



POLICY STATEMENT

Screening for Nonviral Sexually Transmitted Infections in Adolescents and Young Adults

abstract

FREE

Prevalence rates of many sexually transmitted infections (STIs) are highest among adolescents. If nonviral STIs are detected early, they can be treated, transmission to others can be eliminated, and sequelae can be averted. The US Preventive Services Task Force and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention have published chlamydia, gonorrhea, and syphilis screening guidelines that recommend screening those at risk on the basis of epidemiologic and clinical outcomes data. This policy statement specifically focuses on these curable, nonviral STIs and reviews the evidence for nonviral STI screening in adolescents, communicates the value of screening, and outlines recommendations for routine nonviral STI screening of adolescents. *Pediatrics* 2014;134:e302–e311

EVIDENCE TO SUPPORT NONVIRAL STI SCREENING

The goal of sexually transmitted infection (STI) screening is to identify and treat individuals with treatable infections, reduce transmission to others, avoid or minimize long-term consequences, identify other exposed and potentially infected individuals, and decrease the prevalence of infection in a community. Healthy People 2020 objectives for sexually transmitted diseases¹ include items that address screening for chlamydia in sexually active females younger than 25 years and set targets for decreased rates of chlamydia, gonorrhea, and syphilis in specific populations. The US Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF), an independent panel of prevention and evidence-based medicine experts, has published chlamydia,² gonorrhea,³ and syphilis^{4,5} screening guidelines that recommend screening those at risk on the basis of epidemiologic and clinical outcomes data. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) publishes evidence-based STI screening recommendations for specific at-risk populations that are not addressed by the USPSTF but that pose public health challenges for disease prevention and control.^{6–8} Major professional medical organizations, including the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists and the American Academy of Family Physicians, have also published STI screening guidelines for specific populations.^{7–10} The American Academy of Pediatrics' (AAP) Bright Futures guidelines for health supervision recommend chlamydia and gonorrhea screening as appropriate for the patient population and the clinical setting.¹¹ Recent AAP clinical reports addressing gynecologic examinations and male reproductive and sexual health care discuss clinic issues and provider

COMMITTEE ON ADOLESCENCE and SOCIETY FOR
ADOLESCENT HEALTH AND MEDICINE

KEY WORDS

sexually transmitted infections, nonviral STIs, chlamydia,
gonorrhea, syphilis, screening

ABBREVIATIONS

AAP—American Academy of Pediatrics
CDC—Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
CLIA—Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendment
FDA—Food and Drug Administration
MSM—males who have sex with males
NAAT—nucleic acid amplification test
PID—pelvic inflammatory disease
STI—sexually transmitted infection
USPSTF—US Preventive Services Task Force

This document is copyrighted and is property of the American Academy of Pediatrics and its Board of Directors. All authors have filed conflict of interest statements with the American Academy of Pediatrics. Any conflicts have been resolved through a process approved by the Board of Directors. The American Academy of Pediatrics has neither solicited nor accepted any commercial involvement in the development of the content of this publication.

The recommendations in this statement do not indicate an exclusive course of treatment or serve as a standard of medical care. Variations, taking into account individual circumstances, may be appropriate.

All policy statements from the American Academy of Pediatrics automatically expire 5 years after publication unless reaffirmed, revised, or retired at or before that time.

www.pediatrics.org/cgi/doi/10.1542/peds.2014-1024

doi:10.1542/peds.2014-1024

PEDIATRICS (ISSN Numbers: Print, 0031-4005; Online, 1098-4275).

Copyright © 2014 by the American Academy of Pediatrics

skills that are relevant to office-based screening for nonviral STIs.^{12,13} These clinical reports and CDC recommendations⁶ describe the important elements of a sexual history. The goal of this policy statement is to review the evidence in support of nonviral STI screening in adolescents and to educate pediatricians about the value of screening as well as resources available to support this practice. Screening considerations from the CDC specific to pregnant and HIV-infected individuals should be reviewed (www.cdc.gov/std/treatment).⁶ Guidance about how to incorporate chlamydia screening into the office setting including addressing confidentiality, billing, and explanation of benefits statements can be found at the National Chlamydia Coalition Web site (<http://ncc.prevent.org/info/healthcare-providers>). This site provides a link to up-to-date resources and a monograph titled *Why Screen for Chlamydia; An Implementation Guide for Healthcare Providers*. The AAP policy statement addressing standards for Health Information Technology to ensure adolescent privacy may be useful in the establishment of practice procedures and help anticipate questions regarding confidentiality.¹⁴

CHLAMYDIA

Importance

Chlamydia trachomatis is the most common reportable communicable disease in the United States, with the highest case rates occurring in female 20- to 24-year-olds followed closely by rates in female 15- to 19-year-olds.⁸ Chlamydia is common among all races and ethnic groups; however, large racial disparities in chlamydial burden exist, and young women and men of color are disproportionately affected.^{8,15} Among sexually active female 15- to 19-year-olds, chlamydia prevalence among non-Hispanic African Americans is more

than 5 times the prevalence among non-Hispanic whites (7719/100 000 population vs 1458/100 000).⁸ In male 15- to 19-year-olds, in whom the rates have increased every year since 2006, the rate of chlamydia infection among non-Hispanic African Americans is 10 times the rate in non-Hispanic whites (2334/100 000 population vs 236/100 000).⁸ Rates for American Indian/Alaskan Native and Hispanic populations are between the rates for African American and white populations.

Most chlamydia infections are asymptomatic and may persist if left untreated. Previous infection does not confer any clinically reliable protective immunity.¹⁶ Vaccines are not available for chlamydia or for any of the subsequently discussed nonviral STIs. Chlamydia can manifest as cervicitis, urethritis, proctitis, and uncommonly, pharyngitis. Complications and sequelae may include pelvic inflammatory disease (PID), tubal-factor infertility, ectopic pregnancy,^{6,17} chronic pelvic pain,¹⁸ increased HIV transmission,^{19–23} adverse pregnancy and infant outcomes,²⁴ neonatal infections,²⁵ epididymitis,²⁶ and reactive arthritis.^{16,27}

Background

The USPSTF, AAP, and American Academy of Family Physicians recommend annual chlamydia screening of all sexually experienced females younger than 25 years. The CDC and American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists recommend annual routine screening of sexually experienced females through the age of 25 years. The National Commission on Prevention Priorities ranked chlamydia screening of young women as 1 of the 10 most beneficial and cost-effective preventive services but also among the most underutilized.²⁸

The USPSTF found insufficient evidence to recommend for or against routine chlamydia screening of young men.

