



TECHNICAL REPORT

Electronic Prescribing in Pediatrics: Toward Safer and More Effective Medication Management

abstract

FREE

This technical report discusses recent advances in electronic prescribing (e-prescribing) systems, including the evidence base supporting their limitations and potential benefits. Specifically, this report acknowledges that there are limited but positive pediatric data supporting the role of e-prescribing in mitigating medication errors, improving communication with dispensing pharmacists, and improving medication adherence. On the basis of these data and on the basis of federal statutes that provide incentives for the use of e-prescribing systems, the American Academy of Pediatrics recommends the adoption of e-prescribing systems with pediatric functionality. This report supports the accompanying policy statement from the American Academy of Pediatrics recommending the adoption of e-prescribing by pediatric health care providers. *Pediatrics* 2013;131:e1350–e1356

The US health care system has the distinction of being the world's most expensive delivery system while also having among the lowest levels of quality, as judged by many metrics, including infant mortality, life expectancy, and potential years of life lost.^{1,2} More specifically, despite US leadership in establishing many standards of care that correlate with improved quality, the US health care system is able to deliver, at best, 60% of the recommended care in most practices.^{3,4} Reasons for this inefficiency include the voluminous information resources to consult and the experts' parallel processing and modeling skills (including integrating considerations of the patient's other illnesses, lifestyle, and genome) required to make an optimal decision.⁵ Other challenges include the health care system's existing methods of payment, which lead to fragmented care.⁶ Difficult-to-resolve health disparities also occur when there are suboptimal interactions between a person's preferences, the regulatory/operational health care system, and internalized biases, stereotypes, or knowledge deficits. All of these challenges to information management affect the delivery of care.⁷ For these reasons, health information technology (HIT) has become recognized as a set of tools that complement the provision of care.⁸ Electronic prescribing (e-prescribing) is widely recognized as a component of the prescribing process that facilitates handoffs, improves clinical decision-making, and may improve medication adherence. E-prescribing was defined in 2008 by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services as a system providing prescribers with

Kevin B. Johnson, MD, MS, Christoph U. Lehmann, MD, and the COUNCIL ON CLINICAL INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

KEY WORDS

health information technology, electronic prescribing, quality improvement, pediatrics, medication, prescription

ABBREVIATIONS

CBO—Congressional Budget Office

EHR—electronic health record

HIT—health information technology

HITECH—Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health

MIPPA—Medicare Improvements for Patients and Providers Act

This document is copyrighted and is property of the American Academy of Pediatrics and its Board of Directors. All authors have filed conflict of interest statements with the American Academy of Pediatrics. Any conflicts have been resolved through a process approved by the Board of Directors. The American Academy of Pediatrics has neither solicited nor accepted any commercial involvement in the development of the content of this publication.

The guidance in this report does not indicate an exclusive course of treatment or serve as a standard of medical care. Variations, taking into account individual circumstances, may be appropriate.

All technical reports from the American Academy of Pediatrics automatically expire 5 years after publication unless reaffirmed, revised, or retired at or before that time.

www.pediatrics.org/cgi/doi/10.1542/peds.2013-0193

doi:10.1542/peds.2013-0193

PEDIATRICS (ISSN Numbers: Print, 0031-4005; Online, 1098-4275).

Copyright © 2013 by the American Academy of Pediatrics

the ability to generate and “electronically send an accurate, error-free and understandable prescription directly to a pharmacy from the point-of-care.”

RATIONALE FOR ADOPTING E-PRESCRIBING

Adoption of e-prescribing has been strongly endorsed by a variety of professional societies and federal agencies for more than a decade.⁹⁻¹³ The reason for almost unanimous support for e-prescribing tools is the mounting evidence in adult populations that e-prescribing can improve prescribing quality and provide better pharmacovigilance. Monitoring pharmaceuticals requires collecting, observing, researching, assessing, and evaluating data and derivative information related to safe, effective, and consistent medication use. Pharmacy data management successes reveal a path for transforming medication communication throughout the health care system. The Institute of Medicine summarized this literature in its publication *Preventing Medication Errors*¹⁴ and recommended national mandates for this technology. There is less literature specific to pediatric populations; however, the literature that is specific to this population has been encouraging.

