizes the ability of clinician gestalt to effectively rule out complications at very low levels of predicted risk and to rule in at higher

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Threshold, %</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>PPV</th>
<th>NPV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All clinicians (N = 634)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>94.6</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>99.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>56.8</td>
<td>75.7</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>96.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>95.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;25</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>95.8</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>95.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;50</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>97.5</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>95.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;75</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>98.3</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>94.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinicians with 5 y of experience (n = 334; 52.7%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>90.9</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>97.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>77.3</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>92.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>91.8</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>91.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;25</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>95.9</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>90.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;50</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>96.9</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>90.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;75</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>97.9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>89.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinicians with &gt;5 y of experience (n = 282; 44.5%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>74.3</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>98.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>96.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;25</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>95.4</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>96.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;50</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>97.9</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>95.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;75</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>98.2</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>95.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All values presented as % (95% CI).
risk levels but also highlights the challenges of risk prediction when gestalt lies in a low-moderate category. In our study, 373 children, or 58.8% of our study population, had risks ranging from 1% to 25%. Therefore, this low-moderate risk group represents a significant proportion of children with CAP for whom clinician gestalt is not ideal.

Our results suggest that clinicians tend to underestimate CAP severity. Although 29.3% of children in our study developed severe disease or very severe disease, only 2.3% were classified as severe by clinician gestalt. A similar tendency for clinicians to underestimate the severity and prevalence of pneumonia has also been observed in previous studies. The tendency for clinicians to underestimate pneumonia complications may be related to the low overall prevalence of such complications because most children with pneumonia recover without any complications.

Furthermore, because pneumonia complications are rare, clinicians may have more difficulty identifying the patterns of illness associated with these complications.

With our study, we found that experienced physicians may be more accurate at prognosticating children evaluated for CAP using gestalt than less experienced physicians. Sensitivity, PPV, NPV, and the AUC are all slightly higher in those with more experience, although CIs overlapped. Clinician gestalt depends on clinicians recognizing patterns of disease and making generalizations that allow transfer from one case to another. In previous studies, researchers have shown that clinician experience does improve diagnostic accuracy because experienced clinicians have better pattern recognition skills developed over time than their counterparts with fewer years of clinical experience.

Risk thresholds and tolerance will vary by clinician. Although there are no established risk thresholds for complications in children with CAP, individual clinicians set their own acceptable risks while adjusting for patient characteristics. For instance, if clinicians were to set acceptable risk at 5%, discharging all patients with a <5% chance of developing complications, by gestalt, would result in discharge of 78.1% of patients, of whom 3.4% would develop complications. A more rigorous cutoff, at <1%, would discharge 37.7% of patients, of whom 0.9% would develop complications. Further study is warranted to examine how individual clinicians respond to various risk thresholds.

Overall, clinicians in our study had only fair ability to discriminate those children developing complications from those who did not (AUC 0.747), with worse performance in those clinicians with less experience (AUC 0.693). Consistent with recommendations of key areas for future research in national pediatric CAP guidelines, our results suggest that there is a need for evidence-based clinical prediction rules to assist with risk stratification. Formal clinical prediction rules would be particularly helpful when clinical gestalt is less accurate, as in the case...
of moderate disease or for less experienced clinicians, who are refining their clinical reasoning. Our results suggest that all clinicians could benefit from the use of clinical prediction rules to augment their clinical gestalt.\textsuperscript{31}

Our study has several limitations. First, few patients in our sample developed very severe disease or complications. This finding, consistent with other studies, emphasizes the need for tools to assist with identifying the rare patient with severe outcomes from a larger pool of patients who generally have a mild disease course. Second, because our study did not track the decision-making process of the clinicians, we cannot provide insight into how gestalt is generated for each patient. Third, our secondary outcome, namely, disease severity, was not explicitly defined to the clinicians before the study, and criteria for each disease category used in our analysis may have differed from the criteria used by individual clinicians during our study. Fourth, sicker patients likely received aggressive treatment, and many potential complications may have been forestalled by emergent medical care. Finally, our study was conducted in the ED of an urban pediatric tertiary care center. The findings may not be generalizable to other settings.

CONCLUSIONS
Although an association exists between clinician gestalt and disease severity in pediatric CAP, ED clinicians generally have only fair ability to differentiate those children who go on to develop severe complications from those who do not. Clinician gestalt was highly sensitive when predicted risk was very low and more specific when predicted risk was higher. Clinicians generally did not perform well at predicting outcomes in those with low-moderate predicted risk, which accounts for a substantial proportion of children with CAP. There is thus a need to develop evidence-based clinical decision rules to supplement clinical judgment, particularly for cases in which risk may be unclear or as newer clinicians are developing their clinical acumen.
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ABBREVIATIONS
AUC: area under the receiver operator characteristic curve
CAP: community-acquired pneumonia
CARPE DIEM: Catalyzing Ambulatory Research in Pneumonia Etiology and Diagnostic Innovations in Emergency Medicine
CCHMC: Cincinnati Children’s Hospital Medical Center
CI: confidence interval
CXR: chest radiograph
ED: emergency department
IV: intravenous
NPV: negative predictive value
PPV: positive predictive value
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