

Care Coordination and Unmet Specialty Care Among Children With Special Health Care Needs



WHAT'S KNOWN ON THIS SUBJECT: Parents of children with special health care needs and low-income children report more unmet specialty care needs. Care coordination is associated with increased and decreased referrals to specialty care, but whether care coordination is related to unmet needs is unknown.



WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS: Among children with special health care needs, care coordination is associated with lower odds of unmet specialty care needs regardless of whether care coordination was received within a medical home. This association was independent of household income.

abstract

OBJECTIVES: Care coordination and the medical home may ensure access to specialty care. Children with special health care needs (CSHCN) have higher rates of specialty care use and unmet need compared with the general pediatric population. We hypothesized that care coordination, regardless of whether it was provided in a medical home, would decrease unmet specialty care needs among CSHCN and that the effect of care coordination would be greater among low-income families.

METHODS: Secondary data analysis of participants in the 2009–2010 National Survey of CSHCN who reported unmet specialty care needs and for whom care coordination and medical home status could be determined ($n = 18\,905$). Logistic regression models explored the association of unmet need with care coordination and medical home status adjusting for household income.

RESULTS: Approximately 9% of CSHCN reported having unmet specialty care needs. Care coordination was associated with reduced odds of unmet specialty care need (without a medical home, odds ratio: 0.63, 95% confidence interval: 0.47–0.86; within a medical home, odds ratio: 0.22, 95% confidence interval: 0.16–0.29) with a greater reduction among those receiving care coordination within a medical home versus those receiving care coordination without a medical home. We did not find differences in the impact of care coordination by percentage of the federal poverty level.

CONCLUSIONS: Care coordination is associated with family report of decreased unmet specialty care needs among CSHCN independent of household income. The effect of care coordination is greater when care is received in a medical home. *Pediatrics* 2014;133:1046–1053

AUTHORS: Alexy Arauz Boudreau, MD, MPH,^{a,b} Elizabeth Goodman, MD, MPH,^{a,b} Daniel Kurowski, MS,^c James M. Perrin, MD,^{a,b} W. Carl Cooley, MD,^{d,e} and Karen Kuhlthau, PhD^{a,b}

^aDivision of General Academic Pediatrics / Center for Child and Adolescent Health Research and Policy, MassGeneral Hospital for Children, Boston, Massachusetts; ^bHarvard Medical School, Pediatrics, Boston, Massachusetts; ^cVisiting Nurse Service of New York Center for Homecare Policy & Research, New York, New York; ^dCrotched Mountain Foundation and Rehabilitation Center, Greenfield, New Hampshire; and ^eDepartment of Pediatrics, Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth, Hanover, New Hampshire

KEY WORDS

medical home, health care delivery/access, quality of care, children

ABBREVIATIONS

AAP—American Academy of Pediatrics

CSHCN—children with special health care needs

FPL—federal poverty level

NS-CSHCN—National Survey of Children with Special Health Care Needs

Dr Arauz Boudreau conceptualized and designed the study, oversaw and participated in the analysis, and drafted the initial manuscript; Dr Goodman advised on the design and analytical approach of the study and critically reviewed and revised the manuscript; Mr Kurowski carried out the initial analyses and reviewed and revised the manuscript; Dr Perrin participated in conceptualizing the study and reviewed the manuscript; Dr Cooley critically reviewed and revised the manuscript; Dr Kuhlthau participated in the conceptualization and design of the study, advised on the analytical approach of the study, and critically reviewed and revised the manuscript; and all authors approved the final manuscript as submitted.

www.pediatrics.org/cgi/doi/10.1542/peds.2013-2174

doi:10.1542/peds.2013-2174

Accepted for publication Mar 17, 2014

Address correspondence to Alexy D. Arauz Boudreau, MD, MPH, MassGeneral Hospital for Children, Center for Child and Adolescent Health Research and Policy, 15th Floor—C100, 100 Cambridge St, Boston, MA 02114. E-mail: aaaruz@partners.org

PEDIATRICS (ISSN Numbers: Print, 0031-4005; Online, 1098-4275).

Copyright © 2014 by the American Academy of Pediatrics

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE: The authors have indicated they have no financial relationships relevant to this article to disclose.

FUNDING: Supported by the Massachusetts General Hospital Multicultural Affairs Career Development Award.

POTENTIAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST: The authors have indicated they have no potential conflicts of interest to disclose.

