Neuraminidase Inhibitors for Critically Ill Children With Influenza

WHAT'S KNOWN ON THIS SUBJECT: Few data on treating children hospitalized for influenza with neuraminidase inhibitors are available, contributing to uncertainty regarding the benefits of treatment.

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS: This study of nearly 800 critically ill children suggests that treatment with neuraminidase inhibitors improves survival from influenza. This message needs additional emphasis, given that in the past 2 seasons over one-third of cases did not receive antiviral treatment.

OBJECTIVE: Timely treatment with neuraminidase inhibitor (NAI) drugs appears to improve survival in adults hospitalized with influenza. We analyzed California surveillance data to determine whether NAI treatment improves survival in critically ill children with influenza.

METHODS: We analyzed data abstracted from medical records to characterize the outcomes of patients aged 0 to 17 years hospitalized in ICUs with laboratory-confirmed influenza from April 3, 2009, through September 30, 2012.

RESULTS: Seven hundred eighty-four influenza cases aged <18 years hospitalized in ICUs had information on treatment. Ninety percent (532 of 591) of cases during the 2009 H1N1 pandemic (April 3, 2009–August 31, 2010) received NAI treatment compared with 63% (121 of 193) of cases in the postpandemic period (September 1, 2010–September 30, 2012; P < .0001). Of 653 cases NAI-treated, 38 (6%) died compared with 11 (8%) of 131 untreated cases (odds ratio = 0.67, 95% confidence interval: 0.34–1.36). In a multivariate model that included receipt of mechanical ventilation and other factors associated with disease severity, the estimated risk of death was reduced in NAI-treated cases (odds ratio 0.36, 95% confidence interval: 0.16–0.83). Treatment within 48 hours of illness onset was significantly associated with survival (P = .04). Cases with NAI treatment initiated earlier in illness were less likely to die.

CONCLUSIONS: Prompt treatment with NAI may improve survival of children critically ill with influenza. Recent decreased frequency of NAI treatment of influenza may be placing untreated critically ill children at an increased risk of death.
Influenza A(H1N1)pdm09 (pH1N1) virus was first identified in California in April 2009 and caused a global pandemic\textsuperscript{1–3} that disproportionately affected children and young adults.\textsuperscript{2} As a result, in April 2009, the California Department of Public Health (CDPH) initiated surveillance for critically ill and fatal cases of laboratory-confirmed influenza.

During the pH1N1 pandemic, the reported morbidity and mortality in California were high, with 2144 persons reported morbidity and mortality in children aged 5 to 17 years than children aged 2 to 4 years, and 1.6-fold higher among children aged 5 to 17 years than among adults.\textsuperscript{4} In California, during April 3 through August 11, 2009, of 345 persons hospitalized aged <18 years, 2-fold higher among children aged 2 to 4 years, and 1.6-fold higher among children aged 5 to 17 years than among adults.\textsuperscript{4} In California, during April 3 through August 11, 2009, 608 deaths, in California were high, with 2144 persons hospitalized aged <18 years. In the first few months of the pandemic, national hospitalization rates for laboratory-confirmed pH1N1 were 4.5-fold higher among children aged <2 years, 2-fold higher among children aged 2 to 4 years, and 1.6-fold higher among children aged 5 to 17 years than among adults.\textsuperscript{4} In California, during April 3 through August 11, 2009, 2144 persons hospitalized aged <18 years with laboratory-confirmed influenza, more than one-quarter of these hospitalized cases required intensive care, and 9 (3%) were fatal.\textsuperscript{5} Infants aged <6 months were most likely to be hospitalized.

