

## UNICEF Rebuttal to Claims Made in “The Tobacco Industry and Children’s Rights” Article

The article “The Tobacco Industry and Children’s Rights,” authored by van der Eijk et al,<sup>1</sup> contains serious inaccuracies and misrepresentations of both past engagement of the United Nations Children’s Fund (UNICEF) with the tobacco industry and UNICEF’s current advocacy for tobacco control. We were surprised and disappointed that UNICEF was not given any opportunity to respond to the allegations set out in the article before it was published, which would have been standard practice. With this letter, we therefore identify and rebut the most serious of these inaccuracies.

UNICEF’s corporate engagement guidelines, which were developed in 2001, codified a preexisting, organization-wide policy of not accepting funding or entering into partnership with tobacco manufacturers. This position was not revised or loosened in any way in 2003, and revisions to the guidelines in 2011 and 2016 did not soften UNICEF’s longstanding no-funding, no-partnership policy with the tobacco industry.

The authors reference a project document by the Eliminating Child Labor in Tobacco Growing Foundation (ECLT) published in 2003<sup>2</sup> as evidence of UNICEF’s engagement with ECLT. This document, developed without the involvement or knowledge of UNICEF, references UNICEF in a single sentence, “ILO/IPEC and UNICEF will be performing advisory functions.” UNICEF was not, in fact, involved in this project in an advisory or any other capacity. UNICEF’s interactions with ECLT have been focused on sharing information and increasing awareness about child rights issues related to the industry’s supply chain. This engagement is entirely in line with UNICEF’s corporate engagement guidelines and longstanding

programmatic and advocacy work, under which the organization does not refrain from sharing information, promoting tools, or making technical recommendations that may be important to identify, prevent, address, and remediate child rights violations resulting from specific business practices.

The authors’ allegations that UNICEF received funding from tobacco manufacturers are also unfounded. UNICEF did not receive any funding from Philip Morris International (PMI) or Japan Tobacco International (JTI).

UNICEF is actively engaged with our government partners around the world in efforts to reduce tobacco-related harm to children. These efforts, in countries including, for example, Bhutan, Brazil, Burundi, China, Mongolia, Namibia, South Africa, Thailand, Uganda, and Zambia, are primarily focused on substance abuse prevention and education programming. UNICEF has also been an active member of the United Nations’ Interagency Task Force on the Prevention and Control of Noncommunicable Diseases, which works on tobacco control at the global level, since the Task Force was created in 2013.

Because UNICEF, unfortunately, was offered no opportunity to comment on the article before it was published and thus had no opportunity to correct these inaccuracies in advance, I must ask that you publish this letter in its entirety.

Stefan S. Peterson  
Chief of Health Section, Associate Director,  
Programme Division, UNICEF  
E-mail: speterson@unicef.org

**CONFLICT OF INTEREST:** The author has indicated he has no potential conflicts of interest to disclose.

### REFERENCES

1. van der Eijk Y, Bialous SA, Glantz S. The tobacco industry and children’s rights. *Pediatrics*. 2018;141(5):e20174106

2. Eliminating Child Labour in Tobacco Growing Foundation. *ECLT Foundation Program in the Philippines with the Department of Labor and Employment (DOLE) 2003–2005*. Geneva, Switzerland: Eliminating Child Labour in Tobacco Growing Foundation; 2003

doi:10.1542/peds.2018-1783A

## Authors’ Response

In our article,<sup>1</sup> we recognized that UNICEF does important work to support children’s rights and that it played an important role in tobacco control when the World Health Organization Framework Convention on Tobacco Control was being negotiated.

At the same time, the article reveals that UNICEF is not immune to tobacco industry targeting. Tobacco companies carefully orchestrate their attempts to infiltrate organizations, often in a covert manner through third parties and front groups, to minimize awareness within the organization that they are being targeted by tobacco companies. They also use the good reputation of United Nations (UN) agencies to bluishwash<sup>2</sup> their image, often without the consent of these organizations.

Peterson<sup>3</sup> states that UNICEF was not involved in or aware of the 2003 ECLT project although ECLT claims that UNICEF had an advisory function to this project.<sup>4</sup> ECLT again claimed UNICEF was part of a working group in a 2005–2009 project in Kyrgyzstan. At the very least, this situation reveals that ECLT was using UNICEF’s good reputation to promote its ECLT work and thereby the interests of the tobacco companies that created ECLT. This is, at a minimum, an example of “bluishwashing.”

According to Peterson, we make unfounded claims that UNICEF received funding from PMI and JTI. However, according to UNICEF Kazakhstan’s 2010 annual report<sup>5</sup> (cited in our article), UNICEF engaged with PMI, which resulted in a proposal

**UNICEF Rebuttal to Claims Made in "The Tobacco Industry and Children's Rights" Article**

Stefan S. Peterson

*Pediatrics* 2018;142;

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2018-1783A originally published online September 28, 2018;

**Updated Information & Services**

including high resolution figures, can be found at:  
<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/142/4/e20181783A>

**References**

This article cites 1 articles, 1 of which you can access for free at:  
<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/142/4/e20181783A#BIBL>

**Subspecialty Collections**

This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the following collection(s):  
**International Child Health**  
[http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/international\\_child\\_health\\_sub](http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/international_child_health_sub)  
**Substance Use**  
[http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/substance\\_abuse\\_sub](http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/substance_abuse_sub)  
**Smoking**  
[http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/smoking\\_sub](http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/smoking_sub)

**Permissions & Licensing**

Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at:  
<http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/Permissions.xhtml>

**Reprints**

Information about ordering reprints can be found online:  
<http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/reprints.xhtml>

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN®



# PEDIATRICS<sup>®</sup>

OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS

## **UNICEF Rebuttal to Claims Made in "The Tobacco Industry and Children's Rights" Article**

Stefan S. Peterson

*Pediatrics* 2018;142;

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2018-1783A originally published online September 28, 2018;

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:

<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/142/4/e20181783A>

Pediatrics is the official journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. A monthly publication, it has been published continuously since 1948. Pediatrics is owned, published, and trademarked by the American Academy of Pediatrics, 345 Park Avenue, Itasca, Illinois, 60143. Copyright © 2018 by the American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 1073-0397.

## American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN<sup>®</sup>

