Urinary Phthalates and Increased Insulin Resistance in Adolescents

WHAT’S KNOWN ON THIS SUBJECT: Phthalate exposure has been associated with insulin resistance in animal studies and cross-sectional studies of adults, but has not been studied in adolescents.

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS: We detect associations of urinary phthalate metabolites in a cross-sectional study of US adolescents. The association is highly robust to multiple sensitivity analyses, and specific to phthalates commonly found in food. Further longitudinal study of dietary phthalate exposures is needed.

abstract

BACKGROUND: Di-2-ethylhexylphthalate (DEHP) is an environmental chemical commonly found in processed foods. Phthalate exposures, in particular to DEHP, have been associated with insulin resistance in adults, but have not been studied in adolescents.

METHODS: Using cross-sectional data from 766 fasting 12- to 19-year-olds in the 2003–2008 NHANES, we examined associations of phthalate metabolites with continuous and categorical measures of homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR).

RESULTS: Controlling for demographic and behavioral factors, diet, continuous age, BMI category, and urinary creatinine, for each log (roughly threefold) increase in DEHP metabolite, a 0.27 increase (95% confidence interval 0.14–0.40; \(P < .001\)) in HOMA-IR was identified. Compared with the first tertile of DEHP metabolite in the study population (14.5% insulin resistant), the third tertile had 21.6% prevalence (95% confidence interval 17.2%–26.0%; \(P = .02\)). Associations persisted despite controlling for bisphenol A, another endocrine-disrupting chemical commonly found in foods, and HOMA-IR and insulin resistance were not significantly associated with metabolites of lower molecular weight phthalates commonly found in cosmetics and other personal care products.

CONCLUSIONS: Urinary DEHP concentrations were associated with increased insulin resistance in this cross-sectional study of adolescents. This study cannot rule out the possibility that insulin-resistant children ingest food with higher phthalate content, or that insulin-resistant children excrete more DEHP. Pediatrics 2013;132:e646–e655
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Phthalates are esters of ortho-phthalic acid with a diverse array of uses in consumer products, and they can be classified into low-molecular weight (LMW) phthalates, which are frequently added to preserve scent, and high-molecular weight (HMW) phthalates, which are used to produce vinyl plastics for diverse applications ranging from flooring, clear food wrap, and intravenous tubing (Table 1). Within the HMW phthalate category, di-2-ethylhexyl phthalate (DEHP) is of particular interest because industrial processes to package food frequently use plastic products containing DEHP. Although medical devices and toys can contain DEHP, dietary intake from contaminated food is the largest contributor to exposure in children. While a cross-sectional study of 254 children and adolescents found associations of LMW phthalates in household dust with urinary LMW metabolites, it failed to identify such associations for DEHP.

Early life exposure to phthalates has been associated with a variety of adverse effects, particularly involving endocrine processes. Although an exploratory, cross-sectional analysis of the 1999–2002 US NHANES did not identify significant associations of urinary phthalate metabolites with BMI among children, other analyses, including an analysis of 2003–2008 NHANES data, have found an association of LMW, but not HMW or DEHP, phthalates with childhood obesity. Mono-(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (MEHP), a DEHP metabolite, activates peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR) γ transcription more selectively than rosiglitazone, a drug used to treat type 2 diabetes by increasing insulin sensitivity. This selective upregulation appears to explain the differential effects of rosiglitazone and MEHP, in which MEHP produces a phenotype of insulin resistance in cellular models and in vivo. Given that PPAR plays key roles in lipid and carbohydrate metabolism, these findings provide biological plausibility for DEHP metabolites in insulin resistance. Only 2 studies have examined phthalate-insulin resistance relationships, identifying strong correlations of HMW and DEHP metabolites with insulin resistance in adult men in the 1999–2002 NHANES, and the other associating phthalate metabolites with prevalent diabetes among women in the 2003–2008 NHANES. In the context of increasing diabetes in youth globally, and absent changes of genetic predisposition over the same time period, concern about environmental exposures as a possible contributor is warranted.

