

The Impact of Low Birth Weight, Perinatal Conditions, and Sociodemographic Factors on Educational Outcome in Kindergarten

Michael B. Resnick, EdD*; Ralitzia V. Gueorguieva, MS‡; Randy L. Carter, PhD‡; Mario Ariet, PhD*; Yuanshan Sun, PhD; Jeffrey Roth, PhD*; Richard L. Bucciarelli, MD*; John S. Curran, MD§; and Charles S. Mahan, MD||

ABSTRACT. *Objective.* To assess the relative effects and the impact of perinatal and sociodemographic risk factors on long-term morbidity within a total birth population in Florida.

Methods. School records for 339 171 children entering kindergarten in Florida public schools in the 1992–1993, 1993–1994, or 1994–1995 academic years were matched with Florida birth records from 1985 to 1990. Effects on long-term morbidity were assessed through a multivariate analysis of an educational outcome variable, defined as placement into 9 mutually exclusive categories in kindergarten. Of those categories, 7 were special education (SE) classifications determined by statewide standardized eligibility criteria, 1 was academic problems, and the reference category was regular classroom. Generalized logistic regression was used to simultaneously estimate the odds of placement in SE and academic problems. The impact of all risk factors was assessed via estimated attributable excess/deficit numbers, based on the multivariate analysis.

Results. Educational outcome was significantly influenced by both perinatal and sociodemographic factors. Perinatal factors had greater adverse effects on the most severe SE types, with birth weight <1000 g having the greatest effect. Sociodemographic predictors had greater effects on the mild educational disabilities. Because of their greater prevalence, the impact attributable to each of the factors (poverty, male gender, low maternal education, or non-white race) was between 5 and 10 times greater than that of low birth weight and >10 times greater than that of very low birth weight, presence of a congenital anomaly, or prenatal care.

Conclusions. Results are consistent with the hypothesis that adverse perinatal conditions result in severe educational disabilities, whereas less severe outcomes are influenced by sociodemographic factors. Overall, sociodemographic factors have a greater total impact on adverse educational outcomes than perinatal factors. *Pediatrics* 1999;104(6). URL: <http://www.pediatrics.org/cgi/content/full/104/6/e74>; *birth weight, child development, special education, educational status, morbidity, infant, low birth weight, risk factors, socioeconomic factors, logistic models, Florida.*

ABBREVIATIONS. LBW, low birth weight; SE, special education; DOE, Department of Education; AP, academic problems; PI, physically impaired; SI, sensory impaired; PMH, profoundly mentally handicapped; TMH, trainable mentally handicapped; EMH, educable mentally handicapped; LD, learning disabled; EH, emotionally handicapped; ELBW, extremely low birth weight; VLBW, very low birth weight.

Evaluations of the effects of perinatal risk factors on long-term developmental outcomes attract much attention because of widespread concerns that increased survival of infants at the threshold of viability leads to increased neurodevelopmental morbidity.^{1–5} Tests of intelligence, physical coordination, neurological functioning, as well as teacher and parent reports and classroom assignments have all been used as educational outcome measures. It is well established that certain perinatal factors, especially low birth weight (LBW), significantly increase the risk of educational problems,^{6–12} even when controlling for sociodemographic factors.^{13–23} Some authors also have recognized the independent effects of sociodemographic variables, such as poverty, maternal education, race, and gender on educational outcomes^{24–32} and have indicated that these effects become more pronounced as children progress through school.^{4,33–35} In a few studies, it has been observed that different types of developmental problems are influenced differently by sociodemographic and perinatal risk factors.^{3,8,36,37}

Still controversial is whether the absolute numbers of children with disabilities caused by perinatal factors have increased with improved survival,^{1,2,5,38–42} but some evidence indicates that these children constitute a small minority of the overall number of children with disabilities requiring special education (SE) and other services.^{3,43} Sociodemographic risk factors, however, are relatively more prevalent in the general school-aged population than adverse birth conditions and may have a greater impact on educational problems. Hence, it is important to assess simultaneously the contributions of perinatal and sociodemographic factors to the total number of educationally disabled children within a general population.

The effects of risk factors on educational disabilities have been studied primarily for infants at increased medical risk at birth,^{31,35,36,38,44–47} and often only a few potential outcomes have been considered, for example, learning disabilities, cognitive perfor-

From the Colleges of *Medicine and †Liberal Arts and Sciences, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida; and the Colleges of §Medicine and ||Public Health, University of South Florida, Tampa, Florida.

Received for publication Oct 19, 1998; accepted Jun 23, 1999.

Reprint requests to (M.B.R.) at University of Florida, College of Medicine, Department of Pediatrics, PO Box 100296, Gainesville, FL 32610-0296. E-mail: resnimb@medcs.ufl.edu

PEDIATRICS (ISSN 0031 4005). Copyright © 1999 by the American Academy of Pediatrics.

mance, or retention rates.^{16,32,48–49} Because of the rarity of SE outcomes and certain perinatal events, mostly case-control studies and designs with healthy newborns matched to medically fragile infants have been used with small sample sizes and in restricted geographical areas. The small study sizes allow precise measurements of the outcomes (most often with standardized tests), but selection bias is easily introduced.^{48,50,51} In contrast, the availability of information on all births and all school records in a geographically defined area allows consideration of nearly all children in that region and permits utilization of school placement as an outcome.⁵²

The objective of this study was to assess the relative effects and the impact of perinatal and sociodemographic factors on educational outcomes within the total birth population in Florida. We performed a similar analysis of educational disabilities for the population of premature or sick infants who were treated in Florida Regional Perinatal Intensive Care Centers between 1980 and 1987 and found that perinatal variables were associated highly with severe educational disabilities, whereas mild educational problems seemed to be influenced by sociodemographic factors.³⁷ In the general population considered in the current paper, we expected the effects of perinatal factors to be more pronounced because a much healthier reference group was considered than when only children at increased medical risk at birth were analyzed. By using the total birth population, we were able to estimate the impact of each predictor. That is, we were able to estimate the total number of disabled children attributable to each risk factor and compare perinatal and sociodemographic factors.

METHODS

Study Participants

The study sample consisted of children born in the state of Florida between 1985 and 1990 (just before the introduction of surfactant) who entered kindergarten in Florida public schools in the academic years 1992–1993, 1993–1994, or 1994–1995. Children entered kindergarten if they were ≥ 5 years of age on or before August 31 of the school year. School records were obtained from the Florida Department of Education (DOE) database and were linked to the Florida Vital Statistics datasets for the birth years considered. Of the student records from kindergarten 1992–1993, 1993–1994, and 1994–1995, 67%, 68%, and 69%, respectively, were matched successfully with records for births in the State of Florida. The percentages unmatched consisted of children who were not born in Florida and of children born in Florida whose DOE records could not be matched to birth records (name changes, incorrectly entered identifiers, duplicate matches).

A total of 339 171 records (65% of all available DOE records)

were available for analysis after deleting records with missing values for any of the variables studied. A comparison of the 3 groups (matched with no missing values, matched with missing values, and unmatched) with respect to the outcome variable is presented in Table 1.

