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BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVES: New US Down syndrome (DS) BMI growth charts were recently published, but their utility in identifying children with excess adiposity or increased cardiometabolic risk (CMR) remains unknown. We sought to compare the ability of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) BMI 85th percentile and DS-specific BMI 85th percentile to identify excess adiposity in children with DS.

METHODS: Participants with DS aged 10 to 20 years were enrolled in a cross-sectional CMR study. Data from typically developing children enrolled in the Bone Mineral Density in Childhood Study (BMDCS) were used for comparison. Sensitivity and specificity were calculated to assess the CDC BMI 85th percentile in the BMDCS and DS groups, and the DS-specific BMI 85th percentile in the DS group, relative to fat mass index (FMI) ≥80th percentile, a threshold associated with increased CMR.

RESULTS: Included were 121 DS participants (age 14.8 ± 3.3 years, 57% girls) and 7978 BMDCS reference data points (age 15.0 ± 3.0 years, 51.3% girls). The CDC BMI 85th percentile identified FMI ≥80th percentile with 96.9% sensitivity and 87.4% specificity in typically developing children. Similarly, the CDC BMI 85th percentile identified FMI ≥80th percentile with 100% sensitivity and 78.3% specificity in children with DS. In contrast, the sensitivity of the DS-specific BMI 85th percentile was only 62.3% (P < .0001), but was 100% specific.

CONCLUSIONS: For children with DS ≥10 years, the CDC BMI growth chart 85th percentile is a better indicator of excess adiposity, than the new DS-specific BMI charts. Additional studies are needed to clarify the relationships of BMI and FMI with CMR in DS.
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WHAT'S KNOWN ON THIS SUBJECT: BMI growth charts were recently published for children with Down syndrome (DS), but how well the DS-specific BMI charts identify excess adiposity compared with standard Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) BMI charts in children with DS is unknown.

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS: CDC and DS-specific BMI charts were compared in their ability to predict elevated fat mass index in children with DS age ≥10 years. CDC BMI charts are a better indicator of excess adiposity in this group than DS-specific BMI charts.

BMI is used to screen for excess adiposity and cardiometabolic risk (CMR) in children and adults. Increased BMI is common in individuals with Down syndrome (DS), but the short stature and altered body proportions that characterize DS can also influence the BMI measure. The extent to which BMI captures excess body adiposity and associated CMR in this population with known altered body composition is not known. In 2011, the American Academy of Pediatrics recommended that providers use standard Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) or World Health Organization reference curves, including those for BMI, to monitor children with DS until new DS-specific growth charts were available. However, evidence was absent for determining thresholds for excess adiposity for children with DS using the CDC or World Health Organization charts.

Recently, new growth charts were developed for the US DS population and included growth charts for BMI. These BMI charts reflect the BMI distribution of contemporary children with DS in the United States, but their utility in identifying excess adiposity and increased risk of obesity-related complications remains unknown.

Fat mass index (FMI) is an accurate indicator of adiposity in children and adolescents. Using National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey data, Weber et al showed that the 80th percentile for FMI was the optimal threshold for identifying children at increased risk for metabolic syndrome, a clustering of conditions resulting from insulin resistance and obesity, which is associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular disease and type 2 diabetes. Determining whether the standard CDC BMI growth chart or the new DS-specific BMI growth chart more accurately identifies FMI ≥80th percentile in the DS population is an important consideration in developing screening recommendations for children and adolescents with DS.

The goals of this study were to compare the sensitivity and specificity of DS-specific and CDC-derived BMI z scores as predictors of 80th percentile FMI in a large sample of children with DS ages 10 to 20 years, and to compare the performance of CDC-derived BMI z scores in identifying body adiposity in the sample with DS to that of an unaffected reference group of healthy children.

**METHODS**

**DS Study Sample**

Participants with DS were recruited as part of a larger cross-sectional observational study of CMR in DS from 2 institutions, The Children’s Hospital of Philadelphia (CHOP) and Children’s National Health System (CNHS).

