TREATMENT OUTCOMES OF OVERWEIGHT CHILDREN AND PARENTS IN THE MEDICAL HOME

WHAT’S KNOWN ON THIS SUBJECT: Pediatricians need to treat overweight in early childhood. Family-based interventions in specialized clinics are efficacious in children age 8 years and older. Data regarding treatment of younger children are limited in specialty clinics and primary care.

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS: This study shows that a 12-month family-based behavioral intervention in primary care is more efficacious compared with Control condition with a child-only focus. Weight outcome differences between Intervention and Control persist in children and parents after a 12-month follow-up.

OBJECTIVE: To test in the primary care setting the short- and long-term efficacy of a behavioral intervention that simultaneously targeted an overweight child and parent versus an information control (IC) targeting weight control only in the child.

METHODS: Two- to 5-year-old children who had BMI ≥85th percentile and an overweight parent (BMI >25 kg/m²) were randomized to Intervention or IC, both receiving diet and activity education over 12 months (13 sessions) followed by 12-month follow-up (3 sessions). Parents in the Intervention group were also targeted for weight control and received behavioral intervention. Pediatricians in 4 practices enrolled their patients with the assistance of embedded recruiters (Practice Enhancement Assistants) who assisted with treatment too.

RESULTS: A total of 96 of the 105 children randomized (Intervention n = 48; IC n = 50) started the program and had data at baseline. Children in the Intervention experienced greater reductions in percent over BMI (group × months; P = .002) and zBMI (group × months; P < 0.001) compared with IC throughout treatment and follow-up. Greater BMI reduction was observed over time for parents in the Intervention compared with IC (P < .001) throughout treatment and follow-up. Child weight changes were correlated with parent weight changes at 12 and 24 months (r = 0.38 and 0.26; P < .001 and P = .03).

CONCLUSIONS: Concurrently targeting preschool-aged overweight and obese youth and their parents in primary care with behavioral intervention results in greater decreases in child percent over BMI, zBMI, and parent BMI compared with IC. The difference between Intervention and IC persists after 12 months of follow-up. Pediatrics 2014;134:290–297
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IC—information control
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Overweight starts in early childhood and 22.8% of 2- to 5-year-old children have a BMI over the 85th percentile. However, there are limited data on treatment of overweight for preschool-aged children in specialty clinics and primary care. Pediatricians are tasked with implementing the Expert Committee Recommendations to prevent and treat overweight and obesity, but they often do not have the training/resources to comply with this mandate. Programs that can be implemented in primary care are needed to treat overweight young children to reduce the risk for overweight in adulthood and prevent obesity comorbidities. Buffalo Healthy Tots (BHT) was designed to test the efficacy of treating 2- to 5-year-old overweight children with either a traditional approach focused only on the child (Information Control [IC]), or a behavioral intervention jointly targeting the child and parent in pediatric primary care practices. At 6 months BHT Intervention reduced child percent over BMI (%OBMI) and z-BMI and parent BMI compared with IC. However, maintenance of treatment effects represents a major challenge in obesity treatment. The aim of this study was to test in the primary care setting the hypothesis that joint treatment of parents and preschool-aged children for weight control and behavioral modification would lead to greater initial (6- and 12-month) and sustained (18- and 24-month) reductions in %OBMI and BMI changes in children and parents, respectively, compared with the traditional approach focusing on the child alone.