Estimates of specialist use among the pediatric population vary between 13% to 22% but are highest among children with chronic conditions.^{1–3} National estimates of unmet need for specialty care are 6%² with higher rates among children with special health care needs (CSHCN; 7.2%).⁴ Specialty care use also varies, with lower rates of use among minorities, uninsured children, those with less than high school–educated parents, and children in low-income households regardless of insurance type.^{1,4–7} In addition, low-income families and less educated families report less perceived need for specialist visits even though they report their children have more severe functional limitations than higher-income parents.⁸ Among CSHCN, care coordination has been identified as a potential mechanism to decrease unmet specialty care needs.⁹ The American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) defines care coordination as “a process that links children and youth with special health care needs and their families with appropriate services and resources in a coordinated effort to achieve good health.”¹⁰ Care coordination aims to enhance health and reduce cost.^{10,11} It has been associated with fewer problems obtaining referrals to specialists and reduced emergency department visits, hospital admissions, length of hospital stay, and inpatient charges. Patient satisfaction, family-provider relationships, the financial burden of health costs and the impact of children’s health on parental work have also been positively associated with care coordination.^{12–16} However, the association between care coordination and improved health outcomes is inconsistent,¹⁷ and the relationship between care coordination and health-related outcomes for CSHCN is not known.¹⁸ Queries of large national surveys to examine the association between care coordination and obtaining family-perceived needed specialty care are currently lacking.

Fewer CSHCN with adequate care coordination are publicly insured or uninsured compared with CSHCN with inadequate care coordination. Among those reporting inadequate care coordination, families with lower socioeconomic status report using fewer services compared with families with higher socioeconomic status¹³; studies exploring if care coordination could mitigate the difference in services used based on income are needed.

The medical home has been proposed as model of primary care to improve health care delivery and outcomes, including effectiveness, safety, timeliness, and patients’ experience of care.¹⁵ By definition, a medical home provides care coordination and other important functionalities.¹⁹ Lack of a medical home has been associated with increased risk of forgone or delayed care among children²⁰ and, for families of CSHCN, increased out-of-pocket health-related costs²¹ and fewer referrals to needed specialty care.¹² Conversely, rates of visits to specialists have been demonstrated to decrease among CSHCN in medical homes.²² This inconsistent association between the medical home and health-related outcomes for CSHCN highlights the need for additional studies.^{23–25}

Our study examines the association of care coordination, a key component of the medical home, with family-perceived unmet specialty care needs for CSHCN. We hypothesize that having care coordination will be associated with decreased likelihood of unmet specialty care needs for CSHCN and that this decrease in unmet need will be enhanced if the care coordination is provided within a medical home. We further examine whether these associations are moderated by household income, positing that the association of care coordination and unmet specialty care will be greater for children living in low-income families than children living in higher income families.

METHODS

Sample

In this cross-sectional study, we conducted analyses of data from the 2009–2010 National Survey of Children with Special Health Care Needs (NS-CSHCN).^{26,27} The NS-CSHCN is a nationally representative randomly selected telephone survey sponsored by the Maternal Child Health Bureau and conducted by the National Center for Health Statistics of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.²⁸ The parent or guardian of 40 242 CSHCN (67.1% of those contacted) between the ages of 0 and 17 years completed a 25- to 30-minute telephone interview. Data collection occurred between July 2007 and March 2011. The NS-CSHCN identified CSHCN using the Children With Special Health Care Needs Screener.²⁹ Data documentation and additional details on the sampling methodology are available elsewhere.²⁶ Our analysis only included CSHCN whose parent or guardian reported the child needed specialty care and whose care coordination and medical home status could be determined as reported in the NS-CSHCN (unweighted $N = 18\,905$, 47.0%).

Main Variables (Unmet Need, Care Coordination, and Medical Home)

Unmet specialty care was determined by family report on the NS-CSHCN of not having received all needed care from specialty doctors. A child was determined to have “effective care coordination” using the Child and Adolescent Health Measurement Initiative algorithm.³⁰ The criteria for effective care coordination are met if (1) the family usually or always receives sufficient help coordinating care when needed and (2) the parent/guardian was very satisfied with communication between the specialist/specialty program and the provider if needed (specific wording of questions are in Table 1).

TABLE 1 NS-CSHCN 2009–2010 Items Used to Define Effective Care Coordination

Care Coordination Component	NS-CSHCN Question	Response Category
Family usually or always receives sufficient help coordinating care when needed	C5Q12: Does anyone help you arrange or coordinate [SC]'s care among the different doctors or services that [he/she] uses?	Yes (vs no)
	C5Q17: [During the past 12 mo/since [his/her] birth], have you felt that you could have used extra help arranging or coordinating [SC]'s care among these different health care providers or services?	Yes (vs no)
	C5Q09: [During the past 12 mo/since [his/her] birth], how often did you get as much help as you wanted with arranging or coordinating [SC]'s care? Would you say never, sometimes, or usually?	Usually (vs sometimes or never)
The parent/guardian was very satisfied with communication between the specialist/specialty program and the provider if needed	C5Q10: Overall, are you very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied with the communication among [SC]'s doctors and other health care providers?	Very satisfied (vs somewhat satisfied, dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied); No communication needed or wanted; excluded
	C5Q05: Do [SC]'s doctors or other health care providers need to communicate with [his/her] school, early intervention program, child care providers, vocational education or rehabilitation program?	Yes (vs no)
	C5Q06: Overall, are you very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied with that communication?	Very satisfied (vs somewhat satisfied, dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied)

SC, selected child.