The representativeness of our population with respect to the predictor variables was ascertained by comparing the raw percentages from our matched dataset to the raw percentages from the birth vital statistics dataset for 1987, 1988, and 1989, the 3 years that contributed most of the children in our population (~90%). In the birth vital statistics dataset, we excluded infants who died during their first year of life. The comparison is presented in Table 2.

Variables

Outcome Variables

The outcome variable was educational placement at kindergarten into 7 mutually exclusive SE categories designed to serve children with an educational disability, an academic problems (AP) category for children with milder educational problems, and a reference category consisting of children who attended regular classroom or gifted classes only. Assignment to SE was determined by the child's primary exceptionality, which identified the disability requiring the greatest allocation of personnel resources (in cases in which more than 1 disability was diagnosed). SE categories included: 1) physically impaired (PI), severe skeletal or neuromuscular conditions adversely affecting educational performance; 2) sensory impaired (SI), deaf, blind, hard of hearing, or partially sighted; 3) profoundly mentally handicapped (PMH), IQ <25; 4) trainable mentally handicapped (TMH), IQ between 25 and 54; 5) educable mentally handicapped (EMH), IQ between 55 and 69; 6) learning disabled (LD), psychological processing disorders marked by difficulties in the acquisition and use of language, reading, writing, or math; and 7) emotionally handicapped (EH), condition resulting in persistent and maladaptive behaviors.

Procedures for eligibility determination and classification criteria of primary exceptionality are standardized throughout the state's 67 school districts. Placement criteria are dictated by Florida Board of Education Rules in accordance with Federal guidelines and monitored by the Florida Bureau of Student Services and Exceptional Education.^{53,54} These definitions and eligibility criteria that classify children into SE types are comprehensive and require extensive multidisciplinary evaluation procedures by qualified professionals using widely accepted assessment tools and methods.

The AP category comprised milder educational intervention or remedial programs and practices used in Florida, which could not be analyzed separately without bias because of the variations of local districts in assigning students to these programs. Speech and language impairment was a type of assignment for remedial services for disorders of language, articulation, fluency, or voice. Federal Chapter 1/Title 1 Basic Program provided educational services to low-achieving students. Nonpromotion to first grade was defined as a child found again in kindergarten the following year.

Children with both a SE primary exceptionality and an AP were placed in the SE category.

Predictor Variables

The risk factors considered are given in Table 3. Poverty was obtained from the DOE dataset, whereas the rest of the factors

TABLE 1. Number and Percent Distribution of Three Groups Into Outcome Variable Categories

| Groups | PI | | SI | | PMH | | TMH | | EMH | | LD | | EH | | AP | | RC | | Total <i>n</i> |
|--------------------------|----------|----|----------|----|----------|----|----------|----|----------|----|----------|----|----------|----|----------|------|----------|------|-------------------|
| | <i>n</i> | % | <i>n</i> | % | <i>n</i> | % | <i>n</i> | % | <i>n</i> | % | <i>n</i> | % | <i>n</i> | % | <i>n</i> | % | <i>n</i> | % | |
| Matched, complete data | 972 | .3 | 486 | .1 | 338 | .1 | 688 | .2 | 1846 | .5 | 1530 | .5 | 1845 | .5 | 96 860 | 28.6 | 234 606 | 69.2 | 339 171 |
| Matched, incomplete data | 43 | .3 | 18 | .1 | 22 | .2 | 23 | .2 | 84 | .6 | 41 | .3 | 45 | .3 | 3515 | 25.8 | 9846 | 72.2 | 13 637 |
| Not matched | 453 | .3 | 245 | .1 | 153 | .1 | 325 | .2 | 740 | .4 | 593 | .4 | 818 | .5 | 39 452 | 23.6 | 124 550 | 74.4 | 167 330 |

RC indicates regular classroom.

TABLE 2. Comparison of the Merged and the Total Vital Statistics Datasets

| Variables | Merged Dataset (%) | Vital Statistics 1987–1989 % |
|---|--------------------|------------------------------|
| Birth weight | | |
| 450–749 g | .1 | .1 |
| 750–999 g | .2 | .2 |
| 1000–1499 g | .6 | .6 |
| 1500–2499 g | 6.4 | 6.2 |
| 2500–2999 g | 17.2 | 16.6 |
| 4750–6049 g | .6 | .6 |
| 3000–4749 g | 74.9 | 75.6 |
| Congenital anomaly | | |
| Yes | 2.4 | 2.4 |
| No | 97.6 | 97.6 |
| Complications of labor | | |
| Yes | 32.9 | 33.3 |
| No | 67.1 | 66.7 |
| Race/ethnicity | | |
| Black | 27.7 | 23.3 |
| Other | 12.8 | 15.9 |
| White | 59.6 | 60.8 |
| Gender | | |
| Male | 51.5 | 51.2 |
| Female | 48.5 | 48.8 |
| Maternal age | | |
| 11–17 y | 6.4 | 5.5 |
| 18–19 y | 8.9 | 8.1 |
| 36 y or older | 4.9 | 5.5 |
| 20–35 y | 79.8 | 80.8 |
| Maternal marital status | | |
| Not married | 31.4 | 28.5 |
| Married | 68.6 | 71.5 |
| Maternal education | | |
| Less than high school | 27.6 | 24.6 |
| High school | 42.5 | 40 |
| More than high school | 30 | 35.4 |
| Prenatal care | | |
| No | 3.2 | 3.3 |
| Yes | 96.8 | 96.7 |
| Previous pregnancy experience | | |
| Previous failed pregnancy | 27.6 | 27.8 |
| No previous pregnancy | 31.3 | 32.9 |
| One or more previous successful pregnancies | 41.1 | 39.3 |

were available in the vital statistics dataset. Poverty was defined according to whether the child received free or reduced price lunch in kindergarten. Eligibility for free or reduced price lunch was based on family size and family income.

Birth weight was classified into the following 7 categories: 450 to 749 g, 750 to 999 g, 1000 to 1499 g, 1500 to 2499 g, 2500 to 2999 g, 4750 to 6049 g, and 3000 to 4749 g. The first 2 categories (<1000 g) consisted of extremely low birth weight (ELBW) children, the first 3 categories (<1500 g) comprised very low birth weight (VLBW) children, and the first 4 categories (<2500 g) corresponded to LBW children. Congenital anomaly, complications of labor, and maternal marital status were yes or no variables obtained from the vital statistics on the birth certificate. Maternal education was categorized as less than high school, high school, or greater than high school education based on the number of years of schooling completed by the mother. Four categories of maternal age at birth were considered: a young teenage group (11–17 years), a late teenage group (18 to 19), women of 20 to 35 years of age, and women ≥36 years of age. Race/ethnicity had 3 categories: white, black, and other, with the other category being predominantly Hispanic (93%) and most Hispanics being white. Prenatal care was a yes or no variable with yes assigned if the mother received any type of prenatal care. Previous pregnancy experience had 3 categories: previous failed pregnancy (including any pregnancy terminations and child deaths occurring after birth), no previous pregnancy experience, and 1 or more previous successful pregnancies.

The risk factors considered were limited to only those variables that were available in vital statistics for all the years in the period 1985–1990. Hence, we were not able to study the effects of venti-

lation and of certain medical conditions such as intraventricular hemorrhage and seizures.

