Ethnic Differences in Neonatal and Postneonatal Mortality

Nancy A. Hessol, MSPH*, and Elena Fuentes-Afflick, MD, MPH‡§‖

ABSTRACT. *Objective.* **Ethnic disparities in infant mortality have been consistently documented in the United States, but these disparities are poorly understood. Although the infant mortality rate in the United States has fallen to record low rates, since 1971 the ethnic disparity between black and white infants has remained unchanged or increased. In 2001, the infant mortality rate among black infants was ~2.5 times higher than the rate among white and Hispanic infants. The objective of this study was to identify ethnic differences in neonatal and postneonatal mortality as well as the causes and risk factors among infants born in California.**

Methods. **Secondary analysis was performed of 1 277 393 singleton infants live-born to black, Latina, and white women from the California linked birth-infant death certificate from 1995 to 1997. The dependent variables were infant death (defined as an infant who died in the first year of life [death <365 days]), neonatal death (death during the first 27 days of life), and postneonatal death (death between 28 and 364 days of life). Cause-specific neonatal and postneonatal infant mortality rates (per 100 000 live births) were calculated for each ethnic group. χ^2 and exact test statistics were used to compare the distribution of maternal and infant characteristics and cause-of-death rates by maternal ethnicity. Logistic regression analysis was used to compute odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) to estimate the relationship between maternal ethnicity, maternal and infant factors, and risk of infant mortality.**

Results. **In both the neonatal and postneonatal periods, black women had higher infant mortality rates than Latina or white women for conditions originating in the perinatal period (including respiratory distress syndrome) and symptoms, signs, and ill-defined conditions (including sudden infant death syndrome). After adjusting for maternal and infant characteristics, there were no significant ethnic differences for neonatal mortality. For postneonatal mortality, black women had a higher risk (OR: 1.25; 95% CI: 1.10-1.42) and Latina women had a lower risk (OR: 0.80; 95% CI: 0.71-0.89) compared with white women after adjusting for maternal and infant factors. In analyses of all ethnic groups combined, as well as ethnic-specific analyses, the strongest predictors of neonatal and postneonatal death were infant birth weight of <2499 g and gestational age of <33 weeks.**

Conclusions. **Causes of infant mortality and risk factors for infant mortality differed by maternal ethnicity, indicating a need to tailor prevention and education efforts, especially during the postneonatal period. To achieve national infant mortality goals, health professionals and policy makers should continue to emphasize the importance of early and continuous prenatal care and develop new strategies to reduce the incidence of low birth weight and premature infants. Ethnic-specific approaches may be needed to further reduce infant mortality rates and achieve our national goal to eliminate ethnic disparities in perinatal outcomes.** *Pediatrics* **2005; 115:e44–e51. URL: www.pediatrics.org/cgi/doi/10.1542/peds.2004-0478;** *ethnicity, infant mortality, neonatal, postneonatal.*

ABBREVIATIONS. SIDS, sudden infant death syndrome; ICD-9-CM, *International Classification of Diseases, 9th Edition, Clinical Modification*; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

For the past several decades, neonatal mortality (death during the first 27 days of life) in the United States has been declining at a faster rate than postneonatal mortality (death between 28 and 364 days of life).[1–3] However, neonatal mortality still accounts for the majority of all infant deaths (deaths within the first 364 days of life).[3,4] The decline in neonatal deaths has been largely attributed to improvements in neonatal intensive care and, more recently, to the introduction and use of surfactant therapy.[5,6] Improvements in neonatal survival have led also to postponement of some infant deaths from the neonatal to the postneonatal period.

Ethnic disparities in infant mortality have been consistently documented in the United States,[7] but these disparities are poorly understood.[8] Although the infant mortality rate in the United States has fallen to record low rates,[9] since 1971 the ethnic disparity between black and white infants has remained unchanged or increased.[3,9,10] In 2001, the infant mortality rate among black infants was ~2.5 times higher than the rate among white and Hispanic infants.[3]

The increase in ethnic differences in neonatal mortality may be related to inequities in the provision of health care.[11] The ethnic gap in postneonatal mortality is increasing also, but effective use of interventions to prevent sudden infant death syndrome (SIDS), birth defects, infections, and injuries could help narrow the ethnic gap.[12]

California is the most populous and among the most ethnically diverse states in the nation. For the period of 1999–2001, Latinas represented the majority of child-bearing women in the state and had an infant mortality rate (5.1 per 1000 live births) that

was closer to non-Hispanic white women (4.7 per 1000 live births) than non-Hispanic black women (11.6 per 1000 live births).[3] The objective of this study was to identify ethnic differences in neonatal and postneonatal mortality as well as the causes and risk factors among infants born in California.

METHODS

From the 1995–1997 California linked birth-infant death data set, we selected all live-born singleton infants born to women from the 3 largest racial/ethnic groups of child-bearing women in the United States[13]: non-Hispanic black (black), Hispanic white (Latina), and non-Hispanic white (white) women. The Committee on Human Research at the University of California, San Francisco, approved this study.

The dependent variables were infant death (defined as an infant who died in the first year of life [death < 365 days]), neonatal death (death during the first 27 days of life), and postneonatal death (death between 28 and 364 days of life). We also included 3 other infant characteristics associated with infant mortality[3]: gender, birth weight, and gestational age.