Because the risk of complications or long-term reproductive sequelae for chlamydia-infected males is low, screening asymptomatic males does not offer substantial secondary prevention for them. In addition, male older adolescents/young adults are less likely than their female counterparts to use health care services and thus may be difficult to reach with a chlamydia screening program.²⁹ However, the CDC recommends considering screening young men in clinical settings with high chlamydia prevalence rates, such as jails or juvenile corrections facilities, national job training programs, and STD, high school, or adolescent clinics, when resources permit.^{6,30} Males in these settings with a history of multiple partners are at greatest risk of asymptomatic chlamydia infection.^{31–33} The CDC also recommends chlamydia screening of males who have sex with males (MSM) at least annually for urethral and rectal infection on the basis of reported sexual practices and every 3 to 6 months if considered high risk because of multiple or anonymous partners, sex in conjunction with illicit drug use, or having sex partners who participate in these activities.⁶ Sex partners of chlamydia-infected individuals during the 60 days before the diagnosis should also be targeted for testing and treatment because of their high likelihood of infection.⁶

Laboratory Testing

Detection of genitourinary chlamydia infections has substantially improved over the past 2 decades. Nucleic acid amplification tests (NAATs) are preferred for *C trachomatis* detection in adolescents and young adults, regardless of symptoms.³⁴ *C trachomatis* NAATs are sensitive and specific and licensed for use with urine, urethral, vaginal, and cervical specimens. Many of the chlamydia NAATs are approved by the Food and Drug Administration

(FDA) to test patient-collected vaginal swabs in the clinical setting and liquid cytology specimens.^{34,35} Among all of the aforementioned specimens, female vaginal swab specimens and male first-void urine are considered the optimal specimen types.³⁴ Female urine remains an acceptable chlamydia NAAT specimen but may have slightly reduced performance compared with cervical or vaginal swab specimens.³⁴ The CDC recommends at least an annual urine chlamydial NAAT for urethral infection for MSM who have had insertive anal intercourse and an annual rectal swab chlamydial NAAT for those who have had receptive anal intercourse.⁶ Although chlamydia NAATs are not approved by the FDA for rectal swab specimen testing, laboratories that have met Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendment (CLIA) and other regulatory requirements and validated chlamydia NAAT performance on rectal swab specimens may perform these tests.^{6,34,36} In the evaluation of the sexual assault victim, NAATs may be used for female vaginal swab and urine specimens. Some jurisdictions may prefer *C. trachomatis* culture from all sites in lower-prevalence populations because of greater specificity, although sensitivity may be compromised.⁶

Disease-Specific Benefits and Risks of Screening

In randomized clinical trials, screening asymptomatic sexually active young women for chlamydia and treating those identified with infection reduced the risk of subsequent PID.^{37,38} Other studies, summarized by Haggerty et al, support the association of repeated chlamydia infection with increased reproductive sequelae.¹⁸

Clinical Considerations

Because reinfection is common, providers should rescreen all male and

female patients treated for chlamydia approximately 3 months after treatment. If retesting at 3 months is not possible, retest whenever patients next present for health care services in the 12 months after the initial treatment. A systems-based approach of collecting a noninvasive specimen on all females before they are seen by the health care provider, such as during nursing triage, enhances the proportion of sexually active females who are screened.^{39–41} The National Chlamydia Coalition produces resources for health care providers to facilitate office-based chlamydia screening.⁴² Internet-based interventions to promote chlamydia screening with self-collected vaginal swab specimens in various nonclinical settings are also being evaluated.^{43,44}

GONORRHEA

Importance

Gonorrhea is the second most common reportable communicable disease in the United States; female 20- to 24-year-olds have the highest and female 15- to 19-year-olds the second highest reported gonorrhea case rates compared with any other age or gender.⁸ Substantial racial disparity exists for gonorrhea. The 2012 reported rates among male and female non-Hispanic African American 15- to 19-year-olds are 26 times and 15 times those of male and female non-Hispanic white 15- to 19-year-olds, respectively.⁸ A recent study has shown that residential segregation of black populations contributes to the large racial disparity for youth by creating distinct social networks that perpetuate the persistence of their endemically high gonorrhea rates.⁴⁵ Rates for American Indian/Alaskan native and Hispanic populations are between the rates for non-Hispanic African American and non-Hispanic white populations.

As with *C. trachomatis*, many infections are asymptomatic, and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* can cause cervicitis, urethritis, proctitis, and pharyngitis. On occasion, gonorrhea may also lead to conjunctivitis.⁴⁶ Uncomplicated gonorrhea infection can spread to the upper genital tract, causing PID and associated longer-term complications, such as ectopic pregnancy, infertility, and chronic pelvic pain in females and epididymitis in males, and hematogenous spread can cause disseminated gonococcal infection. Gonorrhea infection is also associated with increased HIV transmission.⁴⁷ In pregnancy, gonorrhea is associated with chorioamnionitis, premature rupture of membranes, and preterm labor. Perinatal transmission can lead to ophthalmia neonatorum. Rarely, newborn infants develop life-threatening systemic disease from gonorrhea acquired during delivery through an infected birth canal.²⁵

Background

The USPSTF recommends annual gonorrhea screening of all at-risk, sexually active females.³ Populations at highest risk of gonorrhea infection include females and males younger than 25 years and individuals with a history of previous gonorrhea infection, other STIs, new or multiple sex partners, inconsistent condom use, or who engage in sex work or drug use. The USPSTF found insufficient evidence to recommend for or against routine gonorrhea screening of asymptomatic males because of the low prevalence rates and the lower rates of morbidity related to untreated gonorrhea infection in males and because asymptomatic infection is less common in males than in females.

