abstract

OBJECTIVE: Youth with type 1 diabetes mellitus are at risk for poor glycemic control as they age into adulthood. The aim of this study was to describe sociodemographic and clinical correlates of poor glycemic control associated with the transition of care from pediatric to adult diabetes providers among a cohort of youth with type 1 diabetes diagnosed in adolescence.

METHODS: Analyses included 185 adolescent participants with recently diagnosed type 1 diabetes in the SEARCH for Diabetes in Youth Study with pediatric care at baseline who were age ≥18 years at follow-up. Demographic and clinical factors were measured by survey and laboratory results. Survival analysis was used to estimate the age of transition. Logistic regression analysis assessed the association of demographic and clinical factors with the transition of care and poor glycemic control at follow-up.

RESULTS: Fifty-seven percent of participants had transitioned to adult diabetes care providers by the follow-up visit. The estimated median age of transition of care was 20.1 years (95% confidence interval 19.8–20.4). Older age, lower baseline glycosylated hemoglobin, and less parental education were independently associated with increased odds of transition. The odds of poor glycemic control at follow-up were 2.5 times higher for participants who transitioned to adult care compared with those who remained in pediatric care.

CONCLUSIONS: Transferring from pediatric to adult care, experienced by more than half the sample, was associated with an increased risk of poor glycemic control at follow-up. These findings suggest that young adults need additional support when moving to adult care. Pediatrics 2013;131:e1062–e1070
Children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes mellitus will require lifelong access to medical care and relatively intensive daily self-management to maximize adult health outcomes and health-related quality of life. Most children with type 1 diabetes are cared for by pediatric-trained providers (either endocrinologists or general pediatricians), requiring transfer of care to an adult-trained provider once they approach adulthood. Patterns of transfer vary by location and health care delivery system, and are influenced by local practices and resources, patient/family preferences, and national policies. Although recent work has focused on understanding access to care and health outcomes during this period, these patterns have not been well described for youth with diabetes in the United States.

Glycemic control, critical to long-term optimal outcomes for diabetes, has been shown to be poor for many youth as they age into adulthood. Many age-related factors could contribute to poor glycemic control, including changes in biological and psychosocial factors, and changes in access to care. More specifically, the impact of age-related changes in diabetes care providers on glycemic control is not well understood.

The longitudinal cohort in the SEARCH for Diabetes in Youth study (SEARCH) provides an opportunity to study changes in health care providers as youth age into adulthood. Furthermore, the study allows us to explore the association between changes in health care providers and glycemic control. We hypothesized that older age and female gender would be associated with greater odds of transitioning to adult care, and those changing to adult care would have worse glycemic control. A more thorough understanding of the transition period can inform policy and practice changes to best support youth with diabetes as they age into adulthood.

METHODS

Study Overview and Procedures

SEARCH is a multicenter study that began conducting population-based ascertainment in 2001 among youth who were <20 years of age when diagnosed with diabetes. SEARCH recruited youth from 4 geographically defined populations in the United States, Indian Health Service beneficiaries from several American Indian populations, and enrollees in several managed health care plans. Institutional review board(s) for each of the 6 study sites approved the study protocol. All registered participants were asked to complete a brief initial survey; survey respondents were invited to a research visit. During this visit, informed consent and assent (when applicable) were obtained, questionnaires were administered, and physical measurements and fasting blood samples were obtained from metabolically stable participants (no episodes of diabetic ketoacidosis during the previous month) after a minimum 8-hour overnight fast. Youth whose diabetes was diagnosed in 2002 through 2005 were invited for follow-up visits at approximately 12, 24, and 60 months after their initial visit.

Study Population

Given our study’s focus on changes in providers and glycemic control during the transition to adulthood, we restricted this analysis to youth who were seen by a pediatric provider at baseline and had at least 1 follow-up study visit after reaching age 18 years. Thus, inclusion criteria were as follows: SEARCH participants with physician-diagnosed type 1 diabetes newly diagnosed between 2002 through 2005 who were ≥13 years at their baseline visit and had at least 1 follow-up visit after age 18, and who also had a glycosylated hemoglobin (A1C) measurement at both their baseline and follow-up visits. Individuals whose first study visit occurred within the first 3 months after diagnosis of diabetes were excluded because of potentially unstable initial A1C measurement. Only those who identified their diabetes provider as pediatric (general pediatric or pediatric endocrinologist) at the baseline visit were included in our cohort. We excluded 24 participants who saw adult providers at baseline, and 61 whose type of provider at the baseline visit could not be identified, including those who reported that their provider was a physician assistant or nurse, replied “other/don’t know,” or reported no provider for diabetes care. We were unable to identify the type of practices with which the nurses, nurse practitioners, and physician assistants were affiliated, which may have been pediatric or adult-trained physicians. An additional 27 individuals were excluded because their type of diabetes provider at a follow-up visit could not be determined.