Statistical Methods

The CATMOD procedure in SAS (SAS Institute, Cary, NC)⁵⁵ was used to fit a generalized logistic regression model to assess simultaneously the effects of the perinatal and sociodemographic predictors on educational outcomes. Generalized odds ratios, with regular classroom as the reference category, were used to measure the effect on each educational disability of levels of each predictor in relation to a reference level perceived a priori to be the lowest risk category for the predictor. All generalized odds ratios reported herein were adjusted for the other risk factors in the model. Odds ratios >1 indicated that the level of the risk factor under consideration was associated with higher odds of the outcome than the reference level. Confidence intervals were constructed to test the significance of the odds ratios. All tests were performed at .05 significance level. The available sample was large enough to allow assessment of the effects of rare risk factors (for example, birth weight <750 g) on rare outcomes (for example, PMH) via a main effects model but was not sufficient to study interactions.

The impact of each of the risk factors was assessed via excess/deficit numbers, calculated from the formula in the Appendix. Positive (excess) numbers are interpreted as the number of children in a specific group who would have been prevented from being placed in the educational disability category under consideration if the risk in that group could have been reduced to the risk of the reference group. Negative (deficit) numbers are interpreted as the number of additional children in a specific group who would have been placed in the educational disability category had the risk in that group been the same as in the reference group.

It should be noted that causation could not be inferred from the associations found from model fitting. Like the generalized odds ratios, the excess/deficit numbers were adjusted for the other factors in the model.

RESULTS

Sample Counts and Percentages

A description of the study sample is provided in Table 3. Of the children, 7705 (2.3%) required SE and 96 860 (28.6%) were placed in the AP category. The total percentages of children requiring SE by type were .3% PI, .1% SI, .1% PMH, .2% TMH, .5% EMH, .5% LD, and .5% EH. These are similar to the percentages for the unmatched records (Table 1), suggesting that the sample available for analysis was representative of the entire population of kindergarten students with respect to SE placement. The percentage of children with AP among those unmatched was slightly lower than among those matched (23.6%, compared with 28.56%). Note that nearly all children receiving SE services in the state of Florida are in public schools; therefore, nearly all severely and moderately disabled children in kindergarten were included in the analysis.

Our sample was also representative of the total birth population of children who survived past their first year of life with respect to the predictor variables (Table 2). Only small differences were observed on few variables (maternal education, race, and marital status). These differences probably are explained by the sociodemographic characteristics of children who attend private schools.

Main Effects Analysis

The main effects analysis indicated that all considered variables had significant effects on educational placement. Adjusted generalized odds ratios that de-

TABLE 3. Description of the Study Sample: Perinatal and Sociodemographic Variables by Educational Outcomes