The CDC recommends urethral, rectal, and oropharyngeal gonorrhea testing at least annually for MSM who engage in receptive anal or oral intercourse, respectively, as well as urine-based

testing at least annually for MSM engaging in insertive anal or oral intercourse.^{6,34,48} The CDC also recommends gonorrhea screening every 3 to 6 months for MSM who are at higher risk because of multiple or anonymous partners, sex in conjunction with illicit drug use (especially methamphetamines), or partners who participate in these activities.^{6,49,50}

Sex partners of gonorrhea-infected individuals during the 60 days before gonorrhea diagnosis should be targeted for testing and treatment because of their high likelihood of infection.⁶ Because gonorrhea rates vary widely by communities and population, health care providers should consider local gonorrhea epidemiology to determine if gonorrhea screening in male adolescents is appropriate in their patient population.

Laboratory Testing

Recent shifts have occurred in *N gonorrhoeae* screening options. NAATs are recommended for detection of genitourinary gonococcal infections in males and females, regardless of symptoms.^{6,34} Gonorrhea and chlamydia NAATs are usually available as combination tests from a single specimen. Like those for chlamydia, *N gonorrhoeae* NAATs have high sensitivity and specificity. Most are approved by the FDA for use with urine and urethral, vaginal, and cervical swab specimens in the clinical setting. Some gonorrhea NAATs are also licensed to test patient-collected vaginal swab specimens in a clinical setting and liquid cytology specimens. Among all specimens, female vaginal swab specimens and male urine are the optimal specimen types.³⁴

Although gonorrhea NAATs are not approved by the FDA for extragenital sites, many laboratories have met CLIA and other regulatory requirements and validated gonorrhea NAAT testing on

rectal and pharyngeal specimens.^{34,36,51} NAATs cannot be used to determine gonorrhea antimicrobial resistance; thus, culture must be obtained to identify antibiotic-resistant gonorrhea strains,^{8,34} although it has lower sensitivity especially at extragenital sites compared with NAATs. Ideally, gonorrhea culture is needed for evaluating suspected cases of treatment failure, for test of cure for patients who were treated with an alternative regimen, and for investigating suspected childhood sexual abuse or assault.⁶

Disease-Specific Benefits and Risks of Screening

Identification of gonorrhea infection allows for treatment, prevention of sequelae, and identification of exposed partners; reduces further transmission to others; and may be a marker or risk factor for HIV transmission.

Clinical Considerations

Providers should rescreen all male and female patients treated for gonorrhea approximately 3 months after treatment at the anatomic site of infection because reinfection is common. Gonorrhea treatment is challenging because of the organism's ability to readily develop antimicrobial resistance.^{68,52,53} The possibility of emerging cephalosporin-resistant *N gonorrhoeae* is a growing concern.⁴⁸ The CDC's Gonococcal Isolate Surveillance Project has documented recent trends of decreasing cephalosporin susceptibility among *N gonorrhoeae*.⁵² Cases of suspected gonorrhea treatment failures should be reported to local or state health departments, and a gonococcal culture of specimens from exposed sites, preferably with simultaneous NAAT and antimicrobial-susceptibility testing, should be performed if *N gonorrhoeae* is isolated.⁶ Patients with a diagnosis of uncomplicated urogenital or rectal gonorrhea who are treated with any of the

recommended or alternative regimens do not need a test-of-cure.⁶ However, patients with pharyngeal gonorrhea who are treated with an alternative regimen should return 14 days after treatment of a test-of-cure, using either culture or NAAT.⁶ If the NAAT is positive, every effort should be made to perform a confirmatory culture.

TRICHOMONIASIS

Importance

Trichomonas vaginalis infection is not a nationally reportable communicable disease; however, *T vaginalis* genital tract infection is believed to be the most common nonviral STI on the basis of population studies.⁵⁴ Unlike chlamydia and gonorrhea infections, which are most common among female adolescents and young adults, trichomoniasis is also common among older females. In adolescent female samples, *T vaginalis* prevalence rates have ranged from 2.1% to 14.4%.^{54–57} Although this infection is commonly asymptomatic in females, *T vaginalis* infection has been associated with vaginitis and PID.^{25,58,59} In some cases, it may cause preterm labor^{60–62} and may increase HIV transmission.^{63,64} The majority of infections (approximately 80%) are also asymptomatic in males, although *T vaginalis* can cause urethritis, epididymitis, and prostatitis.^{65–67} Similar to other STIs, a substantial racial disparity exists, with prevalence rates 10 times higher among female non-Hispanic African Americans compared with their non-Hispanic white and Mexican American counterparts.⁵⁴

Background

Although the USPSTF has not published *T vaginalis* screening recommendations, CDC recommends screening HIV-infected females for *T vaginalis* annually and suggests that screening can be considered in females at high risk of infection, including those with new or multiple

partners, those with a history of STIs, and those who exchange sex for payment or inject drugs.⁶

Laboratory Testing

T vaginalis is often identified through microscopic examination of vaginal secretions on a slide preparation (ie, “wet mount”). This method requires immediate viewing for optimal results and has poor sensitivity (approximately 60%–70%).^{64,68,69} Consequently, false-negative results are common with microscopic identification, and true infections may be underrecognized and undertreated.^{68,70,71} Clinical laboratory tests with greater sensitivity compared with wet mount include trichomonas culture in Diamond media or other trichomoniasis-specific culture systems (eg, InPouch, BioMed Diagnostics, White City, OR); a CLIA-waived, antigen-detection, point-of-care test (OSOM, Sekisui Diagnostics, Exton, PA); and a nucleic acid probe test (Affirm VPIII, Becton, Dickinson and Company, Franklin Lakes, NJ) for *T vaginalis*, *Gardnerella vaginalis*, and *Candida albicans*. A NAAT for *T vaginalis* (APTIMA; GenProbe, San Diego, CA) is available and licensed for use with female cervical or vaginal swab, urine, and PreservCyt Solution specimens. A routine Papanicolaou test should not be used to diagnose *T vaginalis* infection because of poor sensitivity and specificity.⁶ The *T vaginalis* NAAT has also demonstrated superior sensitivity for trichomonas diagnosis in men, but it is not licensed for male specimens.^{64,72} Laboratories that have met CLIA and other regulatory requirements and validated their *T vaginalis* NAAT performance on male specimens may perform this test.^{6,34}

