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BACKGROUND: Although the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) growth charts are widely used in studies of childhood obesity, BMI z scores are known to be inaccurate at values greater than the 97th percentile.

METHODS: We used longitudinal data from 6994 children in the Bogalusa Heart Study who were examined multiple times to compare tracking of 3 BMI metrics: BMI-for-sex/age z score (BMIz), BMI expressed as a percentage of the 95th percentile (%BMIp95), and levels of BMI z score that adjust for the compression of very high z scores (adjusted z score [BMiaz]). The later 2 metrics, unlike BMIz, do not have an upper limit. The mean interval between examinations was 2.8 years. We were particularly interested in these metrics among children with obesity or severe obesity (%BMIp95 ≥120%).

RESULTS: Although there was little difference in the tracking of the 3 metrics in the overall sample, among 247 children with severe obesity, the correlation of BMIz levels between examinations (r = 0.46) was substantially weaker than those for BMiaz and %BMIp95 (r = 0.65 and 0.61). Age-stratified analyses indicated that the weak tracking of BMIz was particularly evident before the age of 10 years (r = 0.36 vs 0.57 and 0.60). Several children with severe obesity showed BMIz decreases between examinations despite having BMI increases of over 5.

CONCLUSIONS: Among children with severe obesity, the tracking of BMIz is weak. This is because of the constraints in converting very high BMIs into z scores based on the CDC growth charts. Rather than using BMIz, it would be preferable to express very high BMIs relative to the CDC 95th percentile or to use BMiaz.
The 2000 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) growth charts are widely used to classify obesity (BMI ≥95th percentile for a child’s sex and age) among 2- to 19-year-olds. In these growth charts, 10 percentiles of BMI between the third and 97th were estimated by using various smoothing methods. These percentiles were then used to derive 3 parameters (power transformation to achieve normality [L], median [M], and dispersion [S]) that allow for the calculation of BMI for-sex/age z scores (BMIz) and percentile for any child. However, the use of the LMS parameters in the CDC growth charts for very high BMIs can result in estimates that that differ substantially from those that are actually observed and constrains the maximum BMI that is attainable at a given sex and age. These limitations have resulted in the classification of severe obesity as a BMI ≥120% of the 95th percentile rather than a percentile greater than the 95th. A BMI of 120% of the 95th percentile corresponds to a BMI of ~35 among 16- to 18-year-olds.

Although investigators have emphasized the limitations of analyzing BMIz, many continue to focus on z scores among children with very high BMIs. We have previously shown that among children with severe obesity, BMI expressed as a percentage of the 95th percentile (%BMIp95) is a better measure of adiposity than are very high BMIz values. The objective of the current analyses is to compare the longitudinal tracking of several BMI metrics among children. We include data from 11,638 2- to 17-year-olds who were examined in the Bogalusa Heart Study between 1973 and 1994.

METHODS

Study Sample

The Bogalusa Heart Study examines the natural history of risk factors for cardiovascular disease in a biracial community (Ward 4 of Washington Parish, LA), with 7 cross-sectional studies of schoolchildren conducted from 1973 to 1974 through 1992 to 1994. The population of Washington Parish during that period was ~20,000, and, on average, the authors of each study examined ~3500 children. For simplicity, we refer to each study by using the year in which the examinations were first conducted.

The authors of the first (1973) study examined 5- to 14-year-olds, and the authors of subsequent studies extended the upper age through 17 years. Preschool-aged children (n = 714) were also examined in 1973. There were 27,212 examinations conducted among 11,665 children in these 7 studies. Informed consent was obtained from participants, and study protocols were approved by human subjects’ review committees.

For the current study, we excluded 95 records with missing data for weight or height and 23 records in which a girl reported being pregnant. We also excluded 18 records for which the body size measurements were considered to be implausible, on the basis of extreme values of the modified z scores for weight (≤−5 or >8 SDs), height (≤−5 or >4 SDs), or BMI (≤−4 or >8 SDs). We also excluded 13 children for whom height decreased by more than 1 inch between examinations and 8 records in which BMIz differed substantially from sex- and age-adjusted levels of both arm circumference and triceps skinfold, possibly indicating a transcription error. This resulted in a sample consisting of 27,060 examinations from 11,624 children for the analyses.