| Variables | Total | | PI | | SI | | PMH | | TMH | | EMH | | LD | | EH | | SE Total | | AP | | RC | |
|---|---------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|------|-----|------|-----|----------|------|--------|------|---------|------|
| | n | % | n | % | n | % | n | % | n | % | n | % | n | % | n | % | n | % | n | % | n | % |
| Overall | 339 171 | 100 | 972 | .3 | 486 | .1 | 338 | .1 | 688 | .2 | 1846 | .5 | 1530 | .5 | 1845 | .5 | 7705 | 2.3 | 96 860 | 28.6 | 234 606 | 69.2 |
| Perinatal factors | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Birth weight | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 450-749 g | 335 | .1 | 19 | 5.7 | 14 | 4.2 | 9 | 2.7 | 18 | 5.4 | 18 | 5.4 | 9 | 2.7 | 2 | .6 | 89 | 26.6 | 126 | 37.6 | 120 | 35.8 |
| 750-999 g | 662 | .2 | 39 | 5.9 | 18 | 2.7 | 11 | 1.7 | 10 | 1.5 | 36 | 5.4 | 14 | 2.1 | 9 | 1.4 | 137 | 20.7 | 236 | 35.7 | 289 | 43.7 |
| 1000-1499 g | 2163 | .6 | 85 | 3.9 | 18 | .8 | 22 | 1 | 18 | .8 | 62 | 2.9 | 32 | 1.5 | 17 | .8 | 254 | 11.7 | 776 | 35.9 | 1133 | 52.4 |
| 1500-2499 g | 21 663 | 6.4 | 172 | 8 | 57 | 3.3 | 68 | 3 | 103 | .5 | 270 | 1.3 | 145 | .7 | 163 | .8 | 978 | 4.5 | 7409 | 34.2 | 13 276 | 61.3 |
| 2500-2999 g | 58 460 | 17.2 | 180 | 3 | 97 | 2 | 76 | 1 | 172 | .3 | 406 | .7 | 276 | .5 | 359 | .6 | 1566 | 2.7 | 18 246 | 31.2 | 38 648 | 66.1 |
| 4750-6049 g | 1871 | .6 | 6 | .3 | 2 | .1 | 4 | .2 | 2 | .1 | 8 | .4 | 8 | .4 | 15 | .8 | 45 | 2.4 | 490 | 26.2 | 1336 | 71.4 |
| 3000-4749 g | 254 017 | 74.9 | 471 | 2 | 280 | .1 | 148 | .1 | 365 | .1 | 1046 | .4 | 1046 | .4 | 1280 | .5 | 4636 | 1.8 | 69 577 | 27.4 | 179 804 | 70.8 |
| Congenital anomaly | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 8061 | 2.4 | 163 | 2 | 30 | .4 | 47 | .6 | 72 | .9 | 119 | 1.5 | 55 | .7 | 55 | .7 | 541 | 6.7 | 2790 | 34.6 | 4730 | 58.7 |
| No | 331 110 | 97.6 | 809 | 2 | 456 | .1 | 291 | .1 | 616 | .2 | 1727 | .5 | 1475 | .5 | 1790 | .5 | 7164 | 2.2 | 94 070 | 28.4 | 229 876 | 69.4 |
| Complications of labor | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 111 596 | 32.9 | 496 | .4 | 173 | .2 | 170 | .2 | 282 | .3 | 711 | .6 | 538 | .5 | 651 | .6 | 3021 | 2.7 | 29 552 | 26.5 | 79 023 | 70.8 |
| No | 227 575 | 67.1 | 476 | .2 | 313 | .1 | 168 | .1 | 406 | .2 | 1135 | .5 | 992 | .4 | 1194 | .5 | 4684 | 2.1 | 67 308 | 29.6 | 155 583 | 68.4 |
| Sociodemographic factors | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Race/ethnicity | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Black | 93 819 | 27.7 | 212 | .2 | 122 | .1 | 138 | .2 | 271 | .3 | 850 | .9 | 426 | .5 | 754 | .8 | 2773 | 3 | 36 127 | 38.5 | 54 919 | 58.5 |
| Other | 43 341 | 12.8 | 92 | .2 | 56 | .1 | 41 | .1 | 86 | .2 | 152 | .4 | 214 | .5 | 161 | .4 | 802 | 1.9 | 18 096 | 41.8 | 24 443 | 56.4 |
| White | 202 011 | 59.6 | 668 | .3 | 308 | .2 | 159 | .1 | 331 | .2 | 844 | .4 | 890 | .4 | 930 | .5 | 4130 | 2 | 42 637 | 21.1 | 155 244 | 76.9 |
| Gender | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Male | 174 558 | 51.5 | 573 | .3 | 291 | .2 | 182 | .1 | 427 | .2 | 1162 | .7 | 1104 | .6 | 1469 | .8 | 5208 | 3 | 55 160 | 31.6 | 114 190 | 65.4 |
| Female | 164 613 | 48.5 | 399 | .2 | 195 | .1 | 156 | .1 | 261 | .2 | 684 | .4 | 426 | .3 | 376 | .2 | 2497 | 1.5 | 41 700 | 25.3 | 120 416 | 73.2 |
| Maternal age | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11-17 y | 21 786 | 6.4 | 64 | .3 | 29 | .1 | 34 | .2 | 44 | .2 | 193 | .9 | 106 | .5 | 176 | .8 | 646 | 3 | 7612 | 34.9 | 13 528 | 62.1 |
| 18-19 y | 30 098 | 8.9 | 87 | .3 | 59 | .2 | 40 | .1 | 58 | .2 | 224 | .7 | 110 | .4 | 244 | .8 | 822 | 2.7 | 9634 | 32 | 19 642 | 65.3 |
| 36 y or older | 16 657 | 4.9 | 68 | .4 | 19 | .1 | 18 | .1 | 69 | .4 | 94 | .6 | 83 | .5 | 82 | .5 | 433 | 2.6 | 4933 | 29.6 | 11 291 | 67.8 |
| 20-35 y | 270 630 | 79.8 | 753 | .3 | 379 | .1 | 246 | .1 | 517 | .2 | 1335 | .5 | 1231 | .5 | 1343 | .5 | 5804 | 2.1 | 74 681 | 27.6 | 190 145 | 70.3 |
| Maternal marital status | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Not married | 106 641 | 31.4 | 303 | .3 | 155 | .2 | 136 | .1 | 276 | .3 | 921 | .9 | 524 | .5 | 887 | .8 | 3202 | 3 | 38 542 | 36.1 | 64 897 | 60.9 |
| Married | 232 530 | 68.6 | 669 | .3 | 331 | .1 | 202 | .1 | 412 | .2 | 925 | .4 | 1006 | .4 | 958 | .4 | 4503 | 1.9 | 58 318 | 25.1 | 169 709 | 73 |
| Maternal education | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Less than high school | 93 601 | 27.6 | 303 | .3 | 135 | .1 | 134 | .1 | 256 | .3 | 836 | .9 | 505 | .5 | 753 | .8 | 2922 | 3.1 | 34 409 | 36.8 | 56 270 | 60.1 |
| High school | 143 974 | 42.5 | 392 | .3 | 225 | .2 | 129 | .1 | 280 | .2 | 723 | .5 | 640 | .4 | 730 | .5 | 3119 | 2.2 | 39 711 | 27.6 | 101 144 | 70.3 |
| More than high school | 101 596 | 30 | 277 | .3 | 126 | .1 | 75 | .1 | 152 | .2 | 287 | .3 | 385 | .4 | 362 | .4 | 1664 | 1.6 | 22 740 | 22.4 | 77 192 | 76 |
| Poverty | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 157 058 | 46.3 | 513 | .3 | 238 | .2 | 177 | .1 | 427 | .3 | 1311 | .8 | 892 | .6 | 1262 | .8 | 4820 | 3.1 | 57 441 | 36.6 | 94 797 | 60.4 |
| No | 182 113 | 53.7 | 459 | .3 | 248 | .1 | 161 | .1 | 261 | .1 | 535 | .3 | 638 | .4 | 583 | .3 | 2885 | 1.6 | 39 419 | 21.7 | 139 809 | 76.8 |
| Prenatal care | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| No | 10 938 | 3.2 | 52 | .5 | 23 | .2 | 26 | .2 | 40 | .4 | 144 | 1.3 | 78 | .7 | 119 | 1.1 | 482 | 4.4 | 3998 | 36.6 | 6458 | 59 |
| Yes | 328 233 | 96.8 | 920 | .3 | 463 | .1 | 312 | .1 | 648 | .2 | 1702 | .5 | 1452 | .4 | 1726 | .5 | 7223 | 2.2 | 92 862 | 28.3 | 228 148 | 69.5 |
| Previous pregnancy experience | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Previous failed pregnancy | 93 722 | 27.6 | 311 | .3 | 133 | .1 | 96 | .1 | 214 | .2 | 476 | .5 | 437 | .5 | 552 | .6 | 2219 | 2.4 | 26 763 | 28.6 | 64 740 | 69.1 |
| No previous pregnancy | 106 203 | 31.3 | 288 | .3 | 167 | .2 | 109 | .1 | 195 | .2 | 547 | .5 | 432 | .4 | 551 | .5 | 2289 | 2.2 | 27 478 | 25.9 | 76 436 | 72 |
| One or more previous successful pregnancies | 139 246 | 41.1 | 373 | .3 | 186 | .1 | 133 | .1 | 279 | .2 | 823 | .6 | 661 | .5 | 742 | .5 | 3197 | 2.3 | 42 619 | 30.6 | 93 430 | 67.1 |

PI indicates physically impaired; SI, sensory impaired; PMH, profoundly mentally handicapped; TMH, trainable mentally handicapped; EMH, educable mentally handicapped; LD, learning disabled; EH, emotionally handicapped; SE, special education; AP, academic problems; RC, regular classroom.

TABLE 4. Adjusted Generalized Odds Ratios and 95% Confidence Intervals for the Effects of Perinatal and Sociodemographic Predictors on Educational Outcomes

| Factor Levels | Educational Outcome | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|----|----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|----|
| | Reference Category | PI | SI | PMH | TMH | EMH | LD | EH | AP |
| Perinatal Factors | | | | | | | | | |
| Birth weight | | | | | | | | | |
| 450–749 g | | | | | | | | | |
| 750–999 g | | | | | | | | | |
| 1000–1499 g | | | | | | | | | |
| 1500–2499 g | | | | | | | | | |
| 2500–2999 g | | | | | | | | | |
| 4750–6049 g | | | | | | | | | |
| Congenital anomaly | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | | | | | | | | | |
| No | | | | | | | | | |
| Complications of labor | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | | | | | | | | | |
| No | | | | | | | | | |
| Sociodemographic Factors | | | | | | | | | |
| Race/ethnicity | | | | | | | | | |
| Black | | | | | | | | | |
| Other | | | | | | | | | |
| Gender | | | | | | | | | |
| Male | | | | | | | | | |
| Female | | | | | | | | | |
| Maternal age | | | | | | | | | |
| 11–17 y | | | | | | | | | |
| 18–19 y | | | | | | | | | |
| 36 y or older | | | | | | | | | |
| Maternal marital status | | | | | | | | | |
| Not married | | | | | | | | | |
| Married | | | | | | | | | |
| Maternal education | | | | | | | | | |
| Less than high school | | | | | | | | | |
| High school | | | | | | | | | |
| Poverty | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | | | | | | | | | |
| No | | | | | | | | | |
| Prenatal care | | | | | | | | | |
| No | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | | | | | | | | | |
| Previous pregnancy experience | | | | | | | | | |
| One or more previous successful pregnancies | | | | | | | | | |
| Previous failed pregnancy | | | | | | | | | |
| No previous pregnancy | | | | | | | | | |

scribe these effects and associated 95% confidence intervals are presented in Table 4.