Medical home status was operationalized per the Child and Adolescent Health Measurement Initiative algorithm⁵⁰ used by the Maternal Child Health Bureau with the National Survey of Children's Health and NS-CSHCN.^{20,21,28,31–34} This medical home measure uses the AAP definition of the medical home, representing 5 of 7 elements of the AAP criteria medical home criteria. The 5 component variables are constructed from a total of 22 NS-CSHCN survey items. They include (1) personal doctor or nurse, (2) usual source for sick and well care, (3) family-centered care, (4) problems getting needed referrals, and (5) effective care coordination when needed (as defined earlier). To qualify as having a medical home, children's parent or guardian must report the child having a personal doctor or nurse, a usual source for care, receiving family-centered care (usually or always), having no problem obtaining needed referrals, and receiving effective care coordination when needed.³⁵ Because care coordination could occur for a family on

its own or within the context of medical home, we created a 3-category variable to describe care coordination status: no care coordination, care coordination without a medical home, or care coordination within a medical home.

Candidate control variables were chosen based on previous research.^{5,36} These included child's age, gender, race/ethnicity (Hispanic; non-Hispanic, white; non-Hispanic, African American; other), primary household language (English or other), highest parental education level (less than high school, high school graduate, and more than high school), type of insurance (public, any private, uninsured), insurance status in the past 12 months (insured or not insured at any time), whether the child received routine preventative care in the past 12 months, whether the child's health was causing financial problems, and federal poverty level (FPL) categorized into 4 levels: ≤100%, 100% to 199%, 200% to 399%, and above 400% of FPL. Both primary household language and household

FPL were imputed for missing responses by the National Center for Health Statistics.³⁷

Analytic Strategy

Initially, descriptive statistics for the dependent and independent variables were completed, including the percentage of children with unmet and met specialty care at each FPL by their care coordination status: no care coordination, care coordination without a medical home, and care coordination within a medical home. Second, unadjusted and adjusted multivariable logistic regression models were developed to model unmet specialty care need with care coordination status. The model measured the odds of a child having an unmet specialty care need, adjusting for all covariates. χ^2 statistics were used to test the association between each control variable and unmet specialty care. Only variables at a 0.10 significance level in the χ^2 statistics were kept in the final model. Multicollinearity analysis was done to ensure chosen predictor variables were

not highly correlated with other variables or groups of variables. After the final multivariable model was developed, the interaction between FPL and care coordination was tested. All analysis controlled for the complex sampling strategy per National Center for Health Statistics guidelines; analyses were done using SAS SURVEY PROCEDURES v9.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

RESULTS

Demographic Characteristics

Most children were white non-Hispanic (64.8%) and had at least 1 parent with more than a high school education (75.6%; Table 2). Approximately one-third lived in households with a FPL >400%. Nearly 9% of children reported unmet specialty care needs. Of respondents in our sample, 16% responded that their family usually or always had care coordination without a medical home, whereas 39% reported receiving care within a medical home.

Table 3 shows the percentage of CSHCN with unmet and met specialty care needs at each FPL for all children in our sample by care coordination status for the whole sample. In all 3 categories of care coordination (no care coordination, care coordination without a medical home, and care coordination within a medical home) with each increase in FPL category, the percentage of families reporting unmet specialty care is smaller. In addition, at each FPL category, the percentage of families reporting unmet specialty care needs was smallest among those with care coordination within a medical home compared with the other 2 categories of care coordination.

Multivariable Logistic Regression Analyses

In both unadjusted and adjusted analyses, receiving care coordination without and within a medical home was

TABLE 2 Children With Special Health Care Needs: Demographics, Care Coordination, Medical Home, and Unmet Specialty Care Needs