The sample for the longitudinal analyses includes 6977 children who were examined in 2 or more cross-sectional studies. Because of the panel design of the Bogalusa Heart Study, ~60% of the children who had severe obesity at one examination were not included in the longitudinal analyses because they were over 17 years of age at the time of the next examination, or they chose to not participate.

BMI Metrics

Height was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm and weight was measured to the nearest 0.1 kg; BMI was calculated as kilograms per meter squared. BMIz was calculated by expressing a child’s BMI relative to children in the CDC growth charts on the basis of the following formula. Values of L, M, and S varied according to a child’s sex and age.

\[
\text{z score} = \left( \frac{\text{BMIz} - L}{M} \right) \times S
\]

Because values of L ranged from −1.3 to −3.5, if a child’s BMI was large relative to the M BMI, (BMIz − M) would approach 0 and the maximum BMIz would therefore be (−1) / (L × S). For example, the L and S values for an 8-year-old boy are −3.2 and 0.10, respectively, resulting in an BMIz of 2.86 SDs. The maximum attainable BMIz for that sex and age, even if the BMI were >100, is 3.05 SDs.

Because of the upper limit of BMI-for-age results in the mapping of very high BMIz into a compressed range that varies by sex and age, we also examined the use of modified z scores. These modified z scores, which have been used to identify outliers, were calculated by dividing the difference between the M BMI and the observed BMI by a fixed SD (one-half of the distance between 0 and 2 z scores). For the current analyses, we replaced BMIz values that were ≤−1.88 or <1.88 (the 3rd and 97th percentiles) with these modified z scores; we referred to this metric as an adjusted z score (BMIaz). Levels of BMIz and BMIaz were identical between the 3rd and 97th percentiles.

Obesity is defined as a BMI ≥ the 95th percentile of the CDC growth charts. We referred to a BMI...
that is expressed as a percentage of the (sex- and age-specific) 95th percentile as %BMIp95, and severe obesity was defined as a %BMIp95 ≥120. For example, an 8-year-old boy (for whom the 95th percentile is 20.5) with a BMI of 25.3 would have a %BMIp95 of 124 (100 × 2.53/20.5), a BMIz of 2.3 SDs, and a BMIaz of 2.8 SDs. We referred to children who had a %BMIp95 between 100 and 119 as having moderate obesity.

**Statistical Methods**

All analyses were performed in R.24 Descriptions of various characteristics were contrasted across 3 categories (children without obesity, children who had moderate obesity, and those with severe obesity) on the basis of %BMIp95. Maximum values of BMIz, BMIaz, and %BMIp95 by sex and age group are illustrated.

We also examined that longitudinal tracking (correlation) between consecutive examinations, separated by at least 3 months, for the 6977 children who were examined multiple times; the number of examinations among these children ranged from 2 to 6 (mean: 3.7). For each child, we examined the longitudinal correlations for each BMI metric between consecutive examinations. For example, a child who was examined at ages 6, 9, and 12 years would contribute 2 observations for this analysis: the correlation between BMIs at ages 6 and 9 years, as well as that between ages 9 and 12 years. For these analyses of longitudinal correlations, we excluded 89 examinations that occurred within 3 months of the previous examination, resulting in a total of 15,303 pairs of observations.

It should be realized that because we focused on attained BMI in the longitudinal analyses, we used metrics that are based on growth charts derived from cross-sectional data. We did not assess the velocity of BMI change.25,26

**RESULTS**

Table 1 shows levels of various characteristics according to BMI status in the 27,016 examinations. Approximately 9% of the examined subjects did not have obesity at the time of examination, 7% had moderate obesity, and 2% had severe obesity (%BMIp95 ≥120). As compared with subjects who did not have obesity, those with severe obesity were older (mean difference: 10 months), tended to be examined in later years of the study, and were more likely to be African American. Among children with severe obesity, the mean BMI was 32, the mean BMIz was 2.4 SDs, and the mean BMIaz was +3.0 SDs. The lower value for mean BMIz among children with severe obesity reflects the compression of very high BMIs into a narrow range that is bounded.