Quality Challenges for E-Prescribing in Pediatrics

By far, the strongest rationale for adopting e-prescribing recognizes the inherent challenges with pediatric prescribing, which are responsible for an error rate in children of between 5% and 27% in a recent systematic review.¹⁵ Physiologic factors, such as the nearly universal need for weight or body surface area considerations in dosing, make medication ordering more prone to errors in children than in adults.^{14,16,17} In addition to these physiologic factors, the therapeutic window for many drugs is smaller for children

than adults. Pharmacologic factors, including age-based variability in absorption, metabolism, and excretion of drugs in children as compared with adults, as well as the age-specific contraindications of certain medications, pose special vulnerabilities to the adverse effects of overdosing. The conversion of doses from ingredient amounts to volumes for liquids labeled for home use is also problematic.¹⁸⁻²⁰ Prescribing errors are most prevalent with antibiotic agents but may occur even in medications that do not require weight-based dosing or ingredient-to-volume conversion.²¹ Medication errors in children may lead to more severe complications because of the inability of children to communicate some adverse effects.

Decreased Preventable Adverse Drug Events

Adverse drug events are defined as injuries “resulting from medical intervention related to a drug” and are the leading cause of iatrogenic harm to patients.²² The Institute of Medicine conservatively estimated that each year, more than 1.5 million preventable adverse drug events occur in the United States.¹⁴ In an ambulatory study in adults, 25% of patients experienced 1 or more adverse drug events (27 events per 100 patients).²³ Estimates in 1995 placed the cost of drug related morbidity and mortality between \$20 billion and \$130 billion, with most of the cost stemming from drug-related hospital admissions.²⁴

The rate of adverse drug events attributable to ambulatory drug administration has been estimated at 3% to 4% in 1 study.²⁵ This rate is highest in children taking multiple prescription medications.²⁶ Pediatric patients, although less likely to suffer harm from an adverse event, are susceptible to more types of adverse events, but the quality of the evidence is variable.^{27,28}

Studies evaluating e-prescribing systems reveal consistent reductions in potential adverse drug events in systems that organize and coherently report medication summaries.²⁹⁻³¹

Reducing Dosing Errors

Dosing errors represent the most common medication error in pediatrics.³² Although seemingly easy to catch, dosing error-checking is complicated by the fact that children's weights vary from as little as 500 g for micro premature infants to well over 100 kg for some obese adolescents, differing by a factor of more than 200. To illustrate the challenge, 2 patients (1 weighing 2 kg and the other 100 kg) discharged with a prescription for 5 mg/kg per day of ranitidine could receive a dose of between 10 mg and 300 mg a day and still not catch the attention of a pharmacist, because all doses between these amounts are reasonable for children, depending on their weight.

E-prescribing systems are able to present standardized dosing formulae, to use the patient's weight to calculate a dose, to convert that dose to a volume for liquids, and to present that dose in a format that is least likely to be confusing to the prescriber, pharmacist, nurse, or parent. Truly sophisticated prescribing systems use individual dose limits and total daily dose limits, compared with weight- or body surface area-based normal values.³³ Some particularly sophisticated systems write out the final dose (ie, “ten [10]”) to further improve clarity and to reduce the risk of prescription tampering.³⁴ Finally, a recent article demonstrated the power of annotating electronic prescriptions with the actual calculation leading up to the dose.³⁵

Improved Communication

After dosing errors, missing information and illegible prescriptions cause

the majority of prescribing errors in children³⁶ and significantly impede the ability for these errors to be caught by pharmacists or other health care providers. Illegible handwriting may be at fault for at least 20% of all errors.^{26,37} Groups such as the Pediatric Pharmacy Advocacy Group, the Institute for Safe Medication Practices, and the American Society of Health System Pharmacists³⁸ have espoused requirements for safe pediatric prescribing, recognizing that these prescriptions should include information about the child's age, weight, and indication for therapy and should adhere to a format (eg, no trailing zero) that minimizes miscommunication. The Institute for Safe Medication Practices, the American Academy of Pediatrics, and other groups support the labeling of all prescriptions for liquid medication with volume in milliliters (mL).³⁹⁻⁴¹

Parental health and English literacy has been shown to play an important role in the correct medication administration in children.^{42,43} E-prescribing systems may provide administration instructions that are appropriate for the parents' or child's health literacy and can be provided in the patient's or her family's primary language.