We therefore performed a cross-sectional analysis of the 2003–2008 NHANES to examine associations of urinary phthalate metabolites with insulin resistance in adolescents, for each of the 3 categories (LMW, HMW, and DEHP), examined separately, as well as for individual metabolites.

**METHODS**

NHANES is a continuous, multicompartment, nationally representative survey of the noninstitutionalized US population administered by the National Centers for Health Statistics (NCHS) of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Data from the questionnaire, laboratory, diet, and physical examination components were used in the present analysis, for which data are available in biennial groupings. Written consent, and child assent as appropriate, was obtained after approval by the National Center for Health Statistics Research Ethics Review Board. The New York University School of Medicine Institutional Review Board exempted this study from review because it is based on previously collected and de-identified data.

**TABLE 1 Widely Produced Phthalates, Their Common Uses and Metabolites**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phthalate Parent Compound</th>
<th>Metabolite</th>
<th>Potential Sources of Exposure</th>
<th>Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diethyl phthalate</td>
<td>Mono-ethyl phthalate (MEP)</td>
<td>Cosmetics, nail polish, deodorant, perfumes/cologne, lotions, aftershave, pharmaceuticals/herbal coating, insecticide</td>
<td>LMW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Di-n-butyl phthalate and</td>
<td>MBP</td>
<td>Nail polish, make-up, aftershave, perfumes, pharmaceuticals/herbal coating, chemiluminescent glow sticks</td>
<td>LMW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>di-isobutyl phthalate</td>
<td>Mono-isobutyl phthalate (MiBP)</td>
<td></td>
<td>LMW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Di-n-butyl phthalate</td>
<td>MBP</td>
<td></td>
<td>LMW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butyl benzyl phthalate</td>
<td>Monobenzyl phthalate (MBzP)</td>
<td>Vinyl flooring, adhesives, sealants, food packaging, furniture upholstery, vinyl tile, carpet tiles, and artificial leather and is also used in certain adhesives</td>
<td>HMW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Di-n-octyl phthalate</td>
<td>MCPP</td>
<td>Soft plastics</td>
<td>HMW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEHP</td>
<td>MEHP</td>
<td>Polyvinyl chloride–containing medical tubing, blood storage bags, medical devices, food packaging, plastic toys, wall coverings, tablecloths, floor tiles, furniture upholstery, shower curtains, garden hoses, swimming pool liners, rainwear, baby pants, dolls, some toys, shoes, automobile upholstery and tops, packaging film and sheets</td>
<td>DEHP (also HMW)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MCPP</td>
<td></td>
<td>DEHP (also HMW)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MEHHP</td>
<td></td>
<td>DEHP (also HMW)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MEHHP</td>
<td></td>
<td>DEHP (also HMW)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Measures of Insulin Resistance

We calculated homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) by multiplying fasting glucose in mmol/L by fasting insulin in μU/mL and dividing by 22.5.22 It has strong correlation with the gold standard hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic patch clamp test.22,23 HOMA-IR was log (base e)-transformed to account for skewed distribution, with results presented after retransformation to the original scale. To assess insulin resistance as a categorical outcome, we used the cut point of 4.39 (≥2 SD above mean HOMA-IR for normal-weight adolescents with normal fasting glucose in NHANES 1999–2002).24 Our primary study outcome was insulin resistance, though we examined continuous HOMA-IR as an outcome in secondary analyses.

Measurement of Urinary Phthalates

Phthalates were measured in 1 spot urine sample, and analyzed by using high-performance liquid chromatography and tandem mass spectrometry. More extensive methodological description is provided elsewhere.25 For phthalate concentrations below the level of detection (5.1% for MEHP, <1% for all other metabolites), we substituted the limit of detection divided by the square root of 2, following common practice.11 To adjust for dilution, we included urinary creatinine as a covariate in all analyses, as suggested by CDC.26 To assess possible systematic bias introduced by a change in the urinary creatinine measurement method in 2007, we added a categorical variable representing NHANES wave to final models as part of a sensitivity analysis.