Demographic Characteristic	Unweighted <i>N</i>	% (95% CI)
Gender		
Male	11 079	57.9 (56.6–59.2)
Female	7796	42.1 (40.8–43.4)
Age (y)		
0–5	3974	23.5 (22.4–24.6)
6–11	6947	35.6 (34.3–36.8)
12–17	7984	40.9 (39.6–42.1)
Race/ethnicity		
Hispanic	1962	15.5 (14.4–16.6)
Non-Hispanic, white	13 896	64.8 (63.5–66.1)
Non-Hispanic, African American	1392	12.1 (11.1–13.1)
Other	1655	7.5 (6.8–8.3)
Primary language spoken at home		
English	18 298	93.9 (93.1–94.7)
Other than English	607	6.1 (5.3–6.9)
Parental highest education		
Less than high school	689	8.2 (7.2–9.2)
High school	2221	16.2 (15.1–17.2)
More than high school	15 995	75.6 (74.3–76.9)
Type of insurance at time of survey		
Public	4635	32.1 (30.8–33.5)
Any private	13 140	64.9 (63.5–66.2)
Uninsured	439	3.0 (2.5–3.5)
Insurance status		
Not insured anytime (past 12 mo)	1286	9.2 (8.2–10.1)
Insured (past 12 mo)	17 571	90.8 (89.9–91.8)
Routine preventive medical care in past 12 mo		
Received a preventive well visit	15 166	79.5 (78.4–80.6)
Did not receive a preventive well visit	3618	20.5 (19.4–21.6)
FPL		
<100%	2545	17.8 (16.7–18.8)
100%–199%	3353	20.7 (19.6–21.9)
200%–399%	6034	29.4 (28.3–30.6)
≥400%	6973	32.1 (30.9–33.2)
Causing financial problems		
Yes	5144	29.8 (28.5–31.0)
No	13 671	70.2 (70.0–71.5)
Care coordination and medical home		
Care coordination, no medical home	2734	15.9 (14.9–16.9)
Care coordination, medical home	8117	39.3 (38.1–40.5)
No care coordination, no medical home	8054	44.8 (43.5–46.1)
Outcome		
Received needed care from a specialist		
Yes	17 560	91.3 (90.3–92.0)
No	1345	8.8 (8.0–9.7)

CI, confidence interval.

significantly associated with reporting unmet need compared with families reporting no care coordination (care coordination without medical home adjusted odds ratio: 0.63 (95% confidence interval 0.47–0.86); care coordination with medical home adjusted odds ratio: 0.22 (95% confidence interval 0.16–0.29; Table 4). After adjusting for a child's age, gender, race/ethnicity, insurance type, continual in-

urance throughout the year, medical expenses posing a family financial burden, having preventative care in the past 12 months, and primary language spoken at home, parents of CSHCN with care coordination without a medical home were one-third less likely to report unmet specialty care needs compared with those without care coordination. Similarly, parents of CSHCN who had care coordination within a medical

TABLE 3 Percentage of Children With Unmet and Met Specialty Care at Each FPL by Care Coordination Received

Care Coordination Category	FPL											
	<100%			100%–199%			200%–399%			≥400%		
	N	%	(95% CI)	N	%	(95% CI)	N	%	(95% CI)	N	%	(95% CI)
All CSHCN												
With unmet specialty care need	358	16.7	(14.0–19.4)	358	12.2	(10.0–14.5)	398	7.9	(6.5–9.3)	231	3.1	(2.5–3.7)
With met specialty care need	2187	83.3	(80.6–86.0)	2995	87.8	(85.5–90.0)	5636	92.1	(90.7–93.5)	6742	96.9	(96.3–97.5)
No care coordination												
With unmet specialty care need	251	22.2	(18.2–26.1)	239	17.4	(13.6–21.3)	293	13.4	(10.8–16.0)	178	6.0	(4.6–7.4)
With met specialty care need	1013	77.8	(73.9–81.8)	1250	82.6	(78.7–86.4)	2251	86.6	(84.0–89.2)	2579	94.0	(92.6–95.4)
Care coordination without medical home												
With unmet specialty care need	62	18.5	(11.1–25.9)	67	14.6	(8.8–20.4)	53	7.3	(4.1–10.6)	15	1.2	(0.4–2.0)
With met specialty care need	413	81.5	(74.1–88.9)	521	85.4	(79.6–91.2)	798	92.7	(89.4–95.9)	805	98.8	(98.0–99.6)
Care coordination within medical home												
With unmet specialty care need	45	6.2	(3.7–8.7)	52	3.5	(1.6–5.3)	52	2.3	(0.9–3.7)	38	1.1	(0.6–1.6)
With met specialty care need	761	93.8	(91.3–96.3)	1224	96.5	(94.7–98.4)	2587	97.7	(96.3–99.1)	3358	98.9	(98.4–99.4)

Ns are unweighted. CI, confidence interval.

home were three-fourths less likely to report unmet specialty care needs compared with children without care coordination. Hence, the presence of care coordination was associated with decreased unmet specialty care needs, regardless of medical home status. However, children whose care coordination was delivered within a medical home were significantly less likely by a third to have reported unmet specialty care needs compared with those receiving care coordination without a medical home, adjusting for all covariates.