Children with VLBW were much more likely to be placed in all SE classes (except EH) or in the AP group than were children with normal birth weight. Likewise, children with congenital anomalies were at a much higher risk for all adverse educational outcomes (except EH) than were children without congenital anomalies. The magnitudes of the effects of VLBW and especially ELBW on placement in the most severe SE types (PI, SI, PMH, and TMH) were much greater than of those of any other factor. A similar observation can be made for EMH and LD, although the difference is less dramatic. Birth weight between 1500 g and 2500 g also increased the risk for adverse educational outcomes with significant effects observed for PI, PMH, EMH, and AP. Marginally LBW (2500–3000 g) and high birth weight (>4750 g) had no significant effect on any type of educational disability, except PMH in the case of high birth weight.

Gender was an important factor, with males being at a significantly higher risk for each type of educational problem, especially EH. Poverty was also a significant risk factor for AP and all types of SE except PMH. Blacks were at significantly higher risk to be placed in PMH, TMH, EMH, EH, and AP than whites. Individuals of other race were more likely to be placed in PMH, LD, and AP than whites and less likely to be placed in EMH and EH. Whites were at higher risk for PI than either blacks or other. Less than a high school education was associated with an increased risk of AP and all types of SE except PI and SI.

The children of unmarried mothers were more likely to be placed in the milder educational disabilities categories (EMH, EH, and AP) than those whose mothers were married. The children of mothers who did not receive prenatal care were at increased risk for EMH, LD, and EH. Maternal teen age was found to significantly decrease the odds for TMH, LD, and AP. Older maternal age was associated with an increased risk for AP, PI, EMH, and especially TMH. Children of mothers with no previous pregnancy experience were at a lower risk for AP.

Impact Analysis

The calculated excess/deficit numbers are provided in Table 5. The numbers in the SE total column were obtained by adding the numbers in the first 7 columns corresponding to the 7 different SE classifications. The total column was obtained by adding the SE total and the AP columns. Total rows were included for predictors with 3 or more levels and were obtained by adding the rows for all risk levels of those predictors.

The overall excess numbers for all sociodemographic factors except maternal age were greater than those attributed to birth weight, congenital anomaly, or prenatal care (Table 5). The difference was very dramatic for AP, where non-white race, poverty, male gender, and low maternal education (less than high school and high school) had a >10 times greater impact than LBW (<2500 g), the perinatal

variable with the greatest impact. For example, the excess number of AP classifications attributable to non-white race was 18 972, compared with 971 attributable to LBW. This means that if the risk for AP among non-whites had been the same as the risk for AP among whites, then 18 972 cases of AP in the studied population could have been prevented. In contrast, if the risk among LBW children for AP had been the same as among normal birth weight children, only 971 cases of AP could have been avoided. There were an excess number of AP cases among children of unmarried mothers (3142) and among children of older mothers (392) and a deficit of AP cases among children of teenage mothers.

The excess numbers of SE cases attributable to male gender (2695) and poverty (1886) were also several times higher than those attributable to LBW (933). But the impact of LBW on SE placement was greater than the impact of congenital anomaly, complications of labor, low maternal education (less than high school and high school), single marital status, prenatal care, and maternal age. LBW and congenital anomaly were associated with an increased number of occurrences of all SE types.

Overall, there was a deficit of SE cases among blacks and others relative to whites. However, an excess number of blacks experienced mental handicaps. There was an excess for all types of SE placement among males, with the greatest impact being on EH placement. Excess numbers of poverty children were found in all SE classes except PMH. Low maternal education was associated with an excess number of cases in all SE categories except PI. No prenatal care and unmarried marital status were associated with excess occurrences of EMH and EH.

DISCUSSION

Nearly one third of Florida's kindergarten students between 1992 and 1995 required SE placement or intervention for AP. In this study, we investigated risk factors that contributed to this high percentage with emphasis on comparing the effects and the impact of perinatal and sociodemographic factors.

Effects

In the analysis we found that perinatal variables (birth weight, congenital anomaly, and complications of labor) influenced all educational outcomes but the effect was generally greater on the most severe SE types (PI, SI, PMH, TMH, and even EMH). Relative to the magnitude of the effects of perinatal factors, sociodemographic factors were more important for LD, EH, AP, and to a lesser extent for EMH.

Prenatal care and previous pregnancy experience had estimated effects more characteristic of sociodemographic rather than perinatal variables (ie, pronounced effect on the milder types of SE and/or AP). Apart from the previous failed pregnancy category, the previous pregnancy experience variable was determined by the number of older siblings (a sociodemographic factor). Furthermore, it is quite plausible that no prenatal care reflects more a social, cultural, or behavioral effect rather than a medical one. Thus,

TABLE 5. Excess/Deficit Cases of Special Education and Academic Problems Associated With Perinatal and Sociodemographic Factors

| Factor Levels | Reference Category | Educational Outcome | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|-----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-------------|--------|--------|
| | | PI | SI | PMH | TMH | EMH | LD | EH | SE Total | AP | Total |
| Perinatal factors | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Birth weight category | 3000–4749 g | | | | | | | | | | |
| 450–749 g | | 18 | 14 | 9 | 18 | 16 | 8 | 0 | 83 | 25 | 108 |
| 750–999 g | | 37 | 17 | 10 | 9 | 32 | 11 | 5 | 121 | 31 | 152 |
| 1000–1499 g | | 80 | 16 | 20 | 14 | 49 | 23 | 3 | 205 | 101 | 306 |
| 1500–2499 g | | 128 | 35 | 52 | 66 | 154 | 56 | 33 | 524 | 814 | 1338 |
| Total (450–2499 g) | | 263 | 82 | 91 | 107 | 251 | 98 | 41 | 933 | 971 | 1904 |
| 2500–2999 g | | 78 | 37 | 39 | 83 | 130 | 47 | 46 | 460 | 941 | 1401 |
| 4750–6049 g | | 2 | 0 | 3 | –1 | 0 | –1 | 4 | 7 | –8 | –1 |
| Congenital anomaly | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | No | 134 | 16 | 36 | 52 | 62 | 13 | 3 | 316 | 172 | 488 |
| Complications of labor | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | No | 163 | –18 | 66 | 57 | 125 | 26 | 83 | 502 | –1630 | –1128 |
| Sociodemographic factors | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Race/ethnicity | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | White | | | | | | | | | | |
| Black | | –279 | –66 | 42 | 45 | 109 | –148 | 12 | –285 | 11 390 | 11 105 |
| Other | | –76 | –16 | 6 | –5 | –102 | –20 | –107 | –320 | 7582 | 7262 |
| Total | | –355 | –82 | 48 | 40 | 7 | –168 | –95 | –605 | 18 972 | 18 367 |
| Gender | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Female | | | | | | | | | | |
| Male | | 177 | 97 | 29 | 170 | 478 | 665 | 1079 | 2695 | 11 465 | 14 160 |
| Maternal age | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 20–35 y | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11–17 y | | 0 | –2 | 3 | –27 | –27 | –14 | –8 | –75 | –453 | –528 |
| 18–19 y | | 2 | 15 | 7 | –20 | –6 | –47 | 34 | –15 | –279 | –294 |
| 36 y or older | | 20 | –4 | 2 | 38 | 22 | 10 | 7 | 95 | 392 | 487 |
| Total | | 22 | 9 | 12 | –9 | –11 | –51 | 33 | 5 | –340 | –335 |
| Maternal marital status | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Not married | Married | –28 | 34 | 14 | 37 | 181 | 40 | 49 | 327 | 3142 | 3469 |
| Maternal education | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | More than high school | | | | | | | | | | |
| Less than high school | | 7 | 2 | 49 | 85 | 397 | 82 | 198 | 820 | 6919 | 7739 |
| High school | | –28 | 34 | 14 | 37 | 181 | 40 | 49 | 327 | 3142 | 3469 |
| Total | | –21 | 36 | 63 | 122 | 578 | 122 | 247 | 1147 | 10 061 | 11 208 |
| Poverty | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | No | 152 | 25 | –36 | 140 | 638 | 336 | 631 | 1886 | 11 904 | 13 790 |
| Prenatal care | | | | | | | | | | | |
| No | Yes | 5 | 2 | 7 | 5 | 37 | 16 | 35 | 107 | 6 | 113 |
| Previous pregnancy experience | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | One or more previous successes | | | | | | | | | | |
| Previous failed pregnancy | | 11 | –4 | –1 | 16 | –73 | –18 | 72 | 3 | –609 | –606 |
| No previous pregnancy | | –12 | 18 | 3 | 16 | –31 | –35 | –1 | –42 | –2978 | –3020 |
| Total | | –1 | 14 | 2 | 32 | –104 | –53 | 71 | –39 | –3587 | –3626 |