The primary independent variable was maternal ethnicity. Other independent variables included maternal age, education, birthplace, and parity, all based on birth certificate information. Marital status was dichotomized as nonmarried and married. In California, the method for determining marital status changed during the study period. In 1995 and 1996, an algorithm that compared parental surnames defined marital status, and in 1997 marital status was ascertained by direct question.[14,15] Utilization of prenatal care was categorized according to Kotelchuck's Adequacy of Prenatal Care Utilization Index,[16] which adjusts for the time of enrollment in care, the number of prenatal visits, infant gender, birth weight, and gestational age.

We created separate variables for tobacco use during pregnancy, maternal diabetes, and maternal anemia, which are coded as complications of pregnancy on the California birth certificate. A combined variable was created for 2 other complications of pregnancy that tend to occur together, previous premature infant and previous low birth weight infant. A medical complications variable was created for the presence of at least 1 of the following pregnancy complications: cardiac disease, chronic hypertension, and renal disease. A variable was created also for any of the following labor and delivery complications: abnormal presentation, abruptio placenta, amnionitis and sepsis, cord prolapse, fever, labor eclampsia, placenta previa, precipitous labor, or labor preeclampsia.

Cause of infant death, obtained from the death certificate, was coded according to the *International Classification of Diseases, 9th Edition, Clinical Modification* (ICD-9-CM).[17] Cause-specific neonatal and postneonatal infant mortality rates (per 100 000 live births) were calculated for each ethnic group. Birth certificate records that were missing information on the dependent or independent variables (6.7% of all singleton births) were excluded from all analyses.

We used χ^2 and exact test statistics to compare the distribution of maternal and infant characteristics and cause-of-death rates by maternal ethnicity. Logistic regression analysis was used to compute odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) to estimate the relationship between maternal ethnicity, maternal and infant factors, and risk of infant mortality. We evaluated the correlation matrix for any evidence of multicollinearity before finalizing the models. Commercially available software was used for all statistical analyses.[18]

RESULTS

Using the study criteria, we selected all singleton live-born infants born in California during 1995–1997 to black ($n = 100\,583$), Latina ($n = 665\,056$), and white women ($n = 511\,754$) who had complete data for all study variables.

Black women were more likely to have missing data for maternal age, education, birthplace, utilization of prenatal care, and parity than Latina or white women ($P = .001$), but the proportion of births with missing data for each variable was <4% in any ethnic group (data not shown). Black women were more likely to have missing data for infant birth weight (0.05% vs 0.02% [Latina] and 0.03% [white]; $P = .001$) and gestational age (5.5% vs 3.7% [Latina] and 3.7% [white]; $P = .001$).

Black and Latina women had a higher percentage of adolescent and nonmarried child-bearing, inadequate utilization of prenatal care and multiparity than white women (Table 1). Compared with black and white women, Latinas had the lowest educational attainment, the highest proportion of foreign-born women, and the lowest rate of tobacco use.

Infant outcomes also varied by maternal ethnicity (Table 1). Black women had the highest rates of very low and moderately low birth weight infants, very premature and moderately premature infants, and infant death. The rates of adverse infant outcomes among black women were approximately twice as high as the rates among Latina or white women. Latina and white women had similar rates of all infant outcomes.

Cause of neonatal and postneonatal mortality varied by maternal ethnicity as well (Table 2). Infants born to black women had a higher neonatal mortality rate than Latina and white women for diseases of the circulatory system, diseases of the respiratory system, conditions originating in the perinatal period (including premature/low birth weight infant and respiratory distress syndrome), and symptoms, signs, and ill-defined conditions (including SIDS). Infants born to Latina women had a higher neonatal mortality rate for congenital anomalies compared with black and white women.

Among the causes of postneonatal death, black women had a higher rate than Latina and white women for infectious and parasitic diseases, congenital anomalies, conditions originating in the perinatal period (including respiratory distress syndrome), symptoms, signs, and ill-defined conditions (including SIDS), and injury and poisoning.

After adjusting for maternal and infant characteristics, there were no longer any significant ethnic differences in the risk of neonatal mortality (Table 3, column 2). In the adjusted analyses, there was a significantly increased risk of neonatal death associated with inadequate prenatal care, >3 prior live births, maternal diabetes, male infant, very low infant birth weight, moderately low infant birth weight, very premature gestation, moderately premature gestation, and postterm gestation. On the other hand, there was a significantly decreased risk of neonatal death associated with older maternal age, more years of maternal education, unmarried mother, women with medical complications, and high birth weight infants.

After adjusting for maternal and infant characteristics, there was a significantly increased risk of postneonatal death associated with black mothers, fewer years of maternal education, unmarried mother, inadequate prenatal care, >3 prior live births, tobacco use during pregnancy, maternal diabetes, labor and delivery complications, male infant, very low infant birth weight, moderately low infant birth weight,

TABLE 1. Distribution (%) of Selected Maternal and Infant Characteristics, by Maternal Ethnicity: California, 1995–1997