Since the onset of the pH1N1 pandemic, prompt initiation of antiviral treatment has been recommended for all patients with suspected or confirmed influenza (1) requiring hospitalization; (2) in a high-risk group with comorbidity associated with severe disease as defined by the Advisory Committee for Immunization Practices (ACIP), including children aged <2 years; and (3) with complicated illness regardless of previous health status.\textsuperscript{6,7} The neuraminidase inhibitors (NAI) currently available for treatment include enteral oseltamivir phosphate, inhaled zanamivir,\textsuperscript{6} and the investigational intravenous formulations of peramivir and zanamivir. During the pH1N1 pandemic, the Food and Drug Administration issued Emergency Use Authorizations to treat hospitalized children <1 year old with enteral oseltamivir and to allow intravenous oseltamivir for treatment of hospitalized patients. In December 2012, the Food and Drug Administration approved use of enteral oseltamivir for treatment of symptomatic infants aged ≥14 days that are suspected of having influenza and that have had symptoms for <48 hours (http://www.fda.gov/NewsEvents/Newsroom/PressAnnouncements/ucm333205.htm). However, the ACIP and Centers for Disease Control and Prevention recommendations also include oseltamivir treatment of influenza in infants aged <14 days.\textsuperscript{8}

The clinical efficacy and safety of oseltamivir for severe influenza in hospitalized patients have been questioned because data from phase 3 treatment trials remain unavailable for review.\textsuperscript{9} Several observational studies have demonstrated a reduction in outcomes such as length of hospital stay and risk of critical illness requiring ICU admission or death among hospitalized adults treated with NAIs before, during, and after the pH1N1 pandemic.\textsuperscript{10–19} However, relatively few studies have evaluated the effectiveness of antiviral treatment of influenza in hospitalized children. We previously found that in cases aged <18 years hospitalized with pH1N1, those treated with NAIs within 48 hours of symptom onset were less likely to require ICU admission or die compared with those never treated.\textsuperscript{5} In this study, we analyzed antiviral treatment and survival of children aged 0 to 17 years admitted to ICUs with laboratory-confirmed influenza reported to CDPH during the pandemic and in the 2 subsequent postpandemic influenza seasons.

**METHODS**

CDPH instituted mandatory reporting for all Californians who were hospitalized or died with influenza from April 3 through August 10, 2009. From August 11, 2009, through September 30, 2012, requirements were changed for mandatory reporting of all Californians aged 0 to 64 years with laboratory-confirmed influenza who died; reporting became voluntary for Californians aged 0 to 64 years with laboratory-confirmed influenza that required care in an ICU. For the purposes of this study, a case was defined as a California resident aged 0 to 17 years that had influenza virus nucleic acid detected in a respiratory specimen of any type by reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction assay and was hospitalized in an ICU with signs and symptoms of acute respiratory infection. Fatal cases had influenza listed as a cause of death in either the death certificate or medical record. Testing was performed at local public health laboratories, commercial laboratories or the CDPH Viral and Rickettsial Disease Laboratory. Providers and hospitals reported cases to local health jurisdictions, which then reported cases to CDPH. Using a standardized case report form local health jurisdiction and CDPH staff abstracted data from medical and autopsy records regarding demographics, clinical presentation, and hospital course, comorbid conditions, laboratory results and type, and dosing and dates of antiviral medications.

Nonfatal and fatal patients were compared with respect to demographics, clinical characteristics, and underlying risk factors. The \(\chi^2\) test was used for comparisons of categorical variables with large numbers and Fisher’s exact test was used for comparisons of categorical variables with expected values <5. The Wilcoxon 2-sample test was used for comparisons of continuous variables. To better understand and visually inspect the confounding effect of clinical severity on the relationship of treatment with survival, bivariate
Mantel-Haenszel adjustment was conducted and graphically assessed. Variables that may increase the severity of clinical illness that were significantly associated with fatality in univariate analysis were incorporated into a multivariable logistic regression model. Case fatality proportions were determined for cases categorized by numbers of days from onset of symptoms to initiation of antiviral therapy and were compared with those who were never treated with antiviral agents. The Cochran-Armitage test for trend was used to assess the association of survival with the time between symptom onset and initiation of antiviral treatment. All analyses were performed by using SAS 9.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

This activity was reviewed by the State of California Committee for the Protection of Human Subjects and determined to be a public health response that did not require institutional review board approval.

RESULTS

During the period of April 3, 2009, to September 30, 2012, 850 California residents aged 0 to 17 years who required intensive care or died with laboratory-confirmed influenza were reported. Of these, 827 (97%) were hospitalized in ICUs, and 23 (3%) died outside of the hospital. Overall, 784 (95%) of the hospitalized patients had information available on antiviral treatment and were analyzed.