When an individual had multiple follow-up visits, information from the latest follow-up visit with the longest time interval from the baseline visit for which the type of provider could be identified was used for analysis. An exception was that all available follow-up visits were used in the model estimating age at transition as described later in this article. Figure 1 illustrates the inclusion criteria for participants in these analyses.

Independent Variables

Demographic Characteristics

Sociodemographic factors known to be associated with health care use and health outcomes in other studies were selected for inclusion in these analyses. Race/ethnicity was self-reported, using the standard 2000 census questions and categorized as non-Hispanic white, Hispanic, non-Hispanic black, or other race/ethnicity; these categories were further
combined as non-Hispanic white versus nonwhite participants. Highest parental education was based on self- or parent-reported highest parental education. Health insurance at the time of each study visit was recorded by participants as private insurance, Medicaid/Medicare (including other state-funded sources), other (including Indian Health Service, student health clinics, military, or other/unknown sources), or none; health insurance status was categorized as private versus nonprivate (combining Medicaid, Medicare, or other state-funded sources, other, or none).

Clinical Characteristics

Medical comorbidities were asthma, kidney disease, celiac disease, hypertension, or polycystic ovarian syndrome based on self or parental report. Duration of diabetes was the number of months elapsed between diagnosis of diabetes and baseline study visit. Time between the baseline and follow-up study visit is recorded in months. Hemoglobin A1c was measured in whole blood with an automated nonporous ion-exchange high-performance liquid chromatography system (model G-7; Tosoh Bioscience, Montgomeryville, PA) by using blood samples drawn at the baseline visit and again at the last available follow-up visit.

Outcome Variables

“Leaving pediatric care” was defined as reporting a pediatric diabetes care provider at the baseline visit and an adult diabetes care provider at the last available follow-up visit. Glycemic control at the follow-up visit was based on hemoglobin A1c measured at the last available follow-up visit. “Poor glycemic control” was defined as $\geq 9\%$ based on the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial study documenting risk for complications among those $\geq 18$ years old. We defined “age at transition” as occurring between the age at the last study visit where the participant reported a pediatric provider and the age at the next study visit when the participant reported an adult provider, with the methods described as follows.

Statistical Analyses

All analyses were performed with SAS (version 9.2; SAS Institute, Cary, NC). Summary statistics were calculated to describe the sociodemographic and clinical characteristics for the cohort. We used separate multivariable logistic regression models to (1) identify sociodemographic and clinical characteristics that were independently associated with leaving pediatric diabetes care; and (2) identify predictors of poor glycemic control at follow-up. Independent variables included in both multivariable models were sociodemographic factors (age at follow-up, race/ethnicity, gender, type of insurance at follow-up, highest parental education) and disease-related factors (diabetes duration, hemoglobin A1c, presence of a medical comorbidity at baseline visit). Both models were adjusted for SEARCH study site and the time between the baseline and follow-up visits. The second model included the outcome variable from the first model as an indicator denoting whether an individual had transitioned from a pediatric to an adult diabetes care provider by the follow-up visit. To assess if the effects of age and hemoglobin A1c on our outcomes were linear (ie, their effect on the outcome was the same for both low and higher values of age and A1c) we tested quadratic terms for age and hemoglobin A1c. As these terms were both non-significant, they were excluded from the final models.

To estimate the age at transition of care, we performed a survival analysis by using all available visits for our cohort. We used maximum likelihood methods to fit a lognormal distribution with right and interval censored data. Participants who had transitioned to adult care by any of their follow-up visits were
considered interval censored between their age at their last pediatric visit and their age at first transition, whereas participants who were still in pediatric care at their last follow-up visit were considered right censored at their follow-up age.

RESULTS

Of the 185 youth included in this report, the average age at diagnosis of diabetes was 15 years. The baseline visit was ~11 months after diagnosis, when participants were, on average, 16 years old (Table 1). Almost 60% were male, and 76% were non-Hispanic white. The last follow-up visit took place about 4.5 years after the baseline visit at an average age of 20.5 years. Approximately 86% of individuals had private insurance at both the baseline and follow-up visits. Two percent were uninsured at the baseline visit, which increased to 4% at follow-up.

Transition From Pediatric Care

Overall, 57% of the sample had switched to an adult diabetes care provider by the last follow-up visit. This proportion increased with increasing age; only 47% of those aged 18 to 20 years at the last follow-up had changed to adult diabetes care providers, whereas 77% of those 21 and older had changed. The estimated median age of transition of care was 20.1 years old (95% confidence interval [CI] 19.8–20.4).