In unadjusted and adjusted analysis, CSHCN living in lower-income households had a greater likelihood of having unmet specialty care needs than those living in higher-income households (Tables 3 and 4). CSHCN in FPL 100% to 199% were >25% less likely to have unmet need compared with those living in households <100% FPL, whereas those in households with incomes >400% FPL had nearly a two-thirds reduction in their likelihood of unmet needs compared with children in households <100% FPL (Table 4). In the multivariable model, there was no significant interaction between FPL and care coordination (*P* interaction term = .0756).

DISCUSSION

Nationally care coordination within the medical home has been embraced as a key process to improve health care outcomes. The Children's Health Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2009, the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010, and numerous state and medical professional associations have instituted efforts to promote care coordination and the adoption of the medical home model. Our findings support the notion that care coordination and the medical home may improve access to care. We show that the provision of care coordination to CSHCN is associated with decreased unmet specialty care needs. Furthermore, we demonstrate that the likelihood of family-reported unmet specialty care need is smallest among those whose care coordination is provided in the context of a medical home. Importantly, the beneficial association of delivering care coordination persists across all income levels.

Care coordination and the medical home are thought to improve care by ensuring familiarity between a family and the health care system, making families partners in their children's care, improving information sharing, and facilitating and tracking navigation

of the health care system. Low-income families and less educated families report decreased perceived specialty care needs.⁸ The information sharing that occurs in a medical home during care coordination may increase families' awareness of needs and availability of services, increasing the likelihood of successful connections especially for families with low-socioeconomic status. Previous research has shown that care coordination and the medical home are associated with reports of obtaining needed referrals for care.¹³ Our study moves downstream in the care process to show that the provision of care coordination to CSHCN is associated with receiving specialty health care that families perceive as needed. Studies have shown both increased³⁸ and decreased²² specialty visits for CSHCN receiving care coordination, presumably due to increased access and the elimination of unneeded visits. However these studies do not assess family satisfaction with the increase or decrease in visits or whether such reductions were medically appropriate. In a fee-for-service environment, the medical home can foster trust, allowing a family to follow through with a referral even at an additional cost or come to understand and accept that a denied referral is not

TABLE 4 Unadjusted and Adjusted Association of Unmet Specialty Care With Care Coordination Received and Federal Poverty Level

	Unadjusted Odds Ratio	Adjusted Model ^a Odds Ratio
No care coordination or medical home	Reference	Reference
Care coordination without a medical home	0.71 (0.52–0.96)	0.63 (0.47–0.86)
Care coordination within a medical home	0.17 (0.12–0.22)	0.22 (0.16–0.29)
FPL <100%	Reference	Reference
FPL 100%–199%	0.70 (0.53–0.94)	0.77 (0.56–1.09)
FPL 200%–399%	0.43 (0.33–0.57)	0.62 (0.44–0.89)
FPL ≥400%	0.16 (0.12–0.21)	0.30 (0.20–0.44)

^a Results from logistic regression controlling for child age, gender, race/ethnicity, primary language spoken at home, insurance type, continual insurance throughout the year, medical expenses posing a financial burden to the family, and having preventative care in the past 12 months.

necessary, trusting the clinical judgment of their usual provider, resulting in more appropriate referrals. Recognizing the potential disconnect between referrals to specialty care and the delivery of appropriate care, our study addressed the qualitative family experience of care rather than the quantitative numbers of specialty referrals or visits. Additional studies that use individual patient medical information are needed to address whether care coordination without or within the medical home is associated with improved medical appropriateness of specialty visits.

The best strategies to implement care coordination and deliver care within a medical home are still being determined, and efforts to determine the elements that offer the greatest health care benefits are ongoing.^{14,39} Our study aligns with national efforts to implement medical homes to reduce unmet specialty care. However, our findings suggest the implementation of care coordination is an effective means to decrease family-perceived unmet need as an interim step on the journey to comprehensive medical home transformation. As the ACA drives primary care toward using a medical home model, focusing on care coordination may deliver substantial early benefits to this arduous process.

Children living at lower FPLs are known to have greater unmet needs in health

care and poorer quality of care,⁴⁰ as was also shown in this study. Children at all income levels show a beneficial association between the provision of care coordination and reductions in unmet specialty care needs. Although families with higher incomes may have greater resources to act upon the assistance that care coordination provides (factors such as transportation, work flexibility and understanding of the health care system), care coordination appears to help low income families access family perceived needed specialty care at approximately the same rate.

The potential for the medical home to reduce health care disparities among low socioeconomic and minority populations has been demonstrated^{41,42}; children with a medical home show a smaller disparity in care received compared with low socioeconomic and minority children without a medical home.¹⁴ Our study reveals income-related disparities in reporting unmet specialty care needs. CSHCN in lower-income households may report higher percentages of unmet specialty needs due to lack of financial resources, lack of knowledge as to how to access specialists, availability of specialists or perceived need, or lack of transportation or ability to navigate the health care system. Cost of services, followed by health plan issues were the top reported reasons for unmet specialty need in previous studies.⁸ However, at each FPL, CSHCN receiving care

coordination were more likely to report decreased unmet specialty care needs than those low-income children without care coordination.