METHODS
A summary of the protocol previously described is given herein. The study took place in 4 pediatric Patient Centered Medical Homes (PCMH), which followed children from diverse socioeconomic and ethnic backgrounds. Pediatricians recruited study participants and introduced the parent to the Practice Enhancement Assistant (PEA), who was embedded part-time in each PCMH to assist in recruitment and study implementation. PEAs held a Master/Bachelor Degree in Psychology, Nutrition, Exercise Science, or equivalent, or were Registered Dieticians. Children who had a BMI over the 85th percentile for age and gender and having a parent who had a BMI >25 kg/m² were included. The main exclusion criteria were: small for gestational age, short stature, and child/parent inability to perform physical activity. Families were recruited in cohorts of 12 and then stratified by gender of the targeted child and randomized to Intervention or IC using a random number generator. This approach allowed pediatricians to recruit subjects when children attended office visits and limited personnel to 3 part-time PEAs and the Project Coordinator (Ecker). One PEA and the project coordinator were group leaders during the sessions. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Women and Children's Hospital of Buffalo and was conducted in concordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Summary of Protocol Components Pertinent Only to the Intervention
In the Intervention behavior modification and education on parenting techniques (ie, positive reinforcement, modeling healthy diet and activity, and stimulus control) were delivered by the group leader during the group meetings and by a PEA, assigned to each family, during brief individual sessions held the same evenings as the group meetings. Parents were instructed to monitor their child and their own weight twice a week and received dietary (1500 and 1800 kcals/day for mothers and fathers, respectively), physical, and sedentary activity guidelines with the goal of a minimum of 1 pound/week weight loss. A list of foods with portion sizes and energy content information was provided. Parents recorded intake and activity for their child and themselves in a diary by crossing off icons detailing the different food groups and physical and sedentary activity. The number of icons was tailored to the child and parent so that shaping up/down of targeted behaviors could be individualized.
Results

Data were expressed as mean ± SEM. Figure 1 shows the study participants’ disposition throughout the study. One-hundred five child-parent dyads were randomized, but 9 did not attend any sessions and did not have baseline data. The main reasons were work schedule changes, parental separation, and family moving out of the area. Of the 96 subjects who started the study and received any treatment, 83% completed the 12-month treatment and nearly 73% completed the 24-month follow-up. Attrition did not differ between groups at any time point ($P = 0.61, 0.07, 0.06, and 0.10$ at 6, 12, 18, and 24 months, respectively). For as long as families were in the study they received 100% of the planned curriculum (missed sessions were always rescheduled). Parent and child demographic and anthropometric parameters were similar between groups (Table 1). The sample included 27% minorities with a mean yearly income of all families of $65,729 ± $3068 (8.3% families <$20,000). Children’s %OBMI and parents’ BMI of families who dropped during the first 6 months of the intervention did not differ compared with completers ($P = .09$ and $P = .63$, respectively). However, children who dropped out at 12 months were heavier at baseline (%OBMI 47.7 ± 4.6 vs 27.7 ± 2.1; $P < .001$) and had parents who had higher BMI (42.4 ± 1.8 vs 35.6 ± 0.8; $P = .001$). Child or parent age did not differ among drop-outs and completers. The most common reason for discontinuation was change in work schedule, followed by family moving out of state or feeling the program was not what they expected/disagreeing on the study/coping with ADHD diagnosis, 1 pregnancy, and 1 bariatric surgery (despite mother having lost weight in the program). Changes in %OBMI are shown in Fig 2. There were differential group changes across time ($P = .002$). The Intervention group had greater decreases compared with the IC group from baseline to 6 ($P < .001$), 12 ($P = .005$), 18 ($P = .005$), and 24 months ($P = .001$). Similar effects were seen for zBMI (Table 2; group × month; $P < .001$), with greater decreases at 6 ($P < .001$), 12 ($P < .001$), 18 ($P < .001$), and 24 months ($P < .007$) for Intervention versus IC. Child height, weight, and zBMI estimates over the 24-month study period are shown in Table 2. Smaller weight increases were observed in the Intervention compared with the IC group with an overall group × months effect ($P < .004$), and greater decreases for the Intervention at 6 ($P < .002$), 12 ($P < .0002$), 18 ($P < .001$), and 24 months ($P < .001$). Height growth velocity over 24 months was within normal limits in both groups (Intervention 12.6 ± 0.5 cm; IC 13.5 ± 0.3 cm). However, a slower...
increase in height was observed in the Intervention compared with the IC group with an overall group × months effect (P < .02), with differences during the follow-up period at 18 (P < .001) and 24 months (P < .02).

