Infant Sleep Machines and Hazardous Sound Pressure Levels

WHAT'S KNOWN ON THIS SUBJECT: Many parenting Web sites encourage use of infant “sleep machines” to play ambient noise while infants sleep. Noise recommendations for hospital nurseries suggest a limit of 50 A-weighted dB, whereas occupational standards limit exposure times for noise >85 A-weighted dB.

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS: We measured the maximum sound level outputs of infant sleep machines and found that several devices are capable of producing levels that may be damaging to infant hearing and may be detrimental to auditory development.

abstract

BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVE: Infant “sleep machines” (ISMs) produce ambient noise or noise to mask other sounds in an infant’s room with the goal of increasing uninterrupted sleep. We suggest that the consistent use of these devices raises concerns for increasing an infant’s risk of noise-induced hearing loss. We therefore sought to determine the maximum output levels of these sleep machines.

METHODS: Sound levels of 14 ISMs played at maximum volume were measured at 30, 100, and 200 cm from the machine using correction factors to account for a 6-month-old’s ear canal.

RESULTS: Maximum sound levels at 30 cm were >50 A-weighted dB for all devices, which is the current recommended noise limit for infants in hospital nurseries. Three machines produced output levels >85 A-weighted dB, which, if played at these levels for >8 hours, exceeds current occupational limits for accumulated noise exposure in adults and risks noise-induced hearing loss.

CONCLUSIONS: ISMs are capable of producing output sound pressure levels that may be damaging to infant hearing and auditory development. We outline recommendations for safer operation of these machines. Pediatrics 2014;133:1–5
Noise leads to sleep disturbance and arousal in infants, and acoustic disturbance can have direct physiologic effects on infants and can negatively influence both the quality and quantity of their sleep. Today, in the noisy environment in which many professionals work, live, and raise their families, the acoustic environment can often disturb an infant’s (and possibly secondarily, parents’) sleep. Infant “sleep machines” (ISMs) have been used as an increasingly widespread solution to these acoustic interruptions. ISMs have been designed to produce noise for 2 purposes: to provide ambient noise to soothe an infant during sleep or to mask disturbing environmental sounds by producing louder sounds and therefore prevent arousal from sleep.

ISMs are intended to be placed near an infant’s crib or mounted directly on its side rail. These products have been popularized by parents and “baby sleep experts,” as evidenced by the large number of Web sites that proclaim their “benefits.” These Web sites encourage parents to operate the machine continuously while an infant sleeps and to play the sounds loudly, at a volume equal to or louder than an infant’s cry. Many Web sites put ISMs on the must-have list for any nursery. A number of different models are widely available both in Canada and the United States. Because noise can have deleterious effects on the physiologic state and hearing of infants, we assessed the outputs of these devices.

It is clear that noise exposure in infants can have deleterious effects on the physiologic state. Tachycardia was measured in both term and preterm infants after pulsed or continuous noise stimulation. Tachycardia, hypotension, and episodic bradycardia have been observed in infants undergoing MRI, a loud procedure. Apnea in response to sound stimulation has been observed in infants and other mammals, with these episodes occurring with greater frequency and duration with younger age. Most relevant to this study was that background noise leads to sleep disturbance and arousal in infants. These studies indicate that noise can have direct physiologic effects on infants and can negatively influence both the quality and quantity of their sleep.

Noise can also induce hearing loss at high output levels. The Canadian Centre for Occupational Health and Safety (CCOHS) and the US National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) have recommended a workplace noise limit of 85 A-weighted dB (dBA) for an 8-hour exposure, with a 3-dBA exchange rate, indicating that for every 3-dBA increase above 85 dBA, the allowed exposure time is halved. Exposures in excess of this recommended noise dose are considered harmful and risk development of noise-induced hearing loss. These limits exist for adults in the workplace and may not be sufficiently conservative to be applied to infants who are undergoing auditory, speech, and language development. Additionally, the resonance properties of the smaller infant ear canal, when compared with the larger canal of an adult, result in higher-frequency sounds being amplified by the canal. Furthermore, animal experiments suggest that early exposure to noise leads to increased vulnerability to age-related hearing loss. With these factors in mind, a 50-dBA-equivalent noise level averaged over 1 hour has been recommended as a maximum safe exposure for infants in hospital nurseries and NICUs.