Software can default or force entry of specific information. For example, a date may be automatically populated, a weight may be pulled from an existing electronic health record (EHR), and a user may be prevented from completing the prescription until essential information has been completed. Pharmacists view the net effect of e-prescribing as positive in the areas of patient safety, effectiveness of care, and efficiency of care.^{44,45} In pediatrics, e-prescribing can improve communication through both improving clarity of prescriptions and providing standardized information about indications for therapy, rationales for overriding allergy alerts, and the weight-based

calculations leading to a specific dose.³⁵ For all patients, e-prescribing systems can improve communication about provider willingness to allow generic substitution,⁴⁶⁻⁴⁸ which, by avoiding higher copayments, can improve medication adherence.⁴⁹

A study on prescriptions³⁵ demonstrated the value of including body weight and the process associated with calculating a dose. In this study, pharmacies stated that prescribing safety was improved by "showing your work" related to the cognitive processes associated with prescribing and found it especially beneficial in pediatric prescribing.

Avoiding Adverse Effects

Medication adverse effects may be related to interactions between a medication and the host (allergies or unintended effects) or may be related to other patient medications, dietary choices, or other diagnoses. These unintended consequences may be life-threatening or, more commonly, may lead to poor therapeutic adherence by children and families. Often, these consequences can be ameliorated by choosing an equally efficacious alternative therapy at the time of the initial prescription or after onset of the unintended effect. E-prescribing systems can display results of past therapy and help avoid prescribing medications that may not be tolerated. Systems that are more sophisticated warn about potential unintended effects, thereby decreasing the burden on the family and potentially having a beneficial effect on the economics of health care.^{50,51}

Improving Efficiency

The process of prescribing and ensuring adherence is 1 of the most time consuming in practice settings. Both new and refilled prescriptions require attention to the 5 rights: making sure the right patient receives the right

medication in the right dose, using the right route, and at the right time. E-prescribing is able to help with many of these issues by providing early warnings for duplicate therapies, contraindications for use (such as in pregnancy or for lactating mothers), and other prescribing risks mentioned previously.

As a component of an efficient practice, e-prescribing may decrease delays in renewing chronic medications or in flagging renewals as inappropriate. In pediatrics, there is an additional challenge of modifying a dose for some medication refills as the child grows, which can be facilitated by information technology. Perhaps the most pervasive way that e-prescribing can boost practice efficiency is by recognizing the distributed nature of work in the ambulatory setting. For example, a well-designed e-prescribing system might allow a refill or new prescription to be drafted by 1 provider or designee and completed by an authorized prescriber either in the office or any location by using Web-enabled information technology.³⁴

E-PRESCRIBING SYSTEM FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS

The theoretical benefits of e-prescribing systems in pediatrics can only be achieved by systems with appropriate functionality and may be hampered by poorly developed systems⁵² or implementation strategies.⁴⁹ At present, many e-prescribing systems fall short of providing expert recommended functional characteristics.⁵³ These features broadly cover patient identification and data access, current medication/medication history availability, medication selection, alerts and reminders, medication information, data transmission/storage, monitoring and renewals, prescribing practice feedback, and system security/confidentiality.

The use of e-prescribing systems in children will require overcoming some unique challenges inherent in pediatrics. Paramount among these challenges is the question about the relevance and sensitivity of drug interaction or adverse-effect alerts.^{54,55} The existing insensitivity results in many false-positive alerts and subsequently in override rates ranging from 89% to 91%.^{25,56-58} Although few studies have been published that assess this phenomenon in children, children tend to be on fewer chronic medications and, because of generally good renal and hepatic function, may be less at risk for severe adverse reactions,⁵⁹ thereby magnifying this concern in pediatrics.

