

# Disparities in Neonatal Intensive Care: Context Matters

Wanda D. Barfield, MD, Shanna Cox, MSPH, Zsakeba T. Henderson, MD

Medical innovation in the care of premature and high-risk newborns has contributed to substantial declines in infant mortality in the United States over the past several decades; however, racial and ethnic disparities, particularly for African American and American Indian and/or Alaskan native infants persist.<sup>1,2</sup> For many, it has been assumed that care in the NICU is consistently of high quality and is delivered equitably.<sup>3</sup> In this issue of *Pediatrics*, researchers challenge the long-held assumption that NICU care is the great equalizer for improving infant health.

In a systematic review of the literature on various components of NICU care, Sigurdson et al<sup>4</sup> assessed publications for racial and/or ethnic disparities in structural (eg, setting), process (eg, patient referral), and outcome (morbidity and mortality) quality measures. In general, the authors found differential receipt of or access to various aspects of care. Infants born to African American mothers are more likely to be delivered in hospitals that are of poorer quality, that serve a higher proportion of African American infants, and that experience significantly higher patient-to-nurse ratios. Disparities in process measures included lower rates of high-risk early-intervention referral and differential reports in receipt of compassionate and respectful parental communication. The introduction of new interventions, such as surfactant use, was more rapidly diffused among white infants, increasing the gap in infant survival rates. Outcome quality measures were

also generally poorer among African American infants and were influenced by pathways of care (eg, timely transplant). Yet these findings are not surprising given that clinical care operates in a social context of structural racism and implicit bias.<sup>5</sup> In fact, many of these same disparities are echoed in the delivery of maternal care.<sup>6</sup>

The evidence in this review supports the idea that these disparities are amenable to quality-improvement efforts. Some studies revealed that when care is received in facilities with comparable levels of care and quality, infant survival for those of color is equal to that for white infants, and in some cases is higher.<sup>4</sup> A few key actions may advance the improvement of NICU care for all infants, including for traditionally vulnerable groups.

## ACTIONS

1. Dispel the myths of an inherent advantage by African American premature infants. The perception that an individual infant may have an advantage of survival on the basis of population measures does a disservice to that individual patient and may bias the care delivered.
2. Approach quality improvement with a lens toward health equity. The Institute of Medicine, in its 2001 report, *The 1st Annual Crossing the Quality Chasm Summit: A Focus on Communities*, described 6 aims for quality improvement, including care that is equitable.<sup>7</sup> Communities and

*Division of Reproductive Health, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia*

Opinions expressed in these commentaries are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the American Academy of Pediatrics or its Committees.

The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2019-1688>

Accepted for publication May 22, 2019

Address correspondence to Wanda D. Barfield, MD, Division of Reproductive Health, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 4770 Buford Highway, Mail Stop F-74, Atlanta, GA 30341. E-mail: [wjb5@cdc.gov](mailto:wjb5@cdc.gov)

PEDIATRICS (ISSN Numbers: Print, 0031-4005; Online, 1098-4275).

Copyright © 2019 by the American Academy of Pediatrics

**FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE:** The authors have indicated they have no financial relationships relevant to this article to disclose.

**FUNDING:** No external funding.

**POTENTIAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST:** The authors have indicated they have no potential conflicts of interest to disclose.

**COMPANION PAPER:** A companion to this article can be found online at [www.pediatrics.org/cgi/doi/10.1542/peds.2018-3114](http://www.pediatrics.org/cgi/doi/10.1542/peds.2018-3114).

**To cite:** Barfield WD, Cox S, Henderson ZT. Disparities in Neonatal Intensive Care: Context Matters. *Pediatrics*. 2019;144(2):e20191688

health systems can commit to the highest standard of care for all patients, ensuring that minority-serving hospitals are included in quality-improvement initiatives such as state perinatal quality collaboratives. Requiring all hospitals in a state to participate in these initiatives is a strategy for equitable diffusion. Patient and family engagement in quality improvement can help strengthen implementation with community voices and lived experience.<sup>8</sup>

3. Identify measures that provide a better understanding of both the type and quality of care. This means including measures such as timing of effective interventions (eg, time to surfactant use), parental satisfaction, and comprehension of care. Comparisons within and among facilities can inform targets and best practices for improving quality of care.
4. To reduce infant mortality and disparities, ensure that all infants receive care in risk-appropriate facilities.<sup>9</sup> This includes perinatal regionalization and referral plans for neonatal levels of care to ensure that infants receive care in the setting best suited to address their needs.
5. Research can be used to identify the drivers of disparities, as well as solutions, to ensure health equity. Work by Callaghan et al<sup>1</sup> revealed that 70% of the reduction in infant mortality was due to improvements in gestational age-specific survival rates and that 30% was due to reductions in preterm birth rates. Moreover, the relative contribution of improved gestational age-specific survival was not as great for Hispanic and non-Hispanic African American

women as it was for non-Hispanic white women. This suggests that to the degree that improvements in care were important, these improvements were not realized by all. Continued research will identify opportunities for change and improvement.

