



## POLICY STATEMENT

# The Teen Driver

Committee on Injury, Violence, and Poison Prevention  
Committee on Adolescence

Organizational Principles to Guide and  
Define the Child Health Care System and/or  
Improve the Health of All Children

## ABSTRACT

Motor vehicle–related injuries to adolescents continue to be of paramount importance to society. Since the original policy statement on the teenaged driver was published in 1996, there have been substantial changes in many state laws and much new research on this topic. There is a need to provide pediatricians with up-to-date information and materials to facilitate appropriate counseling and anticipatory guidance. This statement describes why teenagers are at greater risk of motor vehicle–related injuries, suggests topics suitable for office-based counseling, describes innovative programs, and proposes preventive interventions for pediatricians, parents, legislators, educators, and other child advocates.

## MAGNITUDE OF THE PROBLEM

Motor vehicle crashes continue to be the leading cause of death for 16- to 20-year-olds, accounting for approximately 5500 occupant fatalities annually (27 deaths per 100 000 population).<sup>1</sup> Each year, approximately 450 000 teenagers are injured, and 27 000 of them require hospitalization.<sup>1,2</sup> Of those killed, approximately 63% are drivers and 37% are passengers. Two thirds of the teenagers who die in automobile crashes are male.<sup>1</sup>

In 2004, 7700 teenaged drivers were involved in a crash in which someone died. Although the 12 million adolescent drivers represent only approximately 6% of total drivers, they account for approximately 14% of the fatal crashes.<sup>3</sup> In terms of total crashes per million miles driven, 16- to 19-year-olds have a crash rate almost twice that of 20- to 24-year-olds, almost 3 times that of 25- to 29-year-olds, and more than 4 times that of 30- to 69-year olds.<sup>4</sup> Within the 16- to 19-year age range, the youngest drivers have the highest risk. The crash rate for 16-year-olds (35 crashes per million miles) is much higher than that even for 17-year-olds (20 crashes per million miles) and is almost 9 times greater than that of the general population of drivers (4 crashes per million miles).

## ADOLESCENT RISK FACTORS

### Inexperience

The adolescent, as a novice driver, lacks the experience and ability to perform many of the complex tasks of ordinary driving. Compared with experienced drivers, the novice adolescent driver is less proficient in detecting and responding to hazards and controlling the vehicle, especially at higher speeds. The risk of having a crash during the learner-permit stage is low, because the teenager is supervised and is generally not driving in high-risk conditions.<sup>4</sup> In contrast, data from Nova Scotia show that the highest crash rate is seen during the first month after the teenager gets his or her license (120 crashes per 10 000 drivers).<sup>5</sup> After

[www.pediatrics.org/cgi/doi/10.1542/peds.2006-2830](http://www.pediatrics.org/cgi/doi/10.1542/peds.2006-2830)

doi:10.1542/peds.2006-2830

All policy statements from the American Academy of Pediatrics automatically expire 5 years after publication unless reaffirmed, revised, or retired at or before that time.

### Key Words

teen driver, graduated driver licensing, adolescent, car

### Abbreviations

BAC—blood alcohol concentration  
ADHD—attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder  
GDL—graduated driver licensing  
PEDIATRICS (ISSN Numbers: Print, 0031-4005; Online, 1098-4275). Copyright © 2006 by the American Academy of Pediatrics

the first month, the crash rate decreases rather quickly over the next 5 months (70 crashes per 10 000 drivers) and then shows a slower decline for the next 18 months (50 crashes per 10 000 drivers). Because rapid improvement is seen over such a short time period, inexperience appears to be a much more important factor in crash rates than young age. Although these data also show that driver experience improves driving skills, traditional driver education programs usually provide only 6 hours of on-the-road training.

### Risk Taking

It is normal for adolescents to take chances, succumb to peer pressures, overestimate their abilities, and have emotional mood swings. These behaviors can all place the teenaged driver at greater risk of having automobile crashes. Males seem to be at especially high risk, possibly as a result of social norms and media images that equate fast driving and ability to perform difficult driving maneuvers as masculine.<sup>6</sup> In 2004, 38% of male and 25% of female drivers 15 to 20 years of age involved in fatal crashes were speeding at the time of the crash.<sup>7</sup> These rates were higher than for any other age group. It must be stressed, however, that the great majority of nonfatal crashes involving 16-year-old drivers result from inexperience rather than from speeding or patently risky behavior.<sup>8</sup>

There is evidence from MRI research that the prefrontal cortex (the area of the brain responsible for planning, impulse control, and executive decision-making) does not mature fully until the early to mid-20s.<sup>9</sup> Although some legislators are using such brain-development research to support limits on teenaged driving, no scientific data have yet been published that link driving behavior to neuroimaging findings.

### Teenaged Passengers

With adolescent drivers, the chance of being involved in a car crash is directly proportional to the number of teenaged passengers being transported.<sup>4,10</sup> Compared with driving alone, 16- to 17-year-olds have a 40% increased risk of crashing when they have 1 friend in the car, double the risk with 2 passengers, and almost 4 times the risk with 3 or more teenaged passengers. This relationship was not seen with adult drivers and is much less marked with 18- to 19-year-old drivers.<sup>4</sup>

The most dangerous way a teenager can get to and from school is by driving in a car with a teenaged driver.<sup>11</sup> Open-campus school lunch policies, in which groups of teenagers drive away from school to eat, are also associated with high crash rates.<sup>12</sup> The underlying reasons that teenaged passengers increase driving risk are not clear. In addition to general distraction, intentional encouragement of risky driving behavior and other social interactions may play a role. For both male and female teenaged drivers, the presence of a male

passenger results in faster speeds and more risky driving behaviors than does the presence of a female passenger.<sup>13</sup>

### Nighttime Driving

Young teenaged drivers (16- and 17-year-olds) have a higher rate of nighttime crashes than do drivers of any other age group. Before nighttime driving curfews were instituted widely, only 14% of the miles driven by 16- to 17-year-old drivers occurred between 9 PM and 6 AM, yet this time period accounted for 32% of fatal crashes in this age group. Although nighttime restrictions for teenagers commonly limit driving after midnight, 58% of the fatal nighttime crashes occur in the 3-hour period before midnight.<sup>14</sup> For young teenaged drivers, fatal nighttime crashes are more likely to be associated with multiple teenaged passengers, speeding, and alcohol use.<sup>15</sup> Although it is inherently more difficult to drive in the dark for drivers of all ages, fatigue and lack of practice may play a greater role for teenagers.