Characteristics	Maternal Ethnicity			
	Black (n = 100 583)	Latina (n = 665 056)	White (n = 511 754)	P Value
Maternal age, y				<.001
<18	7.5	6.6	2.4	
18–26	48.6	51.1	32.5	
27–34	32.9	33.1	46.3	
>34	11.0	9.2	18.8	
Maternal education, y				<.001
<9	1.4	28.0	0.9	
9–11	18.7	29.0	8.9	
12	40.9	27.3	30.9	
13–15	27.6	11.4	27.2	
>15	11.5	4.3	32.2	
United States–born	92.9	31.0	90.3	<.001
Unmarried	60.8	38.5	21.7	<.001
Utilization of prenatal care				<.001
Inadequate	14.9	17.2	8.4	
Intermediate	16.2	19.9	16.2	
Adequate	37.6	39.2	47.0	
Adequate plus	31.3	23.8	28.4	
Number of previous live births				<.001
0	38.1	35.9	42.6	
1–3	63.5	57.4	54.2	
>3	8.5	6.7	3.2	
Tobacco use	3.2	0.8	3.8	<.001
Prior low birth weight or premature infant*	2.7	1.0	2.4	<.001
Medical complications	0.7	0.3	0.7	<.001
Anemia	1.4	0.8	0.8	<.001
Diabetes	1.4	1.8	1.7	<.001
Labor and delivery complications	8.6	7.1	7.9	<.001
Male infant	50.7	50.9	51.4	<.001
Birth weight, g				<.001
Very low, <1500	2.0	0.8	0.6	
Moderately low, 1500–2499	7.8	3.7	3.3	
Normal, 2500–4000	83.5	84.9	82.2	
High, >4000	6.7	10.6	13.9	
Gestational age, wk				<.001
Very premature, <33	3.8	1.9	1.3	
Moderately premature, 33–37	10.1	7.7	6.2	
Term, 38–42	76.5	80.5	82.6	
Postterm, >42	9.6	10.0	9.9	
Infant death (<365 d)	1.0	0.5	0.4	<.001
Neonatal death (<28 d)	0.6	0.3	0.3	<.001
Postneonatal death (28–364 d)	0.4	0.2	0.2	<.001

* Parous women only

very premature gestation, and moderately premature gestation (Table 3, column 3). Conversely, there was a significantly decreased risk of neonatal death associated with Latina mothers, older maternal age, more years of maternal education, foreign-born mother, primiparous women, and high birth weight infants.

To determine if the relationship between infant and maternal characteristics and infant mortality varied by ethnicity, we conducted separate multiple-regression analyses for each ethnic group. Among black and white women, maternal age of ≥35 years was significantly associated with a lower risk of neonatal death than a maternal age of 18 to 26 years (Table 4). Interestingly, in all 3 ethnic groups, unmarried women had a decreased risk of neonatal mortality than married women. Among black women, inadequate and intermediate use of prenatal care carried a significantly increased risk of neonatal death as compared with adequate use of prenatal care. Among white women, primiparity was associated with a significantly lower risk of neonatal death, and among Latina and white women, high parity (>3 prior live births) was associated with a significantly increased risk of neonatal death when compared with 1 to 3 prior live births. Medical complications were associated with a significantly lower risk of neonatal death only for Latina and white women. Maternal diabetes was associated with an increased risk of neonatal death in Latina women, and labor and delivery complications were associated with an increased risk in white women.

Among infants born to Latina and white women, males had a significantly higher risk of neonatal death than females (Table 4). In all 3 ethnic groups, very low and moderately low birth weight infants were significantly more likely to experience neonatal death than normal birth weight infants. Similarly, very premature and postterm infants were more likely to experience death in the first 27 days than

TABLE 2. Cause of Death (ICD-9-CM) Rate Per 100 000 Live Births for Neonatal (N) and Postneonatal (P) Mortality, by Maternal Ethnicity: California, 1995–1997

Cause of Death (ICD-9-CM Code)	Black		Latina		White	
	N ($n = 581$)	P ($n = 397$)	N ($n = 2011$)	P ($n = 1103$)	N ($n = 1315$)	P ($n = 865$)
Infectious and parasitic diseases (001–139)	2.98	16.90*	1.05	12.33*	2.54	7.43*
Neoplasms (140–239)	0.00	0.00	0.60	2.86	1.17	1.76
Endocrine, nutritional, and metabolic diseases and immunity disorders (240–279)	1.99	5.97	4.06	3.76	1.95	2.93
Diseases of the blood and blood-forming organs (280–289)	1.99	1.00	0.45	1.50	0.39	1.37
Mental disorders (290–319)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.15	0.00	0.00
Diseases of the nervous system and sense organs (320–389)	1.99	11.93	1.05	6.02	2.35	6.64
Diseases of the circulatory system (390–459)	17.90*	14.91	5.71*	9.62	5.86*	7.23
Diseases of the respiratory system (460–519)	8.95*	18.89	3.46*	13.38	2.74*	11.14
Diseases of the digestive system (520–579)	3.98	5.97	1.50	4.21	1.56	3.52
Diseases of the genitourinary system (580–629)	1.99	2.99	1.50	0.75	0.98	1.17
Complications of pregnancy, childbirth, and puerperium (630–679)	0.00	0.00	0.15	0.15	0.00	0.00
Disease of the skin and subcutaneous tissue (680–709)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.30	0.00	0.00
Diseases of the musculoskeletal system and connective tissue (710–739)	0.99	0.00	0.15	0.30	0.20	0.00
Congenital anomalies (740–759)	91.47*	58.66*	105.10*	43.46*	86.17*	33.22*
Certain conditions originating in the perinatal period (760–779)†	417.57*	39.77*	172.32*	9.77*	142.26*	6.64*
Premature, low birth weight infant (765)	132.23*	1.99	48.72*	0.30	30.87*	0.39
Respiratory distress syndrome (769)	36.79*	11.93*	16.99*	1.05*	14.66*	0.98*
Symptoms, signs, and ill-defined conditions (780–799)‡	21.87*	175.97*	4.06*	41.35*	6.84*	70.93*
SIDS (798)	14.91*	152.11*	2.26*	32.03*	3.32*	58.23*
Injury and poisoning (800–999)	3.98	41.76*	1.20	15.94*	2.00	15.05*

* $P < .05$.
† Includes premature/low birth weight infant (ICD-9-CM code 765) and respiratory distress syndrome (ICD-9-CM code 769).
‡ Includes SIDS (ICD-9-CM code 798).

term infants in all 3 ethnic groups. High birth weight infants had a lower risk of neonatal death than normal birth weight infants, and this was significant in Latina and white infants.