throughout the discussion these variables will be grouped with the other sociodemographic factors.

Differences in the effects of perinatal and sociodemographic risk factors on educational outcomes have been observed previously in morbidity studies for groups of neonatal intensive care unit graduates.³⁷ It has been suggested that perinatal factors result in structural damage that leads to classification in the most severe SE categories, whereas placement in the least severe educational outcomes is more influenced by sociodemographic factors.^{37,56} This hypothesis is supported in the present study, in which the delineation between the effects of perinatal and sociodemographic variables, measured by estimated odds ratios, is even more pronounced. The reference groups in this study are much larger and consist of much healthier children than in any neonatal intensive care unit sample. Hence, the independent effects of perinatal variables are much greater than when compared with reference groups of children at high perinatal risk at birth. This is especially clear from the effects of VLBW and ELBW, which confirm that LBW is an important predictor of placement in SE.

Although many significant effects of sociodemographic factors for the severe SE types were observed, the magnitudes of the estimated odds ratios for PI, SI, PMH, and TMH for sociodemographic factors were much smaller than those of perinatal factors.

Interestingly, contrary to the impression from the raw percentages, maternal teen age was associated with decreased risk for TMH, LD, and AP and did not increase the odds of the remaining types of educational problems when controlling for the other risk factors. This finding suggests that children of younger mothers seem to experience more educational disabilities not as a result of the mother's young age but as a result of the confounding influence of factors, such as low maternal education, single marital status, and poverty, which are associated highly with teenage pregnancies. This finding clearly exemplifies the need to control for confounding factors through a multivariable analysis.

Children of mothers ≥ 36 years of age were found to be at a higher risk for PI, TMH, EMH, and AP. With increasing numbers of women giving birth at

older ages, the impact of this factor is likely to increase.

The sample size in this study, although large, was not sufficient to test interactions. The interaction of VLBW with sociodemographic factors is especially important to assess. In future studies, we specifically would like to test such interaction effects on AP, mental retardation, and emotional handicaps, and we need to secure larger datasets for this purpose.

Impact

Because of the very low prevalence of certain perinatal risk factors compared with sociodemographic risk factors, it was important to study the relative impact of perinatal and sociodemographic variables. The odds ratios obtained from the main effects analysis reflect the magnitude of effects independent of prevalence, whereas the excess/deficit numbers, obtained from the impact analysis, depend both on the prevalence of risk factors and on the magnitude of the observed effects.

Of all perinatal factors, LBW had the greatest impact on SE. Although the likelihood of disability among ELBW children was high, the study showed that the excess attributable to birth weight <1000 g was only 2.6% of the total population of students with disabilities and only 1% of the population with AP. The impact of VLBW (<1500 g) on SE placement was comparable to the impact of birth weight between 1500 g and 2500 g and to the impact of congenital anomaly. It was also much smaller than the impact of most sociodemographic factors. Nevertheless, although the long-term impact of LBW and congenital anomaly on society is small, the impact on individual families is not.⁵⁷ Because prematurity is the primary cause for VLBW, prevention of extreme prematurity is an important goal because of its potential to decrease the need for SE services.

Of all sociodemographic variables, gender was the one with the greatest impact on SE. It had a >2 times greater impact than LBW and a >10 times greater impact than ELBW. This finding is consistent with other published studies^{26,30,32,44,52} and justifies more research efforts to determine the causes of and remedies for excess numbers of poor outcomes among boys.

As expected, sociodemographic risk factors (especially poverty, race, maternal education, and gender) had a much greater impact on AP than perinatal predictors. The same was true, but to a lesser extent, for the mild SE types (EMH, LD, and EH). In contrast, birth weight and congenital anomaly had a greater impact on PI, SI, and PMH. Although perinatal variables have a great impact on the most severe, but least prevalent, SE types, the important finding of this study is that the majority of children in SE classes are not there because of adverse events in the perinatal period but because of adverse effects of their sociodemographic conditions.

CONCLUSIONS

Results are consistent with previous findings³⁷ that adverse perinatal conditions lead to severe educational disabilities, although less severe outcomes are

influenced more by sociodemographic factors. Overall sociodemographic factors have a greater total impact on adverse educational outcomes than perinatal factors.

Sociodemographic factors have the greatest impact on placement in AP, the most numerous and the least severe educational placement category. In 1995, Sun et al⁵⁸ showed that the likelihood of transition to an SE classification dramatically increased for children placed in AP and that children placed in SE in kindergarten remained there throughout school. Thus, the impact of factors affecting AP placement would be magnified as children progress further in school. Of the sociodemographic effects with the greatest impact on AP, maternal education and poverty are perhaps the most readily modifiable. It is encouraging that the recently initiated Early Head Start and Even Start programs target these populations of children. The pediatric community should develop a systematic referral process that identifies at risk children who might most benefit from these programs.

Traditionally pediatricians are trained to recognize high risk medical conditions and may not have been made sufficiently aware of the more subtle effects associated with prolonged exposure to adverse sociodemographic conditions. This study underscored the long-term negative consequences of these risk factors on children's educational performance. Noting the presence of such risk factors during routine health checkups may serve as a first step toward identifying and referring families to early intervention programs that combine medical, social, and educational services.