Greater (P < 0.001) BMI reduction over time was observed in parents assigned to Intervention compared with those in IC. Model adjusted means of parental BMI changes are displayed in Fig 3. The Intervention had greater (P < .001 at all time points) decreases compared with the IC group from baseline to 6, 12, 18, and 24 months. Parents in the Intervention had greater decreases in weight at 6, 12, 18, and 24 months (P < .001 at all time points). Weight estimates decreased in the Intervention (101.5 ± 0.6, 94.7 ± 0.6, and 95.5 ± 0.7 kg at baseline, 12 months, and 24 months, respectively), whereas they were essentially unchanged in the IC group.

Table 1: Baseline Characteristics of Children and Parents Assigned to the Intervention and Information Control Conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>IC</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>IC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>4.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>4.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>37.2 ± 0.7</td>
<td>36.4 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, F/M</td>
<td>31/15</td>
<td>53/17</td>
<td>33/15</td>
<td>39/11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height, cm</td>
<td>109.3 ± 1.4</td>
<td>107.5 ± 1.3</td>
<td>168.3 ± 1.4</td>
<td>166.1 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight, kg</td>
<td>24.8 ± 1.0</td>
<td>23.5 ± 0.8</td>
<td>105.8 ± 3.9</td>
<td>98.9 ± 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>20.4 ± 0.5</td>
<td>20.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td>37.2 ± 8.3</td>
<td>36.2 ± 6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnic/minority status</td>
<td>Non-Hispanic white</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Hispanic black</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
throughout the study period (101.2 ± 0.6, 100.6 ± 0.6, and 101.9 ± 0.6 kg at baseline, 12 months, and 24 months, respectively).

Mixed model analysis of variance of study completers (n = 70) also demonstrated differences (P < 0.01) in child %OBMI between Intervention and IC groups, baseline to 6 (P < 0.03), 12 (P < 0.02), 18 (P = 0.05), and 24 months (P < 0.003). Parent BMI was different between Intervention and IC (P < 0.001 at all data points).

Percent OBMI and parent BMI changes were correlated from baseline to 12 months (r = 0.38; P < 0.001), 18 months (r = 0.35; P = 0.004), and 24 months (r = 0.26; P < 0.05). Baseline values of child age, gender, and %OBMI, were not significant moderators of group responses in %OBMI over time. Also, participating parent’s gender or BMI or concordance of parent-child genders were not significant moderators of child %OBMI changes.

**DISCUSSION**

We have shown that concurrent treatment of overweight parents and children in the primary care setting produces greater initial and sustained improvement in %OBMI and zBMI in the child and BMI decrease in the parents compared with the traditional focus on the child only without behavioral intervention. Our study adds to limited data in preschool-aged children, is longer in duration than other studies, and documents the weight outcomes after a 12-month follow-up. At a time of focus on care coordination among pediatricians and specialists, BHT represents a move forward from the paradigm of referral of the child to co-management by the pediatrician and specialists trained in weight management and behavior modification.

Our program overcame the pediatricians’ challenge to implement the Expert Committee Recommendations25,26 by embedding PEAs within practices, and demonstrated that pediatricians are willing to partner in research that is relevant to their patients’ health27,28. Having PEAs in the PCMH creates the opportunity for “structured programs,” which are usually carried out in specialized clinics, to be translated to the primary care setting, where they are more accessible to youth and represent an ongoing resource for the child and family.23,24 The PEAs flagged overweight/obese children in the electronic health records, helping pediatricians to identify overweight young children who often do not look overweight, because they are still growing relatively fast in height.30 However, these tools have to be coupled with the willingness to reorganize the flow and operation of the practice in a manner similar to that successfully implemented in the practice participating in BHT and in the Academic Innovation Collaborative.31,32