We grouped urinary biomarkers according to their use in product categories, calculating molar sums for LMW, HMW, and DEHP metabolites (after the stratification as presented in Table 1), and log (base e)-transforming to account for skewed distribution. Our primary exposure variables were the log-transformed total molar concentrations of LMW, HMW, and DEHP metabolites, although secondary analyses also examined tertiles of metabolite groupings, and analyzed individual metabolites.

Potential Confounders

Information on height and weight was based on measures taken by trained health technicians, who used data recorders and used standardized procedures. We derived BMI z-scores from 2000 CDC norms, incorporating height, weight, and gender; overweight and obese were categorized as BMI z-score ≥1.036 and ≥1.64.27 Other measures came from surveys and laboratory assessments. Trained interviewers fluent in Spanish and English elicited total 24-hour calorie intake in person, using standard measuring guides to assist reporting of volumes and dimensions of food items (available on the CDC NHANES Web site). Calorie intake was examined as a continuous variable. Because exposure to tobacco smoke is a risk factor for metabolic syndrome in adolescence, we included serum cotinine in multivariable models. We categorized into low (<0.015 ng/mL), medium (<2 and ≥0.015 ng/mL), and high (≥2 ng/mL) categories.28

Race/ethnicity was categorized into Mexican American, other Hispanic, Non-Hispanic white, non-Hispanic black, and other, based on self-report by 17- to 19-year-olds and caregiver report in 12- to 16-year-olds. Caregiver education was categorized as <9th grade, 9th to 12th grade, high school/graduate equivalency diploma, some college, and college or greater. Poverty-income ratio (PIR; family income divided by the federal poverty line for family size) was categorized into quartiles. To maximize sample size in multivariable analysis, “missing” categories were created for all potential confounders, except BMI category (n = 6). Except for serum cotinine (9.6%), <5% of values were missing for any confounding variable.

Statistical Analysis

We conducted univariate and multivariate analyses, by using Stata 12.0 (Stata Corp, College Station, TX), and following NCHS guidelines.29 Fasting samples and urinary phthalate measurements are collected from partially overlapping subsamples in NHANES. Although there are subsample weights for each, NCHS advises against use of either subsample weight, because sample sizes may get small when combining subsamples and weighted analyses may result in unstable and unreliable statistical estimates (R. Paulose, PhD, CDC, NCHS, personal communication). We therefore followed the practice of Stahluht et al,18 performing unweighted analyses as our base analytic approach, and performed a series of sensitivity analyses.

LMW/HMW/DEHP urinary metabolite concentrations were log-transformed to account for skewed distribution, and divided into tertiles within the population with available phthalate and HOMA-IR data. We performed univariate regressions of logs of the molar concentrations of metabolite groups against HOMA-IR, insulin resistance, and each of the demographic, dietary, anthropometric, and the other covariates. We used multivariable linear regression analysis to model HOMA-IR, and logistic regression to model insulin resistance in separate models. In nested multivariable models we sequentially added (1) urinary creatinine, (2) BMI category and continuous age, (3) demographic and exposure characteristics (race/ethnicity, caregiver education, PIR, gender, serum cotinine), and (4) caloric intake.

As part of this exploratory analysis of phthalate-insulin resistance associations, we assessed heterogeneity of association by performing regressions...
of phthalate metabolites with HOMA-IR and insulin resistance, controlling for urinary creatinine, in strata defined by each of the potential confounding categorical variables described previously. For these stratified analyses, we collapsed categories to ensure meaningful sample size in each group. As a further test of heterogeneity, we added stratum-phthalate interaction terms to whole-sample regression models controlling for all covariates to statistically test those interactions for statistical significance. When interaction terms had $P < .05$, we interpreted this to confirm a stratum-specific effect.