### Alcohol, Marijuana, and Medications

During the period 1982–2001, fatal alcohol-related crash rates decreased by 60% for 16- to 17-year-old drivers.<sup>16</sup> In 1982, 31% of teenagers fatally injured had an especially high blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of 0.10% or greater, but this statistic dropped to 12% by 1995–2001. Teenagers drink and drive less often than adults, but their crash risks are higher than adults when they do drink, especially at low and moderate BACs.<sup>17</sup> In the 2005 Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance Study, 9.9% of 9th- through 12th-graders said that in the last month they had driven after drinking, and 28.5% admitted to riding with a driver who had been drinking.<sup>18</sup>

The prevalence of acute marijuana use among drivers is estimated to be 1% to 6%.<sup>19</sup> Of those drivers involved in severe injury crashes, positive cannabis levels or self-reports of recent use have been found in higher numbers (6%–25%),<sup>19–21</sup> suggesting a relationship between marijuana and crashes. Much, but not all, of this relationship may be the result of other risky driving habits (positive BAC, no seat belt, speeding, sleepy while driving) that often are associated with marijuana use.<sup>19,20</sup>

In a study of 414 injured drivers (all ages) in Colorado, urine toxicology assays detected marijuana more frequently than alcohol (17% vs 14%).<sup>22</sup> Evidence from experimental studies has demonstrated impaired performance on various driving skills tests after the use of marijuana.<sup>23</sup> Furthermore, when just moderate doses of alcohol and marijuana were used together, a dramatic deterioration in driving performance (swerving, slowed reaction time) resulted.

A variety of prescription and over-the-counter medications, such as sedatives, analgesics, sedating antihistamines, stimulants, and antihypertensives, may have detrimental effects on driving abilities. Drug combinations and drugs mixed with alcohol can be especially

problematic. A single 50-mg dose of diphenhydramine has been shown to have a greater effect on driving performance than a BAC of 0.10%.<sup>24</sup> Failure to warn patients about the possibility of driving impairment from medications has resulted in successful lawsuits against physicians.

### **Safety Belts**

As with adults, low safety belt use by teenagers results in preventable injuries and deaths. Approximately 82% of all motorists wear safety belts, but the rate reported by the National Center for Statistics and Analysis for 16- to 24-year-olds is 77%.<sup>25</sup> In a study of teenaged drivers who were observed arriving at high school, only 62% were wearing their seat belts.<sup>26</sup> The passengers of these teenaged drivers wore restraints only 47% of the time. Analysis of fatal crashes with teenaged drivers demonstrates that safety belt use is lower in high-risk situations (driving under the influence of alcohol, nighttime driving, having multiple teenaged passengers, when the car is older, and when the driver is male or unlicensed or has a suspended license). Safety belts were used by only 18% of drivers with a BAC of 0.10% or higher, compared with 40% of sober drivers.<sup>27</sup>

For teenaged occupants, approximately 58% of those who were killed in automobile crashes in 2004 were unbelted.<sup>1</sup> Because safety belts have been shown to be 45% effective in preventing front-seat fatalities, many of these deaths could have been prevented.<sup>28</sup> Air bags alone have been found to be only 10% effective in preventing deaths.<sup>29</sup> The reasons teenagers give for not wearing seat belts include not “cool,” peer pressure, wrinkles clothes, traveling short distance, and feeling that “nothing will happen to me.”<sup>30</sup> Almost half of teenagers (47%) say they feel that safety belts are “as likely to cause harm as to help,” 27% said wearing a safety belt makes them “worry more about being in an accident,” and 30% indicated they would feel “self-conscious if they were going against the group norm in wearing safety belts.”<sup>31</sup> Only 27% of the actors playing motor vehicle occupants in 25 recent G-rated and PG-rated films were portrayed wearing safety belts.<sup>32</sup>

### **Vehicles Driven**

There is evidence that adolescents are more likely than adults to drive smaller and older-model cars, especially if the teen is the owner of the car.<sup>33,34</sup> This is problematic, because smaller cars provide less crash protection than larger cars, and older-model cars often have fewer modern safety features.<sup>35</sup> When teenagers drive sport utility vehicles, they are significantly more likely to have a rollover than are drivers older than 24 years.<sup>36</sup> Sporty cars with high-performance features may encourage speeding.<sup>37</sup> One survey showed that parents choose cars for their teenagers more on the basis of price and style than on the basis of safety features.<sup>33</sup>

### **Distractions Including Cellular Phones**

Distractions are contributing factors for motor vehicle crashes for both adolescents and adults. Eating, drinking, and adjusting the radio or the climate controls each cause more crashes than cellular phone use.<sup>38</sup> Cellular phone use has been estimated to increase crash rates by fourfold,<sup>39</sup> and hands-free models are not associated with significantly less risk.<sup>40</sup> There is some evidence that distractions may be a greater problem for the inexperienced driver. Distracted novice drivers tend to glance away from the road for longer periods of time, during which they have trouble responding to hazards and staying in their lane.<sup>41</sup>

### **Unlicensed Drivers**

Drivers without valid licenses (unlicensed, revoked, suspended) tend to be younger and male, are more apt to have been involved in a fatal nighttime crash or to have a recent conviction for driving while intoxicated, and are more likely to have had multiple license suspensions. Approximately 5% of drivers younger than 20 years who have been involved in a fatal crash were driving with their license suspended or revoked, and 10% had never held a license.<sup>42</sup> Unlicensed teenaged drivers are 5 times more likely to have had a conviction for driving while intoxicated and 3 times more likely to have had a previous license suspension than are fatally injured teenagers with valid licenses.<sup>3</sup>

### **Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder**

Teenaged drivers with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) are 2 to 4 times more likely to be injured in a motor vehicle crash than are their peers without ADHD.<sup>43</sup> They are also more likely to have repeat traffic citations and to have their licenses suspended or revoked. Driving performance of teenagers with ADHD seems to improve with psychostimulant medication, primarily because of decreased errors of inattentiveness.<sup>44</sup> Compared with 3-times-a-day dosing of methylphenidate, longer-acting, controlled-release medication may result in better driving throughout the day and, particularly, during the evening hours.<sup>45</sup>

## **PROPOSED INTERVENTIONS**

### **Graduated Licensing Systems**

Since the American Academy of Pediatrics published the 1996 statement on the teenaged driver, almost all states have enacted some form of a graduated driver licensing (GDL) law. In the traditional 2-stage approach, after the novice passed vision and knowledge tests, he or she obtained a learner's permit. Because most states had no requirement for the permit to be held for a minimum time period, many teens moved quickly through this learning stage without much chance to develop adequate driving skills.<sup>46</sup> Once a specified age was reached,

the teenaged driver passed a road test and obtained an unrestricted license.