Given the potential for deleterious physiologic effects and noise-induced hearing loss, it behooves us to study ISMs in greater detail to better understand these risks and to develop recommendations for caregivers who wish to use these devices. This caveat is especially true in the context of an environment in which Web sites encourage playing ISMs loudly. We hypothesized that maximum output levels of some ISMs would be within ranges considered detrimental to the inner ear of infants.

**METHODS**

**Sound Level Measurements**

Fourteen ISMs widely available in the United States and Canada were procured for testing. ISMs were identified at and procured from major online and brick-and-mortar infant-accessory stores. ISMs were excluded from study only on the basis of an inability to procure a model. Each machine produced between 1 and 10 masking noise sounds, for a total of 65 sounds across 14 devices. Noise sounds included white noise, nature sounds (including rain, thunder, wind, ocean, river, campfire, insect, and bird sounds), mechanical sounds (including traffic, train, airplane, and machinery sounds), and heartbeat sounds. Measurements were made by using a sound level meter (model 831; Larson Davis, Depew, NY) in a sound booth (ambient noise <25 dBA). The sound level meter microphone was fit with a 2-ML coupler to simulate the ear canal so that sound measurements were taken where the tympanic membrane would be in a human. The machine and sound level meter were placed on tables of equal height, and measurements were made at 3 distances: 30 cm (to simulate machine placement on a crib rail or within a crib near the rail), 100 cm (to simulate machine placement near a crib, such as on a nearby table), and 200 cm (to simulate machine placement across the room from a crib). With the machine set to maximum volume, 3 trials of 30-second sound level measurements were performed for each sound to obtain the equivalent 30-second sound level octave band analysis.
(ie, component sound level in each octave band from 8 Hz to 16 kHz, measured in dB). The sound level in each octave band was averaged across the 3 trials.

**Determination of Maximum “Effective Output Level”**

To correct for the difference in resonant properties between the 2-mL coupler and an infant’s external auditory canal, standard infant ear correction factors for a 6-month-old were applied to the averaged sound levels in each octave band (Table 1). Because the human ear is less sensitive to low-frequency sounds than to high-frequency sounds, sound level meter intensity readings (measured in dB) were then weighted by using standard A-weights for each frequency and converted to dBA (Table 1). For all measured sounds, an “effective output level” was calculated by using the following equation:

\[
Leq_{eff} = 10 \log \left( \frac{10^{L_{1}}}{10} + \frac{10^{L_{2}}}{10} + \ldots + \frac{10^{L_{12}}}{10} \right)
\]

where \(Leq_{eff}\) is the effective output level in dBA and \(L_{1}\) to \(L_{12}\) are the A-weighted sound level values in dBA at each octave band frequency from 8 Hz to 16 kHz.

**RESULTS**

Sixty-five sounds across 14 ISMs were tested at distances of 30, 100, and 200 cm. For all sounds, effective output level decreased with increasing distance.

In Fig 1 A–C, the distribution of the maximum effective output level of the 14 ISMs is plotted. The mean maximum effective output levels at 30, 100, and 200 cm were 79.1 dBA (range: 68.8–92.9 dBA), 70.5 dBA (range: 61.3–82.5 dBA), and 63.3 dBA (range: 49.2–76.2 dBA), respectively.

Three ISMs were capable of producing noise >85 dBA at a distance of 30 cm. All 14 ISMs were capable of producing noise >50 dBA at distances of 30 and 100 cm. Interestingly, 13 of 14 ISMs were capable of producing noise >50 dBA at a distance of 200 cm.

**DISCUSSION**

We sought to measure maximum effective output levels of a variety of commercially available ISMs to determine if output levels were in an intensity...
range that would be considered detrimental to infant hearing.

We found that the loudest maximum effective output levels were measured at a distance of 30 cm, with a mean of 79.1 dBA (range: 68.8–92.9 dBA). Three of the ISMs produced outputs > 85 dBA. Applying noise exposure recommendations endorsed by the CCOHS and NIOSH, our results suggest that if these ISMs were played continuously as recommended on numerous Web sites, infants would be exposed to sound pressure levels that exceeded occupational noise limits for an 8-hour period. More worrisome is that the loudest ISM (producing a maximum effective output level of 92.9 dBA) would need to be played for only 2 hours before exceeding recommended occupational limits. It is crucial to consider, however, that CCOHS and NIOSH noise limits were designed to protect adults from occupational noise exposure and may not be conservative enough for infants. This discrepancy highlights the importance of carefully monitoring and limiting exposure of infants to such devices.

