The Uncertain Future of Human Papillomavirus Vaccination School Requirements

Jason L. Schwartz, PhD. MBE

Ten years after the approval of the first human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccine, vaccination rates in both males and females continue to fall short of the 80% coverage goals included in *Healthy People 2020*. In 2015, 62.8% of girls and 49.8% of boys aged 13 to 17 had received at least 1 dose of HPV vaccine, and 41.9% of female adolescents and 28.1% of male adolescents had completed the 3-dose series, according to the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.² Although recent increases in HPV vaccination rates have been observed (perhaps a result of coordinated recent efforts by vaccination advocates to emphasize the role of these vaccines in cancer prevention) the identification of innovative approaches to promoting widespread use of HPV vaccines remains a priority.3

School vaccination requirements have long been viewed by proponents of vaccination as effective and essential tools in promoting and sustaining high vaccination rates.⁴ In this issue of Pediatrics, Moss and colleagues show that requirements for meningococcal and tetanus, diphtheria, and pertussis vaccines result not only in substantial direct effects in coverage for those vaccines among adolescents but are also associated with indirect spillover increases in HPV vaccination rates.⁵ Although the observed spillover increases are far smaller than the direct effects of requirements, these findings suggest that further implementation of meningococcal vaccination requirements, currently

adopted in only 25 states, would benefit meningococcal vaccination efforts and, at the same time, improve HPV vaccination rates.

These results are consistent with the view that childhood and adolescent vaccination is best understood as a tightly connected system, not a series of discrete interventions or programs. This notion of integration is deeply embedded throughout the design of US vaccination activities, from the cohesive recommended vaccination schedule, to the robust vaccine safety surveillance mechanisms, to the communication approaches developed for patient-provider interactions regarding vaccines and vaccine hesitancy. That a school entry requirement for a particular vaccine is also associated with greater use of a nonrequired vaccine like HPV, particularly one that typically can be administered during the same office visit, follows from this networked view of vaccination in the United States.

The results of Moss and colleagues suggest that HPV vaccination rates can be improved through spillover increases that result indirectly from school requirements for other adolescent vaccines. It remains uncertain, however, what role direct HPV school vaccination requirements can or should have in future efforts to prevent cervical cancer and other HPV-related diseases. More than 2 dozen states considered vaccination requirements shortly after approval of the first HPV vaccine in 2006, but amid substantial controversy, few proposals

Yale School of Public Health, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut

Opinions expressed in these commentaries are those of the author and not necessarily those of the American Academy of Pediatrics or its Committees.

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2016-3097

Accepted for publication Sep 14, 2016

Address correspondence to Jason L. Schwartz, PhD, MBE, Yale School of Public Health, P.O. Box 208034, New Haven, CT 06520. E-mail: jason.l.schwartz@yale.edu

PEDIATRICS (ISSN Numbers: Print, 0031-4005; Online, 1098-4275).

Copyright © 2016 by the American Academy of Pediatrics

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE: The author has indicated he has no financial relationships relevant to this article to disclose.

FUNDING: No external funding.

POTENTIAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST: The author has indicated he has no potential conflicts of interest to disclose.

COMPANION PAPER: A companion to this article can be found online at www.pediatrics.org/cgi/doi/10.1542/peds.2016-1414.

To cite: Schwartz JL. The Uncertain Future of Human Papillomavirus Vaccination School Requirements. *Pediatrics*. 2016;138(6):e20163097

were passed and implemented.⁶ The 2 requirements established during this period, in Virginia and Washington, DC, originally applied only to females and included exceptionally broad nonmedical exemption provisions specific to this vaccine.⁷ Accordingly, the effects that these policies have had on HPV vaccination coverage have been negligible, as Moss and colleagues report.

After several years in which no states approved HPV school vaccination requirements, Rhode Island introduced such a policy in the summer of 2015. It applies to both boys and girls entering seventh grade, and medical and religious exemptions are available only through the state's normal procedures. Although Rhode Island already had comparatively high rates for HPV vaccination initiation and completion among both males and females, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention data for 2015 show that vaccination coverage there now far surpasses that of all other states.² Health officials in Rhode Island have credited the new requirement as a contributor to its high HPV vaccination rates, noting the benefits of the new law in increasing public awareness of the vaccine in addition to its formal provisions.8

