

to excellent glycemic control, such as careful monitoring of blood glucose (blood sugar) levels, meticulous attention to insulin administration, vigilant exercise management, etc, which can confuse or confound attribution of the VLCD to glycemic outcomes. Nutrition guidance for patients and families living with type 1 diabetes must be made on the basis of appropriate scientific evidence, not on what more closely resembles testimonials. We agree with the authors that VLCDs may confer benefits for some patients with type 1 diabetes and that rigorous science is needed on this topic. The problem we now face is that it is far too easy for the potential benefits and safety of VLCDs to be publicized broadly on the basis of this report because although findings were definitive, they could be used to potentially mislead the public and add to the substantial confusion that exists around whether VLCDs should be used in type 1 diabetes. Promulgating such methodologically weak although enticing data broadly through the media creates a risk that patients or providers may pursue such plans without adequate insulin adjustment, resulting in serious issues with hypoglycemia as well as risk for nutritional deficiencies without adequate monitoring because of the substantially reduced intake of fruits and vegetables while on the VLCD.^{3,4}

Elizabeth J. Mayer-Davis
Chair, Department of Nutrition, University of
North Carolina at Chapel Hill
E-mail: mayerdav@email.unc.edu

Lori M. Laffel
Chief, Pediatric, Adolescent, and Young Adult
Section, Joslin Diabetes Center, Harvard
Medical School, Harvard University

John B. Buse
Chief, Division of Endocrinology and
Metabolism, Department of Medicine,
University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

CONFLICT OF INTEREST: The authors have indicated they have no potential conflicts of interest to disclose.

REFERENCES

1. O'Connor A. How a low-carb diet might aid people with type 1 diabetes. *New York Times*. May 7, 2018. Available at: <https://www.nytimes.com/2018/05/07/well/live/low-carb-diet-type-1-diabetes.html>
2. Lennerz BS, Barton A, Bernstein RK, et al. Management of type 1 diabetes with a very low-carbohydrate diet. *Pediatrics*. 2018;141(6):e20173349
3. Smart CE, Annan F, Bruno LP, Higgins LA, Acerini CL; International Society for Pediatric and Adolescent Diabetes. ISPAD Clinical Practice Consensus Guidelines 2014. Nutritional management in children and adolescents with diabetes. *Pediatr Diabetes*. 2014;15(suppl 20):135–153
4. Evert AB, Boucher JL, Cypress M, et al. Nutrition therapy recommendations for the management of adults with diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2014;37(suppl 1):S120–S143

doi:10.1542/peds.2018-1536B

Authors' Response

Mayer-Davis and colleagues criticize the professional and media attention to our study, but we do not think that the suppression of information about a novel treatment of type 1 diabetes is in the public interest.

For decades, the professional diabetes establishment focused almost exclusively on drug and technology development to the neglect of research into nutritional therapies. Unfortunately, the management of type 1 diabetes remains suboptimal, placing many at increased risk for life-threatening complications.

In our study, we document exceptional glycemic control, low rates of complications, and high patient satisfaction among a community of children and adults following a VLCD. In our study design, we included an extensive review of medical records and a survey of diabetes medical care providers to confirm diagnoses and validate reported data.

The study was observational, and we fully acknowledged the limitations of

this design in our article. But to document a phenomenon that is not thought possible by many diabetes professionals, this design is an appropriate next step. The estimates by Drs Mayer-Davis, Laffel, and Buse regarding potential selection bias may be exaggerated because a significant number of members in the social media community were likely not active or did not have type 1 diabetes themselves.

In any event, those at *Pediatrics* considered the findings of sufficient importance to commission an accompanying commentary.¹

The American Diabetes Association considered our study of sufficient merit to publish a DiabetesPro SmartBrief.²

The *New York Times* coverage was balanced, including opinions from 2 highly regarded diabetes experts with no role in the study.³ In that article, we urged caution, saying “because our study was observational, the results should not, by themselves, justify a change in diabetes management.”

Of special significance, reader comments on the *New York Times* article included hundreds of testimonials from people with type 1 diabetes who overwhelmingly reported remarkable benefits from a low-carbohydrate diet that were often dismissed by their doctors.

Of course, media hyperbole can be a problem in any research area of interest to the public. Scientists, physicians, and public health experts are certainly within their rights to correct misleading stories. However, we should avoid selective enforcement against research that challenges (versus supports) conventional thinking. On that account, we would note that a relatively high-carbohydrate diet is actively promoted for people with type 1 diabetes despite the lack of any high-quality clinical trials revealing superiority.