All 14 ISMs exceeded 50 dBA at distances of 30 and 100 cm. Thirteen of 14 ISMs exceeded 50 dBA at a distance of 200 cm. Infant-specific noise exposure guidelines for hospital nurseries and NICUs suggest that noise should be limited to an average level of 50 dBA measured over 1 hour. Results from the current study suggest that with crib-rail (30 cm) or beside-crib (100 cm) placement, the output of all ISMs exceed recommended limits. Even with across-room placement (200 cm), 13 of 14 ISMs exceeded recommended limits, with only 1 ISM producing a maximum effective output level below this limit (maximum effective output level of 49.2 dBA).

Even though maximum output levels were measured on ISMs tested in this study, most devices featured a volume control, which suggests that safer ISM use is possible and that ISMs may be used in accordance with recommendations for hospital nursery noise. Furthermore, results from the current study reveal that increasing distance from the ISM results in a decrease in measured output level. This finding suggests that placing an ISM at a distance >200 cm from an infant may also lead to safer ISM use. However, we caution that a “safe” distance at which measured output was in accordance with hospital nursery noise recommendations (<50 dBA) was not determined in this study.

Even if ISM noise levels are kept within “safe” limits, mitigating concerns about noise-induced injury, we must consider that auditory pathways are immature at birth and require appropriate auditory input to develop normally. The type of auditory input can significantly shape auditory pathways over extended periods of time as has been shown in children who are deaf and who receive cochlear implants to hear. Exposing infants to continuous white noise is particularly concerning given that white noise, unlike speech which varies in frequency and intensity rapidly over time, has an almost constant intensity across a range of frequencies. Evidence in neonatal rats shows that the normal frequency map in the primary auditory brain is severely disrupted by continuous white noise exposure. Moreover, prolonged white noise exposure during early development led to altered processing of sound intensity and alterations in behavioral development. These findings reflect the importance of the type of auditory input to which the developing brain is exposed. Noise effectively masks normal auditory input such as speech, which varies in frequency and intensity, resulting in a loss of highly organized representation of frequency in the brain. Extrapolating these findings to infants suggests that regular exposure to white noise through ISMs on a nightly basis could affect hearing, speech, and language development. Furthermore, it is unclear whether more complex sounds, such as music, may have deleterious effects on infants when used as masking noise, and this is a potential area for future research.

A limitation of this study is that although we have shown that maximum output levels of ISMs used in close proximity are potentially dangerous, we do not know how they are being used in everyday life (ie, proximity of device to child, type of noise, volume, duration of exposure). Our concern, however, is that these devices are sold with limited or no instructions for safe use. Another limitation is that hearing evaluations have not yet been systematically performed in a large group of children previously exposed to ISM noise. Future studies investigating ISM use with serial physiologic, audiometric, and language evaluation in a large cohort of children are required.

On the basis of results of the current study, we suggest the following recommendations to encourage safer use: (1) place ISMs as far away from infants as possible, (2) set the volume as low as possible, (3) use (eg, timed shut-off or turn off device after the infant falls asleep).

CONCLUSIONS

ISMs can generate sound levels in excess of adult occupational noise limits and more conservative limits created for infants in hospital nurseries. Exposure to these devices may place infants at risk of developing noise-induced hearing loss or maldevelopment of the auditory system. The safe use of an ISM may be possible but requires policy recommendations for manufacturers and recommendations...
Policy Recommendations for Safer ISM Use

1. Place the ISM as far away as possible from the infant and never in the crib or on a crib rail.
2. Play the ISM at a low volume.
3. Operate the ISM for a short duration of time.

Recommendations for Families to Encourage Safer ISM Use

1. Require manufacturers to print warnings about noise-induced hearing loss on ISM packaging.
2. Require manufacturers to include a mandatory timer on devices marketed primarily for infants that would automatically shut the device off after a predetermined period of time.
3. Require manufacturers to limit the maximum output level of ISMs.
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