

Competency in Neonatal Endotracheal Intubation: Mission Impossible?

Joseph Gilhooly, MD^a, Hilary R. Redden, MD^{a,b}, Doug T. Leonard, MD^{a,c}

The Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education Program Requirements (PRs) for Pediatrics require that residents be able to competently perform neonatal endotracheal intubation (NET).¹ In this issue of *Pediatrics*, DeMeo et al² defined competency as a 75% likelihood of intubation success; only a minority of residents (23%) were able to achieve competency during the study period. Four cumulative NET successes were needed to achieve competency; the median number of NET opportunities for their subjects, however, was 3 (range: 1–13).

There are 2 lists of procedures in the current version of the PRs. The first list requires residents to “competently perform,” and the second list requires “competent understanding.” During the PR revision process, the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education Residency Review Committee initially recommended that NET be moved to the second list of procedures. However, feedback from the pediatric community overwhelmingly urged the committee to move NET back to the first list.

Advocates for preserving NET as a skill noted that some pediatricians will practice in remote areas, where they could be solely responsible for NET. Responding to the pediatric community, NET was moved back to the first list in the final version of the PRs that became effective July 1, 2013.

Despite no clear definition of “competency,” most pediatric residents are clearly graduating without this skill. In reviewing our data on neonatal intubations between 2011 and 2013, we found incremental increases in

success with intubation from postgraduate year (PGY)-1 to PGY-6 (pediatric interns to senior neonatal fellows). It was not until PGY-6 that neonatal fellows achieved success rates in NET similar to neonatal attending physicians. Neonatal fellows had an average of 58 NET attempts, compared with 2.5 attempts per pediatric resident.³

The major barrier to achieving competence in NET is lack of opportunity. Duty hour restrictions, fewer clinical rotations in the NICU, increased use of noninvasive respiratory support, and increased competition from other learners (eg, nurse practitioners, respiratory therapists) have led to fewer opportunities for NET by residents. Opportunities are increasingly reserved for individuals whose career will be NICU based. As is happening in many NICUs, the residents in the study by DeMeo et al² were excluded from attempting intubation in neonates <1000 g, and most NETs were performed in the NICU, with only 3% performed during the initial resuscitation; thus, residents were excluded from performing NET in the environment they are most likely to encounter in a pediatric practice.

With the transformation to competency-based education, 48 pediatric competencies and their associated milestones have been identified.⁴ All procedures are included in a single competency. The milestone level achieved by trainees can be used by programs as formative assessment to adjust their curriculum to enhance skill acquisition. The pressures to achieve a high-level milestone in all

^aDivision of Neonatology, Department of Pediatrics, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, Oregon; ^bRogue Regional Medical Center, Medford, Oregon; and ^cPeaceHealth Sacred Heart Medical Center at RiverBend, Springfield, Oregon

Opinions expressed in these commentaries are those of the author and not necessarily those of the American Academy of Pediatrics or its Committees.

www.pediatrics.org/cgi/doi/10.1542/peds.2015-0571

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2015-0571

Accepted for publication Feb 23, 2015

Address correspondence to Joseph Gilhooly, MD, 1900 SW River Dr, Unit 701, Portland, OR 97201. E-mail: gilhooly@ohsu.edu

PEDIATRICS (ISSN Numbers: Print, 0031-4005; Online, 1098-4275).

Copyright © 2015 by the American Academy of Pediatrics

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE: The authors have indicated they have no financial relationships relevant to this article to disclose.

FUNDING: No external funding.

POTENTIAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST: Dr Gilhooly is the current chair of the Pediatric Residency Review Committee for the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education. Drs Redden and Leonard have indicated they have no potential conflicts of interest to disclose.

COMPANION PAPER: A companion to this article can be found on page e1229, online at www.pediatrics.org/cgi/doi/10.1542/peds.2014-3700.

48 competencies will lead programs to prioritize clinical experiences. If NET competency is required by a minority of graduates, training will receive a low priority. Ideally, programs will use the individualized curriculum, described in the PRs, to provide the needed training for those who will require NET competency in their practice.¹ The individualized curriculum encompasses a limited period of time, and training innovations are needed that will accelerate the learning curves of trainees. DeMeo et al² note that there is an opportunity to improve the “quality of intubation attempts” through the use of video laryngoscopy or high-fidelity simulation.