Figure 1 shows the maximum values in the Bogalusa Heart Study for %BMIp95, BMIz, and BMIaz within sex and 2-year age groups. Although plots of maximum values are ragged, the maximum %BMIp95 value increased rapidly up to ~10 years of age, remained constant until age 15, and then decreased. In contrast, the highest BMIz (middle panel) value (3.4 SDs) was observed for a 2-year-old boy who had a BMI of 22 and a %BMIp95 of 120. Aside from this 1 child, the maximum BMIz generally increased up to ~8 years and then decreased. The maximum values of BMIaz (right panel) were typically 1.5 to 2 times higher than those for BMIz and more closely resembled the sex and age differences in %BMIp95 than BMIz. The highest BMIaz value (7.6 SDs) was seen for a 7-year-old boy who had a BMI of 35.6 and a %BMIp95 of 185; his BMIaz was 3.0 SDs.

We then examined the longitudinal tracking (Table 2) of the BMI metrics between examinations among the 15,303 pairs of examinations from the children who were examined multiple times. (The mean interval between examinations was 2.8 years.) Among all children (top rows), there were few differences among the metrics (r = 0.86–0.89). However, among children who had had obesity (N = 909) or severe obesity (N = 247) at the initial examinations, correlations for BMIz were consistently weaker than those for BMI, BMIaz, and %BMIp95. Among children with severe obesity, for example, correlations ranged from r = 0.47 (BMIz) to r = 0.65 (BMIaz).

**TABLE 1** Descriptive Characteristics According to Obesity Status for 27,060 Examinations in the Bogalusa Heart Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Children Without Obesity</th>
<th>Children With Moderate Obesity (%BMIp95 of 100–119)</th>
<th>Children With Severe Obesity (%BMIp95 ≥120)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N (examinations)</td>
<td>24,603</td>
<td>1804</td>
<td>609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girls, %</td>
<td>49 ± 1.3</td>
<td>49 ± 1.0</td>
<td>47 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African Americans, %</td>
<td>38 ± 0.3</td>
<td>36 ± 1.0</td>
<td>45 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>10.9 (7.9 to 13.8)</td>
<td>11.1 (8.6 to 13.6)</td>
<td>11.7 (8.2 to 14.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>17.3 (15.6 to 19.7)</td>
<td>25.4 (22.6 to 28.3)</td>
<td>32.0 (28.4 to 35.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMIz (SDs)</td>
<td>0.0 (−0.6 to 0.6)</td>
<td>1.9 (1.8 to 2.0)</td>
<td>2.4 (2.3 to 2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMIaz (SDs)</td>
<td>0.0 (−0.6 to 0.6)</td>
<td>1.8 (1.8 to 2.1)</td>
<td>3.0 (2.7 to 3.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%BMIp95, %</td>
<td>76.2 (69.6 to 83.6)</td>
<td>106.7 (102.8 to 112.3)</td>
<td>129.0 (123.7 to 136.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The 27,016 examinations were from 11,624 children.  
<sup>a</sup> Values of the continuous variables are M (25th and 75th percentiles).  
<sup>b</sup> Values of categorical variables are mean ± SE.
Age-stratified analyses (middle and bottom sections of Table 2) indicated that the tracking of BMI was particularly weak before age 10 years. Among the 114 children <10 years with severe obesity, the correlation between levels of BMI over time was only $r = 0.36$ versus $r = 0.57$ to 0.66 for the other metrics. Smaller differences were seen among older children with severe obesity, but BMI and BMI showed the weakest longitudinal correlations ($r = 0.57$–0.58), whereas stronger correlations were seen for %BMI$\pm$95 ($r = 0.64$) and BMI ($r = 0.67$).

