

Applying Evidence From Clinical Trials: Need for Pediatric Learning Health System Research

Kamila B. Mistry, PhD, MPH,^a Christopher B. Forrest, MD, PhD^b

Nationally, the lifetime and 12-month prevalences of adolescent major depressive disorder (MDD) have been reported to be 11.7% and 8.2%, respectively.^{1,2} Recent data have also shown increases in prevalence from 2004 to 2015.³ These trends, coupled with a limited availability of pediatric and adolescent mental health specialists, have resulted in an increased demand for frontline pediatric providers to manage depression. Clinical management involves the use of pharmacological and psychotherapy interventions. Decisions on the most appropriate treatment course for a specific patient are typically based on the biomedical knowledge base composed of research studies as well as the judicious application of clinical experience and wisdom.

Findings from randomized controlled trials (RCTs) that were rigorously performed and transparently reported in peer-reviewed medical journals are the most influential type of evidence, affecting both clinical decision-making and guideline development. The RCT has the reputation of being the gold standard for evidence generation because of its capacity to balance confounders (both measured and unmeasured) between groups, thereby strengthening the validity of treatment effect estimation. However, even this powerful study design has limitations of which practicing clinicians should be aware.^{4,5} Ideally, eligibility criteria for clinical trials should be narrow to ensure that observed effects can be

attributed to the treatment (internal validity) yet broad enough so that results can be generalized to as many patients as possible (external validity). For practicing clinicians, it is not enough to know whether a treatment works; it is also important to know for whom the treatment works and for whom it does not. Although this tension is not new in either adult or pediatric clinical research, it continues to be of critical clinical and scientific importance and should always be considered when applying clinical research studies to patients.

These trade-offs between internal and external validity are clearly illustrated by the findings of Blanco et al⁶ in the current issue. Using data from the National Comorbidity Survey Replication Adolescent Supplement, the authors implemented common eligibility criteria to estimate the proportions of all adolescents affected by MDD who would be included in a typical RCT. They found that 60% of affected youth would be excluded from pharmacological trials and 40% would be excluded from psychotherapy trials if common eligibility criteria were applied. These exclusions were often a consequence of co-occurring morbidities.⁶ However, practicing clinicians provide care in real-world settings in which the co-occurrence of mental and other health conditions is common, rendering much of the pediatric evidence base for MDD not applicable to a large share of the patients who need treatment.

^aAgency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Rockville, Maryland; and ^bChildren's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Opinions expressed in these commentaries are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the American Academy of Pediatrics or its Committees.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2017-3098>

Accepted for publication Sep 18, 2017

Address correspondence to Kamila B. Mistry, PhD, MPH, Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, 5600 Fishers Ln, Room #06N03, Rockville, MD 20857. E-mail: kamila.mistry@ahrq.hhs.gov

PEDIATRICS (ISSN Numbers: Print, 0031-4005; Online, 1098-4275).

Copyright © 2017 by the American Academy of Pediatrics

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE: The authors have indicated they have no financial relationships relevant to this article to disclose.

FUNDING: No external funding.

POTENTIAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST: The authors have indicated they have no potential conflicts of interest to disclose.

COMPANION PAPER: A companion to this article can be found online at www.pediatrics.org/cgi/doi/10.1542/peds.2016-1701.

To cite: Mistry KB and Forrest CB. Applying Evidence From Clinical Trials: Need for Pediatric Learning Health System Research. *Pediatrics*. 2017;140(6):e20173098

To fill the many gaps in the understanding of MDD management (and more generally, the pediatric knowledge base), a new approach for augmenting the conventional RCT is needed. We believe that the emerging field of learning health system research addresses this need. As defined by the National Academy of Medicine, a learning health system is any type of health care delivery system that combines research, data science, and quality improvement to yield knowledge as a byproduct of the patient-clinician interaction in real-world settings.⁷ A recently commissioned study by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality characterized the unique features of learning health system research and the competencies that investigators will need when conducting this type of scientific inquiry.⁸ The characteristics include research that is conducted in real-world settings, in which the researcher is embedded in the health system in which the work is being done and both patients and clinicians are engaged in the design and conduct of the study. RCTs in learning health systems typically have few patient selection criteria to produce results that are valid for a large majority of affected patients seen by practicing clinicians. This type of research has been called pragmatic because it produces practical information that can directly inform clinical decision-making.