Inclusion criteria were patients with a diagnosis of DS and an age of 10 to 20 years. Exclusion criteria included major organ system illness not related to DS (except diabetes mellitus), current or previous oncologic process, cyanotic or unstable congenital heart disease, pulmonary hypertension, pregnancy, genetic syndrome known to affect glucose tolerance, familial hypercholesterolemia, or current treatment with medications known to affect insulin sensitivity or lipids (other than diabetes agents in known diabetes mellitus). Subjects were included in the current study if they completed a whole-body dual-energy radiograph absorptiometry (DXA) scan and anthropometric exam.

Data were collected from February 2013 to August 2015. Protocols were approved by CHOP and CNHS institutional review boards and consent/assent was obtained from parents and participants, respectively, when appropriate.

Weight (kilograms) was measured by digital electronic scale (Scaletronix), calibrated daily, and stature (centimeters) was measured on a wall-mounted stadiometer (Holtain) with the participant in light clothing without shoes by trained research anthropometrists using standard techniques.

Whole-body DXA scans were obtained using a Hologic Horizon or Discovery bone densitometer (Hologic, Inc, Bedford, MA) at CHOP or a Discovery bone densitometer at CNHS. Quality control was performed using a Hologic Whole Body Phantom scanned 3 times per week on each device. We did not adjust for intermachine differences so that our results would be more generalizable to the clinical setting. All DXA scans were reviewed and analyzed at CHOP using Hologic Software, version 13.5.2 (Hologic).

**Bone Mineral Density in Childhood Study Sample**

Data from the Bone Mineral Density in Childhood Study (BMDCS) were used to allow for comparison of the DS group with unaffected, healthy children (BMDCS sample). Detailed study procedures and inclusion/exclusion criteria for the BMDCS have previously been described. To summarize, the BMDCS collected height, weight, and longitudinal DXA measurements at 5 different US centers for 2014 healthy children from multiple ethnic groups, aged 5 to 19 years at enrollment. Inclusion criteria included birth weight >2.3 kg and no evidence of precocious or delayed puberty. Exclusion criteria at enrollment included height, weight, or BMI <3rd or >97th percentile and a medical condition known to affect growth. Participants were followed for up to 7 years, and throughout that time, excursions in BMI <3rd or >97th percentiles occurred.
To correspond to the ages of DS subjects, the BMDCS sample used for comparison was limited to data obtained at ages 10 to 20 years. Only BMDCS participants with measures of whole-body fat mass and BMI were included for the current analyses (1725 participants contributed 7978 unique, DXA-derived body composition and anthropometric measures).

**Statistical Analysis**

All analyses used z scores to account for the expected age and sex differences in BMI and body composition measures, so that data across this large age range could be combined. Age- and sex-specific BMI z scores were generated for both the BMDCS and DS participants using the 2000 CDC reference data. For the DS group, DS-specific BMI z scores by age and sex were calculated using the recently published DS reference data. If age was >20.0 years, then age was adjusted to 20.0 years for these calculations. FMI (fat mass/height²) and lean BMI (LBMI; excluding bone mass/height²) were calculated (kilogram per square meter), and FMI and LBMI z scores were generated for both groups using previously published reference data.

Study data were collected and managed using REDCap electronic data capture tools hosted at The Children’s Hospital of Philadelphia. Statistical analyses were performed with Stata version 13.1 (StataCorp, College Station, TX). Two-sample t tests (or nonparametric equivalent) were used to compare continuous data between the DS and BMDCS samples; statistical significance was defined as $P < .05$.

We used visual inspection to compare the DS growth curves to the 2000 CDC BMI growth curves. Scatterplots displayed the distribution of FMI z scores to CDC-derived BMI z scores for the DS and BMDCS samples. Similar scatterplots were developed for LBMI z scores.

The test characteristics of sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, and negative predictive value were used to compare the ability of the CDC BMI 85th percentile in the BMDCS and DS groups and the DS-specific BMI 85th percentile in DS subjects to identify FMI ≥80th percentile (FMI z score ≥0.84). The 85th percentile (z score ≥1.04) for BMI was chosen because this is the threshold recommended for the identification of overweight status in children. The McNemar test was used to compare the sensitivity and specificity results of using the CDC or DS-specific BMI 85th percentile within the DS group. Cohen’s $\kappa$ coefficient was also calculated for each group as an index of chance-corrected agreement to additionally compare the different growth chart categorical threshold abilities in identifying FMI ≥80th percentile.