Pandemic Versus Postpandemic

The majority of patients reported during the pandemic (April 3, 2009–August 31, 2010) had test results consistent with pH1N1 virus infection (90%; 531 of 591). Influenza virus testing during the postpandemic period (September 1, 2010–September 30, 2012) was consistent with pH1N1 in 22% (42 of 192), influenza A subtype H3 in 22% (42 of 192), nonsubtyped influenza A in 28% (53 of 192), and influenza B in 28% (53 of 192). Overall, 532 (90%) of 591 patients reported during the pandemic received NAI treatment during their illness compared with 121 (63%) of the 193 cases in the postpandemic period (P < .0001). The interval from symptom onset to NAI treatment was similar for patients hospitalized in the pandemic period (median 3 days, range 0–33 days) and the postpandemic period (median 3 days, range 0–21 days; P = .2).

Demographic and Clinical Characteristics

Four hundred seventy-three patients (61%) were boys. The median age was 6 years (range 0 weeks–17 years). Five hundred twenty-one cases (68%) had a comorbid condition considered by the ACIP as increasing the risk of severe influenza complications; nearly half of cases had chronic pulmonary disease (366; 48%). Other frequently reported chronic medical conditions included neurologic disorders such as cerebral palsy/developmental delay and seizure disorder (277; 36%), chronic cardiac disease (105; 14%), and immunosuppression (77; 10%). The median length of hospital stay was 6 days (range 1–238 days). The median timeframes from symptom onset to hospitalization and intensive care admission were 2 days (range 0–32 days) and 3 days (range 0–372 days), respectively. Forty-nine (6%) children died. Compared with nonfatal patients, fatal patients were more likely to have an ACIP comorbid condition (P = .005), radiographic evidence of pneumonia (P = .0007), and require mechanical ventilation (P < .0001; Table 1). There was no significant difference in distribution by gender, race/ethnicity, or age in the nonfatal compared with fatal cases; younger children (either <2 or <4 years) were not at increased risk for death.

NAI Treatment

Of the 784 patients, 653 (83%) were treated with NAs and 131 (17%) were not. The overall median duration of NAI treatment was 5 days (range 0–16 days). Of the 653 treated patients, 38 (6%) died compared with 11 (8%) of 131 untreated patients (odds ratio [OR] = 0.67, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.34–1.36). In bivariate analysis stratifying on mechanical ventilation, antiviral therapy was significantly associated with decreased mortality (OR = 0.38, 95% CI: 0.17–0.87) but not in similar stratification on pneumonia (OR = 0.64, 95% CI: 0.29–1.38). In a multivariate model that incorporated variables that were significant in the univariate analysis, receipt of antiviral therapy was associated with decreased mortality (OR = 0.36, 95% CI 0.16–0.84; Table 1).

Timing of NAI Treatment

For the 591 (91%) cases with available information on timing of antiviral treatment, the median time from onset of symptoms to starting NAI treatment was 3 days (range 0–33 days). Of treated cases, 502 (84.9%) began treatment during their first week of illness, 66 (11.2%) during the second week and 23 (3.9%) were treated subsequently (Fig 1). Of 255 cases treated with an NAI within 48 hours of symptom onset, 9 (3.5%) died compared with 11 (8%) of 131 untreated cases (P = .04). There was a significant difference between the median time from onset of symptoms to treatment of nonfatal cases (median 3 days, range 0–33 days) compared with fatal cases (5 days, range 0–29 days; P = .004). Early treatment with NAs sooner after illness onset was associated with decreased mortality (Fig 1; test for trend P = .0002).

