Pharmacokinetics of Fluoride in Toddlers After Application of 5% Sodium Fluoride Dental Varnish

abstract

The prevalence of dental caries (tooth decay) among preschool children is increasing, driven partially by an earlier age of onset of carious lesions. The American Academy of Pediatrics recommends application of 5% sodium fluoride varnish at intervals increasing with caries risk status, as soon as teeth are present. However, the varnishes are marketed for treatment of tooth sensitivity and are regulated as medical devices rather than approved by the US Food and Drug Administration for prevention of dental caries (tooth decay). The objective of this research is to examine the safety of use in toddlers by characterizing the absorption and distribution profile of a currently marketed fluoride varnish. We measured urinary fluoride for 5 hours after application of fluoride varnish to teeth in 6 toddlers aged 12 to 15 months. Baseline levels were measured on a separate day. The urine was extracted from disposable diapers, measured by rapid diffusion, and extrapolated to plasma levels. The mean estimated plasma fluoride concentration was 13 μg/L (SD, 9 μg/L) during the baseline visit and 21 μg/L (SD, 8 μg/L) during the 5 hours after treatment. Mean estimated peak plasma fluoride after treatment was 57 μg/L (SD, 22 μg/L), and 20 μg/kg (SD, 4 μg/L) was retained on average. Retained fluoride was 253 times lower than the acute toxic dose of 5 mg/kg. Mean plasma fluoride after placement of varnish was within an SD of control levels. Occasional application of fluoride varnish following American Academy of Pediatrics guidance is safe for toddlers. Pediatrics 2014;134:e870–e874
Fluoride varnish (5% NaF) is effective in preventing dental caries (tooth decay) and is the standard of care. The American Dental Association, American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry, and American Academy of Pediatrics recommend application of varnish at intervals increasing with caries risk. Application is recommended every 6 months for children under 6 years of age who are at moderate risk and as often as every 3 months for children at high risk.

Although fluoride varnish for preventing dental caries is becoming increasingly common, its use is “off label” and is not approved by the US Food and Drug Administration. These products were approved as medical devices “intended to coat a prepared cavity of a tooth before insertion of restorative materials” (CFR 872.3260), with approved indications of use including “treatment of hypersensitive teeth,” as “a cavity liner,” and on “sensitive root surfaces.” Fluoride varnish has not undergone the evaluation normally required for drugs. Despite other forms of topical fluoride having been shown to pose a toxicity risk, no systemic absorption or excretion data are available to evaluate safety in toddlers.

Varnishes are applied topically and left in place. The benefits are both topical and systemic. In studies of fluoride varnish in older children and adults, the teeth are isolated and dried to increase adherence. Fluoride from the varnishes is swallowed, absorbed from the gut, and deposited in the skeleton and teeth, with excess eliminated in the urine and stool. In toddlers it is not possible to completely isolate the teeth and more varnish is likely swallowed immediately after placement.

The major risks with ingesting fluorides are renal toxicity and fluorosis. Serum levels often accumulate to >50 μM (0.95 mg/L) fluoride during prolonged general anesthesia from fluorinated inhalation anesthetics. With prolonged serum >50 μM, the earliest adverse effects of systemic fluoride toxicity can be seen in less than half of studied patients, manifested as temporary decreased renal concentrating function. However, none of the patients in any of these studies developed clinical signs of nephrotoxicity. Generally, evidence suggests that fluorosis is the result of peak fluoride in the plasma rather than the quantity absorbed. Acute doses can result in sufficient fluoride being mobilized from the bone adjacent to the developing teeth to affect enamel development.

Thus, the use of fluoride varnishes is clinically appropriate. Other forms of fluoride, especially those used chronically, are swallowed and may increase risk for adverse effects. Nevertheless, fluoride varnishes are incompletely effective in preventing dental caries and better agents are needed.