Disease-Specific Benefits and Risks of Screening

Benefits of routine *T vaginalis* screening have not been established. The potential benefits of screening high-risk individuals

for *T vaginalis* are to identify infections and initiate treatment of individuals and their partner(s).⁶

Clinical Considerations

Rescreening for *T vaginalis* at 3 months after treatment can be considered for females, especially HIV-infected females.⁷³ Studies that address this rescreening question for males are not in the literature.

SYPHILIS

Importance

Syphilis, nearly eliminated at the start of the new millennium, has reemerged as a public health threat, primarily among MSM. CDC data demonstrate a significant increase in syphilis among young non-Hispanic black MSM.⁸ During 2008–2012, rates for males increased most significantly among 20- to 24-year-olds. In 2006, the highest reported syphilis rates were among men aged 35 to 39 years; now rates are highest among 20- to 24-year-olds. In 2012, syphilis rates among females decreased overall compared with 2010, although rates remain highest among females aged 20 to 24 years. In 2012, the primary and secondary syphilis rate male-to-female ratio was 10.3:1.⁸ In 2012, 75% of primary and secondary syphilis cases in 49 states and the District of Columbia that provided information about gender of sex partners were among MSM.⁸

Syphilis is a treatable systemic STI caused by the spirochete *Treponema pallidum*. *T pallidum* is transmitted by exposure to the organism, most commonly through sexual contact with infected lesions, such as chancres, or in the blood of a pregnant woman through the placenta to the fetus. The most serious complications of untreated syphilis are neurosyphilis in the adult and congenital syphilis in the offspring of the pregnant female. Congenital syphilis causes a range of

multisystem problems in affected infants, including intrauterine death.²⁵

Background

The USPSTF recommends syphilis screening for individuals of both genders who at increased risk of syphilis infection, such as MSM, adults in corrections facilities, commercial sex workers, people who exchange sex for drugs, contacts of people with infectious syphilis, and pregnant females at the first prenatal visit.^{4,5} Universal syphilis screening is not recommended for nonpregnant females or heterosexual males.⁶ Providers should consult with their local health department regarding local syphilis prevalence and epidemiology, which may influence who they should screen beyond pregnant adolescents and adolescent MSM.^{6,73} The CDC recommends that a syphilis serologic screening test be performed at least annually for sexually active MSM.⁶

Laboratory Testing

Syphilis serologic tests are available to screen for syphilis. A single positive serologic syphilis test result is not diagnostic.^{6,34} A diagnosis of syphilis requires both treponemal and nontreponemal test results, along with a comprehensive clinical evaluation.^{6,34} In the United States, the traditional syphilis laboratory screening strategy is to perform a nontreponemal test, such as a rapid plasma reagin or Venereal Disease Research Laboratory test, followed by a treponemal test, such as a *T pallidum* particle agglutination (TP-PA), enzyme immunoassay, or chemiluminescent immunoassay for confirmation. Alternatively, some clinical laboratories offer the reverse sequence syphilis screening algorithm with treponemal enzyme immunoassay or chemiluminescent immunoassay and confirm active disease with quantitative nontreponemal tests.^{8,34} Additional details on this syphilis testing

algorithm are available from the Association of Public Health Laboratories.^{6,25,74}

Disease-Specific Benefits and Risks of Screening

Benefits of syphilis detection and treatment include the elimination of a potentially serious multisystem disease and prevention of cases of congenital syphilis. Syphilis screening can produce false-positive test results, which require further evaluation.

Clinical Considerations

A recent evidence-based review supports syphilis screening and treatment during pregnancy to prevent congenital syphilis.⁷⁵ People who have symptomatic syphilis might seek treatment of new onset of genital ulcers, lymphadenopathy or cutaneous or mucosal rashes, hair loss, or neurologic symptoms consistent with syphilis^{6,25} and should be tested for it. Follow-up testing is critical to confirm treatment effectiveness.⁶

CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

Female and male adolescents and young adults have high STI prevalence rates compared with other age groups. Certain adolescent populations bear a higher STI burden, such as youth of color and MSM.⁸ A comprehensive sexual history sensitive to ethnic, racial, and cultural factors, including those of sexual minority youth, and a sexual behavior risk assessment (vaginal, oral, and anal sex) should guide sites of specimen collection on the basis of sexual behavior. Clinicians should inquire about same- and opposite-gender sexual partners, regardless of reported sexual orientation. There are few available national data regarding STIs in transgender youth, although older transgender populations are at high risk of STIs, including HIV.^{76,77}

Detection of infection creates the opportunity to treat asymptomatic disease, prevent adverse sequelae, prevent further transmission to others, identify likely infected partners for testing and treatment, and reduce the burden of disease. Risks associated with screening include false-positive results, especially in low-prevalence populations, and false-negative results, which may leave diseases undetected and untreated. A positive screening result for any STI may be associated with self-blame and stigma for some individuals, which may have emotional, behavioral, and relationship repercussions.^{78–81} The presence of any STI puts an individual at greater risk of other STIs, and an evaluation for other STIs, including HIV should be considered. Pediatricians can take an active role in reducing disease prevalence and adverse sequelae by identifying and treating undiagnosed infections in addition to prevention counseling, promotion of condom use and safe sex practices, rescreening infected patients after treatment, and offering expedited partner therapy, where legally permissible and recommended,^{82,83} to prevent new and recurrent infections.⁸⁴

RECOMMENDATIONS

The American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) recommends the following:

1. Routine laboratory screening for nonviral STIs as per the following published screening recommendations for sexually active adolescents. The following screening recommendations summarize published federal agency and medical professional organizations' clinical guidance for all sexually active adolescents:
 - a. Chlamydia
 - i. Routinely screen all sexually active female adolescents and young adults (≤ 25 years) for *C trachomatis* annually.