DISCUSSION

We reviewed available epidemiologic and clinical data for >780 critically ill
children with laboratory-confirmed influenza in California over a 3-year period during and after the pH1N1 pandemic. Patients treated with NAIs were less likely to die compared with untreated patients (6% compared with 8%, respectively), suggesting NAI treatment was beneficial (OR = 0.67, 95% CI: 0.34–1.36). The risk of death in patients requiring mechanical ventilation was much higher, even when treated (OR = 81.9, 95% CI: 11.2–597.4). In a multivariate model that included receipt of mechanical ventilation and other factors associated with disease severity, the risk of dying was reduced for cases treated with NAIs (OR = 0.36, 95% CI: 0.16–0.84). Timing of NAI treatment was

**TABLE 1** Risk Factors Associated With Fatal Outcomes in 784 Critically Ill Cases Aged 0 to 17 Years With Laboratory-Confirmed Influenza in California, April 2009 through September 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic present, n (% fatal)a</th>
<th>Characteristic absent, n (% fatal)a</th>
<th>Univariate</th>
<th>Multivariateb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OR (CI)</td>
<td>x² P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuraminidase inhibitor treatmentc</td>
<td>653 (6)</td>
<td>0.7 (0.3–1.4)</td>
<td>.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Characteristics of severe diseasec</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADP comorbid conditions for severe influenza</td>
<td>521 (8)</td>
<td>249 (3)</td>
<td>3.0 (1.3–6.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumonia</td>
<td>539 (8)</td>
<td>202 (2)</td>
<td>6.0 (1.9–19.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical ventilation</td>
<td>292 (16)</td>
<td>428 (0.2)</td>
<td>81.9 (11.2–597.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary bacterial infectionf</td>
<td>74 (8)</td>
<td>710 (6)</td>
<td>1.4 (0.5–3.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n/a, not applicable.

a Data were missing for the following categories: ADP comorbid conditions (14 cases), pneumonia (43 cases), and mechanical ventilation (64 cases).
b In addition to NAI treatment, significant variables in univariate analysis that were associated with fatality were incorporated into the multivariate logistic regression model and included presence of ADP comorbid condition, diagnosis of pneumonia, and requirement of mechanical ventilation. Secondary bacterial infection was not included.
c Twenty-one cases had missing antiviral treatment information.
d Includes cases with known information only.
e Conditions defined in Fiore (2010) include chronic heart disease (n = 105), chronic lung disease (n = 366), metabolic disease (n = 73), immunosuppressive conditions (n = 77), and neurologic disease (n = 277) and are not mutually exclusive.
f Secondary bacterial infection was defined by isolation of bacteria from either a sterile site or a lower respiratory tract specimen in conjunction with a new infiltrate on chest radiograph, and excluded bacterial or fungial infections that were likely hospital-acquired (eg, diagnosed ≥48 h after hospital admission). Centers for Disease Control and Prevention/National Healthcare Safety Network Surveillance Definition of Healthcare-Associated Infection and Criteria for Specific Types of Infections in the Acute Care. Available at: www.cdc.gov/nhsn/pdfs/pscmanual/17pscnoinfdelf_current.pdf. Organisms identified included methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (1), methicillin-sensitive S aureus (1), Streptococcus pneumoniae (5), Streptococcus viridans (1), Legionella pneumophila (1), and Neisseria meningitidis (1).

**FIGURE 1** Mortality over time in critically ill children aged 0 to 17 years with laboratory-confirmed influenza in California, with and without NAI treatment, April 2009 through September 2012. Note that all fatal cases received mechanical ventilation before death.
important: children treated earlier in their illness were less likely to die than those who were treated later, and cases treated within 48 hours of illness onset were significantly more likely to survive compared with those never treated.

There is strong evidence that NAI treatment of hospitalized adults is beneficial when initiated early in the clinical course of influenza, although evidence from randomized placebo-controlled trials is lacking. In large observational studies, hospitalized adults infected with seasonal, pH1N1 or influenza A (H5N1) viruses were less likely to die or require intensive care when NAs were initiated no later than 4 days from onset of symptoms. Initiation of NAI treatment within 5 days of symptom onset increased the likelihood of survival in a study of >1800 adults hospitalized in ICUs in California. A recent meta-analysis reviewing data from 90 studies of adults and children with pH1N1 found NAI treatment within 48 hours of symptom onset reduced the likelihood of severe outcomes such as death and ICU admission.