Sensitivity Analysis

We assessed the robustness of our analysis by reparing (1) multivariable analyses using fasting weights, (2) multivariable analyses using environmental sample weights, and (3) multivariable analyses adding variables used in determining sample weights as covariates to the full multivariable model. The third approach is noted to enhance statistical efficiency, especially when analyses are intended to determine associations rather than estimating prevalence of a condition or mean for an outcome (such as HOMA-IR). We also applied multiple imputation techniques, to generate randomly replacement values for each missing categorical variable, based on race/ethnicity-specific rates identified in the NHANES sample. We performed 20 imputations for the imputed variable following common practice. These multiply imputed models examined a smaller number of covariates (substituting log-transformed cotinine for categorical cotinine, continuous PIR for PIR category, and BMI z-score for categorical BMI), which reduced potential for multicollinearity and overfitting of logistic models, which can decrease power and increase type 1 error.

We also tested the robustness of our results, by including doctor-diagnosed diabetes and prediabetes covariates, and reprising multivariable results excluding adolescents with diabetes and/or prediabetes. Because liver function may alter phthalate metabolism, we examined associations of metabolites with alanine aminotransferase in multivariable models to rule out confounding by differences in liver function. Finally, we added quartiled urinary concentrations of bisphenol A (BPA), a known contaminant of diet, which has been associated with obesity in children and adolescents.

RESULTS

Of the 5829 children ages 12 to 19 who participated, urinary phthalate metabolites were measured in 1832 non-pregnant participants. Of these, fasting insulin/glucose were measured in 766. Median urinary LMW, HMW, and DEHP metabolites were 0.83 (interquartile range [IQR] 0.40–1.89, SE 0.25), 0.50 (IQR 0.25–0.98, SE 0.07), and 0.34 (IQR 0.17–0.71, SE 0.07) micromolar. Median HOMA-IR was 2.24 (SE 0.15; IQR 1.43–3.66); 17.6% were insulin-resistant (HOMA-IR $\geq 4.39$). Six reported doctor-diagnosed diabetes, and 3 reported doctor-diagnosed prediabetes. Descriptive analyses identified significantly higher levels of LMW, HMW, and DEHP metabolites among girls (Table 2). Whites had significantly lower LMW metabolite concentrations, whereas other Hispanics had higher HMW and DEHP metabolite concentrations. Adolescents from higher-income households, and with high school/graduate equivalency diploma or college/greater-educated caregivers had lower LMW metabolite concentrations. Adolescents with higher urinary cotinine concentrations also had higher concentrations for LMW, HMW, and DEHP. HMW and DEHP metabolites were highest among those with excessive caloric intake.