Appendix

The impact of each of the risk factors was assessed via excess/deficit numbers, which were calculated from the following formula:

$$E_{jl} = \sum_c n_{cl}(P_{jcl} - P_{jcr}),$$

where n_{cl} is the number of children in the l^{th} level of the risk factor under consideration for a fixed combination c of levels of the other factors, P_{jcl} and P_{jcr} are the model based estimates of the probabilities that the j^{th} outcome occurs given the l^{th} level and the r^{th} (reference) level of the risk factor, respectively, and the c^{th} combination of levels of the other factors. The level of each factor perceived a priori to be the lowest risk level was taken as the reference category. In cases in which this turned out to be a misperception, E_{jl} would be negative for at least 1 l and should be interpreted as a deficit and not an excess.

Excess/deficit numbers are the numerators in the formulae for standardized attributable risk with the a priori higher risk population as the standard population. To obtain standardized attributable risk, the excess/deficit numbers (Table 5) must be divided by the sample size for the predictor level of interest (Table 3). For example, the standardized attributable risk for LD attributable to birth weight 450 to 749 g is $8/9 = .89$ or 89%.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research is supported in part by grants from the US Department of Education (HO24530014), Florida Department of Education (011-92000-60001), and the University of Florida, Office of Research, Technology and Graduate Education.

We thank John Brady, Lavan Dukes, Tom Fisher, Janice Smith-Dann, Julia Smith, Kathy Peck, and Shane Goff of the Florida Department of Education; Meade Grigg and Dan Thompson of the Florida Department of Health; and Karen Kilgore and Hazel A. Jones of the University of Florida College of Education.

We thank Zhanying Bai and Chi-Hse Teng, UF Division of Biostatistics and to Shanti Gomatam, University of South Florida, Department of Mathematics, for input in the early stages of developing our merging strategy; Danny Deluca, Stefan Lupkiewicz, and Bill Pfeifer, UF Division of Computer Science for data management; Jaquelyn Liss Resnick, UF Counseling Center, for editorial assistance; and Steven Priest, UF Department of Pediatrics, for help in manuscript preparation.

REFERENCES

- McCormick MC. Has the prevalence of handicapped infants increased with improved survival of the very low birth weight infant? *Clin Perinatol.* 1993;20:263-277
- Kitchen WH, Rickards AL, Doyle LW, Ford GW, Kelly EA, Callanan C. Improvement in outcome for very low birth weight children: apparent or real? *Med J Aust.* 1992;157:154-158
- Blackman JA. Neonatal intensive care: is it worth it? Developmental sequelae of very low birthweight. *Pediatr Clin North Am.* 1991;38:1497-1511
- Hack M, Klein NK, Taylor HG. Long-term developmental outcomes of low birth weight infants. *Future Child.* 1995;5:176-196
- Jakobi P, Weissman A, Paldi E. The extremely low birthweight infant: the twenty-first century dilemma. *Am J Perinatol.* 1993;10:155-159
- Schraeder BD, Heverly MA, O'Brien C, Goodman R. Academic achievement and educational resource use of very low birth weight survivors. *Pediatr Nurs.* 1997;23:21-25
- O'Callaghan MJ, Burns YR, Gray PH, et al. School performance of ELBW children: a controlled study. *Dev Med Child Neurol.* 1996;38:917-926
- Taylor HG, Hack M, Klein N, Schatschneider C. Achievement in children with birth weights less than 750 grams with normal cognitive abilities: evidence for specific learning disabilities. *J Pediatr Psychol.* 1995;20:703-719
- Middle C, Johnson A, Alderdice F, Petty T, Macfarlane A. Birthweight and health and development at the age of 7 years. *Child Care Health Dev.* 1996;22:55-71
- Rickards AL, Kitchen WH, Doyle LW, Ford GW, Kelly EA, Callanan C. Cognition, school performance, and behavior in very low birth weight and normal birthweight children at 8 years of age: a longitudinal study. *J Dev Behav Pediatr.* 1993;14:363-368
- Carran DT, Scott KG, Shaw K, Beydoun S. The relative risk of educational handicaps in two birth cohorts of normal and low birthweight disadvantaged children. *Top Early Child Special Educ.* 1989;9:14-31
- Gray JW, Davis B, McCoy K, Dean RS, Joy KL. Mothers' self-reports of perinatal information as predictors of school achievement. *J School Psychol.* 1992;30:233-243
- Marlow N, Roberts L, Cooke R. Outcome at 8 years for children with birth weights of 1250 g or less. *Arch Dis Child.* 1993;68:286-290
- Halsey CL, Collin MF, Anderson CL. Extremely low birth weight children and their peers: a comparison of preschool performance. *Pediatrics.* 1993;91:807-811
- Saigal S, Szatmari P, Rosenbaum P, Campbell D, King S. Cognitive abilities and school performance of extremely low birth weight children and matched term control children at age 8 years: a regional study. *J Pediatr.* 1991;118:751-760
- Saigal S, Rosenbaum P, Szatmari P, Campbell D. Learning disabilities and school problems in a regional cohort of extremely low birth weight (less than 1000 g) children: a comparison with term controls. *J Dev Behav Pediatr.* 1991;12:294-300
- Hall A, McLeod A, Counsell C, Thomson L, Mutch L. School attainment, cognitive ability and motor function in a total Scottish very low birthweight population at eight years: a controlled study. *Dev Med Child Neurol.* 1995;37:1037-1050
- Halsey CL, Collin MF, Anderson CL. Extremely low-birth weight children and their peers: a comparison of school-age outcomes. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med.* 1996;150:790-794
- Pharoah PO, Stevenson CJ, Cooke RW, Stevenson RC. Clinical and subclinical deficits at 8 years in a geographically defined cohort of low birthweight infant. *Arch Dis Child.* 1994;70:264-270
- McCormick MC, Brooks-Gunn J, Workman DK, Turner J, Peckham GJ. The health and developmental status of very low birth weight children at school age. *JAMA.* 1992;267:2204-2208
- Klebanov PK, Brooks-Gunn J, McCormick MC. School achievement and failure of very low birth weight children. *J Dev Behav Pediatr.* 1994;15:248-256
- Breslau N, Chilcoat H, DelDotto J, Andreski P, Brown G. Low birth weight and neurocognitive status at six years of age. *Biol Psychiatry.* 1996;40:389-397
- Hack M, Taylor HG, Klein N, Eiber R, Schatschneider C, Mercuri MN. School-age outcomes in children with birth weights under 750 g. *N Engl J Med.* 1994;331:753-759
- Hack M, Breslau N, Aram D, Weissman B, Klein N, Borawski CE. The effect of very low birth weight and social risk on neurocognitive abilities at school age. *J Dev Behav Pediatr.* 1992;13:412-420
- McDermott S. Explanatory model to describe school district prevalence rates for mental retardation and learning disabilities. *Am J Ment Retard.* 1994;99:175-185
- Ornstein M, Ohlsson A, Edmonds J, Asztalos E. Neonatal follow-up of very low birthweight/extremely low birthweight infants to school age: a critical overview. *Acta Paediatr Scand.* 1991;80:741-748
- Resnick MB, Roth J, Ariet M, et al. Educational outcome of neonatal intensive care graduates. *Pediatrics.* 1992;89:373-378
- Leonard CH, Piecuch RE. School age outcome in low birth weight preterm infants. *Semin Perinatol.* 1997;21:240-253
- McCormick MC, Workman DK, Brooks Gunn J. The behavioral and emotional well-being of school-age children with different birth weights. *Pediatrics.* 1996;97:18-25
- Klebanov PK, Brooks Gunn J, McCormick MC. Classroom behavior of very low birth weight elementary school children. *Pediatrics.* 1994;94:700-708
- Resnick MB, Stralka K, Carter RL, et al. Effects of birth weight and sociodemographic variables on mental development of neonatal intensive care unit survivors. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 1990;162:374-378
- Byrd RS, Weitzman ML. Predictors of early grade retention among children in the United States. *Pediatrics.* 1994;93:481-487
- Kalmar M, Boronkai J. Interplay of biological and social-environmental factors in the developmental outcome of prematurely born children from infancy to seven years. *Int J Disability Dev Educ.* 1991;38:247-270
- Meyer-Probst B, Teichmann H, Hayes A, Rauh H. Follow-up of a cohort of risk children from birth into adolescence: the Rostock longitudinal study. *Int J Disability Dev Educ.* 1991;38:225-246
- Monset-Couchard M, de Bethmann O, Kastler B. Mid- and long-term outcome of 89 premature infants weighting less than 1,000 g at birth, all appropriate for gestational age. *Biol Neonate.* 1996;70:328-338
- Damman O, Walther H, Allers B, et al. Development of a regional cohort of very low birthweight children at six years: cognitive abilities are associated with neurological disability and social background. *Dev Med Child Neurol.* 1996;38:97-106
- Resnick MB, Gomatam SV, Carter RL, et al. Neonatal intensive care and educational handicaps. *Pediatrics.* 1998;102:308-314
- Tudehope D, Burns YR, Gray PH, Mohay HA, O'Callaghan MJ, Rogers YM. Changing patterns of survival and outcome at 4 years of children who weighted 500-999 g at birth. *J Paediatr Child Health.* 1995;31:451-456
- The Victorian Infant Collaborative Study Group. Neurosensory outcome at 5 years and extremely low birthweight. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed.* 1995;73:F143-F146
- La Pine TR, Jackson JC, Bennett FC. Outcome of infants weighting less than 800 grams at birth: 15 years' experience. *Pediatrics.* 1995;96:479-483
- Lee KS, Kim BI, Khoshnood B, Hsieh HL, Chen TJ, Herschel M, Mitendorf R. Outcome of very low birth weight infants in industrialized countries: 1947-1987. *Am J Epidemiol.* 1995;141:1188-1193
- Bartel NR, Thurman SK. Medical treatment and educational problems in children. *Phi Delta Kappan.* 1992;74:57-61
- Kempley ST, Diffley FS, Ruiz G, Lowe D, Evans BG, Gamsu HR. Birth weight and special education needs: effects of an increase in the survival of the very low birthweight infants in London. *J Epidemiol Commun Health.* 1995;49:33-37
- Msall ME, Buck GM, Rogers BT, Catanzaro NL. Kindergarten readiness after extreme prematurity. *Am J Dis Child.* 1992;146:1371-1375
- Martin MS, Leverette HB, Ferlauto JJ. School-age outcomes of NICU follow-up graduates. *J S C Med Assoc.* 1996;92:451-454
- Koller H, Lawson K, Rose SA, Wallace I, McCarton C. Patterns of cognitive development in very low birth weight children during the first six years of life. *Pediatrics.* 1997;99:383-389