Additionally, in 2014, the Patient-Centered Outcomes Research (PCOR) Institute launched PCORnet, which is aimed at creating a sustainable national infrastructure that would support pragmatic observational research and clinical trials.⁹ PEDSnet, a PCORnet network with data on >5.4 million children and youth, serves as a model pediatric learning health system that purposefully integrates research and improvement

as part of 1 system of care.¹⁰ The learning health system model does more than just leverage big data for large clinical trials; it also engages a distributed network of stakeholders in working collaboratively to generate meaningful research questions and the evidence needed to rapidly learn what works for each patient.

As Blanco et al⁶ remind us, the need to fill the gaps in the pediatric knowledge base has become increasingly acute. The applicability of findings to routine clinical care remains an important and vital goal as the development of new, evidence-based treatments continues. New learning health system models serve as emerging solutions in which patients, clinicians, researchers, and health systems collaborate to conduct pragmatic research in real-world settings. Although learning health systems provide a path forward for producing data that can support decision-making on the frontlines of care, access to high-quality mental health services for children and youth must also continue to be a national priority.

ABBREVIATIONS

MDD: major depressive disorder
 PCOR: Patient-Centered Outcomes Research
 RCT: randomized controlled trial

REFERENCES

1. Merikangas KR, He JP, Burstein M, et al. Lifetime prevalence of mental disorders in U.S. adolescents: results from the National Comorbidity Survey Replication–Adolescent Supplement (NCS-A). *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2010;49(10):980–989
2. Kessler RC, Avenevoli S, Costello EJ, et al. Prevalence, persistence,

and sociodemographic correlates of DSM-IV disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication Adolescent Supplement. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2012;69(4):372–380

3. Bose J, Hedden SL, Lipari RN, et al. Key Substance use and mental health indicators in the United States: results from the 2015 National Survey on Drug Use and Health (HHS Publication No. SMA 16-4984, NSDUH Series H-51). 2016. Available at <https://www.samhsa.gov/data/sites/default/files/NSDUH-FFR1-2015/NSDUH-FFR1-2015/NSDUH-FFR1-2015.htm>. Accessed September 13, 2017
4. Bothwell LE, Greene JA, Podolsky SH, Jones DS. Assessing the gold standard—lessons from the history of RCTs. *N Engl J Med*. 2016;374(22):2175–2181
5. Frieden TR. Evidence for health decision making—beyond randomized, controlled trials. *N Engl J Med*. 2017;377(5):465–47
6. Blanco C, Hoertel N, Franco S, et al. Generalizability of clinical trial results for adolescent major depressive disorder. *Pediatrics*. 2017;140(6):e20161701
7. Smith M, Saunders R, Stuckhardt L, McGinnis JM, eds. *Best Care at Lower Cost: The Path to Continuously Learning Health Care in America*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2013
8. Forrest CB, Chesley FD Jr, Tregear ML, Mistry KB. Development of the learning health system researcher core competencies [published online ahead of print August 4, 2017]. *Health Serv Res*. doi:10.1111/1475-6773.12751
9. Fleurence RL, Curtis LH, Califf RM, Platt R, Selby JV, Brown JS. Launching PCORnet, a national patient-centered clinical research network. *J Am Med Inform Assoc*. 2014;21(4):578–582
10. Forrest CB, Margolis P, Seid M, Colletti RB. PEDSnet: how a prototype pediatric learning health system is being expanded into a national network. *Health Aff (Millwood)*. 2014;33(7):1171–1177

Applying Evidence From Clinical Trials: Need for Pediatric Learning Health System Research

Kamila B. Mistry and Christopher B. Forrest

Pediatrics 2017;140;

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2017-3098 originally published online November 2, 2017;

Updated Information & Services	including high resolution figures, can be found at: http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/140/6/e20173098
References	This article cites 8 articles, 2 of which you can access for free at: http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/140/6/e20173098#BIBL
Subspecialty Collections	This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the following collection(s): Medical Education http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/medical_education_sub Research Methods & Statistics http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/research_methods_-_statistics_sub Adolescent Health/Medicine http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/adolescent_health:medicine_sub
Permissions & Licensing	Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at: http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/Permissions.xhtml
Reprints	Information about ordering reprints can be found online: http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/reprints.xhtml

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN®



PEDIATRICS[®]

OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS

Applying Evidence From Clinical Trials: Need for Pediatric Learning Health System Research

Kamila B. Mistry and Christopher B. Forrest

Pediatrics 2017;140;

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2017-3098 originally published online November 2, 2017;

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:

<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/140/6/e20173098>

Pediatrics is the official journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. A monthly publication, it has been published continuously since 1948. Pediatrics is owned, published, and trademarked by the American Academy of Pediatrics, 345 Park Avenue, Itasca, Illinois, 60143. Copyright © 2017 by the American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 1073-0397.

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN[®]