Associations of Phthalate Metabolites With Insulin-Resistance Outcomes

Table 3 examines the association of LMW, HMW, and DEHP metabolites with insulin resistance (defined as HOMA-IR $>4.39$) and HOMA-IR as a continuous measure, using logistic and linear regression modeling, controlling for urinary creatinine only. In the entire sample, no significant associations are identified with insulin resistance in the entire sample, controlled for urinary creatinine. However, for each log unit (roughly threefold) increase in HMW metabolites, a 0.20 increase in HOMA-IR is identified ($P = .007$), whereas for each log unit increase in DEHP metabolites, a 0.19 increase in HOMA-IR ($P = .005$) is identified. Stratified modeling (Supplemental Table 6) exhibited stronger associations of HMW and DEHP metabolites with HOMA-IR among girls, Hispanic and non-Hispanic black individuals, and adolescents living in households with poverty-income quartile $<1.3$. Significant associations are also identified for LMW phthalates in relationship to HOMA-IR among girls and non-Hispanic black individuals, in contrast to other subpopulations. Interaction with race is confirmed with HOMA-IR as the outcome, with significant increments among Mexican American ($P = .001$ for HMW and DEHP) and non-Hispanic black ($P = .002$ for HMW and DEHP) individuals but not among white individuals ($P \geq .74$). When insulin resistance is the outcome, significantly increased odds among Mexican American individuals are identified in relationship to HMW and DEHP (both $P = .02$), but not among other subgroups ($P \geq .17$). No significant interaction with gender ($P \geq .79$), poverty ($P \geq .20$), or body mass category ($P \geq .51$) is identified. Nested, multivariable models that controlled incrementally for BMI category and continuous age variables,
**TABLE 2** Comparison of Urinary Phthalate Metabolites in Study Population With Fasting Insulin and Glucose Data in Pooled 2003–2008 NHANES (n = 766)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Total, n (%)</th>
<th>Mean Urinary LMW Metabolite, µM</th>
<th>P Valuea</th>
<th>Mean Urinary HMW Metabolite, µM</th>
<th>P Valuea</th>
<th>Mean Urinary DEHP Metabolite, µM</th>
<th>P Valuea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Boys</strong></td>
<td>424 (55.4)</td>
<td>0.508</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>0.313</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>0.224</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Girls</strong></td>
<td>342 (44.6)</td>
<td>0.729</td>
<td>&lt; .001</td>
<td>0.380</td>
<td>.008</td>
<td>0.273</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Race/ethnicity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic: Mexican American</td>
<td>228 (29.8)</td>
<td>0.891</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>0.368</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>0.264</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic: other Hispanic</td>
<td>49 (6.4)</td>
<td>1.167</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>0.503</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>0.373</td>
<td>.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic white</td>
<td>208 (27.1)</td>
<td>0.682</td>
<td>.002</td>
<td>0.442</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>0.320</td>
<td>.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic black</td>
<td>260 (32.0)</td>
<td>1.010</td>
<td>.18</td>
<td>0.421</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>0.305</td>
<td>.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>51 (6.6)</td>
<td>0.945</td>
<td>.30</td>
<td>0.463</td>
<td>.24</td>
<td>0.322</td>
<td>.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PIR</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First quartile (&lt;0.85)</td>
<td>190 (24.8)</td>
<td>0.982</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>0.457</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>0.334</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second quartile (0.85–1.59)</td>
<td>178 (23.2)</td>
<td>0.945</td>
<td>.71</td>
<td>0.379</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>0.267</td>
<td>.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third quartile (1.60–3.09)</td>
<td>159 (20.8)</td>
<td>0.782</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>0.383</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>0.271</td>
<td>.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fourth quartile (at least 3.1)</td>
<td>202 (26.4)</td>
<td>0.727</td>
<td>.003</td>
<td>0.443</td>
<td>.76</td>
<td>0.352</td>
<td>.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>37 (4.8)</td>
<td>0.888</td>
<td>.57</td>
<td>0.395</td>
<td>.42</td>
<td>0.297</td>
<td>.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parent/caregiver education</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;9th grade</td>
<td>104 (13.6)</td>
<td>1.033</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>0.395</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>0.277</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9th–12th grade</td>
<td>115 (15.0)</td>
<td>1.016</td>
<td>.90</td>
<td>0.360</td>
<td>.95</td>
<td>0.304</td>
<td>.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school or graduate equivalency diploma</td>
<td>176 (23.2)</td>
<td>0.789</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>0.408</td>
<td>.80</td>
<td>0.317</td>
<td>.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some college</td>
<td>227 (29.8)</td>
<td>0.888</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td>0.459</td>
<td>.21</td>
<td>0.324</td>
<td>.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College or greater</td>
<td>108 (14.2)</td>
<td>0.729</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>0.365</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>0.275</td>
<td>.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>35 (4.6)</td>
<td>0.788</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>0.439</td>
<td>.59</td>
<td>0.506</td>
<td>.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Serum cotinine</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;0.015 ng/mL</td>
<td>133 (17.4)</td>
<td>0.777</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>0.353</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>0.253</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.015–1.9 ng/mL</td>
<td>490 (64.0)</td>
<td>0.840</td>
<td>.43</td>
<td>0.421</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>0.306</td>
<td>.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥2.0 ng/mL</td>
<td>141 (18.4)</td>
<td>1.041</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>0.468</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>0.330</td>
<td>.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>2 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.371</td>
<td>.30</td>
<td>0.284</td>
<td>.24</td>
<td>0.242</td>
<td>.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Caloric intake compared with needs in active child of age/gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appropriate</td>
<td>538 (70.2)</td>
<td>0.841</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>0.376</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>0.268</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excessive</td>
<td>199 (26.0)</td>
<td>0.861</td>
<td>.78</td>
<td>0.517</td>
<td>.005</td>
<td>0.378</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>29 (3.8)</td>
<td>1.211</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>0.564</td>
<td>.24</td>
<td>0.415</td>
<td>.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overweight status</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not overweight (&lt;85th percentile)</td>
<td>509 (67.0)</td>
<td>0.833</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>0.405</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>0.312</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight (≥85th percentile)</td>
<td>251 (33.0)</td>
<td>0.914</td>
<td>.23</td>
<td>0.468</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>0.275</td>
<td>.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Insulin status</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not resistant</td>
<td>651 (87.9)</td>
<td>0.844</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>0.405</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>0.291</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin resistant</td>
<td>155 (17.6)</td>
<td>0.928</td>
<td>.32</td>
<td>0.466</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>0.340</td>
<td>.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Total number of subjects from some variables do not total to 766 because of missing data. See text.