The objective of this research is to use urinary levels of fluoride to characterize the absorption and distribution profile in toddlers for a currently marketed fluoride varnish. From these data we estimated the peak plasma fluoride concentration to allow for an estimate of the margin of safety.

**PATIENTS AND METHODS**

Six healthy toddlers between 12 and 15 months of age who had at least 2 teeth were recruited from the University of Washington Center for Pediatric Dentistry. Children who had an allergy or recent stomatitis were excluded. The University of Washington Institutional Review Board approved the study and informed consent was obtained.

**Varnish**

The varnish studied contains 5% NaF, 48% w/v rosin, 26.23% C₂H₂O, 10.0% CaSO₄·0.2(H₂O), 5.8% Na₂HPO₄, 2.0% glycerin, plus beeswax and high intensity sweetener (Enamel Pro, Premier Dental Products, Plymouth Meeting, PA). Analyses confirmed the fluoride concentration (4.88%; SD, 0.17%).

**Procedure**

Participants had 2 visits. The day before, parents were given fluoride-free water to make up formula and juice. They were instructed to refrain from brushing the child’s teeth with fluoridated toothpaste. Visits were scheduled before the child’s usual feeding time.

At the treatment visit, the teeth were dried and varnish applied. The amount of the fluoride applied was the difference between the calculated amount put on the brush from the weight and concentration minus the amount measured in the brush after application.

A modification of the “gauze/cotton ball method” was used to collect the urine in which an absorbent pad (Kotex Lightdays Liners, Kimberly Clark, Neenah, WI) was substituted for cotton wool. Unexposed pads contained insignificant amounts of fluoride. In pretests, 92% to 97% of the fluoride from urine-soaked pads was recovered.

On arrival the child was weighed and parents were instructed to commence feeding. After the first urination, varnish was applied and urine collection began. A similar procedure was followed for the control collection. Diapers were checked every 10 minutes. When a diaper was wet it was taken off, replaced, and the time noted. A few samples were noted to be damp at the next 10- or 20-minute interval, with significantly more volume. These diapers were pooled in analyses. Urine volume was determined by the difference in weight of the diaper and pad before and after use.

Fluoride was determined by the diffusion and detection method. After a minimal amount of urine was taken for creatinine determination, each pad was divided in half and reweighed. One half was assessed for fluoride first,
allowing for sorted measuring of second halves. Analyses were performed in duplicate and concentrations averaged. Standard measurements of creatinine were carried out for participants D, E, and F. Retained fluoride was estimated by subtracting the additional fluoride measured at the treatment visit above that at the control visit from the applied fluoride.

Extrapolation to Plasma
Extrapolation was used because measurement of plasma fluoride would not be permitted under current ethical standards. Mean plasma fluoride concentration was estimated by the total recovered urine fluoride divided by time between diapers, weight of the participant, and the expected renal clearance of fluoride. This formula is a rearrangement of the calculation for plasma clearance. Expected renal clearance was taken as the average net plasma fluoride clearance measured during the 5 hours after feeding of a 0.25-mg fluoride supplement to 17 children 3 to 13 months of age (1.09 mL kg⁻¹ min⁻¹). Estimates of the peak plasma levels used the same participant urinary data as above, multiplied by the ratio of peak plasma fluoride levels to urinary excretion (2.6 × 10⁵ kg⁻¹ min⁻¹). To assess the relationship of fluoride excretion to time, the time lapsed with each diaper for participant F was noted and adjusted by measured creatinine per average for the second through the last diaper of that day.

RESULTS
Six participants, 3 female, 12 to 15 months were studied. Mean weight and age were 9.8 kg and 14 months, respectively. Mean varnish applied was 10.7 mg (0.22 mg fluoride). Saliva contamination obfuscated measurement for the first participant. The Environmental Protection Agency Oral Reference Dose no observable adverse effect level for daily fluoride exposure (60 µg/kg) was not exceeded for any child. Mean dose of applied fluoride was 23 µg/kg body weight, with non-urinated fluoride retention of 20 µg/kg after 5 hours.