As depicted in Table 2, in the multiple logistic regression analysis model controlling for sociodemographic and disease-related factors, a 1-year increase in age was associated with a 1.87 increased odds of leaving pediatric care (95% CI 1.39–2.51, P < .001) (Table 2). In addition, those with higher baseline hemoglobin A1c values were less likely to leave pediatric care; the odds of leaving pediatric care decreased by 27% for every 1-unit increase in A1c (P = .013) after controlling for age and other confounding factors.

Higher parental education at baseline (bachelor’s degree or higher) was significantly associated with reduced odds of leaving pediatric care, compared with those having less education (odds ratio = 0.34, 95% CI 0.14–0.82).

Poorest Metabolic Control at Follow-Up

Average hemoglobin A1c was 7.5% at baseline and 9.2% at the follow-up visit. At baseline, 11% had poor glycemic control compared with 45% at the follow-up visit. In the adjusted model, the odds of having poor glycemic control at the follow-up visit were 2.46 times higher for those who left pediatric care (95% CI 1.09−5.55, P = .031) than for those who remained in pediatric care (Table 3). In addition, nonwhite participants had 3.44 times the adjusted odds of having poor glycemic control at follow-up compared with non-Hispanic white participants (95% CI 1.17–10.1, P = .025). Higher baseline hemoglobin A1c was also a significant independent predictor of poor control at follow-up. For every 1-unit increase in hemoglobin A1c at baseline, young adults had 1.84 times the odds of having poor control at follow-up (95% CI 1.38–2.45, P < .001).

DISCUSSION

Recent guidelines from the American Academy of Pediatrics, the American College of Physicians, the American Academy of Family Practice, and the American Diabetes Association
reaffirm that all young adults with diabetes should transition to adult-oriented medical care between 18 and 21 years of age. For our study population, we estimate that the median age of transition to adult care is 20.1 years with 77% of young adults ≥21 years of age having left pediatric care. To our knowledge, this is the first US study to describe the change to adult care and factors associated with this transition for a diverse cohort of adolescents and young adults with type 1 diabetes.

As expected, we found that older age predicted leaving pediatric care. In addition, we found that those youth with higher hemoglobin A1c at their baseline visit were less likely to leave pediatric care. One possible explanation for this finding is that pediatric providers may have a higher level of concern for patients in poor control, and as a result continue to care for these patients longer in an attempt to prevent worsening of their glycemic control. This may include the recognition that such patients have greater need of psychosocial resources that may be more available in the pediatric setting. Our data also suggest that youth of more educated parents (bachelor’s degree or more) are less likely to leave pediatric care.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Unadjusted Results</th>
<th>Adjusted Results*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Odds Ratio</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socioeconomic factors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, y, at follow-up</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>1.37–2.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male versus female</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.43–1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonwhite versus NH white</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>0.83–3.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not private versus private insurance at follow-upb</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0.55–2.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest parental education</td>
<td>.21</td>
<td>.04–1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some college versus ≥ high school</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>0.52–3.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ College graduate versus ≥ high school</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.25–1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ College graduate versus some college</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.20–0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease factors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes duration at baseline visit</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.98–1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have a comorbidity at baselinec</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin A1c at baseline</td>
<td>.85</td>
<td>.71–1.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Odds Ratio</th>
<th>95% Confidence Limits</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Socioeconomic factors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, y, at follow-up</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.65–0.97</td>
<td>.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male versus female</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.35–1.14</td>
<td>.127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonwhite versus NH white</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>1.10–4.33</td>
<td>.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not private versus private insurance at follow-upb</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>0.98–4.78</td>
<td>.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest parental education</td>
<td>.887</td>
<td>.851–0.93</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some college versus ≥ high school</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.38–2.28</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ College graduate versus ≥ high school</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.38–1.85</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ College graduate versus some college</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.45–1.80</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease factors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes duration at baseline visit</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.98–1.07</td>
<td>.333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have a comorbidity at baselinec</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>0.60–2.21</td>
<td>.666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin A1c at baseline</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>1.32–2.07</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Care factors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leave pediatrics by follow-upd</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.86–1.62</td>
<td>.577</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NH, non-Hispanic.
*a Model controlled for SEARCH study site, time elapsed between baseline and follow-up visits as well as variables presented in the table.
*b Not private group consists of n = 25 (74%) publicly insured and n = 8 (26%) uninsured.
*c Have a comorbidity: presence of at least 1 of the following physician-diagnosed conditions (as reported by study participants): asthma, polycystic ovarian disease, kidney disease, celiac disease, or hypertension.
*d Leave pediatrics by follow-up: participant reporting that primary diabetes provider is an adult-trained physician at the follow-up visit.
care. Future research is needed to examine the reasons behind these observations. Other sociodemographic factors (race/ethnicity, type of insurance), diabetes duration, and presence of medical comorbidities, did not affect the transition to adult care.

