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CONTEXT: Parents may experience psychological distress when a child is acutely hospitalized, which can negatively affect child outcomes. Interventions designed to support parents’ coping have the potential to mitigate this distress.

OBJECTIVE: To describe interventions designed to provide coping support to parents of hospitalized children and conduct a meta-analysis of coping support intervention outcomes (parent anxiety, depression, and stress).

DATA SOURCES: We searched Pubmed, Embase, PsycINFO, Psychiatry Online, and Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature from 1985 to 2016 for English-language articles including the concepts “pediatric,” “hospitalization,” “parents,” and “coping support intervention.”

STUDY SELECTION: Two authors reviewed titles and abstracts to identify studies meeting inclusion criteria and reviewed full text if a determination was not possible using the title and abstract. References of studies meeting inclusion criteria were reviewed to identify additional articles for inclusion.

DATA EXTRACTION: Two authors abstracted data and assessed risk of bias by using a structured instrument.

RESULTS: Initial searches yielded 3450 abstracts for possible inclusion. Thirty-two studies met criteria for inclusion in the systematic review and 12 studies met criteria for inclusion in the meta-analysis. The most commonly measured outcomes were parent depression, anxiety, and stress symptoms. In meta-analysis, combined intervention effects significantly reduced parent anxiety and stress but not depression. Heterogeneity among included studies was high.

LIMITATIONS: Most included studies were conducted at single centers with small sample sizes.

CONCLUSIONS: Coping support interventions can alleviate parents’ psychological distress during children’s hospitalization. More evidence is needed to determine if such interventions benefit children.
A child’s hospitalization is a source of anxiety, depression, and stress for parents. Better parent emotional and mental health is associated with better mental and physical health in children. If parents’ abilities to participate in a hospitalized child’s care are compromised by emotional distress, the child’s processes of care are likely to suffer. Interventions designed to provide support to parents of sick children can help parents experience lower stress and participate in a child’s medical care. In previous studies, family members of hospitalized patients report that they value education, efficient communication from hospital clinical teams, opportunities to experience empathy from clinicians or peers, and offers of assistance to help mitigate the disruption to family life caused by a hospitalization. Interventions designed to support parents in coping with the hospitalization via communication, empathy, education, concrete resources, or other means are well positioned to improve parents’ well-being during and after the child’s hospitalization.

Interventions to support parents’ emotional health have the potential to benefit children with physical illness requiring hospitalization. The authors of recent meta-analyses have examined coping support interventions for parents of children with chronic illness and NICU-based interventions to reduce maternal depression and anxiety. However, the literature describing interventions to support parents in coping with pediatric hospitalization and evidence of the effects of parent coping support interventions on outcomes have not been synthesized. Therefore, we conducted a systematic review to describe coping support interventions for parents of acutely hospitalized children and infants and a meta-analysis of the effects of such interventions on the 3 most commonly measured outcomes in the included studies: parent anxiety, depression, and stress.

**METHODS**

**Search Strategy**

We used the approach recommended in the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses guidelines to identify studies of interventions to provide cop ing support to parents of hospitalized children. We searched PubMed/Medline, Embase, PsycINFO, Psychiatry Online, and Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature from January 1, 1985, to October 27, 2016, using search terms organized around the following key concepts: “hospitalization,” “child,” “parent,” and “coping support intervention.” Each concept was mapped to its controlled vocabularies and keywords in databases, and search terms were combined to generate refined results. Reference lists of included studies were reviewed to identify additional studies for possible inclusion. Search terms for PubMed are outlined in the Supplemental Information. The systematic review protocol was registered with the PROSPERO International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (available at: http://www.crd.york.ac.uk/PROSPERO/display_record.asp?ID=CRD42015027326), registration number CRD42015027326. The Children’s Hospital of Philadelphia Institutional Review Board considered this study exempt from human subjects research review.