Simplifying delivery of treatment programs is necessary to overcome time and logistics involved in attending programs in specialized clinics while maintaining optimal quality and dose of treatment. Despite the fact BHT decreased the frequency of attended sessions from 20 in the specialized clinic3 to 13 in the pediatrician office, time was cited as the main reason for declining to enroll in the study. Yet the US Preventive Services Task Force recommends a treatment dose of at least 30 hours across a 2-year period.33,34

Concomitant treatment of children and parents leads to weight changes in both,35 preventing early obesity comorbidities in...
the child and ameliorating/reverting obesity comorbidities already set in the obese parent.\textsuperscript{36,37} Cost effectiveness analyses of this approach have to be performed, while considering that the United States spends the majority of health care dollars attempting to treat chronic conditions, many of which are linked to or worsened by obesity, such as diabetes and cardiovascular disease.\textsuperscript{38} The validity of a family approach is corroborated by the correlation between parent and child weight changes. Parents in the Intervention group were coached on parenting, modeling, and maintaining a healthy eating and activity environment to promote weight control for their children. Indeed, children have better weight control if their parents are committed to change and model healthier behaviors,\textsuperscript{35,39} suggesting that one approach to improving child weight loss may be to emphasize parental weight loss.\textsuperscript{40}

Children in both groups grew normally in height. Children in IC had a greater height velocity than children in Intervention, likely owing to greater ongoing weight gain driving growth in height.\textsuperscript{41,42} As such the reduction in %OBMI and zBMI in Intervention is even more relevant from a clinical standpoint, because %OBMI of children in IC was lower than baseline only at 6 months and greater than baseline at 24 months. Within the Intervention group, %OBMI was lower compared with baseline and at 6 and 12 months, with some relapse at 18 and 24 months, at which time a 2.1% decrease in %OBMI from baseline still remained. Parents in the Intervention maintained their weight loss not only throughout the treatment, but also during follow-up. It is not known if maintaining/lowering %OBMI is needed to prevent/improve obesity comorbidities in young children. In older children only small changes in weight are needed to normalize %OBMI as children are growing, and this effect may be more pronounced in younger children.\textsuperscript{43} We have shown that, compared with children who have normal weight, 2- to 5-year-old overweight children have greater LDL cholesterol (36% exhibiting a LDL level $>110$ mg/dL),\textsuperscript{44} suggesting that early intervention may indeed be important for preventing later diseases. Strengths of the study include long follow-up, control for attention across groups, and ability to implement a less intensive treatment in the primary care setting. Although children's %OBMI and parents' BMI was not different in those who dropped out by 6 months compared with completers, children and parents who dropped out by 12 months were heavier than completers at baseline. Because 8/13 treatment sessions occurred in the first 6 months, this suggests that more intensive treatment is needed for children and parents who are heavier at outset. Although this could not be implemented in our study (to control for attention between groups), closer follow-up could be implemented by pediatricians in their practice. Two additional issues need to be discussed. First, parents in IC were not targeted for weight loss. However, it is unlikely that instructing parents to lose weight would promote child weight loss. Secondly, parents were able to maintain better weight loss than their children, without relapse over the full 12-month follow-up, which is a highlight of the study, because most adult weight control programs show relapse beginning at 6 months.\textsuperscript{45–47} It is likely that, different from older children who learn along with parents more self-control methods and techniques to maintain healthy behaviors, younger children must rely more on parenting to maintain changes.\textsuperscript{48}

**CONCLUSIONS**

The results of this study demonstrate that effective treatment of overweight can be implemented in primary care, substituting the traditional concept of referral to a specialty clinic the new concept of "co-management," requiring collaboration among health care providers with different sets of expertise. By relying on PEA's, our program can be applied on a larger scale than previous weight control programs. The PCMH in which the child is followed by the pediatrician into adulthood may be the ideal setting for the implementation of family-based treatments such as BHT, as well as maintenance programs. Further studies are needed to replicate our findings and validate our approach.
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