In ethnic-specific analyses of postneonatal death, older maternal age was associated with a decreased risk in Latina and white women (Table 5). In all 3 ethnic groups, having <12 years of education was significantly associated with an increased risk of postneonatal mortality, and among white women, having >15 years of education was associated with a decreased risk. Although foreign-born women generally had a reduced risk of postneonatal death in all 3 ethnic groups, this was only statistically significant in Latina women. In contrast to neonatal mortality, unmarried women in all 3 ethnic groups had a significantly elevated risk of postneonatal death. Only white women who smoked had a significantly increased risk of postneonatal mortality. Compared with adequate use of prenatal care, Latina and white women with inadequate use had an elevated risk of postneonatal death.

In all 3 ethnic groups, primiparity was associated with a significantly lower risk of postneonatal death, and among Latina women, higher parity was associated with a significantly increased risk of neonatal death when compared with 1 to 3 prior live births (Table 5). Latina women with maternal diabetes and white women with labor and delivery complications had an elevated risk of postneonatal death.

Among infants born to women in all 3 ethnic groups, males had a significantly higher risk of postneonatal death than females (Table 5). In all 3 ethnic groups, very low and moderately low infant birth weight conferred a significantly increased risk of postneonatal death when compared with normal birth weight infants. Among infants born to white women, high infant birth weight experienced a lower risk of postneonatal death than normal birth weight infants. Compared with term infants, very premature infants born to black and white women and moderately premature infants born to white women had an increased risk of postneonatal death.

DISCUSSION

In this large, multiethnic study, we found considerable ethnic differences in neonatal and postneonatal infant mortality, including underlying cause of death. Latina women had a higher neonatal mortality for congenital anomalies than black or white women, and black women had a higher neonatal and postneonatal mortality rate for respiratory distress syndrome or SIDS than Latina or white women. Our results substantiate what other studies have found regarding racial and ethnic differences in the leading causes of infant death.[8,19–21] Several studies have found ethnic differences in dietary (folic acid intake),[22] genetic,[23] environmental,[20] and health service factors,[19,24] and these variations may lead to ethnic differences in cause of infant death. In the case of SIDS, the Chicago Infant Mortality Study[20] found that black women were more likely to put their in-

TABLE 3. ORs (95% CIs) for Infant Mortality: California, 1995–1997

Characteristic	Neonatal Mortality (N = 3907)	Postneonatal Mortality (N = 2365)
Maternal ethnicity		
Black	0.91 (0.82–1.02)	1.25 (1.10–1.42)
Latina	0.94 (0.85–1.04)	0.80 (0.71–0.89)
White	1.00	1.00
Maternal age, y		
<18	1.02 (0.87–1.20)	1.13 (0.95–1.35)
18–26	1.00	1.00
27–34	0.90 (0.83–0.98)	0.78 (0.70–0.86)
>34	0.83 (0.74–0.94)	0.80 (0.69–0.92)
Maternal education, y		
<9	1.06 (0.93–1.19)	1.29 (1.11–1.50)
9–11	1.06 (0.95–1.17)	1.20 (1.07–1.35)
12	1.00	1.00
13–15	0.95 (0.86–1.05)	0.84 (0.74–0.95)
>15	0.83 (0.73–0.95)	0.76 (0.65–0.90)
Non-US birthplace	0.97 (0.88–1.07)	0.74 (0.65–0.83)
Unmarried	0.74 (0.69–0.80)	1.40 (1.28–1.54)
Utilization of prenatal care		
Inadequate	1.15 (1.02–1.29)	1.39 (1.24–1.56)
Intermediate	1.10 (0.97–1.25)	0.98 (0.86–1.12)
Adequate	1.00	1.00
Adequate plus	1.02 (0.93–1.12)	1.03 (0.92–1.14)
Number of previous live births		
0	0.97 (0.90–1.05)	0.68 (0.62–0.75)
1–3	1.00	1.00
>3	1.30 (1.14–1.49)	1.38 (1.18–1.60)
Tobacco use	1.02 (0.84–1.25)	1.46 (1.21–1.77)
Prior low birth weight or premature infant	1.02 (0.85–1.22)	0.90 (0.68–1.19)
Medical complications	0.44 (0.29–0.66)	1.15 (0.74–1.78)
Anemia	0.97 (0.69–1.36)	1.16 (0.80–1.68)
Maternal diabetes	1.31 (1.03–1.66)	1.55 (1.19–2.02)
Labor and delivery complications	1.00 (0.92–1.09)	1.13 (1.00–1.28)
Male infant	1.10 (1.03–1.18)	1.38 (1.27–1.50)
Infant birth weight, g		
Very low, <1500	109.91 (95.40–126.63)	13.69 (11.17–16.78)
Moderately low, 1500–2499	9.12 (8.04–10.35)	3.97 (3.48–4.53)
Normal, 2500–4000	1.00	1.00
High, >4000	0.72 (0.58–0.89)	0.71 (0.60–0.84)
Infant gestational age, wk		
Very premature, <33	4.03 (3.50–4.64)	1.52 (1.25–1.85)
Moderately premature, 33–37	1.61 (1.41–1.85)	1.34 (1.17–1.54)
Term, 38–42	1.00	1.00
Postterm, >42	1.49 (1.27–1.75)	1.06 (0.91–1.24)