**Study Selection**

Two authors independently extracted the following relevant information from each study: design, geographic location, characteristics of hospitalized children, characteristics of parents, elements of study intervention, intervention delivery modality (eg, in person, video, or Web site), intervention duration, and outcomes. Study design was evaluated by using methodological criteria developed by Downs and Black, including measures of study reporting, external validity, internal validity, and risk of bias (but not including measures regarding study power because many studies had multiple outcomes). The highest possible Downs and Black point assignment was 26 points.
Meta-Analysis

RCTs in which validated measures were used to assess parent anxiety, depression, or stress symptom burden were eligible for inclusion in the meta-analysis. We measured effect sizes of interventions by using standardized mean differences (SMDs) between the intervention and comparison group for each outcome. For studies measuring outcomes at multiple time points, we selected the time closest to hospital discharge. Two studies examined intervention effects in mothers and fathers separately, and for those we included maternal and paternal data separately in the models and figures. For each of the 3 outcomes, we developed random effects models to calculate pooled effect estimates weighted for the inverse of the variance of the individual effects. Random effects models assume that effect sizes are representative of a distribution of effect sizes, and the pooled effect estimate from a random effects model represents the average of a distribution of effect sizes. We used $I^2$ statistics to assess the degree to which heterogeneity in estimated effect sizes is due to differences in intervention characteristics. A high $I^2$ statistic suggests that interventions have different effect sizes, whereas a lower $I^2$ statistic suggests that a larger proportion of the variation in effect sizes is attributable to random variation in observed effect sizes between interventions with similar effects. We used results of random effects models to create forest plots displaying pooled effect estimates weighted for each study’s sample size.

For all studies included in the random effects models and forest plots, we created funnel plots of the estimate of the intervention effect size generated in the random effects model against the SE of the effect size. Funnel plots provide a visual tool for investigating the tendency for smaller studies to show larger effect sizes. Asymmetry in a funnel plot can be seen in cases of publication bias, in which smaller studies without statistically significant effects remain unpublished, or in which true effect sizes are different between smaller and larger studies.

All analyses were completed by using Stata 13.0 (StataCorp, College Station, TX).

RESULTS

Characteristics of Included Studies

Initial searches yielded 3963 unique citations for potential inclusion in the full review. Review of references cited by the included studies identified 6 additional studies for possible inclusion. After removal of duplicates, 2 study authors reviewed titles and abstracts for 3450 unique studies. The authors who reviewed titles and abstracts identified 60 articles for full text review. On full text review, 28 studies did not meet inclusion criteria. Thirty-two articles met all inclusion criteria for the systematic review, and, of these, 12 met criteria for inclusion in meta-analysis (Fig 1). Of the 32 included studies, 17 were RCTs, 6 were nonrandomized quasi-experimental studies of nonequivalent groups, and 9 included a preintervention and postintervention assessment of a single group. In Table 1, we display study characteristics and findings.

Of the 32 included studies, 21 took place in the United States or Canada, 7 in Asia, 3 in Europe, and 1 in South America. Researchers for 16 studies investigated coping support interventions for parents of children hospitalized in an NICU. In the 16 studies of interventions for parents of children hospitalized for other indications, children were hospitalized for critical illness in 6 studies, cancer in 4 studies, cardiac conditions in 1 study, physical injury in 1 study, and other general, noncritical conditions in 4 studies. Sample sizes for studies included in the review ranged from a study of 17 parents to a study of parents of 260 children. Most were single-center studies, with the exception of 3 studies that included parents from 2 NICUs and 1 study that included parents of children receiving stem cell transplants at 4 centers.

INTERVENTION SUBJECTS

All interventions included at least 1 parent or guardian of a hospitalized child. Of the 16 NICU studies, 11 were focused on interventions for mothers only and 5 were focused on interventions involving mothers, fathers, and/or other caregivers. Of the 16 studies involving older children outside the neonatal period, 4 were focused on interventions for mothers only and 12 were focused on interventions for mothers, fathers, and/or other caregivers.

With the exception of 2 studies involving educational interventions delivered to PICU nursing staff, all other studies directly involved parents and guardians in receipt of the intervention. Certain interventions for parents of older hospitalized children also included the child in the intervention; for example, yoga sessions were offered to the child hospitalized for cancer and his or her family members.