These associations among the 247 children with severe obesity are further explored in Fig 2, with levels at the second examination (y-axis) plotted against those at the initial examination (x-axis). In contrast to the plots for BMI, BMIaz, and %BMI$\pm$95, the association for BMI was curvilinear, with the line becoming almost horizontal at very high levels of BMI at the first examination. In addition, most of the very high BMI values at the second examination were compressed into a relatively narrow range. Of the 23 children with the highest BMI levels at the initial examination ($\geq 2.75$ SDs), all showed a BMI decrease between examinations.

The points in Fig 2 labeled 1 through 4 show data from children who had discordant changes in the BMI metrics: a BMI decrease of more than 0.33 SDs but increases in %BMI$\pm$95 of more than 10 U. These 4 children were boys who were $< 6$ years of age at the initial examination and who had an initial BMI $\geq 2.9$ SDs. The initial BMIs of these 4 boys were $< 24.5$ and their BMI increases ranged from $+5.6$ (#3) to $+13.5$ (#2). The largest increase in %BMI$\pm$95 (#2) was from 127 (a BMI of 23 at age 5 years) to 153 (a BMI of 36.7 at age 11 years). Despite this very high BMI at age 11 years, this child’s BMI decrease by 0.34 SDs because of the upper bound of this metric. There was no change in this child’s BMI (4.1 SDs) between examinations.

**DISCUSSION**

Approximately 7% of 6- to 19-year-olds have a BMI $\geq 120\%$ of the CDC 95th percentile and are considered to have severe obesity. Although the report accompanying the CDC growth charts noted that extrapolation at values greater than the 97th percentiles (z score of 1.88) should be interpreted cautiously, BMI values remain widely used in analyses of children with extremely high BMI levels. Analyses of children with severe obesity have shown that very high BMI levels are only weakly ($r \leq 0.20$) associated with levels of circumferences and skinfolds. The current analyses reveal that, among children with obesity or severe obesity, BMI levels at one examination are less strongly associated with values measured 2.8 (mean) years later than are levels of BMI and %BMI$\pm$95. Among younger boys with severe obesity, we found that there could be decreases of more than 0.33 SDs, even if their BMIs increased by more than 5 and 10 years.

**TABLE 2 Longitudinal Correlations Between the Initial and Final Levels of the BMI Metrics Among 15,428 Pairs of Examinations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BMI Metric</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>BMI</th>
<th>BMIZ</th>
<th>BMIaz</th>
<th>%BMI$\pm$95</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All children</td>
<td>14,147</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesitya</td>
<td>909</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;10 y</td>
<td>7,448</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity</td>
<td>466</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\geq$10 y</td>
<td>6,689</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity</td>
<td>443</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values represent the correlation between consecutive levels of each BMI metric between ages 5 and 17.9 y. For children who were examined more than 2 times, each pair of adjacent examinations contributed to the analysis.

- Obesity is classified as a %BMI$\pm$95 $\geq 100$. Of the 1,156 children with obesity, 909 had moderate obesity (%BMI$\pm$95 of 100–119) and 247 had severe obesity (%BMI$\pm$95 $\geq 120$).

FIGURE 1

Maximum values of the BMI metrics among children in the Bogalusa Heart Study by sex and 2-year age groups.

VALUES OF THE BMI METRICS AMONG CHILDREN IN THE BogaLUSA HEART STUDY BY SEX AND 2-YEAR AGE GROUPS.
The differences among the BMI metrics in the current study largely result from the constraints of the LMS method. Although the growth charts for BMI originally consisted of 10 percentiles (3rd to 97th), a modification of the LMS procedure was applied to these smoothed percentiles so that the z score and percentile of any child could be calculated. On the basis of the LMS formula, the maximum attainable BMIz at a given sex and age is \((-1) \div (L \times S)\). Because the estimated values of L and S varied substantially by sex and age, there were corresponding differences in the maximum attainable BMIz. This resulted in very high BMIs being compressed into a narrow range of z scores that varied by sex and age.8,17

This z score attenuation was recognized by CDC, and a set of modified z scores was developed to aid in the identification of outliers.21 Because these modified z scores are based on a fixed SD (one-half of the distance between 0 and 2 z scores), they do not have an upper limit.21 The BMIaz values in the current analyses were constructed by substituting these modified z scores for LMS-estimated z scores that were \(-0.88\) (third percentile) or \(0.88\) (97th percentile). Our results revealed that, among children with severe obesity, the correlations between the BMI metrics over time were stronger for BMIaz \((r = 0.65)\) and \%BMIp95 \((r = 0.61)\) than for BMIz \((r = 0.47)\). A somewhat similar approach was used in the construction of z scores in the World Health Organization growth standards23 with the distance between 2 and 3 SDs extrapolated outwards for the calculation of z scores more extreme than \(\pm 3\) SDs.