fant in a prone sleeping position and were less likely to report having been advised about infant sleep position than white women. The National Infant Sleep Position Study found black maternal race to be independently associated with increased prone and decreased supine placement of the infant.[24] Both these studies indicate that greater and more effective educational outreach needs to be extended to black families and their health providers to reduce the risk of SIDS further.

In addition, we also were able to compare and contrast mortality in the neonatal period with the postneonatal period. Notably, we failed to find evidence of ethnic differences in the risk of neonatal mortality in adjusted analyses. However, black mothers had a significantly increased risk during the postneonatal period, whereas Latina mothers had a significantly decreased risk of infant death when compared with white mothers. Much of this ethnic variation in the postneonatal period is due to SIDS, which occurred at a higher rate among infants born to black mothers, followed by white mothers, and was lowest for Latina mothers. This finding corre-sponds to results from several other recent studies that have evaluated changes in SIDS deaths over time and between different racial groups. In those studies, greater reductions in infant mortality due to SIDS were seen for Latina and white infants compared with black infants.[8,21] Additional study is needed to understand whether differences in postneonatal care contribute to the higher risk of death among infants born to black mothers and lower risk among infants born to Latina mothers.

We had several surprising findings. Unmarried women had a significantly decreased risk of neonatal death (OR: 0.74; Table 3) and a significantly increased risk of postneonatal death (OR: 1.40) when compared with married women. This pattern of decreased risk in the neonatal period and increased risk in the postneonatal period was also seen for medical complications (OR: 0.44 and 1.15, respectively) and may indicate a greater attention to infants born to traditionally high-risk women early in the neonatal period, followed by a waning of this effect or possibly less social support in the postneonatal period.

TABLE 4. ORs (95% CIs) for Neonatal Infant Mortality, by Ethnicity: California, 1995–1997

Characteristic	Black (n = 100 583)	Latina (n = 665 056)	White (n = 511 754)
Maternal age, y			
<18	0.82 (0.53–1.26)	0.98 (0.81–1.20)	1.32 (0.95–1.85)
18–26	1.00	1.00	1.00
27–34	0.89 (0.72–1.11)	0.90 (0.80–1.01)	0.91 (0.78–1.05)
>34	0.64 (0.46–0.89)	0.94 (0.80–1.11)	0.79 (0.66–0.95)
Maternal education, y			
<9	1.65 (0.79–3.44)	1.08 (0.94–1.24)	0.70 (0.40–1.21)
9–11	0.88 (0.66–1.17)	1.12 (0.98–1.27)	0.98 (0.79–1.21)
12	1.00	1.00	1.00
13–15	0.92 (0.73–1.15)	0.94 (0.80–1.12)	0.96 (0.82–1.12)
>15	0.75 (0.54–1.06)	0.76 (0.57–1.01)	0.89 (0.75–1.05)
Non-US birthplace	0.86 (0.59–1.27)	0.97 (0.87–1.09)	0.97 (0.79–1.19)
Unmarried	0.61 (0.51–0.75)	0.77 (0.69–0.85)	0.78 (0.67–0.90)
Utilization of prenatal care			
Inadequate	1.52 (1.10–2.10)	1.06 (0.91–1.24)	1.19 (0.96–1.47)
Intermediate	1.84 (1.29–2.62)	0.93 (0.78–1.11)	1.21 (0.97–1.50)
Adequate	1.00	1.00	1.00
Adequate plus	1.27 (0.98–1.65)	0.97 (0.85–1.10)	1.01 (0.87–1.18)
Number of previous live births			
0	1.22 (0.99–1.49)	1.00 (0.89–1.11)	0.86 (0.75–0.97)
1–3	1.00	1.00	1.00
>3	1.20 (0.87–1.66)	1.32 (1.10–1.57)	1.45 (1.11–1.90)
Tobacco use	0.74 (0.44–1.26)	1.00 (0.63–1.57)	1.11 (0.87–1.43)
Prior low birth weight or premature infant	1.22 (0.82–1.80)	0.95 (0.71–1.27)	1.00 (0.76–1.32)
Medical complications	0.42 (0.15–1.21)	0.45 (0.25–0.78)	0.43 (0.21–0.85)
Anemia	1.65 (0.85–3.16)	0.91 (0.54–1.53)	0.76 (0.41–1.40)
Maternal diabetes	0.72 (0.32–1.63)	1.38 (1.01–1.88)	1.38 (0.90–2.11)
Labor and delivery complications	0.88 (0.70–1.11)	0.93 (0.82–1.05)	1.17 (1.02–1.36)
Male infant	0.92 (0.77–1.10)	1.11 (1.00–1.22)	1.17 (1.04–1.32)
Infant birth weight, g			
Very low, <1500	126.76 (85.93–187.01)	114.66 (94.62–138.93)	93.14 (72.63–119.45)
Moderately low, 1500–2499	6.56 (4.52–9.51)	9.63 (8.10–11.44)	8.96 (7.23–11.09)
Normal, 2500–4000	1.00	1.00	1.00
High, >4000	0.68 (0.27–1.67)	0.73 (0.53–0.99)	0.72 (0.53–0.99)
Infant gestational age, wk			
Very premature, <33	2.49 (1.71–3.61)	4.20 (3.47–5.10)	4.54 (3.54–5.82)
Moderately premature, 33–37	0.57 (0.36–0.90)	1.75 (1.45–2.10)	1.90 (1.52–2.39)
Term, 38–42	1.00	1.00	1.00
Postterm, >42	1.57 (1.02–2.40)	1.58 (1.26–1.97)	1.32 (1.01–1.74)