Theoretical Framework of Interventions

Coping support interventions varied in the theoretical framework used to inform the intervention and in the focus of intervention content. Theoretical frameworks referenced in the design of the interventions included: theories of problem-focused and emotion-focused coping, a transactional model of early intervention, a model of the role of trauma in the development of a parent-child relationship,
self-regulation theory,\textsuperscript{58} control theory,\textsuperscript{59} the Nursing Mutual Participation Model of Care,\textsuperscript{40} and the Calgary Family Intervention Model theory of family nursing.\textsuperscript{60} After review of the included articles, we categorized study intervention elements in 3 broad categories: education, emotion regulation (ER), and social or structural support (SS). Some interventions involved elements in >1 of these 3 domains.

Education interventions focused on providing education in skills and knowledge about caring for a child with a serious illness. For example, in 1 study, videos were used to educate parents about child behavior and the parents’ role in caring for the child.\textsuperscript{29} ER interventions were designed to provide opportunities for emotional self-care. For example, in 3 studies, parents were invited to participate in narrative writing to process emotions and experiences.\textsuperscript{38,49,50} SS interventions were based on the principle that providing concrete resources and opportunities for social relationships for parents of sick children may help mitigate the time and resource demands of a hospitalization. For example, 2 studies involved nurse visits to help families develop a plan for managing demands of family life during a child’s planned hospitalization.\textsuperscript{39,45}

**Intervention Content**

**Education**

Educational interventions taught parents skills and knowledge potentially beneficial in caring for a hospitalized child in 3 ways: (1) structured didactics in the form of workbooks, videos, audio recordings, or other educational materials; (2) focused training for clinical staff who could model skills and support parents in practicing them; and (3) opportunities for parents to meet with clinical staff to address gaps in skills or knowledge. Structured didactics were offered in a 2013 study by Marsac et al,\textsuperscript{36} in which a trained staff person guided parents of children hospitalized for physical traumatic injuries through a 20-minute Web-based activity providing information about physical traumatic injury, traumatic stress reactions, and coping strategies, and then parents continued to use the Web site after hospital discharge.\textsuperscript{36} Focused training for clinical staff was a key component of 2 studies by Curley et al\textsuperscript{40,41} published in 1988 and 1992, in which PICU staff were trained in how to coach parents in caregiving for a critically ill child. Parents received structured in-person education from clinicians to address knowledge gaps about their child’s condition in studies by Beheshtipour et al\textsuperscript{24} and Carvalho et al.\textsuperscript{43} One intervention bundle that was focused primarily on parent education was studied in 5 articles included in this review: the Creating Opportunities for Parent Empowerment program.\textsuperscript{28–30,32,52} The Creating Opportunities for Parent Empowerment program evolved over >10 years across several of the included studies.
### TABLE 1 Characteristics of 32 Studies of Coping Support Interventions for Parents of Hospitalized Infants and Children