This study has several limitations. We are unable to assess if specialty care was medically needed, and we are limited to testing the association of family-perceived unmet specialty care needs. However, consumer-based perception of quality and need of care are widely used measures of health care delivery. Although numerous publications define the medical home as we have done with the NS-CSHCN,^{20,21,36,41,43,44} the items included to meet these criteria do not capture all activities of the medical home or care coordination (such as patient registries, team based care, comanagement arrangements with specialists, and other concepts of the patient-centered medical home).⁴⁵ However, the items used to define the constructs of the medical home and care coordination are aligned with the AAP definitions.^{31,32} Finally, as with all cross-sectional survey studies, we can only report an association and not causality; it may be that families able to access needed specialty care are also more able to secure a medical home and care coordination.

CONCLUSIONS

Care coordination without and within a medical home is associated with decreased odds of unmet specialty care needs among CSHCN who needed a specialty care referral regardless of household income. The decrease in unmet specialty care needs is larger among those receiving care coordination within a medical home compared with those receiving care coordination without a medical home. Although children living in higher income brackets may be better equipped to harness benefits provided by care coordination, low-income children may gain improved access to needed specialty

care with access to care coordination within and even without a medical home. Additional work is needed to elucidate the directionality of these associations, the mechanisms through which the associ-

ations act, and the essential elements of care coordination that are associated with improved access. Furthermore, substantial payment reform will be needed for care coordination to become

widely available. Improved training and revisions to professional standards will also be needed to ensure that the benefits of care coordination are available to all.