Simple correlations were also performed between both CDC and DS-specific BMI z scores and FMI z scores in both groups. To test for 2-way interactions between FMI z scores and CDC-derived BMI z scores by DS status and by sex, linear regression models were developed with FMI z score as the dependent variable and interaction terms (DS by CDC BMI z score and sex by CDC BMI z score) as the independent variables. The correlations between FMI z scores and CDC BMI z scores were then stratified and examined by sex and DS status.

**RESULTS**

**Participant Characteristics**

Descriptive characteristics of the DS ($n = 121$; 57% girls) and BMDCS ($n = 1725$; 7978 observations; 51.3% girls) participants are found in Table 1. CDC BMI z scores for DS subjects were significantly higher than for the BMDCS sample and were nearly 1 SD greater than the DS-specific BMI z scores. FMI and LBMI z scores were both significantly higher in the DS group than in the BMDCS sample.

**Comparing Growth Charts**

The overlay of DS-specific BMI growth charts on CDC BMI growth charts are shown in Fig 1. For both sexes, the DS-specific BMI 95th percentile was higher than the CDC BMI 95th percentile, even at the youngest ages. At almost all ages for boys, the DS-specific 50th percentile approximated the CDC 85th percentile. For girls, the DS-specific 50th percentile also approximated the CDC 85th percentile until about age 14 years, at which time the DS-specific 50th percentile exceeded the CDC 85th percentile and began to approach the CDC 95th percentile by age 20 years.

### Table 1 Characteristics of DS Participants and BMDCS Reference Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>DS Group</th>
<th>BMDCS Sample</th>
<th>$P$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample, $n$</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>7978</td>
<td>.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>14.8 ± 3.3</td>
<td>15.0 ± 3.0</td>
<td>.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (% girls)</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>51.3%</td>
<td>.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% African American</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>22.8%</td>
<td>.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDC BMI z score</td>
<td>1.23 ± 0.97</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.88</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% CDC BMI ≥85th</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>22.1%</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS BMI z score</td>
<td>0.34 ± 1.07</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% DS BMI ≥85th</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% DS BMI ≥50th</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMI z score</td>
<td>0.73 ± 0.91</td>
<td>−0.25 ± 0.82</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% FMI ≥80th</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LBMI z score</td>
<td>0.53 ± 1.06</td>
<td>−0.17 ± 0.81</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDC HAZ</td>
<td>−2.28 ± 1.05</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.86</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data presented as mean ± SD unless otherwise specified. n/a, not applicable.
Detection of Excess Adiposity

Scatterplots of FMI $z$ scores by CDC BMI $z$ scores for the BMDCS sample and DS subjects are shown in Fig 2. FMI $z$ scores for children with DS were higher than those for the BMDCS sample at the lower end of the CDC 2000 BMI $z$ scores, but the distributions overlapped at BMI $z$ scores $>2$.

Scatterplots of LBMI $z$ scores by BMI $z$ scores for the BMDCS sample and DS subjects (using both CDC BMI $z$ scores and DS-specific BMI $z$ scores) are shown in Fig 3. For a given CDC BMI $z$ score, LBMI $z$ scores for children with DS were generally lower than those for the BMDCS sample at most CDC BMI $z$ scores, but they overlapped for BMI $z$ scores $>2$ (Fig 3A). When DS-specific BMI $z$ scores were used, the relationship between LBMI $z$ scores and BMI $z$ scores in DS more closely approximated the relationship seen in the BMDCS sample (Fig 3B).

Test characteristics for the detection of FMI $\geq$80th percentile in the BMDCS sample using the CDC 85th BMI percentile, DS subjects using the CDC 85th BMI percentile, and DS subjects using the DS-specific 85th BMI percentile are shown in Table 2. Because the CDC BMI 85th percentile appeared to visually approximate the DS-specific 50th percentile for almost all ages in the DS subjects, performance parameters were also calculated for the DS-specific 50th percentile (Table 2).