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Intervention Characteristics</th>
<th>Setting</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Main Findings in Group Receiving Intervention</th>
<th>Downs and Black Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Doupnik et al (2015)25</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>31 parents of children with critical illness</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>Handbook describing child reactions to PICU hospitalization and advice about managing postdischarge problems, telephone call after hospital discharge</td>
<td>PICU</td>
<td>1 telephone call</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Feasibility</td>
<td>3 of 6 feasibility criteria met, fewer child negative emotions or behavior problems at 3–6 mo.</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behestitpour et al (2014)26</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>51 parents of infants in a NICU born at 28–37 wk GA</td>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>In-person education session about child’s condition for mother and father or coparent</td>
<td>NICU</td>
<td>1 × 1-h session</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Parent stress</td>
<td>Lower stress for both mothers (P &lt; .001) and fathers (P &lt; .001) on day 7 of the infant’s hospitalization.</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carvalho et al (2009)27</td>
<td>Pre/post</td>
<td>59 mothers of infants born at &lt;37 wk GA or ≤1500 g</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Educational video and manual about prematurity. Intervention and control participate in psychological support groups.</td>
<td>NICU</td>
<td>At least 2 sessions</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Maternal anxiety</td>
<td>Lower depression (P = .04) and anxiety (P &lt; .001) symptoms after intervention.</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macner-Licht et al (1998)28</td>
<td>NRS</td>
<td>17 parents of children hospitalized for leukemia</td>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>Weekly structured psychoeducational group meetings for parents. Children participated in structured play sessions.</td>
<td>Oncology</td>
<td>2-h parent groups, weekly × 12 wk</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Parent hopelessness</td>
<td>Parents reported more hopefulness and better coping after participation in groups.</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marsac et al (2013)29</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>100 parents of children hospitalized for accidental injury</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>A Web-based interactive platform including information about recovery from traumatic injury and opportunity to build an individualized care plan.</td>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>20-min session + ongoing Web site access</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Parent knowledge of child injury reactions</td>
<td>Greater parent knowledge of child injury reactions and lower child PTSSs immediately after the intervention (P &lt; .05). No differences in parent knowledge, child PTSSs, or parent PTSSs at 6 wk (P &gt; .05).</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melnyk et al (1984)30</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>108 mothers of children hospitalized for non-critical illness</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Video about child behavior, parent role, or both.</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>1 × &lt;15 min session</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Maternal anxiety</td>
<td>Lower maternal anxiety and greater participation in child’s care during hospitalization (P &lt; .05). Lower maternal anxiety and less child negative behavior change 1 wk after discharge (P &lt; .03). More maternal participation in child’s care, less maternal stress (P &lt; .05), less anxiety and depression (P &lt; .1). No difference in child behavior or maternal PTSSs.</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melnyk et al (1987)31</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>30 mothers of children with critical illness</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Audiotapes with accompanying workbook exercises.</td>
<td>PICU</td>
<td>4 sessions</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Maternal stress</td>
<td>Lower maternal anxiety and greater participation in child’s care during hospitalization (P &lt; .05). Lower maternal anxiety and less child negative behavior change 1 wk after discharge (P &lt; .03). More maternal participation in child’s care, less maternal stress (P &lt; .05), less anxiety and depression (P &lt; .1). No difference in child behavior or maternal PTSSs.</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 1 Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Intervention Characteristics</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Main Findings in Group Receiving Intervention</th>
<th>Downs and Black Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Melnyk and Feinstein (2001)</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>42 mothers of infants born at 28–35 wk GA and &lt;2500 g</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>NICU, Audiotapes with workbook exercises on how to care for and interact with child.</td>
<td>4 sessions</td>
<td>Better infant cognitive development at 3 and 6 mo corrected GA ($P &lt; .05$). No difference in maternal stress, anxiety, or mother-child interactions.</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melnyk et al (2004)</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>174 mothers of children with critical illness</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>PICU, Audiotapes with accompanying workbook exercises.</td>
<td>4 sessions</td>
<td>More maternal participation in child’s care ($P &lt; .05$), no difference in maternal anxiety, lower maternal PTSDs, ($P &lt; .05$), and no difference in child behavior change or child PTSDs.</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melnyk et al (2006)</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Parents of 260 infants born at 26–34 wk GA and &lt;2500 g</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>NICU, Audiotapes with workbook exercises on how to care for and interact with child.</td>
<td>4 sessions</td>
<td>Lower depression and anxiety at 2 mo for mothers ($P &lt; .05$) and no difference for fathers. No difference in parent stress or parent-child interactions. Shorter infant length of stay ($P = .02$).</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mianaei et al (2014)</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>90 mothers of infants born at 26–34 wk GA and &lt;2500 g</td>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>NICU, Audiotapes with workbook exercises on how to care and interact with child (derived from work by Melnyk).</td>
<td>2 sessions</td>
<td>Lower anxiety ($P &lt; .001$), less stress ($P &lt; .001$), and more maternal participation in the infant’s care during the NICU stay ($P &lt; .001$).</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Won (2006)</td>
<td>RNS</td>
<td>56 mothers of hospitalized children requiring an intravenous procedure</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td>NICU, Video modeling supportive and unsupportive behaviors.</td>
<td>1 × 7-min session</td>