REFERENCES

1. Kuhlthau K, Nyman RM, Ferris TG, Beal AC, Perrin JM. Correlates of use of specialty care. *Pediatrics*. 2004;113(3 pt 1). Available at: www.pediatrics.org/cgi/content/full/e249
2. Child and Adolescent Health Measurement Initiative. *2007 National Survey of Children's Health*. Data Resource Center for Child and Adolescent Health. Available at: <http://childhealthdata.org>. Accessed January 23, 2014
3. Kuhlthau K, Ferris TG, Beal AC, Gortmaker SL, Perrin JM. Who cares for Medicaid-enrolled children with chronic conditions? *Pediatrics*. 2001;108(4):906–912
4. Mayer ML, Skinner AC, Slifkin RT; National Survey of Children With Special Health Care Needs. Unmet need for routine and specialty care: data from the National Survey of Children With Special Health Care Needs. *Pediatrics*. 2004;113(2). Available at: www.pediatrics.org/cgi/content/full/113/2/e109
5. Newacheck PW, Hughes DC, Hung YY, Wong S, Stoddard JJ. The unmet health needs of America's children. *Pediatrics*. 2000;105(4 pt 2, suppl 3):989–997
6. Weller WE, Minkovitz CS, Anderson GF. Utilization of medical and health-related services among school age children and adolescents with special health care needs (1994 National Health Interview Survey on Disability [NHIS-D] baseline data). *Pediatrics*. 2003;112(3):593–603
7. Bethell CD, Kogan MD, Strickland BB, Schor EL, Robertson J, Newacheck PW. A national and state profile of leading health problems and health care quality for US children: key insurance disparities and across-state variations. *Acad Pediatr*. 2011;11(suppl 3):S22–S33
8. Porterfield SL, McBride TD. The effect of poverty and caregiver education on perceived need and access to health services among children with special health care needs. *Am J Public Health*. 2007;97(2):323–329
9. Warfield ME, Gulley S. Unmet need and problems accessing specialty medical and related services among children with special health care needs. *Matern Child Health J*. 2006;10(2):201–216
10. American Academy of Pediatrics Council on Children with Disabilities. Care coordination in the medical home: integrating health and related systems of care for children with special health care needs. *Pediatrics*. 2005;116(5):1238–1244
11. McAllister JW, Presler E, Cooley WC. Practice-based care coordination: a medical home essential. *Pediatrics*. 2007;120(3). Available at: www.pediatrics.org/cgi/content/full/120/3/e723
12. Palfrey JS, Sofis LA, Davidson EJ, et al. The pediatric alliance for coordinated care: evaluation of a medical home model. *Pediatrics*. 2004;113(suppl 5):s1507–s1516
13. Turchi RM, Berhane Z, Bethell C, Pomponio A, Antonelli R, Minkovitz CS. Care coordination for CSHCN: associations with family-provider relations and family/child outcomes. *Pediatrics*. 2009;124(suppl 4):S428–S434
14. Richmond NE, Tran T, Berry S. Can the medical home eliminate racial and ethnic disparities for transition services among youth with special health care needs? *Matern Child Health J*. 2012;16(4):824–833
15. Milstein A, Gilbertson E. American medical home runs. *Health Aff (Millwood)*. 2009;28(5):1317–1326
16. Katz ML, Laffel LM, Perrin JM, Kuhlthau K. Impact of type 1 diabetes mellitus on the family is reduced with the medical home, care coordination, and family-centered care. *J Pediatr*. 2012;160(5):861–867
17. Krauss M, Wells N, Gulley S, Anderson B. Navigating system of care: Results from a national survey of families of children with special health care needs. *Child Services Soc Policy Res Pract*. 2001;4(4):165–187
18. Wise PH, Huffman LC, Brat G. *A Critical Analysis of Care Coordination Strategies for Children With Special Health Care Needs* (Technical Review No. 14). Prepared by the Stanford University—UCSF Evidence-Based Practice Center under contract no. 290-02-0017. AHRQ Publication No. 07-0054. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; June 2007. Available at: <http://iis-db.stanford.edu/pubs/21941/cshcn.pdf>. Accessed January 23, 2014
19. American Academy of Pediatrics, Medical Home Initiatives for Children With Special Needs Project Advisory Committee. Policy statement: organizational principles to guide and define the child health care system and/or improve the health of all children. *Pediatrics*. 2002;110(2):184–186
20. Strickland B, McPherson M, Weissman G, van Dyck P, Huang ZJ, Newacheck P. Access to the medical home: results of the National Survey of Children With Special Health Care Needs. *Pediatrics*. 2004;113(suppl 5):1485–1492
21. Porterfield SL, DeRigne L. Medical home and out-of-pocket medical costs for children with special health care needs. *Pediatrics*. 2011;128(5):892–900
22. Farmer JE, Clark MJ, Sherman A, Marien WE, Selva TJ. Comprehensive primary care for children with special health care needs in rural areas. *Pediatrics*. 2005;116(3):649–656
23. Cooley WC, McAllister JW, Sherrieb K, Kuhlthau K. Improved outcomes associated with medical home implementation in pediatric primary care. *Pediatrics*. 2009;124(1):358–364
24. Homer CJ, Klatka K, Romm D, et al. A review of the evidence for the medical home for children with special health care needs. *Pediatrics*. 2008;122(4). Available at: www.pediatrics.org/cgi/content/full/122/4/e922
25. Berenson RA, Devers KJ, Burton RA. Quick Strike Series: Will the patient-centered medical home transform the delivery of health care? Urban Institute; 2011. Available at: <http://www.urban.org/UploadedPDF/412373-will-patient-centered-medical-home-transform-delivery-health-care.pdf>. Accessed January 23, 2014
26. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics, State and Local Area Integrated Telephone Survey. 2009–2010 National Survey of Children With Special Health Care Needs. Frequently Asked Questions. December 2011. Available at: <http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/slatis/cshcn.htm>. Accessed January 23, 2014
27. Blumberg SJ, Welch EM, Chowdhury SR, Upchurch HL, Parker EK, Skalland BJ.

