

# Treatment of *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* in Pediatric Lower Respiratory Infection

In this issue of *Pediatrics*, Biondi et al<sup>1</sup> present a rigorous systematic review and meta-analysis of the literature on the use of antibiotics to treat community-acquired (CA) lower respiratory infections (LRIs) secondary to *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* (MP). Consistent with previous studies, but on a larger scale, the evidence is deemed insufficient to support or refute such treatments for MP.

The following comments, although largely addressed in the article, are intended to highlight the caution that is required on the part of the reader when attempting to implement these conclusions to everyday practice. In particular, we must point out that lack of evidence of efficacy is not evidence of inefficacy when addressing current treatment paradigms. The problems of this and previous studies lie in the lack of uniformity of diagnostic methods, complicated by the fact that mixed infections with other microorganisms often go undiagnosed and contaminate any analysis of treatment efficacy. The small number of studies and their heterogeneity add to our inability to conclude either way, and therefore statements about results of MP-oriented antibiotic treatment are difficult to substantiate, particularly when applied to individual cases.

MP is a common cause of CA LRI, particularly in school-aged children and adolescents. It is responsible for at least 40% of cases of CA pneumonia (CAP) and as many as 18% of cases requiring hospitalization in children.<sup>2</sup> The diagnosis of MP infection is difficult and nonuniform, and serology and nucleic acid amplification (polymerase chain reaction) are mostly used. Few commercial serologic assays have been shown to have appropriate sensitivity and specificity.<sup>3</sup> Conversely, polymerase chain reaction may overestimate the incidence of MP and cost considerations limit its use. These factors frequently limit or delay diagnosis and introduce arbitrariness to therapeutic decisions.

Even when the diagnosis is made, there is evidence that MP infections are often mixed; Korppi et al<sup>4</sup> reported >50% of MP CAP to be mixed infections, with *Streptococcus pneumoniae* identified in two-thirds of cases. MP may precede and intensify subsequent infections with various respiratory viruses and bacteria.<sup>5</sup> Such data raise the question of how statements on efficacy of therapies for MP can be made when there is not even the knowledge of which organisms are being targeted.

Patients with MP infections mostly recover spontaneously, and it is difficult to assess how intervention and the timing thereof within the course of the infection can be factored in when studying the results of therapies. In human studies, antibiotics have been shown to shorten the clinical course of MP infection,<sup>6</sup> but at the same time carriage of organisms in the upper respiratory tract may not be eliminated.<sup>7</sup> It is therefore difficult to assess the effect of medication when organism eradication is not achieved, and thus the response of MP, unlike bacterial infections, is inherently more subtle and variable. Biondi

**AUTHORS:** Andrew A. Colin, MD,<sup>a</sup> Shatha Yousef, MD,<sup>a</sup> Erick Forno, MD,<sup>b</sup> and Matti Korppi, MD<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Division of Pediatric Pulmonology, Department of Pediatrics, Miller School of Medicine, University of Miami, Miami, Florida; <sup>b</sup>Division of Pediatric Pulmonary Medicine, Allergy, and Immunology, Children's Hospital of Pittsburgh of UPMC, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania; and <sup>c</sup>Center for Child Health Research, Tampere University and University Hospital, Tampere, Finland

## KEY WORDS

mycoplasma, *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*, child

## ABBREVIATIONS

CA—community-acquired  
CAP—community-acquired pneumonia  
LRI—lower respiratory infection  
MP—*Mycoplasma pneumoniae*

Opinions expressed in these commentaries are those of the author and not necessarily those of the American Academy of Pediatrics or its Committees.

[www.pediatrics.org/cgi/doi/10.1542/peds.2014-0871](http://www.pediatrics.org/cgi/doi/10.1542/peds.2014-0871)

doi:10.1542/peds.2014-0871

Accepted for publication Mar 25, 2014

Address correspondence to Andrew A. Colin, MD, University of Miami Batchelor Children's Institute, Pediatric Pulmonology, 1580 NW 10th Ave, First Floor (D-820), Miami, FL 33136. E-mail: [acolin@med.miami.edu](mailto:acolin@med.miami.edu)

PEDIATRICS (ISSN Numbers: Print, 0031-4005; Online, 1098-4275).

Copyright © 2014 by the American Academy of Pediatrics

**FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE:** The authors have indicated they have no financial relationships relevant to this article to disclose.

**FUNDING:** No external funding.

**POTENTIAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST:** The authors have indicated they have no potential conflicts of interest to disclose.

**COMPANION PAPER:** A companion to this article can be found on page 1081, and online at [www.pediatrics.org/cgi/doi/10.1542/peds.2013-3729](http://www.pediatrics.org/cgi/doi/10.1542/peds.2013-3729).

FREE

et al<sup>1</sup> correctly allude to the fact that no study included in the analyses of this article ever considered the timing of the antibiotic intervention relative to the start of the symptoms. Indeed, timing constitutes an added layer of complexity, namely that treatment response may be a moving target in the context of MP. Slotkin et al<sup>8</sup> support the observation of antibiotics efficacy but suggest that the timing of the intervention may have an effect on the outcome; and although not directly stated, it is possible that delayed intervention may reduce efficacy. The authors comment that the data suggest that antibiotics benefit the clinical expression of MP disease by mechanisms other than reduction in or elimination of the organism.<sup>8</sup>

As shown in early studies in environments that were the closest to pure MP infections,<sup>9–11</sup> macrolides are the antibiotics of choice for treating MP infections in both adults and children. Therefore, suggestions to limit the use of these antibiotics that are based on meta-analyses such as the current analysis or the Cochrane review,<sup>12</sup> which point to insufficient evidence for efficacy of these treatments, are conclusions that are based on far less well established diagnoses and should be treated with caution. On the other hand, pneumococcal resistance to macrolides is currently so common in many countries that the use of macrolides alone is not justified anymore, even for respiratory infections,

because of the risk of mixed infections.