Age- and indication-specific weight-based dosing requirements, coupled with the fact that home administration may be associated with a high potential for errors,²¹ place additional requirements on the pediatric e-prescribing system (dose rounding, minimum/maximum dosing checks, etc) that may not be as important for adult prescribing. E-prescribing systems need to modify both dosing guidelines and dose-screening parameters to support pediatric dosing for every indication that warrants modified dosing regimens. Furthermore, they need to support the desire to provide easily administered home doses (in mL for liquids) and, when necessary, extemporaneously compounded dosage forms. In short, these systems will need to evolve to be an ideal platform for safe and effective pediatric medication prescribing, although they already confer numerous advantages over the paper-based alternative. The features listed in Table 1, derived in part from previous work by the American Academy of Pediatrics,¹⁶ will help address these challenges to safe and effective pediatric e-prescribing.

TABLE 1 Pediatric Requirements for Safe and Effective Electronic Prescribing

Category	Pediatric Requirements
Patient information	Date of birth or age in units more specific than years Weight in kg Height in cm Any history of intolerable adverse effects or allergy to medications
Medication information	Indication-based dosing and individual and daily dose alerts, using mg/kg per day or mg/m ² per day formula, unless inappropriate Weight-based dosing calculations All available formulations, including liquid formulations that may be specific brands Common formulations requiring extemporaneous compounding or combinations of active ingredients
Cognitive support	Dose range checking (minimum and maximum amount per dose, amount per day based on weight, surface area, and total dose) Automatic strength to volume conversions for liquid medications Adverse-effect warnings specific to pediatric populations Alternative therapies based on ameliorable adverse effects Tall-man lettering to reduce medication selection errors Medication-specific indications to reduce ordering of sound-alike drugs
Pharmacy information	Pharmacies that will create extemporaneous compounds
Data transmission	Use of messaging standards for data transmission to pharmacies that include the patient's weight and notes pertaining to weight-based calculations Transmission of strength, concentration, and dose volume labeled in metric units for liquid medications

FEDERAL INITIATIVES TO IMPROVE E-PRESCRIBING ADOPTION

The past decade has been an active one for the national medication prescribing landscape. In particular, 2 major statutes specifically address the goal of 100% e-prescribing adoption through both time-dependent incentives and penalties. Each of these statutes will be described below.

Medicare Improvements for Patients and Providers Act

The Medicare Improvements for Patients and Providers Act (MIPPA) became law on July 15, 2008 (Pub L No. 110-275). MIPPA was designed to avert a statutory Medicare reduction in payments for physicians and implement other changes. In addition to its effect on physician fees, MIPPA addressed the chasm between literature describing improved quality of care related to e-prescribing and the current

state of poor adoption (especially among health care providers caring for older and sicker populations). It addressed this chasm by incentivizing the adoption of e-prescribing by authorized prescribers. MIPPA created new financial incentives to encourage physicians who provide services to Medicare patients to adopt technology that will allow them to order prescriptions electronically. Use of this technology is meant to reduce medical errors and help physicians consider cost issues as they make prescribing decisions. Under MIPPA, beginning in 2009, physicians received a 2% increase in payments, phasing down to 0.5% in 2013. However, in 2014 and afterward, physicians who have not implemented the technology will lose 2% of their payments. The incentives and penalties under MIPPA may have less of an effect on pediatric patients, because not all pediatricians see a sufficient number of Medicare-eligible patients.

The Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act

The Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health (HITECH) Act was incorporated as part of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (H.R. 1), the economic stimulus bill signed into law on February 17, 2009 (Pub L No. 111-5). The HITECH Act is intended to promote the widespread adoption of HIT to support the electronic sharing of clinical data among hospitals, physicians, and other health care stakeholders. According to a 2009 report by Surescripts (<http://www.surescripts.com/downloads/npr/national-progress-report.pdf>), the number of prescribers sending prescriptions electronically more than doubled from 2008 to the end of 2009 to 156 000, which corresponds to only 25% of all office-based prescribers. The same report stated that 85% of community pharmacies, as well as the 6 largest mail-order pharmacies, were able to receive electronic prescriptions. Therefore, the infrastructure for e-prescribing is nearly ready, but prescribers have not yet fully adopted this technology. The HITECH Act builds on existing federal efforts to encourage e-prescribing/HIT adoption and use. The Congressional Budget Office (CBO) estimates that Medicare and Medicaid spending under the HITECH Act will total \$32.7 billion over the 2009–2019 period. CBO hypothesizes, however, that widespread HIT adoption will reduce total spending on health care. Through 2019, CBO estimates that the HITECH Act will