One hundred years ago, before the discovery of insulin, a VLCD was considered the most effective treatment of diabetes, including type 1.^{4,5} Yet to this day, there have been no major government-funded studies of a VLCD in the management of diabetes. It sometimes takes patient activism to stimulate research into neglected treatments, and a VLCD for diabetes may be 1 such area. If the media attention surrounding our study helps stimulate that research, it will have done a public health service.

Additionally, Dr Bistrrian in his comment raises 2 valid points regarding our study. Relating to terminology, we agree that our acronym for a VLCD has been previously used for a very low-calorie diet as well, and this may cause confusion. For clarity, we would note that our participants consumed an isocaloric diet with an average of 36 g of carbohydrates per day. Also, per the approach specified by Dr Bernstein, they did not aim for ketosis. Because of the high protein content of this diet, participants may or may not have been in nutritional ketosis, depending on individual differences in metabolism, physical

activity level, and other variables (a conventional ketogenic diet typically limits dietary protein to $\leq 20\%$ of total energy).

Regarding the second point by Dr Bistrrian, we agree that a VLCD, by inducing nutritional ketosis (ketoacids ≤ 5 mEq/L), could theoretically increase risk for ketoacidosis (ketoacids ≥ 7 to 10 mEq/L). However, we did not observe evidence of increased rates of ketoacidosis in the study, nor did our review of the literature substantiate this concern. Conversely, it is also possible that for someone who maintains such low average glucose levels, as is typical with a VLCD, that rises in glucose levels would alert to impending ketoacidosis sooner than might be the case for someone on a convention diet, for whom substantial hyperglycemia may not be unusual. In any event, the issue warrants examination in clinical trials.

David S. Ludwig, MD, PhD
Physician Scientist, Boston Children's Hospital,
Harvard Medical School, Harvard University
E-mail: david.ludwig@childrens.harvard.edu

Belinda S. Lennerz, MD, PhD
Physician Scientist

CONFLICT OF INTEREST: Dr Ludwig received royalties from books on nutrition and obesity; Dr Lennerz has indicated she has no potential conflicts of interest to disclose.

REFERENCES

1. Runge C, Lee JM. How low can you go? Does lower carb translate to lower glucose? *Pediatrics*. 2018;141(6): e20180957
2. American Diabetes Association. Study links very low-carb diet to better glycemic control in diabetes. Available at: <http://www.smartbrief.com/branded/69D4A7D8-A96F-4F05-BADA-60B36C1366C2/340C674F-7786-4923-B582-C2925786DBBB>
3. O'Connor A. How a low-carb diet might aid people with type 1 diabetes. *New York Times*. May 7, 2018. Available at: <https://www.nytimes.com/2018/05/07/well/live/low-carb-diet-type-1-diabetes.html>
4. Newburgh LH, Marsh PL. The use of a high fat diet in the treatment of diabetes mellitus. *Arch Intern Med (Chic)*. 1921; 27(6):699–705
5. Henderson G. Court of last appeal. The early history of the high-fat diet for diabetes. *J Diabetes Metab*. 2016;7:696

doi:10.1542/peds.2018-1536C

Authors' Response

David S. Ludwig and Belinda S. Lennerz

Pediatrics 2018;142;

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2018-1536C originally published online July 31, 2018;

Updated Information & Services	including high resolution figures, can be found at: http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/142/2/e20181536C
References	This article cites 3 articles, 1 of which you can access for free at: http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/142/2/e20181536C#BIBL
Subspecialty Collections	This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the following collection(s): Endocrinology http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/endocrinology_sub Diabetes Mellitus http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/diabetes_mellitus_sub Nutrition http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/nutrition_sub
Permissions & Licensing	Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at: http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/Permissions.xhtml
Reprints	Information about ordering reprints can be found online: http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/reprints.xhtml

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN®



PEDIATRICS[®]

OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS

Authors' Response

David S. Ludwig and Belinda S. Lennerz

Pediatrics 2018;142;

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2018-1536C originally published online July 31, 2018;

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:

<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/142/2/e20181536C>

Pediatrics is the official journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. A monthly publication, it has been published continuously since 1948. Pediatrics is owned, published, and trademarked by the American Academy of Pediatrics, 345 Park Avenue, Itasca, Illinois, 60143. Copyright © 2018 by the American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 1073-0397.

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN[®]