<td>More supportive behavior ($P &lt; .001$), and better understanding of child behaviors immediately after procedure ($P = .005$). No difference in mood ($P = .83$).</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ER-focused interventions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ak et al (2015)</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>29 Breast-feeding mothers</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>NICU, Music therapy audio recording via headphones while pumping breast milk</td>
<td>4 × 15-min sessions</td>
<td>Greater volume of breast milk produced ($P = .03$), lower salivary cortisol ($P = .001$), and lower stress ($P &lt; .001$) immediately after intervention.</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DeMaso et al (2009)</td>
<td>Pre/post</td>
<td>49 parents of hospitalized children with congenital heart disease</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>NICU, Parents used an “experience journal” computer application to describe experiences of living with illness by using written explanation, pictures, poems, or video.</td>
<td>2–4 wk</td>
<td>Parents reported high satisfaction and minimal harm associated with use of the experience journal.</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Design</td>
<td>Participants</td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Setting</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>Outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kadivar et al (2015)</td>
<td>NRS</td>
<td>70 mothers of infants born at &lt;37 wk GA</td>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>NICU</td>
<td>Unguided narrative writing at least 3 times a week between days 3 and 10 of hospitalization.</td>
<td>7 d</td>
<td>Parent stress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lai et al (2006)</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>30 mothers of infants born at &lt;37 wk GA, &gt;1500 g, not critically ill</td>
<td>Taiwan</td>
<td>NICU</td>
<td>Daily lullaby music recording played via speakers during a kangaroo-care session.</td>
<td>1 h</td>
<td>1. Maternal anxiety 2. Infant behaviors 3. Infant physiologic status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macnab et al (1998)</td>
<td>Pre/post</td>
<td>73 parents with high school education</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>NICU</td>
<td>Pamphlet to guide parents through process of writing a journal.</td>
<td>6 wk</td>
<td>1. Feasibility 2. Parent experiences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouradian et al (2013)</td>
<td>Pre/post</td>
<td>40 parents of infants in a NICU</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>NICU</td>
<td>Facilitated group scrapbooking activity.</td>
<td>1 session</td>
<td>Parent anxiety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thygeson et al (2010)</td>
<td>Pre/post</td>
<td>33 parents of children hospitalized for any cancer</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Oncology</td>
<td>Yoga class including active and restful yoga poses.</td>
<td>1 × 45-min session</td>
<td>Parent anxiety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed interventions: education and SS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1. Parent anxiety 2. Parent coping 3. Family functioning 4. Child behavior problems 5. Child developmental progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burke et al (1997)</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>25 parents of 1–17 y children with a scheduled hospitalization</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Home visit 2 wk before the hospitalization to develop coping strategies and identify resources. Access to a nurse by telephone during the study period.</td>
<td>1 home visit + periodic telephone calls</td>
<td>1. Parent anxiety 2. Parent coping 3. Family functioning 4. Child behavior problems 5. Child developmental progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Design</td>
<td>Participants</td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Intervention Characteristics</td>
<td>Setting</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Duration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preyde and Ardal (2003) 99</td>
<td>NRS</td>
<td>60 mothers of infants born at &lt;30 wk GA or &lt;1500 g</td>
<td>United States, Canada</td>
<td>NICU Mothers received telephone support from a trained peer mentor who was a parent of a preterm infant.</td>
<td>Average of 3 1-h phone calls</td>
<td>1. Maternal stress 2. Maternal anxiety 3. Maternal depression 4. Social support</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Svavars-dottir and Sigurdardottir (2013) 46</td>
<td>Pre/post</td>
<td>19 caregivers of children with cancer and their partners</td>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>Oncology Therapeutic conversations with a study nurse and an oncology nurse.</td>
<td>2–3 × 1-h sessions</td>
<td>1. Family functioning 2. Social support</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed interventions: education and ER</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>44 parents of 1–15 yo children with a chronic medical condition</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>General Prehospital in-person assessment, written plan for dealing with needs during the hospitalization, and a nurse visit during hospitalization.</td>
<td>2 nurse visits</td>
<td>1. Family functioning 2. Parent coping</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobiella et al (1990) 25</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>30 mothers of infants born at &lt;37 wk GA and &lt;2500 g</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>NICU Video modeling either problem-focused or emotion-focused coping.</td>
<td>1 × 15-min session</td>
<td>1. Mother-child interaction 2. Maternal depression 3. Maternal anxiety 4. Maternal stress</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeley et al (2004) 44</td>
<td>Pre/post</td>
<td>24 mothers of infants born at &lt;1500 g</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>NICU Educational sessions to teach mothers to recognize and reduce anxiety and interact with infants.</td>
<td>6 × 1-h sessions</td>
<td>1. Adherence to intervention delivery 2. Acceptability</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed interventions: education, ER, and SS</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>56 caregivers of children with critical illness</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>PICU Bedside nurses trained to include parents in patient care, reinforce parent nurturing role, and teach them about PICU.</td>
<td>Daily during hospitalization</td>
<td>Parent stress</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curley (1988) 40</td>
<td>NRS</td>
<td>33 parents of children with critical illness</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>PICU All PICU nurses were trained to include parents in patient care, reinforce parent caretaker role, and teach them about PICU.</td>
<td>1 × 1-h staff education</td>
<td>Parent stress</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jotzo and Poets (2005) 37</td>
<td>NRS</td>
<td>50 mothers of infants born at &lt;37 wk GA</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>NICU Individual supportive counseling within 5 d of hospitalization.</td>
<td>1 initial session, 2 × weekly 15-min follow-up visits</td>
<td>Maternal PTSSs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and the program includes audio recordings and workbook exercises to teach parents about behaviors and emotions that children experience during hospitalization and to provide parents with strategies for engaging in the child’s care and recovery.

**ER**

ER interventions were focused on emotional self-care. Intervention content included: (1) activities to promote relaxation or distraction; (2) teaching parents skills for managing depression, anxiety, and stress; and (3) encouraging adaptive emotional expression. Studies in which the effects of activities to promote relaxation or distraction were investigated included studies of yoga groups, a music therapy session, and a scrapbooking session. Researchers for studies in which parents were taught emotion and stress management skills used diverse methods including individual counseling, videos modeling different coping strategies, and training for nurses in empathic communication to promote parents’ adaptive emotional expression. Studies in which opportunities for emotion expression were offered included 2 studies of empathic listening as a technique for encouraging parents to express emotions and 3 studies of journaling or other narrative writing.

**SS**

SS interventions that were focused on helping parents identify resources for social support and addressing practical considerations related to a child’s hospitalization (eg, child care for other children, transportation, or missed days at work). All SS interventions were part of multifaceted interventions that also included education or ER elements. For example, in 1997 and 2001 studies by Burke et al, the authors evaluated the effect of prehospitalization nurse visits to help families identify concrete resources to manage logistical challenges related to meeting home responsibilities while a child is hospitalized. Nurses also helped families develop coping plans and provided psychoeducation by exploring the stressors surrounding hospitalization. The only study in which a social support intervention was primarily offered was a 2003 study by Preyde and Ardal. In the study, parents of preterm infants participated in a “buddy” program, in which they were partnered with another parent who had a previous experience of having an infant hospitalized in the NICU.

**Intervention Delivery Methods, Timing, and Duration**

The modes of intervention delivery included audio recordings, videos, written exercises, workshops, one-on-one interviews, phone calls, and Web sites for parents. The least intensive interventions consisted of a single brief video or audio recording, such as a 7-minute video in which helpful and unhelpful behaviors at the time of IV placement were modeled or listening to 15-minute music therapy audio recordings during breast milk pumping sessions. Slightly more intensive were single in-person participatory interventions led by staff, such as a 1-hour yoga session, a 1-hour facilitated group scrapbooking session, and a 20-minute Web-based educational activity with in-person guidance. Others asked parents to spend considerable time completing activities independently. The most intensive interventions required multiple sessions in which parents participated in individualized, in-person counseling sessions or other activities with a clinical staff member. Most interventions took place while the child was in the hospital,

**Table 1 Continued**
but some began before a planned hospitalization\textsuperscript{33,34} or followed the family after discharge\textsuperscript{23,36,46}

**Description of Outcomes**

In Fig 2, we summarize measured outcomes and the proportion of interventions showing a statistically significant effect on each outcome. Parent outcomes included measures of stress, anxiety, posttraumatic stress, depression, and coping skills. Child outcomes included measures of posttraumatic stress, child behavior, and child development. Family outcomes included measures of family functioning, parent-child interactions, and family communication. The authors of 5 studies reported outcomes related to the feasibility of the intervention, including acceptability to parents and adherence to intervention components\textsuperscript{23,44,49,50,54}