Our data also showed that mothers with >3 prior live births had an increased risk of neonatal (OR: 1.30; Table 3) and postneonatal death (OR: 1.38). This pattern was seen consistently in all 3 ethnic groups (Tables 4 and 5) and may indicate that women become less attentive or more complacent about infant health care with increasing number of children. We have reported in prior studies that multiparous women had a higher risk of inadequate use of prenatal care.[25] It is also possible that women with >3 previous live births are more likely to have shorter interpregnancy intervals, which can result in an increased risk of adverse perinatal outcomes.[26] To further evaluate risk of infant death among women with >3 prior live births, we performed separate logistic regression models looking at cause-specific outcomes to determine if there was an indication that multiparous women were more at risk for certain causes of infant death such as SIDS. After controlling for all the other variables in our logistic models, we found that the OR for SIDS among women with >3 children was higher (1.66 [95% CI: 1.28–2.16]) but not statistically higher than the odds for congenital anomalies (1.40 [95% CI: 1.17–1.67]). More research is needed to further explore the relationship between parity and complacency in regard to infant health care.

Previous studies have found that gestational diabetes mellitus is more common in Latina women than in black and white women[27–29] and that after adjusting for other risk factors Latinas are >2 times more likely than black[29] and white[27] women to develop gestational diabetes mellitus. Given that we found gestational diabetes in Latina women to be associated with a significantly higher risk of both neonatal and postneonatal mortality, more attention should be given to preventing gestational diabetes mellitus in Latina women.

We found that infant characteristics had a greater effect on infant mortality than maternal factors, which is consistent with previous studies.[3,10,30] In analyses of all ethnic groups, as well as ethnic-specific analyses, the strongest predictors of neonatal and postneonatal death were infant birth weight of <2499 g and gestational age of <33 weeks. Our results underscore the need to further reduce the risk of low birth weight and premature infants. For example, infections or inflammatory disease may contribute to ethnic differences in the risk of premature delivery, and nutritional factors may contribute to ethnic variation in the risk of low infant birth weight.[31]

Our findings are subject to several limitations. We analyzed data from 1 state, California, and our re-

TABLE 5. ORs (95% CIs) for Postneonatal Infant Mortality, by Ethnicity: California, 1995–1997

Characteristic	Black (n = 100 583)	Latina (n = 665 056)	White (n = 511 754)
Maternal age, y			
<18	1.19 (0.79–1.79)	1.15 (0.91–1.46)	1.05 (0.74–1.48)
18–26	1.00	1.00	1.00
27–34	0.92 (0.72–1.19)	0.82 (0.71–0.95)	0.68 (0.58–0.81)
>34	0.86 (0.59–1.24)	0.80 (0.64–1.00)	0.77 (0.62–0.96)
Maternal education, y			
<9	1.69 (0.81–3.54)	1.24 (1.03–1.48)	1.69 (1.09–2.63)
9–11	1.40 (1.07–1.82)	1.16 (0.98–1.36)	1.24 (1.01–1.52)
12	1.00	1.00	1.00
13–15	1.03 (0.79–1.34)	0.97 (0.77–1.21)	0.72 (0.60–0.87)
>15	0.85 (0.54–1.34)	1.04 (0.72–1.48)	0.72 (0.58–0.88)
Non-United States birthplace	0.70 (0.41–1.19)	0.73 (0.63–0.84)	0.81 (0.62–1.06)
Unmarried	1.66 (1.30–2.12)	1.31 (1.16–1.49)	1.40 (1.20–1.64)
Tobacco use	1.37 (0.90–2.11)	0.80 (0.44–1.45)	1.57 (1.25–1.98)
Utilization of prenatal care			
Inadequate	1.11 (0.83–1.48)	1.37 (1.16–1.63)	1.61 (1.31–1.97)
Intermediate	0.97 (0.70–1.35)	1.03 (0.85–1.24)	0.93 (0.73–1.16)
Adequate	1.00	1.00	1.00
Adequate plus	0.88 (0.67–1.14)	1.02 (0.86–1.20)	1.09 (0.92–1.29)
Number of previous live births			
0	0.62 (0.48–0.80)	0.70 (0.60–0.81)	0.69 (0.59–0.80)
1–3	1.00	1.00	1.00
>3	1.32 (0.96–1.80)	1.54 (1.25–1.90)	1.11 (0.81–1.52)
Previous low birth weight or premature infant	0.56 (0.27–1.15)	0.92 (0.56–1.50)	1.11 (0.76–1.62)
Medical complications	1.15 (0.42–3.29)	1.07 (0.53–2.18)	1.19 (0.61–2.32)
Anemia	1.73 (0.91–3.29)	1.14 (0.63–2.08)	0.88 (0.44–1.77)
Diabetes	1.32 (0.58–2.99)	1.93 (1.38–2.69)	1.03 (0.60–1.75)
Labor and delivery complications	0.79 (0.57–1.11)	1.15 (0.95–1.38)	1.28 (1.05–1.57)
Male infant	1.45 (1.19–1.78)	1.25 (1.11–1.41)	1.52 (1.32–1.74)
Infant birth weight, g			
Very low, <1500	9.87 (6.38–15.27)	22.07 (16.55–29.42)	8.18 (5.57–12.02)
Moderately low, 1500–2499	3.00 (2.23–4.03)	5.40 (4.47–6.53)	3.02 (2.39–3.80)
Normal, 2500–4000	1.00	1.00	1.00
High, >4000	0.84 (0.50–1.42)	0.85 (0.67–1.08)	0.57 (0.43–0.75)
Infant gestational age, wk			
Very premature, <33	1.67 (1.10–2.54)	1.30 (0.98–1.73)	1.76 (1.23–2.52)
Moderately premature, 33–37	1.14 (0.82–1.59)	1.19 (0.97–1.45)	1.70 (1.36–2.12)
Term, 38–42	1.00	1.00	1.00
Postterm, >42	0.94 (0.64–1.40)	1.08 (0.87–1.35)	1.07 (0.84–1.37)