The successful passage and implementation of additional HPV vaccination requirements may be quite difficult in some states, and health officials and elected representatives may wish to avoid miring HPV vaccines in political controversy once again. However, those political considerations need not preclude the pediatric, public health, and cancer prevention communities from first engaging in a reappraisal of the merits and drawbacks of school requirements

as 1 component of a comprehensive approach to HPV vaccination efforts.⁹

Requirements have long been common for vaccine-preventable diseases that affect school-age children, including for diseases not frequently or easily transmissible in a school setting. Recent efforts to promote HPV vaccination have emphasized that the vaccine should be treated as a typical, routine component of adolescent preventive care. Yet school requirements for other recommended adolescent vaccines were far more common at comparable points in those vaccines' histories. 11

Compelling reasons for treating HPV vaccines differently in this regard may exist, but without an explicit justification for why a unique approach is appropriate, the current status of HPV vaccination requirements complicates, if not undermines, efforts by vaccination advocates and the cancer prevention community to reject HPV vaccine exceptionalism and to normalize the vaccine in the minds of providers and parents.

ABBREVIATION

HPV: human papillomavirus

REFERENCES

- US Department of Health and Human Services, Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion. Healthy People 2020. Available at: https://www.healthypeople.gov/2020/ topics-objectives/topic/immunizationand-infectious-diseases/objectives. Accessed September 12, 2016
- Reagan-Steiner S, Yankey D, Jeyarajah J, et al. National, regional, state, and selected local area vaccination coverage among adolescents aged

- 13–17 years—United States, 2015. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2016;65(33):850–858
- President's Cancer Panel, National Cancer Institute. Accelerating HPV vaccine uptake: urgency for action to prevent cancer. A report to the President of the United States from the President's Cancer Panel. 2014. Available at: https://prescancerpanel. cancer.gov/. Accessed September 12, 2016
- Hinman AR, Orenstein WA, Williamson DE, Darrington D. Childhood immunization: laws that work. J Law Med Ethics. 2002;30(suppl 3):122–127
- Moss JL, Reiter PL, Truong YK, Rimer BK, Brewer NT. School entry requirements and coverage of nontargeted adolescent vaccines. *Pediatrics*. 2016;138(6):e20161414
- Schwartz JL, Caplan AL, Faden RR, Sugarman J. Lessons from the failure of human papillomavirus vaccine state requirements. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 2007;82(6):760–763
- 7. Barraza L, Weidenaar K, Campos-Outcalt D, Yang YT. Human papillomavirus and mandatory immunization laws: what can we learn from early mandates? *Public Health Rep.* 2016;131(5):728–731
- Freyer FJ. How did R.I. win acceptance of HPV vaccine? *Boston Globe*. August 26, 2016
- North AL, Niccolai LM. Human papillomavirus vaccination requirements in US schools: recommendations for moving forward. Am J Public Health. 2016;106(10):1765—1770
- National Foundation for Infectious Diseases. Call to action: HPV vaccination as a public health priority. 2014. Available at: www.nfid.org/ publications/cta/hpv-call-to-action.pdf. Accessed September 12, 2016
- Schwartz JL, Easterling LA. State vaccination requirements for HPV and other vaccines for adolescents, 1990–2015. JAMA. 2015;314(2):185–186

The Uncertain Future of Human Papillomavirus Vaccination School Requirements

Jason L. Schwartz Pediatrics 2016;138;

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2016-3097 originally published online November 8, 2016;

Updated Information & including high resolution figures, can be found at:

Services

http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/138/6/e20163097

This article cites 6 articles, 0 of which you can access for free at: References

http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/138/6/e20163097#BIBL

Subspecialty Collections This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the

following collection(s): **Infectious Disease**

http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/infectious_diseases_su

Vaccine/Immunization http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/vaccine:immunization

sub

http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/public health sub

Permissions & Licensing Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or

in its entirety can be found online at:

http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/Permissions.xhtml

Reprints Information about ordering reprints can be found online:

http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/reprints.xhtml



PEDIATRICS

OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS

The Uncertain Future of Human Papillomavirus Vaccination School Requirements

Jason L. Schwartz *Pediatrics* 2016;138;
DOI: 10.1542/peds.2016-3097 originally published online November 8, 2016;

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:

http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/138/6/e20163097

Pediatrics is the official journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. A monthly publication, it has been published continuously since 1948. Pediatrics is owned, published, and trademarked by the American Academy of Pediatrics, 141 Northwest Point Boulevard, Elk Grove Village, Illinois, 60007. Copyright © 2016 by the American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 1073-0397.

