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OBJECTIVE: We examined the longitudinal associations of age at achieving gross motor milestones and children’s development in a US cohort of singletons and twins.

METHODS: In the Upstate KIDS study, a population-based study of children born between 2008 and 2010, information on age at achievement of motor milestones and developmental skills was available in 599 children (314 singletons, 259 twins, and 26 triplets). Mothers reported their children’s major motor milestones at ∼4, 8, 12, 18, and 24 months. At age 4 years, children’s development was clinically assessed by using the Battelle Developmental Inventory, Second Edition (BDI-2). Primary analyses by using multivariate linear regressions were conducted in singletons. We also examined the associations in twins.

RESULTS: Later achievement of standing with assistance predicted lower BDI-2 scores in singletons in adjusted models (B per SD of age at achievement, –21.9 [95% confidence interval (CI), –41.5 to –2.2]). Post hoc analysis on age of standing with assistance showed that associations were driven by differences in adaptive skills (B = –5.3 [95% CI, –9.0 to –1.6]) and cognitive skills (B = –5.9 [95% CI, –11.5 to –0.4]). Analyses restricted to twins suggested no association between the age at achievement of milestones and total BDI-2 score after adjustment for gestational age and birth weight.

CONCLUSIONS: This study provides evidence that the age of achieving motor milestones may be an important basis for various aspects of later child development. In twins, key predictors of later development (eg, perinatal factors) overshadow the predictive role of milestones in infancy.

WHAT’S KNOWN ON THIS SUBJECT: Significant delays in achieving milestones during infancy are important prodromal symptoms of developmental disabilities. Less clear is whether later achievement of gross motor milestones is associated with less favorable outcomes in children across the normal range of developmental skills.

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS: We showed that the importance of infant motor development may not be limited to children with disabilities. Within the normal range, achieving a gross motor milestone earlier than peers may have favorable effects on adaptive and cognitive skills in childhood.
Significant delays in achievement of motor milestones in infancy are important prodromal symptoms of severe impairments such as intellectual disabilities. Furthermore, minor deviations from normal motor development during infancy (eg, low-tone symptoms) predict cognition and language in toddlers and school-aged children. However, follow-up studies exploring the relationship between age of achieving motor milestones in infancy and cognitive abilities at a later age are sparse and inconsistent. Using retrospective reports of mothers on their children’s milestones at age 2 years in the 1946 British Birth Cohort, Murray et al reported that the age of standing alone is associated with intelligence as measured repeatedly until age 53 years. Similarly, in the Northern Finland 1966 Birth Cohort study, standing early was associated with better higher order cognitive processes, known as executive function, in adulthood. In typically developing children born at term, Jenni et al found that the age at achieving walking only weakly predicted a child’s later cognition or motor performance; no association was reported between the time to sit without support and intelligence in children up to age 18 years. Factors such as reliability of retrospective assessment of time to achieve milestones, different sample characteristics (term versus preterm), and evaluation methods partly explain these inconsistent findings.

Whether a better performance in infancy predicts a child’s development at an older age is an important question for parents and health care professionals. Preterm children or children with very low birth weight have better motor performance and cognition if they achieve age-appropriate gross motor skills in infancy. However, less clear is whether later achievement of gross motor milestones is associated with less favorable cognitive outcomes in children, independent of gestational age or birth weight. Twins also remain understudied and were frequently excluded in previous research. To address the gap in knowledge, we examined the longitudinal association of time to achieve gross motor milestones in the first 2 years of life, as reported by mothers, and clinically measured children’s developmental skills. We used data from a US birth cohort, which followed up singletons and twins from birth to age 4 years. Previous reports confirm the validity of maternal reports on child development and support the accuracy of maternal rating on infant’s motor milestone achievement. In particular, questionnaires administered repeatedly with short intervals minimize recall bias and make it less likely that mothers err in their reporting of milestone ages. We hypothesized that delayed motor development is adversely associated with a child’s adaptive, social, communication, motor, and cognitive skills in both singletons and twins.

**METHODS**

**Participants**

This analysis was conducted in the Upstate KIDS study, a population-based birth cohort focused on examining the association between infertiltiy treatment and child development. Briefly, from 2008 to 2010, the study used birth certificate information to sample infertility treatment exposure. For every singleton who was conceived by treatment, 3 singletons not conceived by treatment were enrolled, frequency matched on perinatal region of birth. Because of the high rate of multiples in pregnancies after infertility treatment, the study included all twins and other higher order births, irrespective of the mode of conception.