sults may not be nationally representative. However, because one eighth of all US births, and nearly one third of all Latino births, occur in California,[13] our results are likely to be relevant for many other areas of the United States. Second, we focused on the 3 largest racial/ethnic groups of child-bearing women in the United States, and our results may not be applicable to other ethnic groups. Asian and Pacific Islanders represent ~11% of all live births in California but were not included in these analyses because they are a heterogeneous group, comprised of many national origin and ethnic subgroups, and the effect of country of origin on perinatal outcomes varies considerably.[32] Underreporting of maternal risk factors, and the severity or intensity thereof, on the birth certificate could also affect our results. For example, pregnancy complications such as tobacco use during pregnancy may be underreported.[33] However, if this information is underreported on the birth certificate, our results are conservative estimates of the true effect of these variables on the risk of infant death. Similarly, gestational age is reported less accurately than birth weight on the birth certificate,[8,34] and this difference in reporting accuracy could have affected our comparisons of the risk of low birth weight and prematurity on infant death. Finally, vital records data sets do not contain information about factors such as quality of prenatal care, quality of neonatal and postneonatal care, and community or environmental factors, any of which may affect the risk of infant death.[8,35]

CONCLUSIONS

To achieve national infant mortality goals, health professionals and policy makers should continue to emphasize the importance of early and continuous prenatal care and develop new strategies to reduce the incidence of low birth weight and premature infants. Other prevention measures include increasing education about folic acid intake, use of genetic counseling and screening, and prevention of gestational diabetes; improving access to surfactant therapy to prevent respiratory distress syndrome; and increasing education about "Back to Sleep," SIDS prevention efforts. Ethnic-specific approaches may be needed to reduce infant mortality rates further[5,8] and achieve our national goal to eliminate ethnic disparities in perinatal outcomes.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

