

Extreme Prematurity Outcomes: Have We Really Reached the Limit?

Luigi Gagliardi, MD, MSc,^a Roberto Bellù, MD^b

Health care professionals and laypeople alike are convinced that outcomes of very preterm infants have been steadily improving over the years. Yet in an interesting article published in this issue of *Pediatrics*, Stensvold et al¹ challenge this belief. In short, the authors conducted an area-based study in Norway in 2013–2014, collecting data on outcomes of all pregnancies ending either in stillbirth or in a live birth from 22 to 26 weeks of gestation. Compared with an analogous study carried out in 1999–2000, they found an increase in the absolute number of such pregnancies, with an increase of intrauterine deaths before admission to the hospital and no improvement thereafter. These results are both surprising and thought-provoking.

This lack of improvement is surprising in view of the great improvements in obstetric and neonatal care in the past decade, including the widespread use of antenatal corticosteroids even at low gestational weeks, improvements in delivery room management and thermoregulation, and improved ventilatory and nutritional strategies. Many studies, both area-based and not,^{2–9} have shown an improvement in mortality and morbidity over the years, so it is necessary to try to understand the reasons for these disappointing results above and beyond the effect of chance and small numbers (a type II error).

A first consideration is that the 2 populations studied in 1999–2000 and 2013–2014 might differ in some important health care determinant. Differences in ethnic, social, and cultural status are among the likely

candidates. As with all other studies with historic controls, we cannot be sure of comparability of populations apart from gestational age.

Even if this was an area-based study, a complete ascertainment of cases does not guarantee against selection bias.¹⁰ In fact, pregnancies were included in this study if they ended in a very narrow window of weeks. If obstetricians/gynecologists have changed attitudes and procedures regarding the management of pregnancies at a gestational age around the “limit of viability” over the past 15 years, then the ability to transform stillbirths at 20 to 21 weeks in 1999–2000 (ie, not contributing data) to deliveries or stillbirths at 22 to 23 weeks (ie, captured in data collection) in 2013–2014 would spuriously increase mortality in the recent cohort. In fact, although the total number of pregnancies in the 2 periods remained the same, those ending at 22 to 24 weeks increased 29%, and it is likely that their features and baseline risk could be different. Moreover, comparing the outcomes at only 22 to 26 weeks is like comparing the outcomes of 2 arms of a clinical trial observing only a small part of the survival curve. Even if the segment 22 to 26 weeks has the same mortality hazard in the 2 surveys, this does not imply that the overall mortality is the same. In fact, it is not the same: the overall neonatal¹¹ and infant¹² mortality rates have both almost halved in Norway between 1999–2000 and 2013–2014, with a steady decline during the years considered.

^aWoman and Child Health Department, Pediatrics and Neonatology Division, Ospedale Versilia, Lido di Camaiore, Italy, and ^bNeonatal Intensive Care Unit, Alessandro Manzoni Hospital, Lecco, Italy

Opinions expressed in these commentaries are those of the author and not necessarily those of the American Academy of Pediatrics or its Committees.

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2016-4290

Accepted for publication Dec 23, 2016

Address correspondence to Luigi Gagliardi, MD, Woman and Child Health Department, Pediatrics and Neonatology Division, Ospedale Versilia, Via Aurelia 335, 55043 Lido di Camaiore (LU), Italy. E-mail: l.gagliardi@neonatalnet.org

PEDIATRICS (ISSN Numbers: Print, 0031-4005; Online, 1098-4275).

Copyright © 2017 by the American Academy of Pediatrics

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE: The authors have indicated they have no financial relationships relevant to this article to disclose.

FUNDING: No external funding.

POTENTIAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST: The authors have indicated they have no potential conflicts of interest to disclose.

COMPANION PAPER: A companion to this article can be found online at www.pediatrics.org/cgi/doi/10.1542/peds.2016-1821.

To cite: Gagliardi L and Bellù R. Extreme Prematurity Outcomes: Have We Really Reached the Limit?. *Pediatrics*. 2017;139(3):e20164290

Taking into account this complex time-dependent interplay is difficult; in general, analyzing data conditioning on gestational age can be tricky.^{13,14} An approach based on “fetuses at risk” has been proposed to explain changes in obstetric management¹⁵ but is fraught with problems when also studying postnatal outcomes.^{16,17}

Comparability of populations aside, the key question for all obstetricians and neonatologists is what these results mean from a generalizability standpoint relative to other countries or other periods of time. The great strength of this study, its complete area-based coverage, also represents its weakness. Representativeness is necessary for description but is not sufficient for generalizability.¹⁰ Different countries have different data collection systems, especially at low gestational ages,¹⁸ making comparisons difficult. Moreover, different countries have different health care structures.^{19,20} The size of observation areas matters as well. The population of the study (120 007 total births, 251 infants 22 to 26 weeks out of 423 admitted in 9 NICUs in 2 years) represents approximately half of the population in a region of Italy like Lombardy or in other European regions. In these countries, variability between centers and areas is still wide, and Norwegian results could apply to well-defined areas but not to others.

A final lesson that we can draw from this study is that availability of good data are key to objective measurement of strengths and weaknesses of our own perinatal care. Comparing neonatal outcomes across countries represents a great opportunity for quality improvement. To this aim, neonatal networks are well established worldwide,^{3-5,9,19} and there is need for sharing a minimum data set and methodology that could ease feasible and meaningful comparisons. Initiatives are going on to accomplish this goal¹⁹

Maybe somewhere limits have been reached for the current management of extremely preterm infants, but even in highly developed countries, simple evidence-based effective interventions are not always used^{21,22} and represent “missed opportunities” for a better outcome.

REFERENCES

1. Stensvold HJ, Klingenberg C, Stoen R, et al. Neonatal morbidity and 1-year survival of extremely preterm infants. *Pediatrics*. 2017;139(3):e20164290
2. Costeloe KL, Hennessy EM, Haider S, Stacey F, Marlow N, Draper ES. Short term outcomes after extreme preterm birth in England: comparison of two birth cohorts in 1995 and 2006 (the EPICure studies). *BMJ*. 2012;345:e7976
3. Ancel PY, Goffinet F, EPIPAGE-2 Writing Group; et al. Survival and morbidity of preterm children born at 22 through 34 weeks' gestation in France in 2011: results of the EPIPAGE-2 cohort study [published correction appears in *JAMA*. 2015;169(4):323]. *JAMA Pediatr*. 2015;169(3):230–238
4. Horbar JD, Carpenter JH, Badger GJ, et al. Mortality and neonatal morbidity among infants 501 to 1500 grams from 2000 to 2009. *Pediatrics*. 2012;129(6):1019–1026
5. Vendettuoli V, Bellù R, Zanini R, Mosca F, Gagliardi L; Italian Neonatal Network. Changes in ventilator strategies and outcomes in preterm infants. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed*. 2014;99(4):F321–F324
6. Zeitlin J, Mortensen L, Cuttini M, et al; Euro-Peristat Scientific Committee. Declines in stillbirth and neonatal mortality rates in Europe between 2004 and 2010: results from the Euro-Peristat project. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2016;70(6):609–615
7. Horbar JD, Ehrenkranz RA, Badger GJ, et al. Weight growth velocity and postnatal growth failure in infants 501 to 1500 grams: 2000–2013. *Pediatrics*. 2015;136(1). Available at: www.pediatrics.org/cgi/content/full/136/1/e84
8. Horbar JD, Edwards EM, Greenberg LT, et al. Variation in performance of neonatal intensive care units in the United States [published online ahead of print January 9, 2017]. *JAMA Pediatr*.doi: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2016.4396
9. Griffin IJ, Tancredi DJ, Bertino E, Lee HC, Profit J. Postnatal growth failure in very low birthweight infants born between 2005 and 2012. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed*. 2016;101(1):F50–F55
10. Rothman KJ, Gallacher JEJ, Hatch EE. Why representativeness should be avoided. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2013;42(4):1012–1014
11. The World Bank. Mortality rate, neonatal (per 1,000 live births). Available at: <http://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SH.DYN.NMRT?end=2015&locations=NO&start=1999>. Accessed December 20, 2016
12. The World Bank. Mortality rate, infant (per 1,000 live births). Available at: <http://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SP.DYN.IMRT.IN?end=2015&locations=NO&start=1999>. Accessed Dec 20, 2016
13. Wilcox AJ, Weinberg CR, Basso O. On the pitfalls of adjusting for gestational age at birth. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2011;174(9):1062–1068
14. Gagliardi L. Pregnancy complications and neonatal outcomes: problems and perspectives. *Acta Paediatr*. 2014;103(7):682–683
15. Joseph KS. Theory of obstetrics: an epidemiologic framework for justifying medically indicated early delivery. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2007;7:4
16. Paneth N. Invited commentary: the hidden population in perinatal epidemiology. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2008;167(7):793–796, author reply 797–798
17. Basso O. Implications of using a fetuses-at-risk approach when fetuses are not at risk. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol*. 2016;30(1):3–10
18. Delnord M, Hindori-Mohangoo AD, Smith LK, et al; Euro-Peristat Scientific Committee. Variations in very preterm birth rates in 30 high-income countries: are valid international comparisons possible using routine

data [published online ahead of print September 10, 2016]. *BJOG*. doi:10.1111/1471-0528.14273

19. Shah PS, Lee SK, Lui K, et al; International Network for Evaluating Outcomes of Neonates (iNeo). The International Network for Evaluating Outcomes of very low birth weight, very preterm neonates (iNeo): a protocol for collaborative comparisons of international health services for quality improvement in neonatal care. *BMC Pediatr*. 2014;14:110
20. Shah PS, Lui K, Sjörs G, et al; International Network for Evaluating Outcomes (iNeo) of Neonates. Neonatal outcomes of very low birth weight and very preterm neonates: an international comparison. *J Pediatr*. 2016;177:144–152.e6
21. Zeitlin J, Manktelow BN, Piedvache A, et al; EPICE Research Group. Use of evidence based practices to improve survival without severe morbidity for very preterm infants: results from the EPICE population based cohort. *BMJ*. 2016;354:i2976
22. Gagliardi L, Amador C, Puglia M; TIN Toscana on-line Group, et al. Area-based study identifies risk factors associated with missed antenatal corticosteroid prophylaxis in women delivering preterm infants [published online ahead of print August 31, 2016]. *Acta Paediatr*.10.1111/apa.13563

Extreme Prematurity Outcomes: Have We Really Reached the Limit?

Luigi Gagliardi and Roberto Bellù

Pediatrics 2017;139;

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2016-4290 originally published online February 22, 2017;

Updated Information & Services

including high resolution figures, can be found at:
<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/139/3/e20164290>

References

This article cites 20 articles, 7 of which you can access for free at:
<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/139/3/e20164290#BIBL>

Subspecialty Collections

This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the following collection(s):
Fetus/Newborn Infant
http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/fetus:newborn_infant_sub
Neonatology
http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/neonatology_sub
Gynecology
http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/gynecology_sub
Maternal and Fetal Medicine
http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/maternal_fetal_medicine_sub

Permissions & Licensing

Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at:
<http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/Permissions.xhtml>

Reprints

Information about ordering reprints can be found online:
<http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/reprints.xhtml>

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN™



PEDIATRICS®

OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS

Extreme Prematurity Outcomes: Have We Really Reached the Limit?

Luigi Gagliardi and Roberto Bellù

Pediatrics 2017;139;

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2016-4290 originally published online February 22, 2017;

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:

<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/139/3/e20164290>

Pediatrics is the official journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. A monthly publication, it has been published continuously since 1948. Pediatrics is owned, published, and trademarked by the American Academy of Pediatrics, 141 Northwest Point Boulevard, Elk Grove Village, Illinois, 60007. Copyright © 2017 by the American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 1073-0397.

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN™