Excretion
Recovered fluoride averaged 73 µg fluoride on the treatment day and 43 µg fluoride on the control day. More fluoride was retrieved during the control day than the treatment day for participant E (Table 1). Excessively high urine creatinine was recovered in the first diaper from participant F, indicating 95 minutes of urinary holding before varnish application. Urine fluoride for this time-point was corrected (Supplemental Table 1) by removing the amount of fluoride that would have built up during this amount of time on the control day. The collection times shown reflect the last diaper wetted before the end of the study period, on the treatment day. One child remained for an additional 140 minutes as the child was not urinating often; the control visit was the same duration. Total retrieved fluoride was highest for this child.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age (mo)</th>
<th>Weight (kg)</th>
<th>EPA + RfD + NOAEL (mg)</th>
<th>Fapplied (mg)</th>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>vol urine (mL)</th>
<th>F urine (µg)</th>
<th>Mean Fplasma a (µg/L)</th>
<th>Peak Fplasma a (µg/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>GGG</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>tx</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>tx</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>tx</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>tx</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>tx</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>tx</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean + (SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9.8 + (0.8)</td>
<td>0.59 + (0.05)</td>
<td>0.22 + (0.04)</td>
<td>303 + (77)</td>
<td>170 + (101)</td>
<td>73 + (41)</td>
<td>21 + (8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ct, control; EPA, Environmental Protection Agency; NOAEL, no observable adverse effect level; RfD, EPA oral reference dose; tx, treatment.
Saliva contamination obfuscated measurement of Fapplied for the first participant.

* Estimated from urine fluoride using the previous study as in Fig 1.
Plasma fluoride is estimated from total urine fluoride to a mean of 21 µg/L (range, 9.4 to 30 µg/L) after application of fluoride varnish and 13 µg/L (range, 2.8 to 27 µg/L) during the control visit.22 One child urinated often enough both days to enable plotting of estimated plasma fluoride levels across time (Fig 1, Supplemental Table 1). Rapid absorption and excretion of fluoride was observed, with peak concentration before 2 hours, and concentrations approaching the range of control levels by the third urination, less than 3 hours after exposure. Adverse effects were neither observed nor reported by parents.

DISCUSSION

We aimed to assess the pharmacokinetics of fluoride varnish application in toddlers using urine fluoride as a proxy for serum. The delivered dose was well within the safety limits for daily exposure set by the Environmental Protection Agency. Fluoride was rapidly excreted and returned to control levels within 3 to 4 hours. In older children, using a different varnish, others found both the time to peak concentration and time to return to baseline each about 1 hour longer. Peak levels were also somewhat higher.22

We found 1 brushful was sufficient to cover the teeth. Others have observed that pediatric providers generally applied the full packet (0.4 mL) regardless of size or number of teeth.24 Today, packets of 0.25 mL are available, but to decrease the probability of acute toxicity further we recommend the manufacturers market packets of less volume.

The National Academy of Sciences established a maximum daily intake of 0.06 mg/kg to avoid severe dental mottling, the earliest clinical expression of systemic fluorosis.25 The mean acute applied dose is under this level by a factor of 3.0 and the highest dose was under by a factor of 2.7. Although these levels are under the no observable adverse effect level, fluoride from other dietary sources must be considered. Even with mean retention of 84% of applied fluoride after 5 hours of voiding, the estimated serum concentrations present a safety factor of 45. Estimated peak serum fluoride presents a safety factor of 16. Whether it is possible to extrapolate from these data on 1 product to other varnish products is unknown. Different inactive ingredients and varying viscosities may affect absorption kinetics.

CONCLUSIONS

These data suggest exposures from fluoride varnish are below the level of known toxicity and do not exceed the National Academy of Sciences limits for dental mottling. The margin of safety is likely without detriment.
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