The New York State Department of Health and the University of Albany Institutional Review Board (IRB) approved the study (NYSDOH IRB #07-097; UAlbany #08-179) and served as the IRB designated by the National Institutes of Health for this study under a reliance agreement. Parents provided written informed consent on behalf of their children.

Of the 6171 children originally enrolled in the Upstate KIDS study, a subgroup was invited to participate in an in-depth assessment at age 4 years. These were: (1) children who failed a developmental screening questionnaire (Ages and Stages Questionnaire at age 36 or 30 months or the Modified Checklist for Autism in Toddlers at age 18 or 24 months); (2) children referred to the New York State Early Intervention Program for clinical evaluation; (3) higher order multiples and twins (irrespective of their screening fail/pass status); and (4) a random selection of the children who passed the Ages and Stages Questionnaire or Modified Checklist for Autism in Toddlers. Due to the geographical distribution of participants, a subgroup of subjects was invited to participate in clinical evaluations in 2 centers for Leadership in Education and Neurodevelopmental Related Disorders, located in Westchester and Rochester, New York, along with CapitalCare Developmental-Behavioral Pediatrics in Latham, New York. All the invitees were residents of New York State, English-speaking, and consented to assessments.

Of the 2154 children invited to participate in the clinic visit, 601 (27.9%) children completed a visit (mean age, 4 years and 4 months; range, 3 years and 1 month–5 years and 8 months). Among these children, information on age at achievement of motor milestones in infancy was available from 599 singletons (314 singletons, 259 twins,
and 26 triplets). Triplets were excluded from the present analysis because of the small number.

**Measurements**

Mothers reported their children’s gross motor development at ~4, 8, 12, 18, and 24 months. Mothers were provided with health journals to track children’s milestone achievement in the form of a diary and were encouraged to use it to complete the questionnaires. Maternal report of gross motor encompasses 6 major milestones: sitting without support, standing with assistance, crawling on hands and knees, walking with assistance, standing alone, and walking alone. A description of each milestone was given to the mothers (Supplemental Table 5). The mothers were asked to indicate achievement of each milestone and recall the date of achievement. We calculated the time to achieve gross motor milestones by subtracting the date of birth from each date of achievement provided. The age at achievement of milestones in this study was comparable to the normative sample of the World Health Organization’s Multicentre Growth Reference Study.17

During the clinic visit at age 4 years, the children were assessed by trained personnel using the Battelle Developmental Inventory, Second Edition (BDI-2). The BDI-2 is an individually administered and standardized assessment that is used to measure developmental skills in children up to age 7 years, 11 months.18 The 450 items of the BDI-2 assess 5 domains of development: personal/social, adaptive, motor, communication, and cognitive on a 3-point scale. The BDI-2 provides a total score for the battery as well as scores for each of the 5 domains. The BDI-2 has acceptable reliability and excellent internal consistency, as well as acceptable content and criterion validity.

Based on the clinical evaluations, the developmental pediatricians provided up to 4 diagnoses for each child. Developmental disability was defined as having ≥1 of the following diagnoses: autism spectrum disorder; attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder; language, learning, and speech disorders; cognitive deficits; cerebral palsy; and/or sensory impairments.

Information on all the covariates (including maternal age, sociodemographic characteristics [eg, highest acquired education level and race/ethnicity], history of smoking and pregnancy alcohol consumption, prepregnancy weight and height, as well as gestational age, birth size, having a sibling, and infant day care attendance) was obtained from self-administered maternal questionnaires or vital records.

**Statistical Analyses**

We used χ² tests and independent sample t tests to compare maternal and child characteristics between children included in the analyses (n = 599) and eligible children excluded due to nonparticipation for the clinic visit or missing milestone information (n = 1555). Number of valid observations for age of achievement of motor milestones ranged between 382 for walking with assistance and 479 for sitting without supports. The percentage of missing for covariates was <5%.

Because of possible differences in neurodevelopment of singletons and twins, analyses were stratified according to plurality. The associations between age at achievement of motor milestones and children’s developmental skills were analyzed by using multivariable linear regression in singletons.

**RESULTS**

The mothers of the 599 children included in the analyses were older (mean difference, 1.3 years; P < .001) and had higher socioeconomic status, including having higher education (38.1% vs 33.1%; P < .001), conceiving with infertility treatment (44.6% vs 36.0%; P = .001), and having private insurance (86.8% vs 79.5%; P < .001) compared...
with mothers of the 1555 children excluded from analyses because they did not participate in the clinic visit (n = 1553) or had no milestone data (n = 2). There was no difference between the 2 groups in sex, birth weight, or age at achievement of motor milestones.

Baseline characteristics of the study population (n = 599), those invited to participate in the clinic visit (n = 2154), and the full cohort (N = 6171) are presented in Table 1. Among the participants, 50% of children were boys, 52.4% were singletons, and 59.6% attended day care in the first year of life. In total, 44.6% of women received infertility treatment of the index pregnancy, and 85.8% of them were non-Hispanic white. The comparison of the study participants with the full cohort indicates the representativeness of this sample, even though twins and children conceived with infertility treatment were overrepresented.

We found that an older age of achieving motor milestones was associated with lower total developmental skills in singletons, independent of gestational age or birth weight (model 2) (Table 2). When models were additionally adjusted for factors related to the sampling framework (model 3), we observed that if children...
TABLE 2 Age at Achievement of Gross Motor Milestones in Infancy and Total Developmental Scale Score at Age 4 Years: Upstate KIDS Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Milestone</th>
<th>Model 1 (95% CI), (P)</th>
<th>Model 2 (95% CI), (P)</th>
<th>Model 3 (95% CI), (P)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Singleton (n = 314)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sitting without support</td>
<td>–34.0 (–57.9 to –10.1), .01</td>
<td>–56.0 (–82.3 to –8.6), .01</td>
<td>–17.6 (–40.7 to 5.4), .14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hands-and-knees crawling</td>
<td>–29.6 (–52.6 to –6.6), .01</td>
<td>–29.2 (–54.0 to –4.5), .02</td>
<td>–12.5 (–34.9 to 9.9), .28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing with assistance</td>
<td>–42.1 (–63.2 to –21.0), .001</td>
<td>–42.6 (–64.5 to –20.7), .001</td>
<td>–21.9 (–41.5 to –2.2), .03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing alone</td>
<td>–23.2 (–52.0 to 5.7), .12</td>
<td>–28.5 (–58.7 to 27.1), .08</td>
<td>–6.2 (–35.2 to 22.9), .68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking with assistance</td>
<td>–35.5 (–58.7 to –12.4), .003</td>
<td>–42.7 (–67.6 to –17.8), .001</td>
<td>–19.6 (–42.7 to 3.5), .10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking alone</td>
<td>–25.9 (–52.8 to 9.9), .06</td>
<td>–28.4 (–51.5 to –0.1), .05</td>
<td>–5.4 (–31.5 to 20.7), .69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twins (n = 259)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sitting without support</td>
<td>–2.6 (–28.3 to 23.1), .84</td>
<td>8.4 (–18.7 to 35.4), .55</td>
<td>7.8 (–19.0 to 34.6), .57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hands-and-knees crawling</td>
<td>2.5 (–18.5 to 23.4), .82</td>
<td>9.9 (–13.8 to 33.6), .41</td>
<td>11.7 (–10.6 to 34.0), .30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing with assistance</td>
<td>–3.9 (–29.5 to 21.6), .76</td>
<td>5.0 (–23.4 to 33.4), .73</td>
<td>6.1 (–20.0 to 32.1), .65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing alone</td>
<td>–29.2 (–54.0 to –4.4), .02</td>
<td>–23.6 (–53.2 to 5.9), .12</td>
<td>–21.4 (–47.1 to 4.3), .10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking with assistance</td>
<td>–27.7 (–47.7 to –7.7), .01</td>
<td>–18.5 (–40.0 to 3.4), .10</td>
<td>–10.9 (–34.7 to 15.0), .37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking alone</td>
<td>–15.5 (–40.5 to 9.6), .25</td>
<td>–11.3 (–37.2 to 14.8), .39</td>
<td>–8.1 (–28.9 to 102), .44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Developed skills were assessed by using the BDI-2. Model 1: adjusted for maternal age, education, race, prepregnancy BMI, smoking, drinking, being married, having private insurance, having older sibling for the child, and a child’s sex, age, and day care attendance before 12 months. Model 2: additionally adjusted for gestational age and birth weight. Model 3: additionally adjusted for developmental screening status and exposure to infertility treatment. Descriptions of each milestone are given in Supplemental Table 5.

TABLE 3 Age at Standing With Assistance in Infancy and Developmental Scale Scores at Age 4 Years: Upstate KIDS Study (Singletons, \(n = 314\))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Developmental Skill</th>
<th>Model 1 (95% CI), (P)</th>
<th>Model 2 (95% CI), (P)</th>
<th>Model 3 (95% CI), (P)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adaptive</td>
<td>–8.8 (–12.6 to –5.1), .001</td>
<td>–8.7 (–12.6 to –4.7), .001</td>
<td>–5.3 (–9.0 to –1.6), .01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal/social</td>
<td>–6.8 (–13.1 to –0.6), .05</td>
<td>–5.8 (–12.4 to 0.6), .08</td>
<td>–0.2 (–6.3 to 5.9), .95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication</td>
<td>–7.9 (–12.2 to –3.6), .001</td>
<td>–7.9 (–12.5 to –3.5), .001</td>
<td>–3.7 (–7.7 to 0.3), .11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor</td>
<td>–9.6 (–14.9 to –4.3), .001</td>
<td>–8.9 (–14.3 to –3.5), .001</td>
<td>–4.9 (–10.0 to 0.2), .06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive</td>
<td>–11.2 (–15.8 to –6.6), .001</td>
<td>–10.6 (–16.4 to –4.8), .001</td>
<td>–5.8 (–11.5 to –0.4), .04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Developed skills were assessed by using the BDI-2. Model 1: adjusted for maternal age, education, race, prepregnancy BMI, smoking, drinking, being married, having private insurance, having older sibling for the child, and a child’s sex, age, and day care attendance before 12 months. Model 2: additionally adjusted for gestational age and birth weight. Model 3: additionally adjusted for developmental screening status and exposure to infertility treatment.

Achieved milestones of standing with assistance by 2.1 months later (SD for age of achievement of standing with assistance in this sample), their BDI-2 developmental scores decreased by 21.9 scores (95% confidence interval [CI], –41.5 to –2.2). When analyses were restricted to twins, the associations between the ages of achieving milestones of standing alone and walking with assistance and total BDI-2 scores attenuated and became not significant when models were adjusted for gestational age, birth weight, and factors related to the sampling framework.

Post hoc analysis on age of standing with assistance in singletons showed that associations were primarily driven by differences in adaptive skills (B per SD of age, –5.3 [95% CI, –9.0 to –1.6]) and cognitive skills (B per SD of age, –5.9 [95% CI, –11.5 to –0.4]) at age 4 years (Table 3). The association between time to achieve standing with assistance motor skills was borderline significant in model 3 (B per SD of age, –4.9 [95% CI, –10.0 to 0.2]).

When the children with a developmental disability were excluded, longitudinal associations were observed between ages at achievement of crawling and standing with assistance and developmental skills at 4 years. These associations slightly attenuated after adjustment for infertility treatment (B per SD of age at crawling, –23.4 [95% CI, –42.9 to –3.9]; B per SD of age at standing with assistance, –18.4 [95% CI, –37.1 to 0.4]) (Table 4).

**DISCUSSION**

These longitudinal analyses in a US contemporary cohort of singletons and twins suggest that an earlier achievement of standing with assistance predicts better developmental skills in singletons independent of gestational age or birth weight. The associations existed across the normal range of development and were in particular observed for adaptive skills and cognitive abilities. In contrast to our hypothesis, there was no association between age at achievement of milestones in infancy and later development in twins, when accounting for perinatal factors.

In preterm infants and infants with developmental disabilities, early motor development has been used for a long time as a prognostic factor for later age neurologic development. Studies have shown that optimal motor development in healthy infants is related to later cognition or language skills.
A common neural substrate is postulated to underlie the association between motor development in infancy and cognition at an older age. The basal ganglia, as part of the complex neural system of cerebral cortex–cerebellum–basal ganglia, have been traditionally known for motor control. Recent evidence confirms that the basal ganglia, specifically the caudate nucleus, interact closely with the executive parts of the frontal lobes to regulate cognitive function. In addition, basal ganglia are essential elements of linguistic learning in humans.

In the Upstate KIDS study, the age a child first stood was a significant predictor of later cognition. This finding is consistent with previous investigations in older cohorts that highlight the influence of the speed of development during infancy on later cognition. In these studies, there was a linear relation between the age at achievement of standing and IQ in adolescents and neuropsychological performance in adults. One speculative explanation for this finding is that early establishment of connection loops between cortical and subcortical circuits in the brain may have a favorable influence on the early development of motor function as well as cognitive abilities in an older age. In line with this hypothesis, a longitudinal neuroimaging study in the general population showed positive associations of an earlier age at achievement of motor milestones with greater gray matter density in the premotor cortex and caudate nucleus and with white matter volume in the frontal and parietal lobes in adulthood. We observed that the age a child first stood predicted his or her adaptive skills (self-care in young children [eg, a child’s ability of feeding with a utensil]) at age 4 years. A child’s surrounding is a critical component in formation of adaptive skills. Nevertheless, the observed associations are not surprising because for many of these activities, an optimal motor development is needed. Our finding of a relation between age of achieving motor milestones in infancy and motor skills observed at age 4 years by a specialist and reports of others supports this explanation. We found no association between infants’ age of milestone achievement and children’s personal/social or communication skills. Although some studies have shown a moderate association between infant suboptimal neuromotor development and language delays, others failed to show similar associations. Further investigation is needed to explore whether the continuity between infant motor milestone and development is restricted to adaptive or cognitive domain only or it also exists in other domains of development.

Other studies have shown that the milestone of walking weakly predicts cognition at an older age. We found that walking was not a significant predictor of a child’s development at age 4 years, particularly so after adjustment for perinatal factors and excluding children with developmental disabilities. Capute et al also found that the relation between the age of walking and intelligence was mainly driven by the children with extreme cognitive abilities. Moreover, we found no relation between time to achieve motor milestones and social skills in singletons or twins. Retrospective observation of children with autism spectrum disorder showed that these children had minor deviations in motor development in infancy. Nevertheless, whether this association exists within the normal range of gross motor milestones is less clear. Furthermore, prospective studies in children at risk for autism spectrum disorder reported that gross motor abnormalities in these children did not emerge before the age of 2 years.

To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first to examine the longitudinal association of time to achieve milestones and later development in twins. Compared with singletons, otherwise healthy twins are usually born at earlier weeks of gestation, have slightly lower Apgar scores at birth, and are born to older mothers. Therefore, multiple competing risk factors of delayed development exist in twins.

### TABLE 4 Age at Achievement of Gross Motor Milestones in Infancy and Total Developmental Scale Score at Age 4 y in Singletons With No Developmental Disabilities (*n* = 257): Upstate KIDS Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gross Motor Milestones</th>
<th>Total Developmental Scale Score at Age 4 y</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Model 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B (95% CI), P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sitting without support</td>
<td>−16.4 (−37.1 to 4.3), .12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hands-and-knees crawling</td>
<td>−22.1 (−40.8 to −3.5), .02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing with assistance</td>
<td>−21.4 (−39.6 to −3.1), .02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing alone</td>
<td>6.4 (−18.3 to 31.1), .61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking with assistance</td>
<td>−7.7 (−27.5 to 12.1), .45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking alone</td>
<td>−7.0 (−29.0 to 15.1), .54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Developmental skills were assessed by using the BDI-2. Model 1: adjusted for maternal age, education, race, prepregnancy BMI, smoking, drinking, being married, having private insurance, having older sibling for the child, and a child’s sex, age, and day care attendance before 12 months. Model 2: additionally adjusted for gestational age and birth weight. Model 3: additionally adjusted for exposure to infertility treatment.
that could potentially overshadow the predictive role of milestones in infancy for later development. Future studies are needed to confirm our findings.

Despite its strengths, including prospective assessment of motor milestones, inclusion of a wide range of covariates, and direct observation of cognitive, social, and personal skills in children, this study faced limitations. First, because of the limited geographical distribution of the clinics available for participants to attend, there was a large nonresponse. The participants who failed to attend the clinic visit were younger mothers, with lower education, and no private insurance; this scenario indicates that mothers who may have had lower socioeconomic status may not have been represented in the study. Nevertheless, we observed no differences in infants’ characteristics or age at achievement of milestones between the study sample and nonparticipants. In addition, the age of achievement of milestones in this sample was similar to the full cohort. Second, we had to rely on maternal report of age at achievement of milestones. However, standardized tests assessing children’s milestones also have limitations in capturing the exact age of achieving milestones.

CONCLUSIONS

These results imply that the importance of normal progression of infant motor development to subsequent developmental status may not be limited to children with developmental disabilities. Rather, within the normal range, achieving a gross motor milestone earlier than peers may have favorable effects on adaptive skills and cognitive performance in childhood. Clinically, our findings suggest age of achieving motor milestones in infancy may be an important basis for various aspects of later child development. Furthermore, the predictive value of an easy-administered simple assessment, such as age at achievement of standing, for later adaptive and cognitive skills in children has a public health impact. Further studies are needed to explore the underlying mechanisms that explain the significance of achieving the milestone of standing for later development.
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