a Derived using univariate regression of log molar concentration of urinary metabolites. Mean urinary phthalate metabolites represents retransformed mean from log base.
TABLE 3  Linear and Logistic Regression Analysis of Insulin Resistance Outcomes Associated With Urinary Phthalate Metabolites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>766</td>
<td>1.10 (0.91, 1.32)</td>
<td>.325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>766</td>
<td>1.00 (0.79, 1.25)</td>
<td>.992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>760</td>
<td>0.92 (0.71, 1.19)</td>
<td>.295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>758</td>
<td>1.14 (0.95, 1.37)</td>
<td>.128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.34 (1.07, 1.69)</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.38 (1.08, 1.75)</td>
<td>.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.45 (1.13, 1.87)</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Model A controls for urinary creatinine; Model B adds continuous age and BMI category to Model A; Model C adds gender; PR, parental education, serum cotinine, and race/ethnicity to Model B; and Model D adds caloric intake to Model C. Results using unweighted modeling are presented.

No participants with missing poverty-income cotinine data were insulin resistant, and these were excluded from logistic models to permit convergence.


Log-transformed urinary concentrations of 4 DEHP metabolites, MEHP (P = .006), mono-(2-ethyl-5-hydroxyhexyl) phthalate (MEHHP; P < .001), mono-(2-ethyl-5-carboxypentyl) phthalate (MECPP; P < .001), and mono-(2-ethyl-5-oxoethyl) phthalate (MEDEP; P < .001) were all significantly associated with increases in HOMA-IR. Associations were also identified for 2 of the LMW phthalate metabolites, mono-n-butylphthalate (P = .007) and mono-n-butyphthalate (MBP; P = .04), and 1 of the non-DEHP HMW metabolites, mono-(3-carboxypropyl) phthalate (MCCP; P = .001). Log-transformed MEHHP (OR 1.51, P < .001), MEDEP (OR 1.49, P = .001), MECCP (OR 1.36, P = .01), MCCP (OR 1.47, P = .008), mono-isobutyl phthalate (OR 1.57, P = .008), and MBP (OR 1.55, P = .01) were significantly associated with insulin resistance.

Associations of HOMA-IR and insulin resistance were robust to the following: weighting and addition of primary sampling unit and strata; addition of NHANES wave; multiple imputation; categorization of age into 12–15 and 16–19 year old groups; exclusion of adolescents previously diagnosed with prediabetes or diabetes; and addition of prediabetes and diabetes covariates (data not shown). No significant association of phthalate metabolites was identified in association with continuous/categorized alanine aminotransferase (Supplemental Table 7). When quartiled urinary BPA was added to regression models, associations of HMW and DEHP with HOMA-IR remained unchanged, and urinary BPA quartiles were not associated with HOMA-IR (Supplemental Table 8), although the highest BPA quartile was associated with insulin resistance.