REFERENCES

1. David RJ, Siegel E. Decline in neonatal mortality, 1968 to 1977: better babies or better care? *Pediatrics.* 1983;71:531–540
2. Singh GK, Yu SM. Infant mortality in the United States: trends, differentials, and projections, 1950 through 2010. *Am J Public Health.* 1995;85:957–964
3. Mathews TJ, Menacker F, MacDorman MF. Infant mortality statistics from the 2001 period linked birth/infant death data set. *Natl Vital Stat Rep.* 2003;52(2):1–28
4. Luke B, Williams C, Minogue J, Keith L. The changing pattern of infant mortality in the US: the role of prenatal factors and their obstetrical implications. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet.* 1993;40:199–212
5. Carmichael SL, Iyasu S, Hatfield-Timajchy K. Cause-specific trends in neonatal mortality among black and white infants, United States, 1980–1995. *Matern Child Health J.* 1998;2:67–76
6. Lee KS, Khoshnood B, Hsieh H, Kim BI, Schreiber MD, Mittendorf R. Which birthweight groups contributed most to the overall reduction in the neonatal mortality rate in the United States from 1960 to 1986? *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol.* 1995;9:420–430
7. MacDorman MF, Minino AM, Strobino DM, Guyer B. Annual summary of vital statistics—2001. *Pediatrics.* 2002;110:1037–1052
8. Carmichael SL, Iyasu S. Changes in the black-white infant mortality gap from 1983 to 1991 in the United States. *Am J Prev Med.* 1998;15:220–227
9. Minino AM, Arias E, Kochanek KD, Murphy SL, Smith BL. Deaths: final data for 2000. *Natl Vital Stat Rep.* 2002;50(15):1–119
10. Infant mortality and low birth weight among black and white infants—United States, 1980–2002. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2002;51(27):589–592
11. Din-Dzietham R, Hertz-Picciotto I. Relationship of education to the racial gap in neonatal and postneonatal mortality. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med.* 1997;151:787–792
12. Scott CL, Iyasu S, Rowley D, Atrash HK. Postneonatal mortality surveillance—United States, 1980–1994 [published correction appears in *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 1998;47:555]. *MMWR CDC Surveill Summ.* 1998;47:15–30
13. Martin JA, Hamilton BE, Ventura SJ, Menacker F, Park MM, Sutton PD. Births: final data for 2001. *Natl Vital Stat Rep.* 2002;51(2):1–102
14. Ventura SJ, Martin JA, Curtin SC, Mathews TJ. Report of final natality statistics, 1996. *Mon Vital Stat Rep.* 1998;46(11 suppl):1–99
15. Ventura SJ, Martin JA, Curtin SC, Mathews TJ. Births: final data for 1997. *Natl Vital Stat Rep.* 1999;47(18):1–96
16. Kotelchuck M. An evaluation of the Kessner Adequacy of Prenatal Care Index and a proposed Adequacy of Prenatal Care Utilization Index. *Am J Public Health.* 1994;84:1414–1420
17. US Department of Health and Human Services. *ICD-9-CM: International Classification of Diseases, 9th Revision, Clinical Modification.* Washington, DC: US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Health Care Financing Administration; 1997
18. SAS Institute Inc, ed. *SAS/STAT User's Guide, Version 8.* Cary, NC: SAS Institute Inc; 1999
19. Ranganathan D, Wall S, Khoshnood B, Singh JK, Lee KS. Racial differences in respiratory-related neonatal mortality among very low birth weight infants. *J Pediatr.* 2000;136:454–459
20. Hauck FR, Moore CM, Herman SM, et al. The contribution of prone sleeping position to the racial disparity in sudden infant death syndrome: the Chicago Infant Mortality Study. *Pediatrics.* 2002;110:772–780
21. Muhuri PK, MacDorman MF, Ezzati-Rice TM. Racial differences in leading causes of infant death in the United States. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol.* 2004;18:51–60
22. American Academy of Pediatrics, Committee on Genetics. Folic acid for the prevention of neural tube defects. *Pediatrics.* 1999;104:325–327
23. Browner CH, Preloran HM, Casado MC, Bass HN, Walker AP. Genetic counseling gone awry: miscommunication between prenatal genetic service providers and Mexican-origin clients. *Soc Sci Med.* 2003;56:1933–1946
24. Willinger M, Ko CW, Hoffman HJ, Kessler RC, Corwin MJ. Factors associated with caregivers' choice of infant sleep position, 1994–1998: the National Infant Sleep Position Study. *JAMA.* 2000;283:2135–2142
25. Hessol NA, Vittinghoff E, Fuentes-Afflick E. Reduced risk of inadequate prenatal care in the era after Medicaid expansions in California. *Med Care.* 2004;42:416–422
26. Fuentes-Afflick E, Hessol NA. Interpregnancy interval and the risk of premature infants. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2000;95:383–390
27. Dooley SL, Metzger BE, Cho NH. Gestational diabetes mellitus. Influence of race on disease prevalence and perinatal outcome in a U.S. population. *Diabetes.* 1991;40(suppl 2):25–29
28. Berkowitz GS, Lapinski RH, Wein R, Lee D. Race/ethnicity and other risk factors for gestational diabetes. *Am J Epidemiol.* 1992;135:965–973
29. Kieffer EC, Carman WJ, Gillespie BW, Nolan GH, Worley SE, Guzman JR. Obesity and gestational diabetes among African-American women and Latinas in Detroit: implications for disparities in women's health. *J Am Med Womens Assoc. Fall* 2001;56:181–187, 196
30. Woolbright LA. Postneonatal mortality in Alabama: why no progress in the 90s? *Ann Epidemiol.* 2001;11:208–212
31. Committee to Study the Prevention of Low Birthweight. *Preventing Low Birthweight.* Washington, DC: National Academy Press, National Academy of Sciences; 1985
32. Fuentes-Afflick E, Hessol NA. Impact of Asian ethnicity and national origin on infant birth weight. *Am J Epidemiol.* 1997;145:148–155
33. Ventura SJ, Hamilton BE, Mathews TJ, Chandra A. Trends and variations in smoking during pregnancy and low birth weight: evidence from the birth certificate, 1990–2000. *Pediatrics.* 2003;111(5 pt 2):1176–1180
34. Mustafa G, David RJ. Comparative accuracy of clinical estimate versus menstrual gestational age in computerized birth certificates. *Public Health Rep.* 2001;116:15–21
35. James SA. Racial and ethnic differences in infant mortality and low birth weight. A psychosocial critique. *Ann Epidemiol.* 1993;3:130–136

Ethnic Differences in Neonatal and Postneonatal Mortality

Nancy A. Hessol and Elena Fuentes-Afflick

Updated Information & Services	including high resolution figures, can be found at: http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/115/1/e44
References	This article cites 32 articles, 4 of which you can access for free at: http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/115/1/e44#BIBL
Subspecialty Collections	This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the following collection(s): **Fetus/Newborn Infant** http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/fetus:newborn_infant_sub **Neonatology** http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/neonatology_sub **SIDS** http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/sids_sub
Permissions & Licensing	Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at: http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/Permissions.xhtml
Reprints	Information about ordering reprints can be found online: http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/reprints.xhtml

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN®

PEDIATRICS®

Ethnic Differences in Neonatal and Postneonatal Mortality

Nancy A. Hessol and Elena Fuentes-Afflick

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is
located on the World Wide Web at:

http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/115/1/e44

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN®