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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVES: Parent-child reading is widely advocated to promote cognitive development, including in recommendations from the American Academy of Pediatrics to begin this practice at birth. Although parent-child reading has been shown in behavioral studies to improve oral language and print concepts, quantifiable effects on the brain have not been previously studied. Our study used blood oxygen level–dependent functional magnetic resonance imaging to examine the relationship between home reading environment and brain activity during a story listening task in a sample of preschool-age children. We hypothesized that while listening to stories, children with greater home reading exposure would exhibit higher activation of left-sided brain regions involved with semantic processing (extraction of meaning).

METHODS: Nineteen 3- to 5-year-old children were selected from a longitudinal study of normal brain development. All completed blood oxygen level–dependent functional magnetic resonance imaging using an age-appropriate story listening task, where narrative alternated with tones. We performed a series of whole-brain regression analyses applying composite, subscale, and individual reading-related items from the validated StimQ-P measure of home cognitive environment as explanatory variables for neural activation.

RESULTS: Higher reading exposure (StimQ-P Reading subscale score) was positively correlated (P < .05, corrected) with neural activation in the left-sided parietal-temporal-occipital association cortex, a “hub” region supporting semantic language processing, controlling for household income.

CONCLUSIONS: In preschool children listening to stories, greater home reading exposure is positively associated with activation of brain areas supporting mental imagery and narrative comprehension, controlling for household income. These neural biomarkers may help inform eco-bio-developmental models of emergent literacy.

WHAT IS KNOWN ON THIS SUBJECT: The American Academy of Pediatrics recommends parent-child reading from infancy through at least kindergarten, the span of maximal brain growth. Home literacy environment, including reading behaviors and access to books, has been shown to promote oral language and print concepts.

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS: Home reading environment is positively associated with activation of brain areas supporting narrative comprehension and mental imagery in preschool children. This offers novel insight into the neurobiological foundations of emergent literacy and potential effect of shared reading during early childhood.
Emergent literacy is defined as the skills, knowledge, and attitudes supporting reading and writing that accrue from infancy. Although organic reading disability (dyslexia) affects an estimated 5% to 12% of US children, the majority of illiteracy is preventable, attributable to inadequate resources, motivation, and/or stimulation required to learn to read. As parents are “a child’s first and most important teachers,” the quality of cognitive stimulation in the home, especially before school entry, strongly influences achievement and health outcomes. Children’s books are catalysts for parent-child engagement during sensitive developmental stages when brain growth and plasticity are maximal. They provide broader, more grammatically correct vocabulary and range of subject matter than everyday conversation, especially in low-socioeconomic status (SES) households. Given these factors, the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) recommends shared reading beginning at birth, citing direct, lasting benefits for the developing brain, and a claim echoed by many advocacy groups.

While behavioral evidence affirms moderate to large benefits of shared reading on a subset of emergent literacy skills (oral language and print concepts) through kindergarten, quantifiable effects on the brain have not been previously studied. Similarly, interventions improving home literacy environment, a variably defined measure of reading behaviors and access to books, have been shown to improve oral language and school readiness, although neurobiological mechanisms have yet to be described. Neuroimaging offers a means to address these knowledge gaps, informing an eco-bio-developmental model of emergent literacy incorporating genetic, environmental, and neurobiological factors. Such models have been advocated by the AAP and National Institutes of Health and are especially valuable for young children, where behavioral measures can underestimate the effects of learning and experience on the developing brain. Neuroimaging has been extensively applied in dyslexia research (albeit in older children and adults), identifying activation patterns associated with reading difficulty and response to intervention, as well as helping define the mature reading network. Only recently has high-resolution neuroimaging been applied in younger, preliterate children, most often in the context of normal language development. How language networks become “ready” for reading and to what extent they are influenced by home literacy environment or interventions during the critical pre-kindergarten period, however, are unclear.

For our study, a sample of 3- to 5-year-old children underwent blood oxygen level dependent functional magnetic resonance imaging (BOLD fMRI) using a story listening task. This task requires the application of emergent literacy skills supporting semantic processing (extraction of meaning), including vocabulary and listening comprehension. Given behavioral evidence, we hypothesized that children with more stimulating home environments, particularly shared reading exposure, would show more robust activation in brain areas supporting these skills. The semantic network includes left-sided inferior frontal, middle temporal, inferior parietal, and lateral occipital lobes. We predicted that differential activation within this network would remain significant after controlling for household income, a common confounder in studies of cognitive ability.

METHODS

Participants

All participants in this analysis were enrolled in a longitudinal study of normal brain development at our institution (Cincinnati MR Imaging of NeuroDevelopment; C-MIND). Inclusion criteria for C-MIND are as follows: full-term gestation, healthy, right-handed, native English speakers, and no standard contraindications to MRI. By design, the C-MIND cohort is demographically diverse (38% nonwhite, 55% female, median household income $42,500), intended to reflect the US population. At the time of our study, 23 children between 3 and 5 years of age had completed BOLD fMRI while performing a story listening task, in accordance with the C-MIND protocol. Of these, we were able to contact 19 families (82.6%) for enrollment and survey administration. Despite multiple attempts, we were unable to contact the other 4 families, who were excluded. Informed consent was obtained from each child’s custodial parent, families were compensated for time and travel, and our study was approved by the Cincinnati Children’s Hospital Medical Center Institutional Review Board.

Behavioral Measures

Cognitive stimulation in the home was assessed using the preschool version of the StimQ (StimQ-P), which was administered to a custodial parent via telephone or during C-MIND follow-up visits by a trained clinical research coordinator. Time elapsed between fMRI scan and StimQ administration ranged from 0 to 20 months. The StimQ-P is validated for ages 36 to 72 months and involves mostly “yes/no” questions. Three subscales were used: (1) Reading, reflecting access to books, frequency of shared reading, and variety of books read; (2) Parental Involvement in Developmental Advance (PIDA), reflecting the teaching of specific concepts such as letters; and (3) Parental Verbal Responsivity (PVR), reflecting verbal interaction. Parents were also asked to report the age of...
initiation of reading to their child, which is not included in the StimQ-P.

**fMRI Acquisition Specifications and Preliminary Analyses**

Details of techniques used to acclimatize children to the MRI acquisition process are described by Vannest et al. Details of BOLD MRI acquisition specifications used in the C-MIND study are described in Schmithorst et al. Details of data preprocessing for the C-MIND study are described in Sroka et al. All children were awake and nonsedated during MRI scans. Voxel size used for acquisition and analysis was $3 \times 3 \times 4$ mm. We used the FEAT (fMRI Expert Analysis Tool) modality of FSL (FMRI-Brain Software Library, Oxford, United Kingdom) for all group mean and higher-level regression analyses.

**fMRI Story Listening Task and Group Mean Analysis**

The story listening task consists of 10 alternating blocks of active and control conditions (5 each) of 64 seconds’ duration. During the “active” condition, a series of 5 recorded stories of 9 to 10 sentences each read in a female voice was presented via headphones. The stories were designed by a speech pathologist with consistent vocabulary and syntax appropriate for young children (download: https://www.irc.cchmc.org/software/pedaudio.php). The control condition consisted of nonspeech tones in a range of frequencies simulating human speech to control for baseline acoustic processing. Subjects closed their eyes or saw a blank screen during scanning. During task presentation, the MRI scanner continuously acquired BOLD-weighted scans, covering the entire brain with 24 slices at 4-second intervals. Image time series data were entered into a general linear model for “first-level,” voxelwise analysis, using the story and tone intervals as the regressor of interest. Contrast maps (stories > tones activation) were converted to z score maps with statistical threshold of $P < .05$, applying a false discovery rate (FDR) correction for multiple voxel comparisons across the brain. Using these, we obtained a whole-brain, group mean activation map for our 19 subjects, representing mean neural activation listening to stories, minus activation listening to tones (ie, activation attributable to the story task, excluding general acoustic processing).

**Regression With StimQ-P and Other Predictors**

For each subject, the z score map representing the contrast of (stories > tones) was used as the dependent variable in a series of “higher-level” regression analyses, applying StimQ-P scores (Reading, PIDA, PVR, Composite) or responses to individual questions as the explanatory variable. Income category (low/not low) was applied as a binary covariate when significant neural activation was found, with household income <$200% of the 2015 Federal Poverty Guidelines, adjusted for household size, defined as low income (see Table 1). Subject age and gender were considered as covariates but excluded because no significant correlation was found between neural activation and either variable. Regression maps of neural activation (stories > tones), along with summary statistics for size, intensity, and location of activation clusters, were reported for all significant results, using a threshold for statistical significance of $P < .05$ applying FDR correction. The FSLView package was used to identify brain areas corresponding to active clusters in normalized, three-dimensional, Montreal Neurologic Institute (MNI) coordinate space, using the Harvard-Oxford Cortical Structural Atlas (2-mm scale).

**RESULTS**

Demographic characteristics for our sample are described in Table 1.
posterior inferior temporal, posterior middle temporal, posterior cingulate, and angular gyri, and left precuneus, as illustrated in Fig 3 (all voxels $P < .05$, FDR correction). Collectively, these areas reside within the parietal-temporal-occipital (PTO) association cortex, which supports multimodal semantic processing, especially for language.\(^\text{41,55}\) An exception is the posterior cingulate gyrus, which plays a role in semantic processing and other functions, including memory encoding\(^\text{41}\) and visual attention.\(^\text{56}\)

The correlation between StimQ-P Reading subscale score and neural activation within the left PTO cortex remained consistent and significant when expanding the statistical model to control for household income as a binary covariate (low/not low). Activation clusters were of similar intensity, with slight to moderate decreases in size, as shown in Fig 4 (all voxels $P < .05$, FDR correction). The largest decreases were in posterior cingulate, inferior temporal, occipital fusiform, and the most superior lateral occipital areas. Fig 5 displays orthogonal sagittal, coronal, and axial slice views (origin $x = -34$, $y = -66$, $z = 14$, MNI coordinate space) to more clearly illustrate the anatomic extent of this PTO activation cluster.

No significant correlation was found between brain activation during the story listening task and other StimQ-P subscales, StimQ-P composite, age of initiation of reading, or months of reading exposure (initiation to scan).

**DISCUSSION**

“Biological embedding” describes the long-term impact on brain development resulting from the quality of cognitive stimulation and nurturing during early childhood.\(^\text{6,57}\) Learning to read involves the integration of a formidable array of skills sequentially and efficiently,\(^\text{5}\) supported by language, visual, and association brain networks, the growth and plasticity of which peak in the first few years of life.\(^\text{58,59}\) During this critical prekindergarten period, children are highly vulnerable to disparities in cognitive stimulation, especially spoken language, as well as toys and books promoting constructive parent-child engagement.\(^\text{12,58,60}\) Many children arrive at school at a significant disadvantage in reading readiness, and it is clear that those who are poor readers in first grade\(^\text{61}\) are unlikely to catch up with peers, at great societal cost.\(^\text{62}\) This underscores the need for effective interventions applied as early as possible, when brain networks are most amenable to change.\(^\text{10,58,62}\)

Our findings support our hypothesis that while listening to stories, young children from more stimulating home reading environments more robustly
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**TABLE 2** StimQ-P and Specific Reading-Related Item Scores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>StimQ-P</th>
<th>Possible</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIDA</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVR</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Specific Items**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (mo) initiation of reading</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Months of reading exposure</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children's books in the home</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>162.0</td>
<td>112.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading nights/wk</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summary of StimQ-P subscale, Composite, and reading-related item scores. Total possible score (where applicable), mean, SD, minimum (Min), and maximum (Max) are presented. Individual questions other than age of initiation of reading are part of the StimQ-P Reading subscale.
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**FIGURE 1**

StimQ-P Subscale and Composite scores. Histograms and density curves for StimQ-P scores. Mean and SD are provided, with a dashed vertical line for each mean. The Reading subscale reflects parent-child reading materials and behaviors (maximum score 19); PIDA measures parental involvement teaching specific skills (maximum 15); PVR indicates parent-child verbal interaction (maximum 7).
engage neural circuitry supporting narrative comprehension, a foundational component of emergent literacy. Specifically, children in our study with higher StimQ-P Reading scores showed greater activation in the left parietal-temporal-occipital (PTO) association cortex, a “hub” region facilitating semantic processing. Outbound PTO connections include limbic areas involved with long-term memory (e.g., hippocampus) and assigning emotional value to experiences, and prefrontal executive function areas, each integral for learning. “Recycling” their role in oral language, areas within the PTO are recruited for reading, facilitating efficient assignment of meaning to letters and words. The angular gyrus (located in the inferior parietal lobe) at the core of the PTO is particularly noteworthy and plays an integral role in this process. Although not observed in our subjects, hypoactivation of the angular gyrus during reading tasks has been cited as a biomarker for dyslexia, with potential application for early identification and remediation.

Importantly, PTO activation in our subjects associated with home reading environment reflects recruitment of oral language skills supporting context and comprehension (semantics), not word-level decoding. This is
consistent with behavioral evidence for the influence of parent-child reading exclusively on "outside-in" oral language skills (understanding outside of the word itself) described by Whitehurst et al.1,5 Vocabulary is among the most important of these skills,70 shown to be influenced by home reading environment15 and recently found to be positively associated with left angular gyrus activation during our story listening task in young children.49 Thus, PTO activation may offer potential as a biomarker of oral language ability (the outside-in domain of emergent literacy), although further studies are needed to clarify how the PTO is integrated into the reading network. That home reading environment was not associated with activation of brain areas supporting phonological processing ("inside-out" decoding skills) in our study reinforces behavioral evidence5 that these skills seem largely dependent on explicit instruction.15 Additional research in this area is also needed. Higher StimQ Reading scores were associated with particularly robust activation in occipital areas within the PTO cortex, notably lateral occipital gyrus and precuneus. Schmithorst et al attributed activation in these areas during the story listening task (when no visual stimulus is
presented) to mental imagery. The ability to “see” what is being heard has been extensively shown in behavioral studies to improve narrative comprehension and recall. This was affirmed in a recent, imaging-based study that found positive association between greater activation of lateral occipital cortex during the story listening task in 5- to 7-year-old children and higher reading scores at age 11.

Recruitment of left-sided PTO areas during high-imagery tasks has also been described in adults. Thus, our results provide a neurobiological correlate to the enchantment often seen at preschool story time, especially in children with greater practice at home: activation of PTO circuits to visualize and understand what is happening. It is intriguing to infer that children better able to recruit these circuits and apply mental imagery may better manage the transition from picture- to text-based books as they advance in school. Conversely, those with less practice seeing and understanding, with consequently underdeveloped visual-semantic neural infrastructure, may be more likely to struggle.

Surprisingly, we did not find significant association between neural activation and PIDA, PVR, or Composite StimQ-P scores. We view
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**FIGURE 4**
Regression map (stories > tones activation) with StimQ-P Reading subscale score as explanatory variable, controlling for household income. Regression map for the story listening task (stories > tones) in 5- to 6-year-old children (N = 18), with StimQ-P Reading score as explanatory variable, controlling for household income. Cluster size 2467 voxels significant at P < .05 (FDR corrected), z score local maxima 3.15 to 3.38. Five-millimeter slices from z = -28 to z = 74 in MNI coordinate space. Color scale from t = 1.25 (cooler) to 4 (hotter). Radiologic orientation, left = right, right = left.
FIGURE 5
Triplanar view of neural activation (stories > tones) with StimQ-P Reading subscale score as explanatory variable, controlling for household income. Orthogonal triplanar view (origin x = –34, y = –66, z = 14, MNI coordinate space) of activation for the story listening task (stories > tones), with StimQ-P Reading score as explanatory variable, controlling for household income. Cluster size 2467 voxels significant at \( P < .05 \) (FDR corrected). Color scale ranges from \( t = 1.25 \) (cooler) to 4 (hotter). All views in radiologic orientation, left = right, right = left, with sagittal plane viewed from the right.
this as likely a byproduct of subscale themes. The StimQ-P Reading subscale measures reading-specific practices, assessing frequency, access to books, and variety of subject matter. As these opportunities and experiences are directly related to story listening, small variations, even with scores skewed toward the maximum, seem adequate to differentiate neural activation in subjects performing this task. By contrast, PIDA measures the teaching of specific cognitive skills and PVR assesses parent-child conversation, each possibly more applicable to abilities other than narrative comprehension. Any composite effect was likely diluted by PIDA and PVR scores.

Contrary to our hypothesis, age of initiation of shared reading and months of reading exposure were not associated with neural activation, although behavioral studies have associated these with home literacy orientation.\(^9,73\) This may be attributable to responses skewed by social desirability and/or recall bias or, more likely, greater predictive power of the validated StimQ-P measure. The Reading subscale captures 3 aspects of home reading environment: frequency (4 points, including for days/week), access to books (5 points, including for number of books in the home), and variety of content (10 points, for different types of books, eg concepts, beliefs, relationships). The relative influence of each of these factors on neural activation supporting narrative processing is complex, likely involving behaviors and proclivities that are more difficult to capture, and merits further study. For example, greater variety may reflect differences in how books are shared, in addition to how many and how often. This qualitative aspect of reading aloud (notably, dialogic reading in which the child actively participates) has been shown to provide a disproportionate share of its benefits, behaviorally\(^74,75\) and possibly in terms of neurobiological effect.

Our study has several important strengths. Our sample of 3- to 5-year-old children is considerably younger than most neuroimaging-based studies of emergent literacy,\(^27\) with ample sample size\(^26\) drawn from a diverse cohort, applying an established fMRI paradigm and validated measure of home cognitive environment. Our findings are consistent with current models of language and reading brain networks,\(^23\) complementary with behavioral models of emergent literacy,\(^15\) and robust in controlling for household income, a common confounder in studies of cognitive development.\(^77\) Using an innovative approach, our results also inform clinical practice during a foundational stage of development in which “preventative medicine” may offer maximal benefit. For example, because there is evidence that the Reach Out and Read intervention advocated in AAP recommendations\(^13\) improves home reading environment,\(^13,18\) and we have found that home reading environment is positively associated with activation of brain circuits supporting semantic processing, logical inference leads us to speculate that early home literacy intervention such as Reach Out and Read, consistently applied, has the potential to enhance the development of these brain circuits.

Our study also has several limitations. Although it used existing imaging and behavioral data, the StimQ-P was retrospectively administered, with a variable time from fMRI acquisition. Thus, recall and social desirability bias are possible, with parents overreporting reading practices. That said, household reading behaviors have been shown to be stable during the preschool period, tempering such recall effects.\(^78\) Families agreeing to participate in our study may be more likely to constructively engage in their child’s development (participation bias); although C-MIND is not advertised in the context of reading, its demographic mix is diverse by design, and all subjects who were able to be contacted agreed to participate, minimizing the prospect of self-selection. The exclusion of four low-SES families was a consequence of unreliable contact information (ie, phone out of service), shifting our demographic profile toward higher SES, although 37% of our sample was low-income. Our high reported StimQ-P subscale scores suggest potential ceiling effects, although the Reading subscale provided sensitivity ideal for our task. Finally, whereas our results show robust association between home reading environment and neural activation, our cross-sectional design cannot establish causation.

Longitudinal studies are needed to discern the influence of shared reading on emergent literacy skills beginning in infancy, especially in low-SES populations. Such studies may help us better understand how the developing brain responds to various platforms, styles (notably dialogic reading), and interventions at different developmental stages, as well as identify children at-risk as early as possible to ensure the best possible outcome for all.

CONCLUSIONS

Our study used fMRI to for the first time demonstrate an association between home reading environment and activation of specific brain regions supporting emergent literacy during the prekindergarten period. While listening to stories, children with greater home reading exposure showed significantly higher activation in areas within the left-sided, multimodal association cortex, which facilitates mental imagery and extraction of meaning (semantic processing). Critical for oral language, this region is later integrated into the reading network,\(^35,54\) with hypoactivation, a biomarker of reading disability.\(^27\) This study suggests a novel, neurobiological
correlate to oral language skills fostered by parent-child reading in early childhood, offering insight into how this practice may shape the developing brain, and informing an eco-bio-developmental model of emergent literacy and its promotion.
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