We also found that leaving pediatric care was associated with a 2.5-fold increase in the odds of being in poor glycemic control at the follow-up visit compared with those who stay in pediatric care, after controlling for both sociodemographic and disease-related factors. Access to care (based on type of health insurance at the follow-up visit as a proxy measure) did not mediate the relationship between transition to adult care and poorer glycemic control. However, most youth in this cohort had health insurance as young adults, and we did not see a difference in glycemic control between the private and nonprivately insured groups in young adulthood. Other evidence that transition-related problems in adult care are unrelated to insurance coverage comes from countries with universal health insurance systems, such as Canada and Europe. These studies have found that youth with diabetes are often not following up in a timely way with new providers even though they have access to this care.3,8,9,14–16 These individuals are also at risk for nonadherence with recommended visit frequency or disease self-management tasks, and have more diabetes-related hospitalizations after leaving pediatric care.

The finding that individuals from nonwhite and Hispanic ethnic/racial backgrounds had increased risk of poor control at follow-up, even after controlling for a number of socioeconomic variables and baseline hemoglobin A1c, is concerning. Previous research has suggested that African American and Hispanic youth are at risk for poor glycemic control while in pediatric care, although some studies have found that socioeconomic variables account for this effect.7,17,18 Young adults from minority racial/ethnic backgrounds are considered at increased risk of poor transition outcomes.19 Given our findings, this warrants further evaluation in future studies.

Unmeasured factors may account for the variation in timing of the transfer to adult care for these youth. Patient factors, such as psychosocial readiness for transition, could be influencing providers’ and families’ willingness to “let go” and move on to adult care.20 Practice and environmental factors, such as the presence of policies around transferring care in adulthood and/or access to adult-trained type 1 diabetes specialists, could also be important.

Further research is urgently needed to explore reasons why glycemic control deteriorates in late adolescence and young adulthood and if alterations in the timing of transfer from pediatric to adult care can prevent this outcome. Young adulthood is a time of multiple changes, not only in health care needs, but also physical maturation and evolving relationships with others. A better understanding of how life transitions affect health-related behaviors, access to health care, and health care use is needed. More specifically, research focused on examining patient, parent, and provider attitudes and behaviors, as well as health care system processes and obstacles will help to identify potential causes and interventions that may mitigate the risk of deteriorating glycemic control with transition in this population.

Research is also needed to understand how providers can best support the adolescent/young adult population. Previous studies have shown that physician continuity and intensive care coordination can help improve patient transition to adult care.5,21,22 Studies of various counseling strategies, including family-oriented counseling and youth empowerment strategies, are also promising.23

LIMITATIONS

We cannot identify participants’ exact age or hemoglobin A1c value at the time of transfer to adult care from these data nor can we measure the amount of time that elapsed between changing providers and the next follow-up study visit. In addition, all participants were adolescents who had been recently diagnosed with diabetes at the time of study entry, constraining the observed duration of illness. Indeed, youth diagnosed with type 1 diabetes during their teenage years may be different from those who are diagnosed at a younger age who will have had diabetes for many years at the time of their transition and may have had more time to develop their self-management skills and prepare for transition. In addition, those more recently diagnosed (even as far out as 1 year) may have better glycemic control than those who have had their disease for longer, perhaps because of residual islet cell function.7,24 Restricting our cohort to relatively recently diagnosed adolescents also likely explains the difference in the observed glycemic control in our cohort compared with the SEARCH study participants as a whole.7 The interpretation of the statistically significant relationship between race/ethnicity and glycemic control at follow-up is limited by the relatively small sample of nonwhite participants (n = 45, 24% of the cohort) resulting in a wide confidence interval for the effect. Despite the limitations, these analyses are based on a subset of one of the largest longitudinal cohorts of youth with type 1 diabetes in the United States.

CONCLUSIONS

In this cohort of youth who were diagnosed with type 1 diabetes during
their adolescent years, the estimated median age of transition to adult care is 20.1 years with 34% and 18% remaining in pediatric care on their 21st and 22nd birthdays, respectively. Leaving pediatric care is associated with poor glycemic control in young adults with type 1 diabetes, independent of previous poor glycemic control. These findings suggest that to safely follow current guidelines, young adults who transition to adult care require additional support to maximize their health outcomes that will extend for some time beyond the transfer to an adult provider. Further studies are needed to understand the factors mediating the relationship between changing provider and diabetes disease outcomes, as well as the type and duration of assistance needed to eliminate the transition-related deterioration in glycemic control.
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