**DISCUSSION**

This is the first study to identify associations of an environmental chemical with insulin resistance in adolescents. The association is confirmed both when HMW and DEHP metabolites are modeled as continuous or categorical variables. Chemically similar LMW metabolites were not associated, suggesting specificity of association, and the findings are robust to simultaneous examination of another endocrine disrupting chemical, BPA. Our analyses include a rich set of information about demographics, exposures, and lifestyle variables, thus providing more convincing evidence for nonspecificity. Our findings are also consistent with the previous cross-sectional study in adults, although we did not find significant differences in association by gender, which the previous study’s...
TABLE 4 Linear and Logistic Regression Analysis of Insulin Resistance Outcomes Associated With Urinary Phthalate Metabolites (Tertiled)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LMW Metabolites</th>
<th>HMW Metabolites</th>
<th>DEHP Metabolites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increment, HOMA-IR, n = 760</td>
<td>Increment, HOMA-IR, n = 760</td>
<td>OR, insulin resistance, n = 758a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predicted HOMA-IR</td>
<td>Predicted HOMA-IR</td>
<td>Prevalence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>First tertile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Second tertile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Third tertile</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Increases are per log unit in urinary LMW/HMW/DEHP metabolite concentration. See methods for calculation. Tertiles for HMW as follows: <0.528 molar (n = 235), 0.528–1.34 molar (n = 247), ≥1.34 molar (n = 288). Tertiles for HMW as follows: <0.519 molar (n = 274), 0.519–0.74 molar (n = 241), ≥0.74 molar (n = 251). Tertiles for DEHP as follows: <0.215 molar (n = 268), 0.215–0.516 molar (n = 240), ≥0.516 molar (n = 298). All models control for continuous urinary creatinine, age (measured continuously), and caloric intake, as well as gender, PIR, parental education, serum cotinine, BMI, and race/ethnicity categories. Results using unweighted modeling are presented.
|                  |                  | 0.77 (0.53 to 1.16) | 2.22 (1.13 to 4.40)c | 2.25 (1.16 to 4.38)c |
|                  |                  | 0.67 (0.35 to 1.32) | 1.57 (0.84 to 2.95) | 1.43 (0.76 to 2.69) |
|                  |                  | 16.1% (12.4% to 19.8%) | 18.0% (14.3% to 21.7%) | 17.4% (13.7% to 21.0%) |
|                  |                  | 17.2% (13.5% to 20.8%) | 21.3% (16.8% to 25.8%) | 21.8% (17.2% to 26.0%) |

TABLE 5 Associations of Individual Urinary Phthalate Metabolites With Insulin Resistance Outcomes in Linear and Logistic Regression Analyses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LMW phthalates</th>
<th>HMW metabolites (non-DEHP)</th>
<th>HMW metabolites of DEHP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Log-transformed mono-ethyl phthalate</td>
<td>Log-transformed MBP</td>
<td>Log-transformed MEHP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−0.07 (−0.16, +0.03)</td>
<td>+0.13 (+0.01, +0.26)b</td>
<td>+0.11 (+0.04, +0.20)c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.89 (0.71, 1.11)</td>
<td>1.55 (1.11, 2.16)b</td>
<td>1.13 (0.91, 1.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log-transformed mono-isobutyl phthalate</td>
<td>Log-transformed MBP</td>
<td>Log-transformed MEHP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+0.15 (+0.04, +0.26)c</td>
<td>+0.20 (+0.08, +0.32)d</td>
<td>+0.20 (+0.12, +0.29)d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.57 (1.18, 2.09)d</td>
<td>1.47 (1.10, 1.95)d</td>
<td>1.51 (1.21, 1.88)d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log-transformed MEHHP</td>
<td>Log-transformed MCPP</td>
<td>Log-transformed MEOHP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+0.20 (+0.12, +0.29)d</td>
<td>+0.20 (+0.11, +0.29)d</td>
<td>+0.20 (+0.12, +0.29)d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.51 (1.21, 1.88)d</td>
<td>1.48 (1.19, 1.87)d</td>
<td>1.38 (1.08, 1.73)d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Increases are per log unit in urinary LMW/HMW/DEHP metabolite concentration. See methods for calculation. All models control for continuous urinary creatinine, age (measured continuously), and caloric intake as well as gender, PIR, parental education, serum cotinine, BMI, and race/ethnicity categories. Results using unweighted modeling are presented.