save the Medicare and Medicaid programs a total of approximately \$12.5 billion. Under current law, CBO predicts that approximately 45% of hospitals and 65% of physicians will have adopted HIT by 2019. CBO estimates that the incentive mechanisms in the HITECH Act will boost those adoption rates to approximately 70% for hospitals and 90% for physicians.

The HITECH Act provides financial incentives for HIT use among health care practitioners. It establishes several grant programs to provide funding for investing in HIT infrastructure, purchasing certified EHRs, training, and the dissemination of best practices. E-prescribing functionality is a required component of these EHRs. Important to pediatricians, the legislation further authorizes a 100% federal match for payments to certain qualifying Medicaid service providers who acquire and use certified EHR technology.

E-Prescribing of Controlled Substances

In March 2010, the US Drug Enforcement Agency published the interim final rule on e-prescribing of controlled substances. Before the interim final rule, controlled substances were excluded from e-prescribing through a prohibition by the Drug Enforcement Agency. Even though this ruling will close the gap in e-prescribing, the rules require recertification of systems by outside auditors, new credentialing and auditing processes for prescribers, and a new level of authentication by prescribers before prescriptions are able

to be routed electronically. Physicians must apply to federally approved credential service providers or certification authorities to verify their identity and obtain the necessary credentials to engage in e-prescribing of controlled substances. Once a provider is authorized by a third person in the practice to prescribe controlled substances, providers must provide 2 modes of identification, including a user identification/password, a token (like a smart card), or a biometric factor (like a thumbprint) (http://www.deadiversion.usdoj.gov/fed_regs/rules/2010/fr0331.htm). Because of the complexity required to prevent drug diversion (forgeries), vendor compliance and provider adoption is expected to take 1 to 2 years.

LEAD AUTHORS

Kevin B. Johnson, MD, MS
Christoph U. Lehmann, MD, MS

COUNCIL ON CLINICAL INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE, 2011–2012

Mark A. Del Beccaro, MD, Chairperson
Gregg Alexander, DO
Willa H. Drummond, MD, MS
Anne B. Francis, MD
Eric G. Handler, MD, MPH
Timothy D. Johnson, DO, MMM
George R. Kim, MD
Michael Leu, MD, MS, MHS
Eric Tham, MD, MS
Stuart T. Weinberg, MD
Alan E. Zuckerman, MD

CONSULTANTS

Kevin B. Johnson, MD, MS
Christoph U. Lehmann, MD

STAFF

Jennifer Mansour
Ielnaz Kashefipour, MPP

REFERENCES

1. Stratos GA, Katz S, Bergen MR, Hallenbeck J. Faculty development in end-of-life care: evaluation of a national train-the-trainer program. *Acad Med*. 2006;81(11):1000–1007
2. US Department of Health. Health, United States. Rockville, MD. Washington, DC: US Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Public Health Service, Health Resources Administration, National Center for Health Statistics; 1976. Available at: <http://trove.nla.gov.au/work/3409744?selectedversion=NBD1081382>. Accessed July 9, 2012

3. Mangione-Smith R, DeCristofaro AH, Setodji CM, et al. The quality of ambulatory care delivered to children in the United States. *N Engl J Med*. 2007;357(15):1515–1523
4. McGlynn EA, Asch SM, Adams J, et al. The quality of health care delivered to adults in the United States. *N Engl J Med*. 2003;348(26):2635–2645
5. Ely JW, Osheroff JA, Maviglia SM, Rosenbaum ME. Patient-care questions that physicians are unable to answer. *J Am Med Inform Assoc*. 2007;14(4):407–414
6. O'Malley AS, Grossman JM, Cohen GR, Kemper NM, Pham HH. Are electronic medical records helpful for care coordination? Experiences of physician practices. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2010;25(3):177–185
7. Institute of Medicine, Committee on Understanding and Eliminating Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Health Care. In: Smedley BD, Stith AY, Nelson AR, eds. *Unequal Treatment: Confronting Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Health Care*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2003
8. Blumenthal D, Glaser JP. Information technology comes to medicine. *N Engl J Med*. 2007;356(24):2527–2534
9. AHIC endorses e-prescribing mandate. *Health Data Manag*. November 29, 2007. Available at: www.healthdatamanagement.com/news/mandate25246-1.html. Accessed June 26, 2012
10. Teich JM, Osheroff JA, Pifer EA, Sittig DF, Jenders RA; CDS Expert Review Panel. Clinical decision support in electronic prescribing: recommendations and an action plan: report of the joint clinical decision support workgroup. *J Am Med Inform Assoc*. 2005;12(4):365–376
11. US Department of Health and Human Services. HHS Broadband Fact Sheet. February 1, 2008. Available at: www.hhs.gov/news/facts/eprescribing.html. Accessed June 26, 2012
12. Institute of Medicine. *To err is human: building a safer health system*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2000. Available at: www.nap.edu/catalog.php?record_id=9728. Accessed June 26, 2012
13. Frederick J. Two more professional groups endorse e-prescribing initiative. June 8, 2008. Available at: www.drugstorenews.com/article/two-more-professional-groups-endorse-e-prescribing-initiative. Accessed June 26, 2012
14. Institute of Medicine, Committee on Identifying and Preventing Medication Errors. In: Aspden P, ed. *Preventing Medication Errors*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2007
15. Miller MR, Robinson KA, Lubomski LH, Rinke ML, Pronovost PJ. Medication errors in paediatric care: a systematic review of epidemiology and an evaluation of evidence supporting reduction strategy recommendations. *Qual Saf Health Care*. 2007;16(2):116–126
16. Gerstle RS, Lehmann CU; American Academy of Pediatrics Council on Clinical Information Technology. Electronic prescribing systems in pediatrics: the rationale and functionality requirements. *Pediatrics*. 2007;119(6):1229–1231
17. Spooner SA; Council on Clinical Information Technology, American Academy of Pediatrics. Special requirements of electronic health record systems in pediatrics. *Pediatrics*. 2007;119(3):631–637
18. Lesar TS. Errors in the use of medication dosage equations. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*. 1998;152(4):340–344
19. Lesar TS, Briceland L, Stein DS. Factors related to errors in medication prescribing. *JAMA*. 1997;277(4):312–317
20. Potts MJ, Phelan KW. Deficiencies in calculation and applied mathematics skills in pediatrics among primary care interns. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*. 1996;150(7):748–752
21. The Joint Commission. Sentinel event alert: preventing pediatric medication errors. *Jt Comm Perspect*. 2008;28(5):11–13, 15
22. Institute of Medicine, Committee on Quality in Healthcare in America. *To Err is Human: Building a Safer Health System*. 1999. Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 1999
23. Gandhi TK, Weingart SN, Borus J, et al. Adverse drug events in ambulatory care. *N Engl J Med*. 2003;348(16):1556–1564
24. Johnson JA, Bootman JL. Drug-related morbidity and mortality. A cost-of-illness model. *Arch Intern Med*. 1995;155(18):1949–1956
25. Kaushal R, Goldmann DA, Keohane CA, et al. Adverse drug events in pediatric outpatients. *Ambul Pediatr*. 2007;7(5):383–389
26. Zandieh SO, Goldmann DA, Keohane CA, Yoon C, Bates DW, Kaushal R. Risk factors in preventable adverse drug events in pediatric outpatients. *J Pediatr*. 2008;152(2):225–231
27. Miller GC, Britth HC, Valenti L. Adverse drug events in general practice patients in Australia. *Med J Aust*. 2006;184(7):321–324
28. Schedlbauer A, Prasad V, Mulvaney C, et al. What evidence supports the use of computerized alerts and prompts to improve clinicians' prescribing behavior? *J Am Med Inform Assoc*. 2009;16(4):531–538
29. Ammenwerth E, Schnell-Inderst P, Machan C, Siebert U. The effect of electronic prescribing on medication errors and adverse drug events: a systematic review. *J Am Med Inform Assoc*. 2008;15(5):585–600
30. Jani YH, Ghaleb MA, Marks SD, Cope J, Barber N, Wong IC. Electronic prescribing reduced prescribing errors in a pediatric renal outpatient clinic. *J Pediatr*. 2008;152(2):214–218
31. Garg AX, Adhikari NK, McDonald H, et al. Effects of computerized clinical decision support systems on practitioner performance and patient outcomes: a systematic review. *JAMA*. 2005;293(10):1223–1238
32. Wong IC, Ghaleb MA, Franklin BD, Barber N. Incidence and nature of dosing errors in paediatric medications: a systematic review. *Drug Saf*. 2004;27(9):661–670
33. The Joint Commission. *A Guide to the Joint Commission's Medication Management Standards*, 2nd ed. Oakbrook Terrace, IL: The Joint Commission; 2009
34. Zimmer KP, Miller MR, Lee BH, Miller RE, Lehmann CU. Electronic narcotic prescription writer: use in medical error reduction. *J Patient Saf*. 2008;4(2):98–105
35. Johnson KB, Ho YX, Cala CM, Davison C. Showing Your Work: Impact of annotating electronic prescriptions with decision support results. *J Biomed Inform*. 2010;43(2):321–325
36. Oshikoya KA, Ojo OI. Medication errors in paediatric outpatient prescriptions of a teaching hospital in Nigeria. *Nig Q J Hosp Med*. 2007;17(2):74–78
37. Kaushal R, Goldmann DA, Keohane CA, et al. Medication errors in paediatric outpatients. *Qual Saf Health Care*. 2010;19(6):e30
38. Levine SR, Cohen MR, Blanchard NR, et al. Guidelines for preventing medication errors in pediatrics. *J Pediatr Pharmacol Ther*. 2001;6:427–443
39. Falagas ME, Vouloumanou EK, Plessa E, Peppas G, Rafailidis PI. Inaccuracies in dosing drugs with teaspoons and tablespoons. *Int J Clin Pract*. 2010;64(9):1185–1189
40. Institute for Safe Medicine Practices. Safety standards needed for expressing/measuring doses of liquid medications. *ISMP Medication Safety Alert*. 2011;10:6
41. Yaffe SJ, Bierman CW, Cann HM, et al. Inaccuracies in administering liquid medication. *Pediatrics*. 1975;56(2):327–328
42. Leyva M, Sharif I, Ozuah PO. Health literacy among Spanish-speaking Latino parents with limited English proficiency. *Ambul Pediatr*. 2005;5(1):56–59

43. Freedman RB, Jones SK, Lin A, Robin AL, Muir KW. Influence of parental health literacy and dosing responsibility on pediatric glaucoma medication adherence. *Arch Ophthalmol*. 2012;130(3):306–311
44. Rupp MT, Warholak TL. Evaluation of e-prescribing in chain community pharmacy: best-practice recommendations. *J Am Pharm Assoc (2003)*. 2008;48(3):364–370
45. Warholak TL, Rupp MT. Analysis of community chain pharmacists' interventions on electronic prescriptions. *J Am Pharm Assoc (2003)*. 2009;49(1):59–64
46. Newby DA, Robertson J. Computerised prescribing: assessing the impact on prescription repeats and on generic substitution of some commonly used antibiotics. *Med J Aust*. 2010;192(4):192–195
47. Stenner SP, Chen Q, Johnson KB. Impact of generic substitution decision support on electronic prescribing behavior. *J Am Med Inform Assoc*. 2010;17(6):681–688
48. Krueger KP, Berger BA, Felkey B. Medication adherence and persistence: a comprehensive review. *Adv Ther*. 2005;22(4):313–356
49. Han YY, Carcillo JA, Venkataraman ST, et al. Unexpected increased mortality after implementation of a commercially sold computerized physician order entry system. *Pediatrics*. 2005;116(6):1506–1512
50. Classen DC, Pestotnik SL, Evans RS, Burke JP. Computerized surveillance of adverse drug events in hospital patients. *JAMA*. 1991;266(20):2847–2851
51. Evans RS, Classen DC, Pestotnik SL, Lundsgaarde HP, Burke JP. Improving empiric antibiotic selection using computer decision support. *Arch Intern Med*. 1994;154(8):878–884
52. Koppel R, Metlay JP, Cohen A, et al. Role of computerized physician order entry systems in facilitating medication errors. *JAMA*. 2005;293(10):1197–1203
53. Wang CJ, Marken RS, Meili RC, Straus JB, Landman AB, Bell DS. Functional characteristics of commercial ambulatory electronic prescribing systems: a field study. *J Am Med Inform Assoc*. 2005;12(3):346–356
54. Weingart SN, Massagli M, Cyrulik A, et al. Assessing the value of electronic prescribing in ambulatory care: a focus group study. *Int J Med Inform*. 2009;78(9):571–578
55. Lapane KL, Waring ME, Schneider KL, Dubé C, Quilliam BJ. A mixed method study of the merits of e-prescribing drug alerts in primary care. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2008;23(4):442–446
56. Weingart SN, Toth M, Sands DZ, Aronson MD, Davis RB, Phillips RS. Physicians' decisions to override computerized drug alerts in primary care. *Arch Intern Med*. 2003;163(21):2625–2631
57. Rosenberg SN, Sullivan M, Juster IA, Jacques J. Overrides of medication alerts in ambulatory care. [lett] *Arch Intern Med*. 2009;169(14):1337–, author reply 1338
58. Shah NR, Seger AC, Seger DL, et al. Improving override rates for computerized prescribing alerts in ambulatory care. *AMIA Annu Symp Proc*. 2005;1110
59. Bourgeois FT, Mandl KD, Valim C, Shannon MW. Pediatric adverse drug events in the outpatient setting: an 11-year national analysis. *Pediatrics*. 2009;124(4). Available at: www.pediatrics.org/cgi/content/full/124/4/e744