One promising adjunct for learning is the use of expert modeling (EM). For learners to develop expertise, an expert’s thought processes need to be modeled.⁵ EM is based on the premise that a defining feature of expertise is unconscious competence that does not require cognitive monitoring and interaction. EM improves learners’ unconscious competence by duplicating the beliefs, attitudes, mental processes, and physical activities that characterize expertise.^{6,7} The external behavior can be observed directly and copied. Modeling forward-reasoning in conjunction with performing technical and behavioral skills may allow the learner to more completely grasp the skill set used in expert performance.⁸

Video depiction of expert performance has been used to

improve skill acquisition and may prove useful in efforts to improve performance in NET.⁹ Our results from the introduction of EM into Neonatal Resuscitation Program instruction suggests that learners demonstrate increased expertise in performing neonatal resuscitation. The technical, behavioral, and cognitive skills of an expert leading a neonatal resuscitation were captured on video. The video modeled the expert’s forward-reasoning skills by using a voiceover of the expert’s thought process at critical decision-making points. Residents who viewed the video during Neonatal Resuscitation Program training displayed an improvement in technical and behavioral skill acquisition.^{10,11}

The goal of competency in NET for all pediatric residency graduates is unlikely to be achieved with our current training paradigm. However, there is hope that an individualized approach for those trainees most in need of this skill can be achieved through the use of complementary educational modalities to accelerate learning and enhance the educational value of each NET opportunity.

REFERENCES

1. Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education. ACGME program requirements for graduate medical education in pediatrics. Available at: http://acgme.org/acgmeweb/Portals/0/PFAssets/2013-PR-FAQ-PIF/320_pediatrics_07012013.pdf. Accessed February 8, 2015

2. DeMeo SD, Katakam L, Goldberg RN, Tanaka D. Predicting neonatal intubation competency in trainees. *Pediatrics*. 2015; 135(5). Available at: www.pediatrics.org/cgi/content/full/135/5/e1229
3. Redden HR, Anderson JM, Hansen MH, Gilhooly J. Many learners, few opportunities: prioritizing neonatal intubations. E-PAS2013:4501.48A
4. American Board of Pediatrics and the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education. The Pediatric Milestones Project. Available at: <https://www.abp.org/sites/abp/files/pdf/milestones.pdf>. Accessed February 8, 2015
5. Bandura A. Self-efficacy: toward a unifying theory of behavioral change. *Psychol Rev*. 1977;84(2):191–215
6. Ericsson KA. Deliberate practice and the acquisition and maintenance of expert performance in medicine and related domains. *Acad Med*. 2004;79(suppl 10):S70–S81
7. Anderson JM. Educational perspectives. Experiential learning: from theory to practice. *NeoReviews*. 2006;7(6):e287–e291
8. Leonard DT, Anderson JM. Educational perspectives. Modeling expertise in medical education. *NeoReviews*. 2009; 10(9):e431–e434
9. Martens R, Burwitz L, Zuckerman J. Modeling effects on motor performance. *Res Q*. 1976;47(2):277–291
10. Leonard DT, Corbin L, Leaning K, LeFlore J, Boyle K, Anderson JM. Expert modeling improves acquisition of behavioral skills in neonatal resuscitation training. E-PAS2009:5507.131
11. Leonard DT, Warren J, Anderson TJ, Leflore J, Leaning K, Anderson JM. Expert modeling improves the acquisition of technical skills in neonatal resuscitation training. E-PAS2009:5155.8

Competency in Neonatal Endotracheal Intubation: Mission Impossible?

Joseph Gilhooly, Hilary R. Redden and Doug T. Leonard

Pediatrics 2015;135:e1290

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2015-0571 originally published online April 6, 2015;

Updated Information & Services

including high resolution figures, can be found at:
<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/135/5/e1290>

References

This article cites 5 articles, 1 of which you can access for free at:
<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/135/5/e1290#BIBL>

Subspecialty Collections

This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the following collection(s):
Medical Education
http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/medical_education_sub
Teaching/Curriculum Development
http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/teaching_curriculum_dev_sub

Permissions & Licensing

Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at:
<http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/Permissions.xhtml>

Reprints

Information about ordering reprints can be found online:
<http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/reprints.xhtml>

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN™



PEDIATRICS®

OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS

Competency in Neonatal Endotracheal Intubation: Mission Impossible?

Joseph Gilhooly, Hilary R. Redden and Doug T. Leonard

Pediatrics 2015;135:e1290

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2015-0571 originally published online April 6, 2015;

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:

<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/135/5/e1290>

Pediatrics is the official journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. A monthly publication, it has been published continuously since 1948. Pediatrics is owned, published, and trademarked by the American Academy of Pediatrics, 141 Northwest Point Boulevard, Elk Grove Village, Illinois, 60007. Copyright © 2015 by the American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 1073-0397.

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN™