- ii. Routinely screen sexually active adolescent and young adult MSM for rectal and urethral chlamydia annually if they engage in receptive anal or insertive intercourse, respectively. Screen every 3 to 6 months if high risk because of multiple or anonymous partners, sex in conjunction with illicit drug use, or having sex partners who participate in these activities.
 - iii. Screen adolescents and young adults exposed to chlamydia in the past 60 days from an infected partner.
 - iv. Consider screening sexually active males annually in settings with high prevalence rates, such as jails or juvenile corrections facilities, national job training programs, STD clinics, high school clinics, and adolescent clinics for patients who have a history of multiple partners.
- b. Gonorrhea
- i. Routinely screen all sexually active female adolescents and young adults (< 25 years) for *N gonorrhoeae* annually.
 - ii. Routinely screen sexually active adolescent and young adult MSM for pharyngeal, rectal, and urethral gonorrhea infection annually if engaging in receptive oral or anal intercourse or insertive intercourse, respectively. Screen every 3 to 6 months if high risk because of multiple or anonymous partners, sex in conjunction with illicit drug use, or having sex partners who participate in these activities.
 - iii. Screen adolescents and young adults exposed to gonorrhea

in the past 60 days from an infected partner.

- iv. Consider screening other sexually active and young adult males annually on the basis of individual and population-based risk factors, as discussed in the body of the text. For information on local prevalence rates, contact the local or state health departments. CDC gonorrhea surveillance data at state and county levels are available at www.cdc.gov/std/stats/default.htm.

c. Trichomoniasis

Routine *T vaginalis* screening of asymptomatic adolescents is not recommended. However, individual and population-based risk factors, including new or multiple partners, a history of STIs, exchanging sex for payment, or injecting drugs, may put females at higher risk of infection, and they may require a more thorough STI evaluation, including screening for *T vaginalis*.

d. Syphilis

The routine screening of nonpregnant, heterosexual adolescents is not recommended. However, screening is recommended for all sexually active adolescent and young adult MSM annually or every 3 to 6

months if high risk and can be considered for youth whose behaviors put them at higher risk. Providers should consult with their local health department regarding local syphilis prevalence and associated risks that may influence practice decisions.

2. Rescreen all adolescents infected with chlamydia or gonorrhea 3 months after treatment, regardless of whether they believe that their sex partners were treated. Providers should consider rescreening females previously diagnosed with trichomoniasis 3 months after treatment. If retesting at 3 months is not possible, retest whenever patients next present for health care services in the 12 months after initial treatment.
3. Develop clinical procedures using prepared resources to incorporate STI risk assessments, screening and treatment, and prevention counseling into routine health care for sexually active adolescents, which include the following:
 - a. Providing education and training opportunities to staff on procedures and related issues, including consent, confidentiality, and billing.
 - b. Developing competence with non-invasive NAAT screening.

4. Advocate to minimize barriers to STI screening without breaches of confidentiality and to minimize other barriers, including access and stigma.

LEAD AUTHOR

Pamela J. Murray, MD, MHP, FAAP

COMMITTEE ON ADOLESCENCE, 2011–2012

Paula K. Braverman, MD, FAAP, Chairperson
William P. Adelman, MD, FAAP
Cora C. Breuner, MD, MPH, FAAP
David A. Levine, MD, FAAP
Arik V. Marcell, MD, FAAP
Pamela J. Murray, MD, MPH, FAAP
Rebecca F. O'Brien, MD, FAAP

LIAISONS

Loretta E. Gavin, PhD, MPH – *Centers for Disease Control and Prevention*
Rachel J. Miller, MD – *American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists*
Hatim A. Omar, MD, FAAP – *Section on Adolescent Health*
Jorge L. Pinzon, MD, FAAP – *Canadian Pediatric Society*
Benjamin Shain, MD, PhD – *American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*

STAFF

Karen Smith
Mark Del Monte, JD

SOCIETY FOR ADOLESCENT HEALTH AND MEDICINE

LEAD AUTHOR

Gale R. Burstein, MD, MPH, FAAP

REFERENCES

1. US Department of Health and Human Services. Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion. Healthy People 2020. Washington, DC. Available at: <http://www.healthypeople.gov/2020/topicsobjectives2020/objectiveslist.aspx?topicid=37>. Accessed January 22, 2014
2. US Preventive Services Task Force. Screening for chlamydial infection: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. *Ann Intern Med*. 2007;147(2):128–134
3. US Preventive Services Task Force. Screening for gonorrhea: recommendation statement. *Ann Fam Med*. 2005;3(3):263–267
4. US Preventive Services Task Force. Screening for syphilis infection in pregnancy 2009. 2011. Available at: <http://www.uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/uspstf/uspssyphpg.htm>. Accessed January 22, 2014
5. US Preventive Services Task Force. Screening for syphilis infection, topic page. July 2004. Available at: <http://www.uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/3rduspstf/syphilis/syphilrs.htm>. Accessed January 22, 2014
6. Workowski KA, Berman S; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Sexually transmitted diseases treatment guidelines, 2010 [published correction appears in *MMWR Recomm Rep*. 2011;60(1):18 (Note: Dosage error in article text)]. *MMWR Recomm Rep*. 2010;59(RR-12):1–110
7. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *Recommendations for Public*