Electronic Prescribing in Pediatrics: Toward Safer and More Effective Medication Management

Kevin B. Johnson, Christoph U. Lehmann and the COUNCIL ON CLINICAL INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

Pediatrics 2013;131:e1350

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2013-0193 originally published online March 25, 2013;

Updated Information & Services

including high resolution figures, can be found at:
<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/131/4/e1350>

References

This article cites 50 articles, 7 of which you can access for free at:
<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/131/4/e1350#BIBL>

Subspecialty Collections

This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the following collection(s):

- Council on Clinical Information Technology**
http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/council_on_clinical_information_technology
- Administration/Practice Management**
http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/administration:practice_management_sub
- Quality Improvement**
http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/quality_improvement_sub
- Standard of Care**
http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/standard_of_care_sub
- Medical Technology and Advancement**
http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/med_tech_advancement_sub
- Health Information Technology**
http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/health_information_technology_sub
- Electronic Health Records**
http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/electronic_health_records_sub

Permissions & Licensing

Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at:
<http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/Permissions.xhtml>

Reprints

Information about ordering reprints can be found online:
<http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/reprints.xhtml>

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN®



PEDIATRICS®

OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS

Electronic Prescribing in Pediatrics: Toward Safer and More Effective Medication Management

Kevin B. Johnson, Christoph U. Lehmann and the COUNCIL ON CLINICAL INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

Pediatrics 2013;131:e1350

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2013-0193 originally published online March 25, 2013;

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:

<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/131/4/e1350>

Pediatrics is the official journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. A monthly publication, it has been published continuously since 1948. Pediatrics is owned, published, and trademarked by the American Academy of Pediatrics, 345 Park Avenue, Itasca, Illinois, 60143. Copyright © 2013 by the American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 1073-0397.

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN®