There are fewer and less consistent data on the effectiveness of NAI treatment of influenza in hospitalized children, with some studies finding no association with improved survival. Early NAI use in hospitalized children has been associated with a decreased likelihood of ICU admission and need for mechanical ventilation; mortality was not assessed in these studies. A study of 287 hospitalized, previously healthy children found no difference in length of stay, diagnosis of pneumonia, ICU admission or death in NAI-treated compared with untreated patients; however, the young age of patients (40% aged <6 months) may have prompted hospitalization for observation purposes rather than because of severity of illness. A retrospective cohort study of >500 children with severe seasonal influenza illness admitted to PICUs over 6 influenza seasons (2001–2007) found that patients treated with oseltamivir within 24 hours of hospital admission had an 18% reduction in total hospital days (P = .02) but no significant reduction in length of PICU stay, in-hospital mortality, and readmission rates.

In contrast, a handful of small studies have suggested that early NAI treatment improves survival. During the initial phase of the 2009 H1N1 pandemic, initiation of oseltamivir within 48 hours of symptom onset was associated with a decreased likelihood of ICU admission or death (P = .02) in 345 children hospitalized in California. Oseltamivir treatment initiated within 24 hours of hospitalization was protective against death (P = .02) for 147 critically ill children in Argentina. Delayed initiation of oseltamivir increased the likelihood of death for 193 children hospitalized with highly pathogenic avian influenza A (H5N1) virus infection, with a 75% increase in the adjusted OR for death for each day of delay. Likewise, our review of nearly 800 critically ill children with influenza patients suggests improvement in survival with prompt NAI treatment.

Of note, frequency of NAI treatment in our ICUs was 90% during the pandemic but fell to 63% in the following 2 years. A reduction in antiviral treatment since the 2009 H1N1 pandemic has also been noted through population-based surveillance for hospitalized children with influenza in 10 US states; 84% of children admitted to an ICU with laboratory-confirmed influenza received antiviral treatment during the 2009 H1N1 pandemic compared with 73% during the 2010–2011 season. Among all hospitalized pediatric influenza patients, there was a 27% decline in the proportion treated with antiviral agents from 2009 to 2010–2011. These results and our findings suggest that further efforts are needed to educate clinicians to increase antiviral treatment in hospitalized children with seasonal influenza, including those who are critically ill.

We note some important limitations and observations. There was likely underreporting of pH1N1 cases ascertained from voluntary passive reporting by clinicians. In this observational study design in which antiviral treatment was not randomized, selection bias is always possible, and the treated versus untreated groups may have varied in clinical severity. Compared with untreated cases, children treated with NAs experienced longer median length of hospital stay and higher frequency of mechanical ventilation; it is possible that clinicians might have been more likely to treat the most relatively critically ill patients with NAs than others admitted to ICUs (eg, nonventilated patients). If the patients who received NAs were more severely ill before treatment, our estimates of the effect of NAI treatment are biased toward lack of benefit. Delays in initiating therapy with NAs may also have reduced their effectiveness; 15% of cases began therapy at least 1 week after onset of influenza illness. Approximately 6% of data in our multivariable model were missing; we performed a sensitivity analysis to check the impact and found little difference in the analysis results.

Additionally, we were unable to analyze other treatment modalities or clinical complications that may have affected outcomes despite treatment with NAs; for example, systematic testing for bacterial coinfections was not performed for all cases, and we did not have available information on coadministration of corticosteroids, which have been implicated in more severe outcomes in hospitalized influenza...
cases. Our results suggest that prompt NAI therapy in children with influenza virus infection who are hospitalized in an ICU may improve survival, including in those most severely ill who require mechanical ventilation. These findings also emphasize the need for, and the difficulty in obtaining, better evidence of the efficacy and optimal timing of NAI therapy in children; large randomized controlled trials of NAI s could provide better evidence, but at great expense, and present ethical issues because current guidelines recommend initiation of NAI treatment as soon as possible in hospitalized children with influenza. Nevertheless, prompt initiation of NAI s seems prudent in a critical care setting where the likelihood of severe morbidity and mortality outweighs concern for side effects. This message needs additional emphasis given that in this study, more than one-third of critically ill children with influenza did not receive antiviral treatment in the postpandemic period.
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