Although the DS-specific BMI 85th percentile was quite specific for the identification of FMI $\geq$80th percentile (100%), this threshold had much lower sensitivity compared with the sensitivity of the CDC 85th percentile in DS (62.3% vs 100%, $P < .0001$). Use of the DS-specific BMI 50th percentile for the identification of FMI $\geq$80th percentile showed no statistical difference in sensitivity and specificity when compared with the CDC BMI 85th percentile for the DS subjects. Coefficients for these 2 DS groups (0.79 and 0.82 for the CDC BMI 85th percentile and DS-specific BMI 50th percentile, respectively) showed significant overlap in 95% confidence intervals, but were both higher than that for the DS group using the DS-specific 85th percentile (Table 2).

These test characteristics were also calculated separately for boys and girls, but these sex-specific analyses did not significantly differ from those already reported (data not shown).

**DISCUSSION**

Little is known about the true extent of CMR in the DS population. DS has traditionally been considered an "atheroma-free" condition, and individuals with DS were considered to be protected from atherosclerotic disease. More recent studies have disproved this model by identifying not only the presence of cardiovascular disease in DS, but also an increased risk for ischemic heart disease in DS. The contribution of obesity to these outcomes has not been investigated. Because BMI indexes weight relative to height, the shorter limbs and...
altered body proportions of people with DS could conceivably inflate BMI, and how well the BMI measure serves as an index of obesity in DS is unknown. Ideally, defining the BMI or BMI percentile threshold that best predicts CMR should be based on cardiometabolic outcomes. In the absence of such information, we used a FMI \(\geq 80\)th percentile as an indicator of excess adiposity in children ages 10 to 20 years to evaluate the performance of the CDC and DS-specific BMI charts in identifying excess body adiposity in DS. The CDC BMI 85th percentile identified excess adiposity well in both the unaffected reference population and in children with DS, in whom it was more sensitive than the DS-specific BMI 85th percentile. The use of the DS-specific 50th percentile was similar in terms of test characteristics to that of the CDC 85th percentile for the DS subjects, but did not provide enough additional benefit to encourage its use. This finding provides the much-needed evidence to support the recommendation to use the CDC BMI charts for children with DS.

BMI charts are a widely used, easily accessible, and useful screening tool for identifying children at increased risk for health concerns. Based on our results, we suggest that the best way to screen for excess adiposity in DS is to monitor BMI using the established overweight and obese definitions based on the 2000 CDC BMI growth charts. The 50th percentile on the DS BMI charts may be similarly efficacious in identifying excess adiposity in children with DS, but using the DS-specific BMI curves and a 50th percentile threshold may lead to confusion among the medical community and families, and straightforward recommendations are needed.

In 2007, the American Academy of Pediatrics endorsed updated obesity screening recommendations for healthy children and adolescents to monitor yearly BMI plotted on standard growth charts and defined those with an age- and sex-specific BMI percentile \(\geq 85\)th as overweight and \(\geq 95\)th as obese. These BMI percentiles have excellent sensitivity for the identification of children most at risk for the health-related consequences of obesity and are easily applied in clinical practice. Among typically developing children, body fat distribution rather than the total body mass may have a greater impact on cardiovascular risk, but body composition measurement by methods such as DXA cannot practically be applied as a screening measure in clinical practice. Currently, BMI is the easiest and best

---

**FIGURE 3**

A, LBMI z scores by CDC-derived BMI z scores in the reference population and participants with DS. B, LBMI z scores by CDC derived BMI z scores in the reference population and DS-specific BMI z scores for participants with DS.
Obesity is a significant problem in pediatrics, and having DS is associated with an increased risk for obesity. Previous reports estimate a prevalence of obesity as high as 30% to 50%, as well as increased adiposity compared with unaffected peers. Our inspection of the new DS BMI growth charts compared with the CDC BMI growth charts also indicated that at least half of children with DS today would be categorized as being overweight or obese (CDC BMI ≥85th percentile). Another striking result from our visual inspection of the DS BMI growth charts is the great amount of excess adiposity in older girls with DS. The charts indicate that by late adolescence, at least half of girls with DS will be diagnosed as obese, with many more categorized as overweight. Weight-related interventions are crucial to ensure the continued health and well-being of these children, with targeted interventions specifically geared toward older girls with DS being most critical at this time.