- Health Surveillance of Syphilis in the United States*. Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2003
8. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Sexually Transmitted Disease Surveillance, 2012. Available at: <http://www.cdc.gov/std/stats12/default.htm>. Accessed January 22, 2014
 9. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. *Guidelines for Women's Health Care: A Resource Manual*. 3rd ed. Washington, DC: American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists; 2007
 10. American Academy of Family Physicians. *Recommendations for Clinical Preventive Services*. Leawood, KS: American Academy of Family Physicians; 2010
 11. American Academy of Pediatrics, Committee on Practice and Ambulatory Medicine, Bright Futures Periodicity Schedule Workgroup. 2014 recommendations for pediatric preventive health care. *Pediatrics*. 2014;133(3):568–570
 12. Braverman PK, Breech L; Committee on Adolescence. American Academy of Pediatrics. Clinical report—gynecologic examination for adolescents in the pediatric office setting. *Pediatrics*. 2010;126(3):583–590
 13. Marcell AV, Wibbelsman C, Seigel WM. Male adolescent sexual and reproductive health care. *Pediatrics*. 2011;128(6). Available at: www.pediatrics.org/cgi/content/full/128/6/e1658
 14. Blythe MJ, Del Beccaro MA; Committee on Adolescence; Council on Clinical and Information Technology. Standards for health information technology to ensure adolescent privacy. *Pediatrics*. 2012;130(5):987–990
 15. Datta SD, Sternberg M, Johnson RE, et al. Gonorrhea and chlamydia in the United States among persons 14 to 39 years of age, 1999 to 2002. *Ann Intern Med*. 2007;147(2):89–96
 16. Holmes K, Sparling P, Stamm W, et al. *Sexually Transmitted Diseases*. 4th ed. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill Professional; 2008
 17. Gottlieb SL, Brunham RC, Byrne GI, Martin DH, Xu F, Berman SM. Introduction: The natural history and immunobiology of *Chlamydia trachomatis* genital infection and implications for chlamydia control. *J Infect Dis*. 2010;201(suppl 2):S85–S87
 18. Haggerty CL, Gottlieb SL, Taylor BD, Low N, Xu F, Ness RB. Risk of sequelae after *Chlamydia trachomatis* genital infection in women. *J Infect Dis*. 2010;201(suppl 2):S134–S155
 19. Røttingen JA, Cameron DW, Garnett GP. A systematic review of the epidemiologic interactions between classic sexually transmitted diseases and HIV: how much really is known? *Sex Transm Dis*. 2001;28(10):579–597
 20. Baeten JM, Overbaugh J. Measuring the infectiousness of persons with HIV-1: opportunities for preventing sexual HIV-1 transmission. *Curr HIV Res*. 2003;1(1):69–86
 21. Fleming DT, Wasserheit JN. From epidemiological synergy to public health policy and practice: the contribution of other sexually transmitted diseases to sexual transmission of HIV infection. *Sex Transm Infect*. 1999;75(1):3–17
 22. Kalichman SC, Pellowski J, Turner C. Prevalence of sexually transmitted co-infections in people living with HIV/AIDS: systematic review with implications for using HIV treatments for prevention. *Sex Transm Infect*. 2011;87(3):183–190
 23. Rieg G, Butler DM, Smith DM, Daar ES. Seminal plasma HIV levels in men with asymptomatic sexually transmitted infections. *Int J STD AIDS*. 2010;21(3):207–208
 24. Nelson HD, Helfand M. Screening for chlamydial infection. *Am J Prev Med*. 2001;20(3 Suppl):95–107
 25. Pickering LK, Baker CJ, Kimberlin DW, Long SS, eds. *Red Book: 2012 Report of the Committee on Infectious Diseases*. 29th ed. Elk Grove Village, IL: American Academy of Pediatrics; 2012
 26. Tracy CR, Steers WD, Costabile R. Diagnosis and management of epididymitis. *Urol Clin North Am*. 2008;35(1):101–108, vii
 27. Kousa M, Saikku P, Richmond S, Lassus A. Frequent association of chlamydial infection with Reiter's syndrome. *Sex Transm Dis*. 1978;5(2):57–61
 28. Maciosek MV, Coffield AB, Edwards NM, Flottesmesch TJ, Goodman MJ, Solberg LI. Priorities among effective clinical preventive services: results of a systematic review and analysis. *Am J Prev Med*. 2006;31(1):52–61
 29. Kirzinger WK, Cohen RA, Gindi RM. Health care access and utilization among young adults aged 19–25: early release of estimates from the National Health Interview Survey, January–September, 2011. Atlanta, GA: National Center for Health Statistics; May 2012. Available at: www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nhis/earlyrelease/Young_Adults_Health_Access_052012.pdf. Accessed January 22, 2014
 30. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *Male Chlamydia Screening Consultation Meeting Report*. Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; May 22, 2007
 31. Gift TL, Blake DR, Gaydos CA, Marrazzo JM. The cost-effectiveness of screening men for *Chlamydia trachomatis*: a review of the literature. *Sex Transm Dis*. 2008;35(suppl 11):S51–S60
 32. Gift TL, Gaydos CA, Kent CK, et al. The program cost and cost-effectiveness of screening men for *Chlamydia* to prevent pelvic inflammatory disease in women. *Sex Transm Dis*. 2008;35(suppl 11):S66–S75
 33. Schillinger JA, Dunne EF, Chapin JB, et al. Prevalence of *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection among men screened in 4 U.S. cities. *Sex Transm Dis*. 2005;32(2):74–77
 34. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Recommendations for the laboratory-based detection of *Chlamydia trachomatis* and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*—2014. *MMWR Recomm Rep*. 2014;63(RR-2):1–19
 35. Cook RL, Hutchison SL, Østergaard L, Braithwaite RS, Ness RB. Systematic review: noninvasive testing for *Chlamydia trachomatis* and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*. *Ann Intern Med*. 2005;142(11):914–925
 36. Bachmann LH, Johnson RE, Cheng H, et al. Nucleic acid amplification tests for diagnosis of *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* and *Chlamydia trachomatis* rectal infections. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2010;48(5):1827–1832
 37. Oakeshott P, Kerry S, Aghaizu A, et al. Randomised controlled trial of screening for *Chlamydia trachomatis* to prevent pelvic inflammatory disease: the POPI (prevention of pelvic infection) trial. *BMJ*. 2010;340:c1642
 38. Scholes D, Satterwhite CL, Yu O, Fine D, Weinstock H, Berman S. Long-term trends in *Chlamydia trachomatis* infections and related outcomes in a U.S. managed care population. *Sex Transm Dis*. 2012;39(2):81–88
 39. Tebb KP, Wibbelsman C, Neuhaus JM, Shafer MA. Screening for asymptomatic Chlamydia infections among sexually active adolescent girls during pediatric urgent care. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*. 2009;163(6):559–564
 40. Burstein GR, Snyder MH, Conley D, et al. Chlamydia screening in a health plan before and after a national performance measure introduction. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2005;106(2):327–334
 41. Shafer MA, Tebb KP, Pantell RH, et al. Effect of a clinical practice improvement intervention on Chlamydial screening among adolescent girls. *JAMA*. 2002;288(22):2846–2852
 42. Maloney SK, Johnson C. *Why Screen for Chlamydia? An Implementation Guide for Healthcare Providers*. Washington, DC: Partnership for Prevention; 2008
 43. Gaydos CA, Barnes M, Aumakhan B, et al. Can e-technology through the Internet be used as a new tool to address the