47. Msall ME, Rogers BT, Buck GM, Mallen S, Catanzaro NL, Duffy LC. Functional status of extremely preterm infants at kindergarten entry. *Dev Med Child Neurol*. 1993;35:312–320
48. McCormick MC. The outcomes of very low birth weight infants: are we asking the right questions? *Pediatrics*. 1997;99:869–876
49. Gylter L, Dudley M, Blinkhorn S, Barnett B. The relationship between psychosocial factors and developmental outcome for very low and extremely low birthweight infants: a review. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry*. 1993;27:62–73
50. Tyson JE, Broyles RS. Progress in assessing the long-term outcome of extremely low birth weight infants. *JAMA*. 1996;276:92–93
51. Forfar JO, Hume R, McPhail FM, Maxwell SM, Wilkinson EM, Lin JP, Brown JK. Low birth weight: a 10-year outcome study of the continuum of reproductive casualty. *Dev Med Child Neurol*. 1994;36:1037–1048
52. Andrews H, Goldberg D, Wellen N, Pittman B, Struening E. Prediction of special education placement from birth certificate data. *Am J Prev Med*. 1995;11:55–61. Supplement
53. Florida State Board of Education Administrative Rules. *Special Programs 1*. Chapter 6A-6.03011–6A-6.03025. Tallahassee, FL: Florida State Board of Education; 1996
54. Florida Statutes. *Public Education, General Provisions, Definitions*. Chapter 228:041(18)(19). Tallahassee, FL: Florida State Board of Education; 1995
55. SAS Institute. *SAS/STAT User's Guide*. Version 6. 4th ed. Cary, NC: SAS Institute; 1990
56. Wilson RS. Risk and resilience in early mental development. *Dev Psychol*. 1985;21:795–805
57. Cronin CM, Shapiro CR, Casiro OG, Cheang MS. The impact of very low birth weight infants on the family is long lasting: a matched control study. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*. 1995;149:151–158
58. Sun Y, Carter RL, Ariet M, Resnick MB. *A Method for Longitudinal Education Outcome Analysis: Markov Chain Models for Categorical Data, Final Report*. Tallahassee, FL: Florida Department of Education, Office of Student Assessment; 1995

The Impact of Low Birth Weight, Perinatal Conditions, and Sociodemographic Factors on Educational Outcome in Kindergarten

Michael B. Resnick, Ralitza V. Gueorguieva, Randy L. Carter, Mario Ariet, Yuanshan Sun, Jeffrey Roth, Richard L. Bucciarelli, John S. Curran and Charles S. Mahan

Pediatrics 1999;104:e74

DOI: 10.1542/peds.104.6.e74

Updated Information & Services

including high resolution figures, can be found at:
<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/104/6/e74>

References

This article cites 53 articles, 13 of which you can access for free at:
<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/104/6/e74#BIBL>

Subspecialty Collections

This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the following collection(s):
Cognition/Language/Learning Disorders
http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/cognition:language:learning_disorders_sub
Fetus/Newborn Infant
http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/fetus:newborn_infant_sub

Permissions & Licensing

Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at:
<http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/Permissions.xhtml>

Reprints

Information about ordering reprints can be found online:
<http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/reprints.xhtml>

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN™



PEDIATRICS®

OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS

The Impact of Low Birth Weight, Perinatal Conditions, and Sociodemographic Factors on Educational Outcome in Kindergarten

Michael B. Resnick, Ralitza V. Gueorguieva, Randy L. Carter, Mario Ariet, Yuanshan Sun, Jeffrey Roth, Richard L. Bucciarelli, John S. Curran and Charles S. Mahan

Pediatrics 1999;104:e74

DOI: 10.1542/peds.104.6.e74

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:

<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/104/6/e74>

Pediatrics is the official journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. A monthly publication, it has been published continuously since 1948. Pediatrics is owned, published, and trademarked by the American Academy of Pediatrics, 141 Northwest Point Boulevard, Elk Grove Village, Illinois, 60007. Copyright © 1999 by the American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 1073-0397.

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN™