In contrast, in a GDL system, there are 3 stages: a learner's permit, an intermediate or provisional stage, and a regular driver's license. Each stage has specific components, restrictions, and minimum time requirements. To graduate to the next stage, the novice must spend the required time at the lower stage, acquire and demonstrate proficiency in driving skills, and not incur a driving violation for a defined period. The provisional stage, with its restrictions, is designed to give the novice a chance to gain extensive driving experience under low-risk conditions. Although currently there are inadequate research data to determine exactly when the permit and provisional stages should begin and for how long they should last, some consensus of expert opinion does exist.<sup>47</sup>

Initial evaluations of GDL programs have been encouraging. In Florida, the first state to pass a GDL law, the number of fatal crashes for 15- to 17-year-olds decreased by 9% in the year after the law was instituted.<sup>48</sup> A *Cochrane Database* review of 13 GDL programs found reductions in total crashes (26%–41%), crashes resulting in injuries (4%–43%), and crashes resulting in hospitalizations (35%) for 16-year-old drivers. Furthermore, these crash rates remained decreased for multiple years after the new GDL laws went into effect.<sup>49</sup> It is unlikely that safer driving by young teenagers is the main reason for decreased crash rates seen with GDL. Data show that after GDL laws are passed, there are fewer licensed young drivers and they are driving fewer unsupervised miles because of the imposed restrictions.<sup>50</sup> Between 1993 and 2003, the percentage of 16-year-olds who became licensed decreased by 26%, and there was a 13% decrease for 17-year-olds and a 5% decrease for 18-year-olds.<sup>51</sup> Over the same 10-year period, the likelihood that a 16-year-old license holder would be involved in a fatal crash remained unchanged (73–74 crashes per 100 000 license holders). Fatal crash rates per licensed driver were also unchanged for older teenaged drivers.

In addition to delay in licensure, it appears that the 3 provisions of GDL responsible for the most benefit are (1) limits on nighttime driving, (2) restrictions on the number of passengers during the intermediate stage, and (3) requirements that novice drivers remain crash and violation free for a certain period of time before advancing to the next level.<sup>52</sup> Unfortunately, many states have not incorporated these components into their laws. As of March 2006, the Insurance Institute for Highway Safety's evaluation of GDL laws rated 23 states and the District of Columbia as "good," 15 states as "fair," 11 states as "marginal," and 1 state as "poor."<sup>53</sup> For 15- to 17-year-old drivers, data from 1992–2002 show that good GDL programs decreased fatal crashes by 19%, fair programs reduced nighttime crashes by 13% (but had no

effect on daytime crashes), and marginal laws had no measured benefit.<sup>54</sup>

### Nighttime and Passenger Restrictions

Although GDL laws are effective, it is difficult to know how much each individual restriction contributes to improved crash and injury rates. Historically, when jurisdictions implemented general curfew ordinances for teenagers, crash and injury rates decreased substantially.<sup>55</sup> In the decade between 1993 and 2003, the percentage of fatal crashes that occurred between midnight and 5 AM remained unchanged (10%) for 16-year-old drivers.<sup>51</sup> Recently published evidence shows that in states with a driving restriction that starts before midnight, there has been a 13% decrease in evening crash fatalities for 15- to 17-year-old drivers.<sup>54</sup> Although there are no crash data to support the practice, many states exempt school, work, and religious activities from the nighttime driving restriction. The Insurance Institute for Highway Safety supports such an exemption, stating that "the intention is not to deny essential driving at night, but to limit high-risk recreational driving."<sup>47</sup>

Driving with fewer teenaged passengers has been proven safer. For 16-year-old drivers from 1993–2003, the proportion of fatal crashes involving teenaged passengers decreased from 53% to 44%.<sup>51</sup> Data from 1992–2002 for 15- to 17-year-old drivers show that the decrease in teenaged fatalities from passenger restrictions was more the result of fewer teenagers being put at risk rather than a substantial reduction in the "distraction factor" associated with teenaged passengers.<sup>54</sup> Unfortunately, many teenagers do not comply with a passenger restriction, many parents do not support it, and police frequently do not enforce it. As of March 2006, 44 states and the District of Columbia restrict nighttime driving to some degree, but only 21 states have driving curfews that start before midnight. Sixteen states still have not implemented any form of passenger restriction, and only 3 states and the District of Columbia maintain the passenger restriction until the driver is 18 years of age.<sup>53</sup>

### Driver Education

Traditional driver education programs contain 30 hours of classroom and 6 hours of on-road instruction. Several reviews of the literature have shown that such courses are not effective in creating safe drivers and decreasing crash risk.<sup>56</sup> In fact, some studies show that high school driver education programs encourage early licensure of the youngest, most dangerous drivers, with resulting increased crashes, injuries, and deaths.<sup>57,58</sup> GDL laws provide an opportunity to redesign driver education for teenagers. Several states have recognized that traditional driver education courses do not have adequate behind-the-wheel training and have added GDL requirements for 20 to 50 hours of supervised driving (5–10 hours at night) during the initial permit stage. Furthermore, a

2-step approach has been suggested (but not yet widely implemented or evaluated) in which the basic course in vehicle handling and “rules of the road” are taught during the permit stage. In the second step, the intermediate stage, the student would be required to take a more advanced safety course in which skills such as hazard recognition, avoidance of risk, and adjusting to road and weather conditions are taught.<sup>59</sup> Courses that teach skid control and advanced maneuvering techniques should be avoided by novice drivers, because they can encourage overconfidence and a more aggressive driving style, resulting in increased crash rates.<sup>60</sup>

It has been suggested that driving experience, not training, is the key to becoming a safer driver.<sup>61</sup> When permit and provisional stages are shortened and training time is reduced for graduates of formal driver education programs, crash rates increase.<sup>62</sup> Some states have lowered the permit age to allow for more supervised practice, but this could potentially lead to early licensure of the youngest, most dangerous drivers.

The American Automobile Association and other organizations sell driver education materials including instruction manuals, log books, videotapes, and CD-ROMs that are designed to help parents supervise this on-road training.<sup>63</sup> Relatively inexpensive driving-simulation programs for use on a home computer may be beneficial in helping students learn to identify road hazards.<sup>63,64</sup> Whether practice on such simulators translates into safer driving or decreased crashes remains to be shown.

### Alcohol-Related Measures

Two types of alcohol-related regulations exist: minimum drinking-age laws and drunk-driving laws. The latter include “zero-tolerance” alcohol laws and regulations for licensure suspension or revocation. All 50 states currently have minimum drinking-age laws that prohibit the sale of alcohol to anyone younger than 21 years. An analysis of 46 scientific studies on the efficacy of raising the minimum legal drinking age to 21 years showed a 17% median decrease in fatal automobile crashes in adolescents.<sup>65</sup> The National Highway Traffic Safety Administration estimates that minimum legal drinking-age laws have saved the lives of almost 24 000 18- to 20-year-olds since 1975.<sup>3</sup> Unfortunately, youth can still obtain alcohol relatively easily, and underaged drinkers are rarely caught or punished. For example, a study in a metropolitan area of northern California showed that minors were able to purchase alcohol in 39% of attempts.<sup>66</sup>

By 1998, all states had passed zero-tolerance laws that set a maximum BAC of 0.02% or less for young drivers. An offender is faced with administrative (not involving the courts) suspension or revocation of his or her driver’s license. Meta-analysis of several studies revealed that fatal crash rates decreased 9% to 24% after zero-tolerance laws were enacted.<sup>65</sup> These laws work both by

detering youth through fear of losing their driver’s license if they drive after drinking and by reinforcing the broad community disapproval of drinking and driving. Although underaged drinking is prevalent and often tolerated, drinking and driving has become less socially acceptable among youth.<sup>67</sup> There is evidence that drunk driving is influenced more by friends’ approval or disapproval than by fear of arrest and sanction.<sup>68</sup>