**Parent Outcomes**

The most commonly measured parent outcomes were anxiety, stress, depression, and posttraumatic stress symptoms (PTSSs). Researchers for all studies in which parent emotional outcomes were measured relied on parent self-report to measure outcomes, and many measured outcomes at multiple points in time. Of the 16 studies whose authors reported parent anxiety as an outcome, 13 revealed a statistically significantly lower burden of anxiety symptoms in intervention participants.\textsuperscript{*} Similarly, for studies whose authors reported parent stress as an outcome, 9 of 12 revealed evidence that stress was statistically significantly lower among intervention participants.\textsuperscript{†}

For depression, 7 of 8 studies revealed that parent depression was statistically significantly lower in parents who received the intervention.\textsuperscript{23,25,28,31,39,43,45,52,52} PTSSs were statistically significantly lower after intervention participation in 3 of the 5 studies in which parent posttraumatic stress was measured.\textsuperscript{30,35,37}

**Child Outcomes**

Researchers measured child outcomes less frequently than parent outcomes. Of the 32 studies included in this review, the authors of 9 measured child outcomes. Child outcomes included child behavior, developmental progress, PTSSs, and hospital length of stay. Neither of the studies in which child PTSSs was measured revealed lower posttraumatic stress in children whose parents received the intervention.\textsuperscript{30,35} The authors of 3 of 6 studies in which child behavior was measured found a statistically significant difference with fewer undesirable behaviors observed in children of parents receiving the intervention.\textsuperscript{23,29,51} The authors of both studies in which child progress toward achieving developmental milestones was measured found that children of parents receiving the intervention had more developmental progress.

**Family Outcomes**

In addition to parent-specific and child-specific outcomes, the authors of 13 of 32 studies measured family outcomes. Of the 8 studies whose authors measured parent-child interactions as an outcome, 5 revealed better parent-child interactions in the intervention group.\textsuperscript{29–32,52} In all 3 of the studies whose authors measured family functioning, the intervention resulted in improved family functioning.\textsuperscript{33,34,46} The authors of both studies in which family social support was measured found that the intervention resulted in improved family social support.\textsuperscript{34,46}

**Feasibility**

The authors of 5 studies evaluated feasibility. The authors of 1 study found that a palliative care consultation program was feasible to implement in the PICU.\textsuperscript{54} In another study, authors found that

\*Refs 25,26,28,29,32,33,35,39,43,45,47,48,52.
\textsuperscript{†}Refs 25,26,28,29,32,33,35,43,45,47,48,52.
enrolling families in a PICU study of a psychoeducational support tool was feasible and that families perceived the intervention to be beneficial. The authors of 2 studies found that journaling or narrative writing interventions were acceptable to families, although in 1 study participation in journaling was low (32%). The authors of 1 study found that participant attendance at educational sessions was 83% for 6 1-hour sessions and that 70% of participants were “very satisfied” with the contents of the intervention. In each of these studies, parents reported that they perceived the intervention to be beneficial.

Assessment of Risk of Bias

The range of scores on the Downs and Black21 checklist was from 11 to 26 out of a possible 26 points. The median score was 21 points, indicating that most studies were well designed. Lower scores tended to occur in studies designed as feasibility or pilot studies with small sample sizes. Risks of bias common to many of the included studies were related to the inability to blind participants to intervention or control group status and a lack of specification as to whether those assessing outcomes were blinded to subjects’ intervention or control group status.

Meta-Analyses of Parent Outcomes

In Fig 3, we present forest plots of the RCTs included in the pooled effect estimates and forest plots. The funnel plots for anxiety and depression are largely symmetric, suggesting low risk of publication bias, although each plot contains 1 point outside the lower pseudo-95% confidence limits representing a small study with large SE and large effect size. The plot for stress contains numerous outliers: 3 representing studies with large effect sizes and 3 representing studies with small effect sizes. The presence of outliers suggests that risk of bias is present. A possible source of bias is reporting bias (ie, researchers may be more likely to report stress results when findings are statistically significant). Because stress was often a secondary outcome, the risk of reporting bias is higher than for primary outcomes.

DISCUSSION

In this literature review, we identified 32 studies of coping support interventions for parents of hospitalized children published in English between 1985 and 2016. Interventions varied in their content, but all included at least one of the following elements: education, opportunities for ER and self-care, and provision of SS. The authors of all studies included in the systematic review found evidence that coping support interventions had some effect on improving parent, child, or family outcomes, or that coping support interventions were feasible to implement. The results of meta-analyses of RCTs of coping support interventions revealed that parents who received coping support interventions had lower anxiety and stress symptoms than controls but not statistically significantly lower depression symptoms.

The present finding that participation in coping support interventions improved parent anxiety and stress is consistent with findings of a systematic review and meta-analysis of coping interventions for parents of children with chronic illness in community settings. In contrast, in a recent meta-analysis by Mendelson et al, the authors found that NICU-based maternal depression- and anxiety-reduction interventions reduced depression symptoms but not anxiety symptoms, and interventions including cognitive behavior therapy were most effective at reducing depression. Several key differences between the present review and Mendelson’s likely account for differences in findings. First, the present review did not include the cognitive behavior therapy–based interventions found to be most effective at reducing depression symptoms in Mendelson’s meta-analyses. Second, Mendelson’s analyses were limited to interventions for mothers of critically ill neonates, who are at higher risk for postpartum depression than the heterogeneous group of parents included in the present systematic review. Both the present review and Mendelson’s findings revealed that treatments effective for depression are not effective for reducing parent anxiety associated with a child’s hospitalization. Neither review revealed evidence that interventions designed to provide education or coping support are effective at reducing parent depression.

Parents value services to support their emotional well-being as they cope with a child’s hospitalization. However, the shortage of mental health clinicians and the lack of
reimbursement mechanisms for provision of mental health care to parents of hospitalized children during hospitalization may present challenges to implementation of such services. For hospitals and clinical teams unable to invest substantial resources in parent coping support programs, knowledge of effective interventions requiring limited time and resource investment may help inform development of interventions that are feasible to implement. Future multicenter investigations and studies involving diverse populations of parents could bolster the case for reimbursement mechanisms for parent coping support services.

In this present review, we found limited evidence that parent coping supports improved child hospital outcomes, in part because few studies measured child outcomes. Nevertheless, knowledge that children of parents with poor emotional health are less likely to thrive provides support for the idea that parent interventions have the potential to improve child hospital outcomes. Possible mechanisms by which better parent emotional health may improve child health include increasing parents’ ability to participate in a child’s hospital care, preparedness to receive information, and empowerment when participating in shared decision-making. The following practices could facilitate future study of child outcomes: research to investigate the mediating effect of parent emotional health on child outcomes, multicenter studies, use of a common set of child outcome measures, and use of a common schedule for outcomes measurement.

Several limitations of this review warrant consideration. First, findings from studies included in this review may not generalize to other populations. The majority of studies included in this review were single-center studies with small sample sizes. Second, most interventions...
were designed for parents of children with specific illnesses (eg, premature infants, children with a traumatic injury, or children hospitalized for stem cell transplants). Both the small sample sizes and the strict inclusion criteria for parent participation limit generalizability of the studies’ findings to other populations. In addition, results from the meta-analyses should be interpreted in the context that findings from diverse groups of parents of children with different types of conditions were aggregated. Third, many researchers used convenience samples or did not report refusal rates, raising questions about possible sampling bias. Finally, other unpublished studies relevant to this question may exist; we did not search the gray literature or conference abstracts to identify such studies.

Conclusions

Interventions to support parents in coping with a child’s hospitalization varied in their scope and content, and in this review we found evidence that coping support interventions can improve parent emotional outcomes. In particular, meta-analyses showed that coping support interventions are effective for improving parents’ anxiety and stress symptom burden. Generalizability of existing studies of parent coping support interventions is limited, and future research is needed, including multicenter studies, studies of diverse parent populations, and studies of the effects of parent coping support interventions on child outcomes.

Abbreviations

CI: confidence interval
ER: emotion regulation
PTSS: posttraumatic stress symptom
RCT: randomized controlled trial
SMD: standardized mean difference
SS: social or structural support

FIGURE 4
Funnel plots for RCTs measuring anxiety, depression, and stress, with pseudo-95% confidence limits.
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