a No participants with missing poverty-income cotinine data were insulin resistant, and these were excluded from logistic models to permit convergence.

b P < .05.
c P < .01.
d P < .001.

a cross-sectional study. Many potential confounders were unmeasured, including pubertal status, which is not assessed in the NHANES; future studies should account for this important and potential confounder. Phthalates may affect pancreatic function earlier in life than the adolescent period we examined in the current study, and longitudinal studies of prenatal and infant exposure are needed. Another alternative explanation would be that insulin-resistant children have unhealthy eating behaviors, including more packaged food consumption, and thus have higher urinary levels.

Relationships between phthalate (including DEHP) intake and urinary metabolites are complex. We know of no pharmacokinetic studies in children/adolescents. In animals, studies suggest that DEHP is hydrolyzed into MEHP, and then rapidly transformed to MCPP, MEHHP, and MEOHP, the primary metabolites identified in human studies (see Fig 1). Butylbenzylphthalate and di-n-octylphthalate are most typically metabolized to MBP and MCPP, respectively, yet, we could not examine all of the >15 metabolites of DEHP that have been identified. While dietary sources are likely to be the chief source of exposure, given the uses of DEHP in other products, we cannot rule out nondietary sources as contributors to the associations identified here. Given that LMW, but not HMW/DEHP metabolites, have been associated with obesity among children and adolescents, DEHP exposures may act independently of increased body mass to produce insulin resistance, but further research is needed to interrogate mechanisms.

Phthalate exposure is measured at 1 time point in this analysis, and monoesters of phthalates are more typically known to have half-lives of 12 to 48 hours yet phthalates are known to deposit in fat, thereby authors documented, and we identified stronger associations with DEHP metabolites (more consistent with the putative biological mechanism), whereas associations were identified with MBP, monobenzylphthalate, and mono-ethyl phthalate in adults (less consistent with the putative biological mechanism). Yet even when coupled with a potential mechanism (selective upregulation of PPAR expression), causation cannot be inferred from...
lengthening half-life beyond that identified in the few adult pharmacokinetic studies.\textsuperscript{59} Urinary phthalates are more likely to represent current as opposed to chronic exposure, although a single sample has moderate sensitivity (56\% to 67\%) and high specificity (83\% to 87\%) for MEHP, monobenzylphthalate, mono-ethyl phthalate, and MBP to estimate exposure tertile over a 3-month period.\textsuperscript{40} Even if current urinary phthalates are weak indices of exposure, our estimates of association should be biased toward the null for dichotomous outcomes.\textsuperscript{41} Knowledge gaps also persist in understanding food contamination with DEHP. A comprehensive review suggests that most studies are dated and may not represent current exposures.\textsuperscript{42} Although further studies are needed, it should be noted that alternatives to DEHP include wax paper and aluminum wrap; indeed, a dietary intervention that introduced fresh foods that were not canned or packaged in plastic reduced DEHP metabolites by 53\% to 56\%.\textsuperscript{43} Fresh fruit consumption may also reduce DEHP exposure, given reduced contact of plastic before consumption. Among 6- to 85-year-olds participating in NHANES 2003–2004, consumption of an additional ounce equivalent of fruit was associated with a 0.052 log unit decrease in DEHP metabolites.\textsuperscript{44}

**CONCLUSIONS**

We have identified a cross-sectional association of DEHP metabolite exposure with increased insulin resistance in a large sample of US children. Additional, longitudinal studies are needed, both to confirm the association and elaborate the different potential mechanisms involved.
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