Although youth and community educational programs such as Mothers Against Drunk Driving (MADD) and Students Against Destructive Decisions (SADD) have the potential to change knowledge and attitudes, there is little evidence to prove that they have a direct effect on youth drinking and alcohol-related driving.<sup>67</sup> Using a designated driver has become common, but in many instances the designated driver does not abstain from drinking alcoholic beverages.<sup>69</sup> On the other hand, there is significant evidence that sobriety checkpoints, an aggressive enforcement strategy, can decrease crash rates by approximately 20%.<sup>65</sup> Sobriety checkpoints are designed to be a deterrent to alcohol-related driving and are most effective when heavily publicized so that drivers perceive that there is a significant chance they will be caught and arrested.

### Improved Safety Belt Laws

There are 2 types of safety belt laws: primary and secondary. With a primary law, a police officer can issue a citation when he or she simply observes an unbelted occupant. With secondary laws, a safety belt citation can be written only after the officer has stopped the car for another infraction. As of September 2005, 21 states and the District of Columbia have primary laws, but many of those laws are limited to the driver and front-seat passengers.<sup>70</sup> Safety belt use is approximately 85% in states with primary laws but only 75% in states with secondary laws.<sup>71</sup> Teenaged drivers killed during 1995–2000 were wearing safety belts 47% of the time in states with primary laws but only 30% of the time in states with secondary laws.<sup>72</sup>

Highly visible strict enforcement is the key to increasing use of restraints. People will buckle up if they perceive that they are likely to be fined. Selective traffic enforcement programs with intense media publicity and increased police patrols have been shown, at least in the short term, to increase safety belt use by 8% to 24% and decrease fatal and nonfatal injuries by 7% to 15%.<sup>29</sup>

GDL laws that include requirements that prohibit graduation to the next phase for a safety belt citation may be effective.<sup>73</sup> Although many states do require all passengers with an intermediate licensed driver to be restrained, many parents and teenagers may not be aware of this regulation.<sup>74</sup> Community programs that combine education, peer-to-peer persuasion, publicized enforcement (especially in schools), and parental monitoring may have some potential for increasing safety

belt use among teenagers.<sup>73,75</sup> Economic incentives may also increase safety belt use.<sup>76</sup> Technologic solutions, such as electronic safety belt reminders, safety belt use recorders, and interlock systems that do not allow the car stereo to function unless the safety belt is fastened, may be valuable but require further evaluation.<sup>73</sup>

### Parental Interventions

With regard to teenaged driving, parents have several roles: (1) give permission for the teenager to obtain a license, (2) control access to the vehicle, (3) set family restrictions and punishments for infractions, (4) influence selection of the vehicle, (5) be a driving instructor and supervisor, and (6) serve as a role model for safe driving. Unfortunately, parents are often completely unaware of their teenager's risky driving habits.<sup>77</sup> They tend to place more restrictions on the details of the trip (permission, destination, time home) than on dangerous driving conditions (eg, night driving with teenaged passengers).<sup>78</sup>

Risky teenaged driving behaviors, traffic violations, and crashes are less common when parents impose strict limitations.<sup>79</sup> Although not yet studied in great detail, parent-teenager written driving contracts that clearly delineate rules and consequences may result in better communication, more restrictions, and safer parent and teenager attitudes.<sup>78,80,81</sup> To date, there is insufficient evidence that such contracts improve driver safety or decrease violations and crashes.

Emerging technologies, such as on-board cameras and computers, allow parents to determine whether their teenager is driving safely, but this approach has not yet been evaluated. Finally, parents must recognize their importance as positive role models in terms of safe driving and safety belt use. Parents with bad driving records are much more likely to have children who get traffic citations and are involved in motor vehicle crashes.<sup>82</sup>

### RECOMMENDATIONS

Because motor vehicle crashes pose a major, continuing threat to the health of teenagers, the American Academy of Pediatrics makes the following recommendations.

#### Anticipatory Guidance by Pediatricians

Pediatricians should:

- Know their state laws regarding teenaged drivers, the teenaged driver-licensing process, and physician reporting requirements for medical conditions that could impair driving ability.
- Distribute educational materials about local GDL programs and teenaged driver safety to their adolescent patients (see Appendix 1).
- Alert parents and teenagers to high-risk situations for teenaged drivers (Table 1).

**TABLE 1 Contributors to Teenaged Driver Crashes and Injury**

Lack of driver experience
Young age at licensure
Failure to use safety belts
Inadequate hazard-perception skills
Distraction (cellular phone, food, drink, music)
Transporting teenaged passengers
Nighttime driving
Speeding and reckless driving
Fatigue
Unsafe vehicle choice
Alcohol use
Drug or medication use
Inadequate parental limit setting
Unlicensed or revoked license
ADHD

- Encourage seat belt use.
- Discourage distractions when driving (eating, drinking, music, cellular phones).
- Encourage teenager-parent written contracts (see Appendix 2 for sample) that place restrictions on the teenaged driver. At a minimum, parents should place restrictions on nighttime driving (preferably after 9:00 PM) and limits on the number of teenaged passengers. Initially, the rules should be fairly strict, but they can be relaxed as the teenager becomes older and gains more driving experience.
- Counsel teenagers about the dangers of driving while impaired (under the influence of alcohol, drugs, or medications or feeling ill, tired, depressed, or angry). Encourage a "safe-ride" agreement in which the teenager agrees to call the parent rather than drive while impaired and the parent promises to assist in arranging a ride home in a nonjudgmental manner.
- Encourage parents to require that the vehicle driven by the teenager is safe and in good condition.
- Advise parents that in many states, they have the authority to request that the driver's license of their minor child be revoked.
- Encourage parents to be positive role models.
- Advise parents about the various driving schools, Web sites, computer driving simulations, and parent-supervised driving lessons that are available (Appendix 1).

#### Community Advocacy by Pediatricians

Pediatricians should:

- Support community efforts that encourage safe teenaged driving.
- Work with schools to encourage safety belt use and discourage alcohol use.
- Discourage school systems from continuing traditional driver education programs that are ineffective and encourage licensure of young teenagers.

**TABLE 2 Essential Features That Should Be Mandated in GDL Systems**

1. A learner-permit phase that starts no earlier than 16 y of age and lasts at least 6 mo
2. A minimum of 30 h (preferably 50 h) of adult-supervised, on-road driving during the permit stage (at least 5–10 of these supervised practice hours should be at night)
3. A provisional (intermediate) stage, with restrictions, that lasts until 18 y of age
4. A nighttime driving restriction (9:00 PM to 5:00 AM until driving with provisional license for 6 mo, followed by a midnight to 5:00 AM restriction until 18 y of age)
5. Passenger limits (unless supervised by an adult)
  - a. First 6 mo with provisional license: no teenaged passengers
  - b. Until 18 y of age: no more than 1 teenaged passenger
6. Prompt imposition of fines, remedial driver classes, or license suspension for violation of passenger or curfew restrictions
7. Use of safety belts and appropriate child restraints by all occupants
8. No cellular phone use while in the provisional stage
9. Zero tolerance for alcohol and provisions for administrative license revocation for drunk driving, excessive speeding, or reckless driving
10. Documented safe driving record before full licensure is granted

It is suggested that states also consider a requirement for additional supervised driver experience/education (focused on hazard recognition and risk avoidance) during the provisional stage and a requirement for an additional on-road test to graduate from provisional to full licensure.

- Discourage school policies that allow students to drive off campus for lunch.
- Encourage police to enforce GDL and seat belt laws.
- Collaborate with police and media to promote sobriety checkpoints and safety belt education and enforcement programs.

#### **Legislative Advocacy by Pediatricians**

Pediatricians should:

- Support strong GDL legislation in their states (Table 2).
- Support improvement and enforcement of laws designed to limit the purchase, possession, and consumption of alcohol by underage adolescents.
- Support primary enforcement of safety belt laws for all occupants.

#### **Involvement of the Alcoholic Beverage and Entertainment Industries in Encouraging Responsible Behavior**

Pediatricians should:

- Encourage the alcoholic beverage industry to eliminate advertising aimed at youth.
- Encourage the media to avoid portrayal of speeding and reckless driving in contexts that invite imitation.
- Encourage the media to show universal use of safety belts.

#### **COMMITTEE ON INJURY, VIOLENCE, AND POISON PREVENTION, 2005–2006**

Gary A. Smith, MD, DrPH, Chairperson

Carl R. Baum, MD

M. Denise Dowd, MD, MPH

Dennis R. Durbin, MD, MSCE

H. Garry Gardner, MD

Robert D. Sege, MD, PhD

Michael S. Turner, MD

\*Jeffrey C. Weiss, MD

Joseph L. Wright, MD, MPH

#### **LIAISONS**

Ruth A. Brenner, MD, MPH

National Institute of Child Health and Human Development

Stephanie Bryn, MPH

Health Resources and Services

Administration/Maternal and Child Health Bureau

Julie Gilchrist, MD

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Jonathan D. Midgett, PhD

US Consumer Product Safety Commission

Alexander (Sandy) Sinclair

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

Lynne J. Warda, MD

Canadian Paediatric Society

#### **STAFF**

Rebecca Levin-Goodman, MPH

#### **COMMITTEE ON ADOLESCENCE, 2005–2006**

Joanthan D. Klein, MD, Chairperson

Michelle S. Barratt, MD

Margaret J. Blythe, MD

Paula K. Braverman, MD

Angela Diaz, MD

David S. Rosen, MD

Charles J. Wibbelsman, MD

#### **LIAISONS**

Miriam Kaufman, MD

Canadian Paediatric Society

Marc R. Laufer, MD

American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists

Benjamin Shain, MD

American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry

#### **STAFF**

Karen Smith

\*Lead author

## REFERENCES

- National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. *Traffic Safety Facts 2004: A Compilation of Motor Vehicle Crash Data From the Fatality Analysis Reporting System and the General Estimates System (Early Edition)*. Washington, DC: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration; 2005. US Department of Transportation publication HS 809-919
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control. Web-based Injury Statistics Query and Reporting System (database). Available at: [www.cdc.gov/ncipc/wisqars](http://www.cdc.gov/ncipc/wisqars). Accessed April 25, 2006
- National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. *Traffic Safety Facts: 2004 Data—Young Drivers*. Washington, DC: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration; 2005. US Department of Transportation publication HS 809-918
- Williams AF. Teenage drivers: patterns of risk. *J Safety Res*. 2003;34:5–15
- Mayhew DR, Simpson HM, Pak A. Changes in collision rates among novice drivers during the first months of driving. *Accid Anal Prev*. 2003;35:683–691
- Arnett JJ. Developmental sources of crash risk in young drivers. *Inj Prev*. 2002;8(suppl 2):ii17–ii21; discussion ii21–ii23
- National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. *Traffic Safety Facts: 2004 Data—Speeding*. Washington, DC: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration; 2005. US Department of Transportation publication HS 809-915
- McKnight AJ, McKnight AS. Young novice drivers: careless or clueless? *Accid Anal Prev*. 2003;35:921–925
- Giedd JN. Structural magnetic resonance imaging of the adolescent brain. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*. 2004;1021:77–85
- Chen LH, Baker SP, Braver ER, Li G. Carrying passengers as a risk factor for crashes fatal to 16- and 17-year-old drivers. *JAMA*. 2000;283:1578–1582
- National Research Council, Committee on School Transportation Safety. *The Relative Risks of School Travel: A National Perspective and Guidance for Local Community Risk Assessment*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2002
- Stone LM, Runyun CW. High school off-campus lunch policies and adolescent motor vehicle crash risks. *J Adolesc Health*. 2005;36:5–8
- Simons-Morton B, Lerner N, Singer J. The observed effects of teenage passengers on the risky driving behavior of teenage drivers. *Accid Anal Prev*. 2005;37:973–982
- Williams AF, Ferguson SA. Rationale for graduated licensing and the risks it should address. *Inj Prev*. 2002;8(suppl 2):ii9–ii14; discussion ii14–ii16
- Williams AF, Preusser DF. Night driving restrictions for youthful drivers: a literature review and commentary. *J Public Health Policy*. 1997;18:334–345
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Involvement by young drivers in fatal alcohol-related motor vehicle crashes: United States, 1982–2001. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2002;51:1089–1091
- Zador PL, Krawchuk SA, Voas RB. Alcohol-related relative risk of driver fatalities and driver involvement in fatal crashes in relation to driver age and gender: an update using 1996 data. *J Stud Alcohol*. 2000;61:387–395
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Youth risk behavior surveillance: United States, 2005. *MMWR Surveill Summ*. 2006;55(5):1–108
- Blows S, Ivers RQ, Connor J, Ameratunga S, Woodward M, Norton R. Marijuana use and car crash injury. *Addiction*. 2005;100:605–611
- Laumon B, Gadegbeku B, Martin JL, Biecheler MB. Cannabis intoxication and fatal road crashes in France: population based case-control study [published correction appears in *BMJ*. 2006;332:1298]. *BMJ*. 2005;331:1371
- Walsh JM, Flegel R, Atkins R, et al. Drug and alcohol use among drivers admitted to a level-1 trauma center. *Accid Anal Prev*. 2005;37:894–901
- Lowenstein SR, Koziol-McLain J. Drugs and traffic crash responsibility: a study of injured motorists in Colorado. *J Trauma*. 2001;50:313–320
- National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. *Traffic Tech: Marijuana and Alcohol Combined Increase Impairment*. Washington, DC: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration; 1999. Available at: [www.nhtsa.dot.gov/people/outreach/traftech/pub/tt201.html](http://www.nhtsa.dot.gov/people/outreach/traftech/pub/tt201.html). Accessed April 25, 2006
- National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. *Drugs and Human Performance Fact Sheet: Diphenhydramine*. Available at: [www.nhtsa.dot.gov/people/injury/research/job185drugs/diphenhydramine.htm](http://www.nhtsa.dot.gov/people/injury/research/job185drugs/diphenhydramine.htm). Accessed April 25, 2006
- National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, National Center for Statistics and Analysis. *Traffic Safety Facts. Research Note: Safety Belt Use in 2004—Demographic Results*. Washington, DC: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration; 2005. US Department of Transportation publication HS 809-848
- Williams AF, McCart AT, Geary L. Seatbelt use by high school students. *Inj Prev*. 2003;9:25–28
- McCart AT, Northrup VS. Factors related to seat belt use among fatally injured teenage drivers. *J Safety Res*. 2004;35:29–38
- Evans L. The effectiveness of safety belts in preventing fatalities. *Accid Anal Prev*. 1986;18:229–241
- Dinh-Zarr TB, Sleet DA, Shults RA, et al. Reviews of evidence regarding interventions to increase the use of safety belts. *Am J Prev Med*. 2001;21(4 suppl):48–65
- Volkswagen of America. Why don't teens buckle up? VW releases nationwide safety survey of young drivers. Available at: [http://media.vw.com/article\\_display.cfm?article\\_id=9084](http://media.vw.com/article_display.cfm?article_id=9084). Accessed April 25, 2006
- Boyle JM, Vanderwolf P; National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. *2003 Motor Vehicle Occupant Safety Survey (MVOSS)*. Washington, DC: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration; 2004. US Department of Transportation publication HS 809-789
- Pellitier AR, Quinlan KP, Sacks JJ, Van Gilder TJ, Gilchrist J, Ahluwalia HK. Injury prevention practices as depicted in G-rated and PG-rated movies. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*. 2000;154:283–286
- Cammisa MX, Williams AF, Leaf WA. Vehicles driven by teenagers in four states. *J Safety Res*. 1999;30:25–30
- Williams AF, Leaf WA, Simons-Morton BG, Hartos JL. Vehicles driven by teenagers in their first year of licensure. *Traffic Inj Prev*. 2006;7:23–30
- Ferguson SA. Other high-risk factors for young drivers: how graduated licensing does, doesn't, or could address them. *J Safety Res*. 2003;34:71–77
- National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, National Center for Statistics and Analysis. *Traffic Safety Facts: Research Note—Younger Drivers and Sport Utility Vehicles*. Washington, DC: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration; 2003. US Department of Transportation publication HS 809-636
- Insurance Institute for Highway Safety. *Does Your Teenager Drive a Safe Car?* Arlington, VA: Insurance Institute for Highway Safety; 1999. Available at: [www.hwysafety.org/news/1999/ihs.news\\_092199.pdf](http://www.hwysafety.org/news/1999/ihs.news_092199.pdf). Accessed April 25, 2006
- Stutts JC, Reinfurt DW, Rodgman EA. The role of driver distraction in crashes: an analysis of 1995–1999 Crashworthiness Data System data. *Annu Proc Assoc Adv Automot Med*. 2001;45:287–301
- Redelmeier DA, Tibshirani RJ. Association between cellular-



- telephone calls and motor vehicle collisions. *N Engl J Med*. 1997;336:453–458
40. Strayer DL, Drews FA, Johnston WA. Cell-phone-induced failures of visual attention during simulated driving. *J Exp Psychol Appl*. 2003;9:23–32
  41. Wikman A, Nieminen T, Summala H. Driving experience and time-sharing during in-car tasks on roads of different width. *Ergonomics*. 1998;41:358–372
  42. Griffin LI III, DeLaZerda S. *Unlicensed to Kill*. Washington, DC: AAA Foundation for Traffic Safety; 2000
  43. Barkley RA, Murphy KR, Kwasnik MA. Motor vehicle driving competencies and risks in teens and young adults with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Pediatrics*. 1996;98:1089–1095
  44. Cox DJ, Humphrey JW, Merkel RL, Penberthy JK, Kovatchev B. Controlled-release methylphenidate improves attention during on-road driving by adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *J Am Board Fam Pract*. 2004;17:235–239
  45. Cox DJ, Merkel RL, Penberthy JK, Kovatchev B, Hankin CS. Impact of methylphenidate delivery profiles on driving performance of adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2004;43:269–275
  46. Williams AF, Weinberg K, Fields M, Ferguson SA. Current requirements for getting a drivers license in the United States. *J Safety Res*. 1996;27:93–101
  47. Williams AF, Mayhew DR. *Graduated Licensing: A Blueprint for North America*. Arlington, VA: Insurance Institute for Highway Safety (USA) and Traffic Injury Research Foundation (Canada); 2004. Available at: [www.hwysafety.org/research/topics/pdf/blueprint.pdf](http://www.hwysafety.org/research/topics/pdf/blueprint.pdf). Accessed April 25, 2006
  48. Ulmer RG, Preusser DF, Williams AF, Ferguson SA, Farmer CM. Effect of Florida's graduated licensing program on the crashes of teenage drivers. *Accid Anal Prev*. 2000;32:527–532
  49. Hartling L, Wiebe N, Russell K, Petruk J, Spinola C, Klassen TP. Graduated driver licensing for reducing motor vehicle crashes among young drivers. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2004;(2):CD003300
  50. Shope JT, Molnar LJ. Graduated driver licensing in the United States: evaluation results from the early programs. *J Safety Res*. 2003;34:63–69
  51. Williams AF, Ferguson SA, Wells JK. Sixteen-year-old drivers in fatal crashes, United States, 2003. *Traffic Inj Prev*. 2005;6:202–206
  52. McKnight AJ, Peck RC. Graduated driver licensing: what works? *Inj Prev*. 2002;8(suppl 2):ii32–ii36; discussion ii36–ii38
  53. Insurance Institute for Highway Safety. *US Licensing Systems for Young Drivers*. Arlington, VA: Insurance Institute for Highway Safety; 2006. Available at: [www.hwysafety.org/laws/state\\_laws/pdf/us\\_licensing\\_systems.pdf](http://www.hwysafety.org/laws/state_laws/pdf/us_licensing_systems.pdf). Accessed April 25, 2006
  54. Morrisey MA, Grabowski DC, Dee TS, Campbell C. The strength of graduated drivers license programs and fatalities among teen drivers and passengers. *Accid Anal Prev*. 2006;38:135–141
  55. Lin ML, Fearn KT. The provisional license: nighttime and passenger restrictions—a literature review. *J Safety Res*. 2003;34:51–61
  56. Mayhew DR, Simpson HM. The safety value of driver education and training. *Inj Prev*. 2002;8(suppl 2):ii3–ii7; discussion ii7–ii8
  57. Robertson LS. Crash involvement of teenaged drivers when driver education is eliminated from high school. *Am J Public Health*. 1980;70:599–603
  58. Vernick JS, Li G, Ogaitis S, MacKenzie EJ, Baker SP, Gielen AC. Effects of high school driver education on motor vehicle crashes, violations, and licensure. *Am J Prev Med*. 1999;16(1 suppl):40–46
  59. National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. *Research Agenda for an Improved Novice Driver Education Program: Report to Congress*. Washington, DC: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration; 1994. US Department of Transportation publication HS 808-161
  60. Engstrom I, Gregersen NP, Hernetkoski K, Keskinen E, Nyberg A. *Young Novice Drivers, Driving Education and Training: Literature Review*. Linköping, Sweden: Swedish National Road and Transport Research Institute; 2003. VTI rapport 491A-2003
  61. Williams AF, Ferguson SA. Driver education renaissance? *Inj Prev*. 2004;10:4–7
  62. Mayhew DR, Simpson HM, Desmond K, Williams AF. Specific and long-term effects of Nova Scotia's graduated licensing program. *Traffic Inj Prev*. 2003;4:91–97
  63. AAA Foundation for Traffic Safety. Driver-ZED. Available at: [www.driverzed.org](http://www.driverzed.org). Accessed April 25, 2006
  64. Fisher DL, Laurie NE, Glaser R, et al. Use of a fixed-base driving simulator to evaluate the effects of experience and PC-based risk awareness training on drivers' decisions. *Hum Factors*. 2002;44:287–302
  65. Shults RA, Elder RW, Sleet DA, et al. Reviews of evidence regarding interventions to reduce alcohol-impaired driving [published correction appears in *Am J Prev Med*. 2002;23:72]. *Am J Prev Med*. 2001;21(4 suppl):66–88
  66. Freisthler B, Gruenewald PJ, Treno AJ, Lee J. Evaluating alcohol access and the alcohol environment in neighborhood areas. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res*. 2003;27:477–484
  67. National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. *Determine Why There Are Fewer Young Alcohol-Impaired Drivers*. Washington, DC: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration; 2001. US Department of Transportation publication HS 809-348
  68. Grube JW, Voas RB. Predicting underage drinking and driving behaviors. *Addiction*. 1996;91:1843–1857
  69. Barr A, MacKinnon DP. Designated driving among college students. *J Stud Alcohol*. 1998;59:549–554
  70. National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. Key provisions of occupant restraint laws through September 2005. Available at: [www.nhtsa.dot.gov/people/injury/airbags/State\\_laws-belts05/occupantrestraintschart.pdf](http://www.nhtsa.dot.gov/people/injury/airbags/State_laws-belts05/occupantrestraintschart.pdf). Accessed April 25, 2006
  71. National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. *Traffic Safety Facts. Research Note: Safety Belt Use in 2005—Overall Results*. Washington, DC: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration; 2005. US Department of Transportation publication HS 809-932
  72. McCart AT, Shabanova VI, National Safety Council. Teenage seat belt use: white paper. Available at: [www.nsc.org/public/teen0702.pdf](http://www.nsc.org/public/teen0702.pdf). Accessed April 25, 2006
  73. National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. *Increasing Teen Safety Belt Use: a Program and Literature Review*. Washington, DC: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration; 2005. US Department of Transportation publication HS 809-899
  74. Goodwin AH, Foss RD. Graduated driver licensing restrictions: awareness, compliance, and enforcement in North Carolina. *J Safety Res*. 2004;35:367–374
  75. McCart AT, Geary LL, Solomon MG. Requiring belt use as part of a school parking permit program: does it increase students' belt use? *Traffic Inj Prev*. 2005;6:120–126
  76. Campbell BJ, Hunter WW, Stutts JC. The use of economic incentives and education to modify safety belt use behavior of high school students. *Health Educ*. 1984;15:30–33
  77. Beck KH, Shattuck T, Raleigh R. Parental predictors of teen driving risk. *Am J Health Behav*. 2001;25:10–20
  78. Simons-Morton BG, Hartos JL. How well do parents manage young driver crash risk? *J Safety Res*. 2003;34:91–97
  79. Hartos J, Eitel P, Simons-Morton B. Parenting practices and adolescent risky driving: a three-month prospective study. *Health Educ Behav*. 2002;29:194–206
  80. Simons-Morton BG, Hartos JL, Leaf WA, Preusser DF. Persistence of effects of the Checkpoints program on parental restric-

tions of teen driving privileges. *Am J Public Health*. 2005;95:447–452

81. Votta E, MacKay M. Evaluating the acceptability and feasibility of the I Promise Program: a driving program for families with young new drivers. *Inj Prev*. 2005;11:369–372
82. Ferguson SA, Williams AF, Chapline JF, Reinthur DW, DeLeonardis DM. Relationship of parent driving records to the driving records of their children. *Accid Anal Prev*. 2001;33:229–234

## APPENDIX 1: RESOURCES FOR PEDIATRICIANS

1. American Academy of Pediatrics ([www.aap.org](http://www.aap.org)): pediatricians can purchase a parent-teen driver agreement and fact sheet for use in their practices.
2. National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) ([www.nhtsa.gov](http://www.nhtsa.gov)): the NHTSA Web site contains a booklet and a downloadable brochure about GDL (go to Traffic Safety: New Drivers). The site also has information about impaired and distracted driving, occupant protection, and other driving issues. Detailed motor vehicle crash statistics are available in the annual traffic safety facts report.
3. Insurance Institute for Highway Safety (IIHS) ([www.iihs.org](http://www.iihs.org)): the IIHS Web site contains a huge amount of information about teenaged driving and GDL programs, including specific information about the laws in each state (go to Laws & Regulations, then State Law Facts). There is also a downloadable brochure about GDL, a list of commonly asked questions about GDL, and an excellent review of the topic (Graduated Licensing: A Blueprint for North America).
4. National Safety Council (NSC) ([www.nsc.org](http://www.nsc.org)): the NSC has a publication (available for \$10) titled *Teen Driver: A Family Guide to Teen Driver Safety*. This 68-page publication describes the science behind GDL recommendations and contains easy-to-understand advice for parents about setting limits and developing a teenager-parent contract.
5. AAA Foundation for Traffic Safety ([www.aaafoundation.org](http://www.aaafoundation.org)): the AAA Foundation sells a CD-ROM with an interactive driver-simulation program for use on a personal computer (DriverZed, \$13). The teenaged driver gets a chance to practice identifying and reacting to a variety of dangerous on-road situations. Similar computer simulation programs may be available from automobile insurance companies.
6. Safe Young Drivers ([www.safeyoungdrivers.com](http://www.safeyoungdrivers.com)): this Web site is maintained by Phil Berardelli, who wrote the book *Safe Young Drivers: A Guide for Parents and Teens*. The book (approximately \$12–\$15) is organized into 10 driving lessons with suggested drills and driving maneuvers to practice. The Web site has a long list of frequently asked questions about driver education.
7. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention ([www.cdc.gov/ncipc](http://www.cdc.gov/ncipc)): this site contains fact sheets and references about teenaged drivers and GDL. The Web-based Injury Statistics Query and Reporting System (WISQARS) can be used to find motor vehicle-related mortality and injury data according to age, gender, state, and region.
8. Network of Employers for Traffic Safety ([www.trafficsafety.org](http://www.trafficsafety.org)): the “Novice Driver Roadmap” (available for \$15) is a series of 8 practice drives, each with specific skills to be mastered. The program also contains information for the adult driving coach.
9. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration ([www.samhsa.gov](http://www.samhsa.gov)): the Children and Families section of the Web site contains information for parents about talking to teenagers about underage drinking and impaired driving.
10. Daimler-Chrysler’s “Road Ready Teens” ([www.roadreadyteens.org](http://www.roadreadyteens.org)): the site contains a parent guide, teen quiz, and computerized teenaged driving contract that can be easily personalized and printed.
11. Ford’s “Driving Skills for Life” ([www.realworlddriver.com](http://www.realworlddriver.com)): this Web site contains tips for parents and teenagers as well as a short program of slides and videos that focuses on hazard recognition, vehicle handling, space management, and speed management.
12. Some individual states have Web sites that contain information about their GDL programs, license-application requirements, and driver training guides for parents.
13. Several automobile insurance companies have helpful information about discounts and reward programs for teenaged drivers with excellent driving records and good grades in school.

## APPENDIX 2: SAMPLE TEEN DRIVER CONTRACT

I will drive carefully and cautiously and will be courteous to other drivers, bicyclists, and pedestrians at all times. I will obey all traffic lights, stop signs, other street signs, and road markings. I will never use the car to race or to try to impress others.

I promise that I will:

- stay within the speed limit and drive safely.
- drive only when I am alcohol and drug free.
- be a passenger only with drivers who are alcohol and drug free.
- always wear a seat belt and make all my passengers buckle up.
- drive with both hands on the wheel.
- never eat, drink, or use a cellular phone while I drive.
- drive only when I am alert and in emotional control.
- never give rides to hitchhikers.

If I am impaired in any way that interferes with my ability to drive safely, I will call my parents for a ride home.

I will drive only when I have permission to use the car and I will not let anyone else drive the car unless I have permission. I will respect laws about drugs and alcohol and never allow any alcohol or illegal drugs in the car. I will only drive someone else's car if I have parental permission. I will pay for all traffic citations or parking tickets.

I will complete my family responsibilities and will maintain good grades at school.

I will contribute to the costs of gasoline, maintenance, and insurance as listed below:

---

I agree to the following restrictions, but understand that these restrictions will be modified by my parents as I get more driving experience and demonstrate that I am a responsible driver.

For the next \_\_\_\_ months, I will not drive after \_\_\_\_ o'clock at night.

For the next \_\_\_\_ months, I will not transport more than \_\_\_\_ teenaged passengers (unless I am supervised by a responsible adult).

For the next \_\_\_\_ months, I won't adjust the stereo or air conditioning/heater while the car is moving.

For the next \_\_\_\_ months, I will not drive in bad weather.

I understand that I am not permitted to drive to "off limit" locations or on roads and highways listed below:

---

(continued on next page)

I AGREE TO FOLLOW ALL THE RULES AND RESTRICTIONS IN THIS CONTRACT. I UNDERSTAND THAT MY PARENTS WILL IMPOSE PENALTIES (SEE BELOW), INCLUDING REMOVAL OF MY DRIVING PRIVILEGES, IF I VIOLATE THE CONTRACT. I ALSO UNDERSTAND THAT MY PARENTS WILL ALLOW ME GREATER DRIVING PRIVILEGES AS I BECOME MORE EXPERIENCED AND AS I DEMONSTRATE THAT I AM ALWAYS A SAFE AND RESPONSIBLE DRIVER.

Penalties for contract violations:

Drove after drinking alcohol or using drugs .....No driving for \_\_\_\_ months  
Got ticket for speeding or moving violation .....No driving for \_\_\_\_ months  
Violated night restriction .....No driving for \_\_\_\_ weeks/months  
Violated passenger restriction .....No driving for \_\_\_\_ weeks/months  
Broke promise about seat belts (self and others) .....No driving for \_\_\_\_ weeks/months  
Drove on a road or to an area that is "off limits" .....No driving for \_\_\_\_ weeks/months

Signatures:

Driver \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Parent (or guardian) \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Parent (or guardian) \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Suggested Restrictions: Initially the restrictions on teenaged driving should be strict (no teenaged passengers and no driving after 9:00 PM). After the teenager demonstrates 6 months of SAFE driving, the restrictions may be relaxed to some degree (1 teenaged passenger, no driving after 10:00 PM or 11:00 PM). After 12 months of demonstrated safe driving, parents may choose to relax the restrictions further, depending on the maturity level of their teenager. Once the teenager obtains a full license (usually after 18 years of age), nighttime and passenger restrictions can be removed. In states with nighttime and passenger restrictions, parents should strongly consider setting stricter limits than required by law, especially for the most novice teenaged driver.

## The Teen Driver

Committee on Injury, Violence, and Poison Prevention and Committee on  
Adolescence

*Pediatrics* 2006;118;2570

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2006-2830

### Updated Information & Services

including high resolution figures, can be found at:  
<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/118/6/2570>

### References

This article cites 59 articles, 5 of which you can access for free at:  
<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/118/6/2570#BIBL>

### Subspecialty Collections

This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the following collection(s):  
**Council on Injury, Violence, and Poison Prevention**  
[http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/committee\\_on\\_injury\\_violence\\_and\\_poison\\_prevention](http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/committee_on_injury_violence_and_poison_prevention)  
**Adolescent Health/Medicine**  
[http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/adolescent\\_health:medicine\\_sub](http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/adolescent_health:medicine_sub)

### Permissions & Licensing

Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at:  
<http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/Permissions.xhtml>

### Reprints

Information about ordering reprints can be found online:  
<http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/reprints.xhtml>

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN™



# PEDIATRICS®

OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS

## **The Teen Driver**

Committee on Injury, Violence, and Poison Prevention and Committee on  
Adolescence

*Pediatrics* 2006;118;2570

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2006-2830

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is  
located on the World Wide Web at:

<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/118/6/2570>

Pediatrics is the official journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. A monthly publication, it has been published continuously since 1948. Pediatrics is owned, published, and trademarked by the American Academy of Pediatrics, 141 Northwest Point Boulevard, Elk Grove Village, Illinois, 60007. Copyright © 2006 by the American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 1073-0397.

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN™