Several of the limitations of BMIz are highlighted in Fig 2. The BMI of 1 boy, for example, increased by more than 13 (to 37) between the ages of 5 and 11 years, resulting in a large increase in \%BMIp95 but a 0.34 SD decrease in BMIz. Between ages 5 and 11 years, the maximum attainable BMIz value for boys in the CDC growth charts decreases from 4.1 to 3.1 SDs, compressing very high BMIs into a lower range of z scores. We have previously shown17 that the same BMIz value among children with severe obesity maps to substantially different levels of \%BMIp95, depending on the sex and age of the child. These differences can greatly complicate comparisons of high BMIz values between boys and girls and across ages.

Many of the limitations of expressing BMI values as z scores have been emphasized by the authors of previous studies,8,10–12 and it has been concluded that LMS-based z scores should not be used to assess BMI changes among children with very high BMIs. Although it is difficult to make a recommendation concerning the use of BMIaz versus \%BMIp95, the former may be useful in studies that include children who have a wide range of BMI values. Values of BMIz and BMIaz differ only at the tails of the distributions, with BMIaz values not being compressed into a bounded range. In contrast, when examining changes in body size among children with severe obesity, changes in \%BMIp95 might be the better metric.6 Our longitudinal analyses (Table 2) indicated that the longitudinal correlations for BMIaz were somewhat stronger than for \%BMIp95, but the differences were small. For some analyses, such as intervention studies, investigators could also consider using multilevel regression,32,33 in which sex and age are included as covariates, and focus on changes in BMI.

There are several limitations that should be considered when interpreting our results. Our longitudinal analyses were based on data collected in various cross-sectional studies, and, therefore, only children who participated in 2 or more studies were included. Furthermore, these data were collected, on average, almost 40 years ago, and the prevalence of severe obesity was much lower (2%) than the current estimate of \(~6\%).27 The lower prevalence of severe obesity in the current study may have reduced the magnitudes of the observed differences among the
BMI metrics than those we observed. It should also be realized that although the mean interval between examinations in the current study was 2.8 years (SD = 1.4), there was more than a 5-year interval for ~5% of the consecutive examinations. Furthermore, our results apply to attained levels of BMI, not to the velocity of BMI change. Although difficult to obtain,25,26,34,35 it would be useful if there were more information on longitudinal changes (velocity) in weight, height, and BMI over specified intervals among schoolchildren.

Extrapolated z scores based on the CDC growth charts are often used among children who have very high BMIs.14,28–30,36,37 However, these BMIz values should not be used among children with severe obesity because these values can differ substantially from the empirical estimates,6 have an effective upper limit,8 and are strongly influenced by sex and age. Focusing on BMIz in longitudinal studies may be particularly problematic because changes in this metric could simply reflect age differences in the L and S parameters rather than changes in body size. Rather than being expressed as LMS-based z scores, very high BMIs should be expressed as z scores on the basis of linear extrapolations of a fixed SD or as a percentage of the CDC 95th percentile. In longitudinal analyses, the use of multilevel models to adjust for sex and age could also be considered. In contrast to BMIz levels based on the CDC growth charts, these other BMI metrics will provide more accurate information on body size over time among children with very high BMIs.

**ABBREVIATIONS**

- %BMIp95: BMI expressed as a percentage of the 95th percentile
- BMIaz: adjusted z score
- BMIz: BMI-for-sex/age z score
- CDC: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
- L: power transformation to achieve normality
- M: median
- S: dispersion
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