Our study also uncovered a number of additional body composition outcomes. Regardless of DS status, sex affects the relationship between BMI and BMI z scores, with higher correlations between BMI and BMI z scores in girls than in boys. Weber et al found that in children and adolescents, BMI increases with increasing age to a greater extent in girls than in boys, a finding that supports our results and indicates that fat mass contributes more to BMI in girls than in boys. Bandini et al showed that girls with DS had a higher correlation of BMI z score and percentage body fat by DXA than boys with DS. We also found that DS status had an influence on the BMI and BMI z score relationship in boys only: boys with DS have higher contributions of fat mass to BMI than boys without DS; the contribution of fat mass to BMI was more similar among girls with and without DS.

This study has many strengths. We produced one of the largest data sets of body composition in children with DS. By comparing them to a large, multicenter, multiethnic reference sample, we demonstrate support for currently used cut-offs for excess adiposity in children with and without DS. This study is also the first to critically evaluate the newly published US DS growth charts. Without these findings, providers of children with DS could have mistakenly used the 85th percentile on the DS-specific BMI charts to screen for obesity-related health outcomes. This use could result in missing many children with DS potentially clinically significant elevations in BMI. One important limitation is that these findings cannot be generalized to children under the age of 10 years. Another important limitation is the assumption that BMI as a marker for excess adiposity best reflects risk of cardiovascular disease or other obesity-related complications in children with DS. Although we had the ability to categorize children and adolescents with DS as overweight or obese based on findings of excess adiposity, minimal data are available regarding the overall risk of cardiovascular disease or other obesity-related comorbidities in this population. Additional study is necessary to correlate these

### TABLE 2 Detection of FMI ≥80th Percentile by Group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BMDCS Sample (Using CDC 85% BMI)</th>
<th>DS Group (Using CDC 85% BMI)</th>
<th>DS Group (Using DS 85% BMI)</th>
<th>DS Group (Using DS 50% BMI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity, %</td>
<td>96.9 (95.5–97.9)</td>
<td>100 (94.1–100)</td>
<td>62.3 (49.0–74.6)</td>
<td>98.4 (91.2–100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity, %</td>
<td>87.4 (86.6–88.1)</td>
<td>78.3 (65.8–87.9)</td>
<td>100 (94.0–100)</td>
<td>85.3 (71.5–91.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPV, %</td>
<td>49.4 (47.0–51.7)</td>
<td>82.4 (71.8–90.3)</td>
<td>100 (90.7–100)</td>
<td>85.7 (75.3–92.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPV, %</td>
<td>99.5 (99.3–99.7)</td>
<td>100 (92.5–100)</td>
<td>72.3 (61.4–81.6)</td>
<td>98.0 (89.6–100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>κ</td>
<td>0.59 (0.57–0.62)</td>
<td>0.79 (0.66–0.89)</td>
<td>0.62 (0.49–0.75)</td>
<td>0.82 (0.72–0.92)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data presented with 95% confidence intervals. NPV, negative predictive value; PPV, positive predictive value.

### TABLE 3 Correlation Coefficients for the Associations Between BMI z Scores and FMI z Scores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Correlation Coefficient With FMI z Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CDC BMI z score for the entire BMDCS group</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDC BMI z score for BMDCS girls</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDC BMI z score for BMDCS boys</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDC BMI z score for the entire DS group</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDC BMI z score for DS girls</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDC BMI z score for DS boys</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS-specific BMI z score for the entire DS group</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS-specific BMI z score for DS girls</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS-specific BMI z score for DS boys</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All P < .0001.
alterations in body composition with known, obesity-related complications in DS.
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