- Chlamydia trachomatis* epidemic by home sampling and vaginal swabs? *Sex Transm Dis*. 2009;36(9):577–580
44. Cook RL, Østergaard L, Hillier SL, et al; DAISY study team. Home screening for sexually transmitted diseases in high-risk young women: randomised controlled trial. *Sex Transm Infect*. 2007;83(4):286–291
 45. Pugsley RA, Chapman DA, Kennedy MG, Liu H, Lapane KL. Residential segregation and gonorrhea rates in US metropolitan statistical areas, 2005–2009. *Sex Transm Dis*. 2013;40(6):439–443
 46. Harry TC, Black PD. Unilateral gonococcal ophthalmia without genital infection: an unusual presentation in an adult. *Int J STD AIDS*. 2005;16(1):78–79
 47. Kaul R, Pettengell C, Sheth PM, et al. The genital tract immune milieu: an important determinant of HIV susceptibility and secondary transmission. *J Reprod Immunol*. 2008;77(1):32–40
 48. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Clinic-based testing for rectal and pharyngeal *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* and *Chlamydia trachomatis* infections by community-based organizations—five cities, United States, 2007. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2009;58(26):716–719
 49. Satterwhite C, Gottlieb S, Romaguera R, et al; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). CDC Grand Rounds: *Chlamydia* prevention: challenges and strategies for reducing disease burden and sequelae. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2011;60(12):370–373
 50. Kent CK, Chaw JK, Wong W, et al. Prevalence of rectal, urethral, and pharyngeal chlamydia and gonorrhea detected in 2 clinical settings among men who have sex with men: San Francisco, California, 2003. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2005;41(1):67–74
 51. Bachmann LH, Johnson RE, Cheng H, Markowitz LE, Papp JR, Hook EW III. Nucleic acid amplification tests for diagnosis of *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* oropharyngeal infections. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2009;47(4):902–907
 52. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Cephalosporin susceptibility among *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* isolates—United States, 2000–2010. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2011;60(26):873–877
 53. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* with reduced susceptibility to azithromycin—San Diego County, California, 2009. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2011;60(18):579–581
 54. Sutton M, Sternberg M, Koumans EH, McQuillan G, Berman S, Markowitz L. The prevalence of *Trichomonas vaginalis* infection among reproductive-age women in the United States, 2001–2004. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2007;45(10):1319–1326
 55. Miller WC, Zenilman JM. Epidemiology of chlamydial infection, gonorrhoea, and trichomoniasis in the United States—2005. *Infect Dis Clin North Am*. 2005;19(2):281–296
 56. Forhan SE, Gottlieb SL, Sternberg MR, et al. Prevalence of sexually transmitted infections among female adolescents aged 14 to 19 in the United States. *Pediatrics*. 2009;124(6):1505–1512
 57. Krashin JW, Koumans EH, Bradshaw-Sydnor AC, et al. *Trichomonas vaginalis* prevalence, incidence, risk factors and antibiotic-resistance in an adolescent population. *Sex Transm Dis*. 2010;37(7):440–444
 58. Cherpes TL, Wiesenfeld HC, Melan MA, et al. The associations between pelvic inflammatory disease, *Trichomonas vaginalis* infection, and positive herpes simplex virus type 2 serology. *Sex Transm Dis*. 2006;33(12):747–752
 59. Paisarantantiwong R, Brockmann S, Clarke L, Landesman S, Feldman J, Minkoff H. The relationship of vaginal trichomoniasis and pelvic inflammatory disease among women colonized with *Chlamydia trachomatis*. *Sex Transm Dis*. 1995;22(6):344–347
 60. Swadpanich U, Lumbiganon P, Prasertcharoensook W, Laopaiboon M. Antenatal lower genital tract infection screening and treatment programs for preventing preterm delivery. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2008; (2): CD006178
 61. Cotch MF, Pastorek JG, II, Nugent RP, et al; The Vaginal Infections and Prematurity Study Group. *Trichomonas vaginalis* associated with low birth weight and preterm delivery. *Sex Transm Dis*. 1997;24(6):353–360
 62. Minkoff H, Grunebaum AN, Schwarz RH, et al. Risk factors for prematurity and premature rupture of membranes: a prospective study of the vaginal flora in pregnancy. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 1984;150(8):965–972
 63. Kissinger PJ, Reilly K, Taylor SN, Leichter JS, Rosenthal S, Martin DH. Early repeat *Chlamydia trachomatis* and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* infections among heterosexual men. *Sex Transm Dis*. 2009;36(8):498–500
 64. Van Der Pol B, Kraft CS, Williams JA. Use of an adaptation of a commercially available PCR assay aimed at diagnosis of chlamydia and gonorrhoea to detect *Trichomonas vaginalis* in urogenital specimens. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2006;44(2):366–373
 65. Krieger JN, Coombs RW, Collier AC, et al. Intermittent shedding of human immunodeficiency virus in semen: implications for sexual transmission. *J Urol*. 1995;154(3):1035–1040
 66. Hobbs MM, Kazembe P, Reed AW, et al. *Trichomonas vaginalis* as a cause of urethritis in Malawian men. *Sex Transm Dis*. 1999;26(7):381–387
 67. Seña AC, Miller WC, Hobbs MM, et al. *Trichomonas vaginalis* infection in male sexual partners: implications for diagnosis, treatment, and prevention. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2007;44(1):13–22
 68. Roth AM, Williams JA, Ly R, et al. Changing sexually transmitted infection screening protocol will result in improved case finding for *trichomonas vaginalis* among high-risk female populations. *Sex Transm Dis*. 2011;38(5):398–400
 69. Smith KS, Tabrizi SN, Fethers KA, Knox JB, Pearce C, Garland SM. Comparison of conventional testing to polymerase chain reaction in detection of *Trichomonas vaginalis* in indigenous women living in remote areas. *Int J STD AIDS*. 2005;16(12):811–815
 70. Radonjic IV, Dzamic AM, Mitrovic SM, Arsic Arsenijevic VS, Popadic DM, Kranjic Zec IF. Diagnosis of *Trichomonas vaginalis* infection: the sensitivities and specificities of microscopy, culture and PCR assay. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*. 2006;126(1):116–120
 71. Patel SR, Wiese W, Patel SC, Ohl C, Byrd JC, Estrada CA. Systematic review of diagnostic tests for vaginal trichomoniasis. *Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol*. 2000;8(5-6):248–257
 72. Hardick A, Hardick J, Wood BJ, Gaydos C. Comparison between the Gen-Probe transcription-mediated amplification *Trichomonas vaginalis* research assay and real-time PCR for *Trichomonas vaginalis* detection using a Roche LightCycler instrument with female self-obtained vaginal swab samples and male urine samples. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2006;44(11):4197–4199
 73. Peterman TA, Tian LH, Metcalf CA, et al; RESPECT-2 Study Group. High incidence of new sexually transmitted infections in the year following a sexually transmitted infection: a case for rescreening. *Ann Intern Med*. 2006;145(8):564–572
 74. Association of Public Health Laboratories, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Laboratory diagnostic testing for *Treponema pallidum*: expert consultation meeting summary report. Silver Spring, MD: Association of Public Health Laboratories; 2009. Available at: http://www.aphl.org/aphlprograms/infectious/std/Documents/ID_2009Jan_Laboratory-Guidelines-Treponema-pallidum-Meeting-Report.pdf. Accessed January 22, 2014
 75. Barros FC, Bhutta ZA, Batra M, Hansen TN, Victora CG, Rubens CE; GAPPs Review Group. Global report on preterm birth and stillbirth (3 of 7): evidence for effectiveness