- Design and operation of the National Survey of Children With Special Health Care Needs, 2005–2006. National Center for Health Statistics. *Vital Health Stat.* 2008;1(45):1–188
28. US Department of Health and Human Services, Health Resources and Services Administration, Maternal and Child Health Bureau. *The National Survey of Children With Special Health Care Needs Chart Book 2005–2006*. Rockville, MD: US Department of Health and Human Services; 2007
 29. Bethell CD, Read D, Stein REK, Blumberg SJ, Wells N, Newacheck PW. Identifying children with special health care needs: development and evaluation of a short screening instrument. *Ambul Pediatr.* 2002;2(1):38–48
 30. Child and Adolescent Health Measurement Initiative (CAHMI). 2007 National Survey of Children's Health SAS Code for Data Users. Data Resource Center for Child and Adolescent Health. Available at: <http://www.childhealthdata.org/docs/nsch-docs/2007-nsch-sas-codebook-v1-2-december-2010-pdf.pdf>. Accessed April 28, 2013
 31. Bethell CD, Read D, Brockwood K. Using existing population-based data sets to measure the American Academy of Pediatrics definition of medical home for all children and children with special health care needs. *Pediatrics.* 2004;113(suppl 5):s1529–s1537
 32. Child and Adolescent Health Measurement Initiative. *Measuring Medical Home for Children and Youth: Methods and Findings From the National Survey of Children With Special Health Care Needs and the National Survey of Children's Health*. Portland, OR: Data Resource Center for Child and Adolescent Health; 2009. Available at: http://mhmanual_withappendices-updated-12-7-10-pdf/pdf. Accessed May 1, 2013
 33. McPherson M, Weissman G, Strickland B, van Dyck PC, Blumberg SJ, Newacheck PW. Implementing community-based systems of services for children and youths with special health care needs: how well are we doing? *Pediatrics.* 2004;113(suppl 4):s1538–s1544
 34. US Department of Health and Human Services. *Healthy People 2010: Objectives for Improving Health*. Vol 2, 2nd ed. Washington, DC:US Government Printing Office; 2002
 35. Child and Adolescent Health Measurement Initiative (CAHMI). *2005/2006 National Survey of Children With Special Health Care Needs SPSS Codebook*. Data Resource Center for Child and Adolescent Health. Available at: <http://www.childhealthdata.org>. Accessed May 10, 2013
 36. Raphael JL, Guadagnolo BA, Beal AC, Giardino AP. Racial and ethnic disparities in indicators of a primary care medical home for children. *Acad Pediatr.* 2009;9(4):221–227
 37. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, US Department of Health and Human Services. Imputed data in State and Local Area Integrated Telephone Survey (SLAITS) micro datasets. Available at: www.cdc.gov/nchs/slaitis/imputed_data.htm. Accessed January 23, 2014
 38. Lawson KA, Bloom SR, Sadof M, Stille C, Perrin JM. Care coordination for children with special health care needs: evaluation of a state experiment. *Matern Child Health J.* 2011;15(7):993–1000
 39. Farmer JE, Clark MJ, Drewel EH, Swenson TM, Ge B. Consultative care coordination through the medical home for CSHCN: a randomized controlled trial. *Matern Child Health J.* 2011;15(7):1110–1118
 40. McCarter S, Jones K, Rager KM. Characteristics of youth in the U.S. receiving services from a Patient-Centered Medical Home (PCMH). *Soc Work Health Care.* 2011; 50(8):595–605
 41. Strickland BB, Jones JR, Ghandour RM, Kogan MD, Newacheck PW. The medical home: health care access and impact for children and youth in the United States. *Pediatrics.* 2011;127(4):604–611
 42. Beal AC, Doty MM, Hernandez SE, et al. *Closing the Divide: How Medical Homes Promote Equitable Care: Results From the Commonwealth Fund 2006 Health Quality Survey*. New York, NY: Commonwealth Fund; 2007. Available at: https://www.csms.org/upload/files/Cultural%20Competence%20section/Reports%20and%20Standards/Commonwealth%20-%201035_Beal_closing_divide_medical_homes.pdf. Accessed January 23, 2014
 43. Singh GK, Strickland BB, Ghandour RM, van Dyck PC. Geographic disparities in access to the medical home among US CSHCN. *Pediatrics.* 2009;124(suppl 4):S352–S360
 44. Toomey SL, Finkelstein J, Kuhlthau K. Does connection to primary care matter for children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder? *Pediatrics.* 2008;122(2):368–374
 45. Peek CJ, Oftedahl G. A consensus operational definition of patient-centered medical home (PCMH) also known as health care home. The University of Minnesota and the Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement (ICSI); December 2010. Available at: http://hcr.vermont.gov/sites/hcr/files/MH_Archetype_OperationalDef_ICSI_UM_12-16-10.pdf. Accessed January 23, 2014

Care Coordination and Unmet Specialty Care Among Children With Special Health Care Needs

Alexy Arauz Boudreau, Elizabeth Goodman, Daniel Kurowski, James M. Perrin, W. Carl Cooley and Karen Kuhlthau

Pediatrics 2014;133;1046

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2013-2174 originally published online May 26, 2014;

Updated Information & Services	including high resolution figures, can be found at: http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/133/6/1046
References	This article cites 30 articles, 17 of which you can access for free at: http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/133/6/1046#BIBL
Subspecialty Collections	This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the following collection(s): Medical Home http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/medical_home_sub Advocacy http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/advocacy_sub Child Care http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/child_care_sub
Permissions & Licensing	Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at: http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/Permissions.xhtml
Reprints	Information about ordering reprints can be found online: http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/reprints.xhtml

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN™



PEDIATRICS®

OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS

Care Coordination and Unmet Specialty Care Among Children With Special Health Care Needs

Alexy Arauz Boudreau, Elizabeth Goodman, Daniel Kurowski, James M. Perrin, W. Carl Cooley and Karen Kuhlthau

Pediatrics 2014;133;1046

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2013-2174 originally published online May 26, 2014;

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:

<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/133/6/1046>

Pediatrics is the official journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. A monthly publication, it has been published continuously since 1948. Pediatrics is owned, published, and trademarked by the American Academy of Pediatrics, 141 Northwest Point Boulevard, Elk Grove Village, Illinois, 60007. Copyright © 2014 by the American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 1073-0397.

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN™