It is important to consider the implementation of quality-improvement efforts to ensure that they do not increase disparities.<sup>10</sup> Stratification of outcomes by race and/or ethnicity or other demographic factors is important to demonstrate improvements among groups at the highest risk for poor outcomes. Quality improvement for adult patient care has revealed, when implemented with a specific eye on “closing the gap,” that disparities can be reduced.<sup>11,12</sup> We owe the infants of this country consistent high-quality care, no matter their skin color, and this article documents that there is work to be done.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Ms Martha Boisseau and Ms Sarah Foster for their review of the article.

#### REFERENCES

1. Callaghan WM, MacDorman MF, Shapiro-Mendoza CK, Barfield WD. Explaining the recent decrease in US infant mortality rate, 2007-2013. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2017;216(1):73.e1–73.e8
2. Stoll BJ, Hansen NI, Bell EF, et al; Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Neonatal Research Network. Trends in care practices, morbidity, and mortality of extremely preterm neonates, 1993-2012. *JAMA*. 2015;314(10):1039–1051
3. American Academy of Pediatrics Committee on Fetus and Newborn.

Levels of neonatal care. *Pediatrics*. 2012;130(3):587–597

4. Sigurdson K, Mitchell B, Liu J et al. Racial/ethnic disparities in neonatal intensive care: a systematic review. *Pediatrics*. 2019;144(1):e20183114
5. Bailey ZD, Krieger N, Agénor M, Graves J, Linos N, Bassett MT. Structural racism and health inequities in the USA: evidence and interventions. *Lancet*. 2017;389(10077):1453–1463
6. Howell EA, Zeitlin J. Improving hospital quality to reduce disparities in severe maternal morbidity and mortality. *Semin Perinatol*. 2017;41(5):266–272
7. Adams K, Greiner AC, Corrigan JM, eds; Institute of Medicine Committee on the Crossing the Quality Chasm: Next Steps Toward a New Health Care System. *The 1st Annual Crossing the Quality Chasm Summit: A Focus on Communities*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2004
8. Henderson ZT, Ernst K, Simpson KR, et al. The National Network of State Perinatal Quality Collaboratives: a growing movement to improve maternal and infant health. *J Womens Health (Larchmt)*. 2018;27(3):221–226
9. Lasswell SM, Barfield WD, Rochat RW, Blackmon L. Perinatal regionalization for very low-birth-weight and very preterm infants: a meta-analysis. *JAMA*. 2010;304(9):992–1000
10. Weinick RM, Hasnain-Wynia R. Quality improvement efforts under health reform: how to ensure that they help reduce disparities—not increase them. *Health Aff (Millwood)*. 2011;30(10):1837–1843
11. Sequist TD, Adams A, Zhang F, Ross-Degnan D, Ayanian JZ. Effect of quality improvement on racial disparities in diabetes care. *Arch Intern Med*. 2006;166(6):675–681
12. Sehgal AR. Impact of quality improvement efforts on race and sex disparities in hemodialysis. *JAMA*. 2003;289(8):996–1000

## Disparities in Neonatal Intensive Care: Context Matters

Wanda D. Barfield, Shanna Cox and Zsakeba T. Henderson

*Pediatrics* 2019;144;

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2019-1688 originally published online July 29, 2019;

### Updated Information & Services

including high resolution figures, can be found at:  
<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/144/2/e20191688>

### References

This article cites 11 articles, 3 of which you can access for free at:  
<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/144/2/e20191688#BIBL>

### Subspecialty Collections

This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the following collection(s):  
**Administration/Practice Management**  
[http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/administration:practice\\_management\\_sub](http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/administration:practice_management_sub)  
**Quality Improvement**  
[http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/quality\\_improvement\\_sub](http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/quality_improvement_sub)  
**Fetus/Newborn Infant**  
[http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/fetus:newborn\\_infant\\_sub](http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/fetus:newborn_infant_sub)  
**Neonatology**  
[http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/neonatology\\_sub](http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/neonatology_sub)

### Permissions & Licensing

Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at:  
<http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/Permissions.xhtml>

### Reprints

Information about ordering reprints can be found online:  
<http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/reprints.xhtml>

# American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN®



# PEDIATRICS®

OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS

## **Disparities in Neonatal Intensive Care: Context Matters**

Wanda D. Barfield, Shanna Cox and Zsakeba T. Henderson

*Pediatrics* 2019;144;

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2019-1688 originally published online July 29, 2019;

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:

<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/144/2/e20191688>

Pediatrics is the official journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. A monthly publication, it has been published continuously since 1948. Pediatrics is owned, published, and trademarked by the American Academy of Pediatrics, 345 Park Avenue, Itasca, Illinois, 60143. Copyright © 2019 by the American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 1073-0397.

## American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN®