- of interventions. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2010;10(suppl 1):S3
76. Herbst JH, Jacobs ED, Finlayson TJ, McKleroy VS, Neumann MS, Crepaz N; HIV/AIDS Prevention Research Synthesis Team. Estimating HIV prevalence and risk behaviors of transgender persons in the United States: a systematic review. *AIDS Behav*. 2008;12(1):1–17
 77. Schulden JD, Song B, Barros A, et al. Rapid HIV testing in transgender communities by community-based organizations in three cities. *Public Health Rep*. 2008;123(suppl 3):101–114
 78. Duncan B, Hart G, Scoular A, Bigrigg A. Qualitative analysis of psychosocial impact of diagnosis of *Chlamydia trachomatis*: implications for screening. *BMJ*. 2001;322(7280):195–199
 79. Duncan PM, Duncan ED, Swanson J. Bright Futures: the screening table recommendations. *Pediatr Ann*. 2008;37(3):152–158
 80. Pimenta JM, Catchpole M, Rogers PA, et al. Opportunistic screening for genital chlamydial infection. II: prevalence among healthcare attenders, outcome, and evaluation of positive cases. *Sex Transm Infect*. 2003;79(1):22–27
 81. Darroch J, Myers L, Cassell J. Sex differences in the experience of testing positive for genital chlamydia infection: a qualitative study with implications for public health and for a national screening programme. *Sex Transm Infect*. 2003;79(5):372–373
 82. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Syphilis testing algorithms using treponemal tests for initial screening—four laboratories, New York City, 2005–2006. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2008; 57(32):872–875
 83. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *Legal Status of Expedited Partner Therapy (EPT)*. Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2012. Available at: www.cdc.gov/std/ept/legal/default.htm. Accessed January 22, 2014
 84. American Academy of Pediatrics, Committee on Adolescence. Condom use by adolescents. *Pediatrics*. 2013;132(5):973–981

**Screening for Nonviral Sexually Transmitted Infections in Adolescents and
Young Adults**
COMMITTEE ON ADOLESCENCE and SOCIETY FOR ADOLESCENT HEALTH
AND MEDICINE
Pediatrics originally published online June 30, 2014;

Updated Information & Services	including high resolution figures, can be found at: http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/early/2014/06/25/peds.2014-1024
Permissions & Licensing	Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at: https://shop.aap.org/licensing-permissions/
Reprints	Information about ordering reprints can be found online: http://classic.pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/reprints

Pediatrics is the official journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. A monthly publication, it has been published continuously since . Pediatrics is owned, published, and trademarked by the American Academy of Pediatrics, 141 Northwest Point Boulevard, Elk Grove Village, Illinois, 60007. Copyright © 2014 by the American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: .

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN™



PEDIATRICS®

OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS

Screening for Nonviral Sexually Transmitted Infections in Adolescents and Young Adults

COMMITTEE ON ADOLESCENCE and SOCIETY FOR ADOLESCENT HEALTH
AND MEDICINE

Pediatrics originally published online June 30, 2014;

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is
located on the World Wide Web at:

<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/early/2014/06/25/peds.2014-1024>

Pediatrics is the official journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. A monthly publication, it has been published continuously since . Pediatrics is owned, published, and trademarked by the American Academy of Pediatrics, 141 Northwest Point Boulevard, Elk Grove Village, Illinois, 60007. Copyright © 2014 by the American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: .

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN™