We conclude that the current study further buttresses the uncertainty of the antibiotic treatment of presumed MP LRI. However, it falls short of guiding the practicing physician in daily decision-making about such treatments, and by no means should it be construed as evidence against the use of macrolide (or other appropriate) antibiotics in bona fide cases of MP. The concluding statement of the article that prospective controlled studies are needed has by now become a truism; our comments above, in agreement with the authors, set the parameters of what would be required from such a prospective study, a colossal undertaking indeed.

## REFERENCES

1. Biondi E, McCulloh R, Alverson B, Klein A, Dixon A, Ralston S. Treatment of Mycoplasma pneumoniae: a systematic review. *Pediatrics*. 2014;133(6):1081–1090
2. Ferwerda A, Moll HA, de Groot R. Respiratory tract infections by Mycoplasma pneumoniae in children: a review of diagnostic and therapeutic measures. *Eur J Pediatr*. 2001;160(8):483–491
3. Beersma MF, Dirven K, van Dam AP, Templeton KE, Claas EC, Goossens H. Evaluation of 12 commercial tests and the complement fixation test for Mycoplasma pneumoniae-specific immunoglobulin G (IgG) and IgM antibodies, with PCR used as the “gold standard.” *J Clin Microbiol*. 2005;43(5):2277–2285
4. Korppi M, Heiskanen-Kosma T, Kleemola M. Incidence of community-acquired pneumonia in children caused by Mycoplasma pneumoniae: serological results of a prospective, population-based study in primary health care. *Respirology*. 2004;9(1):109–114
5. Cimolai N, Wensley D, Seear M, Thomas ET. Mycoplasma pneumoniae as a cofactor in severe respiratory infections. *Clin Infect Dis*. 1995;21(5):1182–1185
6. Kingston JR, Chanock RM, Mufson MA, et al. Eaton agent pneumonia. *JAMA*. 1961;176(2):118–123
7. Foy HM, Grayston JT, Kenny GE, Alexander ER, McMahan R. Epidemiology of Mycoplasma pneumoniae infection in families. *JAMA*. 1966;197(11):859–866
8. Slotkin RI, Clyde WA Jr, Denny FW. The effect of antibiotics on Mycoplasma pneumoniae in vitro and in vivo. *Am J Epidemiol*. 1967;86(1):225–237
9. Chanock RM, Mufson MA, Bloom HH, James WD, Fox HH, Kingston JR. Eaton agent pneumonia. *JAMA*. 1961;175(3):213–220
10. Forsyth BR, Bloom HH, Johnson KM, Chanock RM. Etiology of primary atypical pneumonia in a military population. *JAMA*. 1965;191(5):364–368
11. Mufson MA, Bloom HH, Manko MA, Kingston JR, Chanock RM. Acute respiratory diseases of viral etiology. V. Eaton agent: a review. *Am J Public Health Nations Health*. 1962;52(6):925–932
12. Mulholland S, Gavranich JB, Gillies MB, Chang AB. Antibiotics for community acquired lower respiratory tract infections secondary to Mycoplasma pneumoniae in children. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews*. 2012;9:CD004875

## Treatment of *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* in Pediatric Lower Respiratory Infection

Andrew A. Colin, Shatha Yousef, Erick Forno and Matti Korppi

*Pediatrics* 2014;133;1124

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2014-0871 originally published online May 26, 2014;

<b>Updated Information &amp; Services</b>	including high resolution figures, can be found at: <a href="http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/133/6/1124">http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/133/6/1124</a>
<b>References</b>	This article cites 10 articles, 2 of which you can access for free at: <a href="http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/133/6/1124#BIBL">http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/133/6/1124#BIBL</a>
<b>Subspecialty Collections</b>	This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the following collection(s): <b>Infectious Disease</b> <a href="http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/infectious_diseases_sub">http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/infectious_diseases_sub</a> <b>Epidemiology</b> <a href="http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/epidemiology_sub">http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/epidemiology_sub</a>
<b>Permissions &amp; Licensing</b>	Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at: <a href="http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/Permissions.xhtml">http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/Permissions.xhtml</a>
<b>Reprints</b>	Information about ordering reprints can be found online: <a href="http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/reprints.xhtml">http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/reprints.xhtml</a>

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN™



# PEDIATRICS®

OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS

## **Treatment of *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* in Pediatric Lower Respiratory Infection**

Andrew A. Colin, Shatha Yousef, Erick Forno and Matti Korppi

*Pediatrics* 2014;133;1124

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2014-0871 originally published online May 26, 2014;

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:

<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/133/6/1124>

Pediatrics is the official journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. A monthly publication, it has been published continuously since 1948. Pediatrics is owned, published, and trademarked by the American Academy of Pediatrics, 141 Northwest Point Boulevard, Elk Grove Village, Illinois, 60007. Copyright © 2014 by the American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 1073-0397.

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN™

