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There are an estimated 31,000 children with sickle cell disease in the United States. These children are at increased risk of complications from influenza infection and since the 1970s have been recommended to receive annual influenza vaccination. However, there are sparse data on the safety of influenza vaccine in this population. In a large population-based study of the safety of more than 69,000 influenza vaccines administered to more than 45,000 children aged 6 to 23 months, a small number of children had sickle cell disease. In these children, there was an elevated but nonsignificant association of influenza vaccination with hospitalization for a sickle cell crisis in the 14 days after vaccination. On the basis of these results, we undertook a large case-control study of all children with sickle cell disease in the Vaccine Safety Datalink (VSD) over a period 7 years (1999–2006). We asked whether influenza vaccination is temporally associated with hospitalization for a sickle cell crisis in this population.

**METHODS**

**Study Setting and Population**

The setting for this study was the 8 managed care organizations (MCOs) sites across the United States that comprise the VSD, a Centers for Disease Control and Prevention–funded project that links large databases and additional administrative and medical information from MCOs. The institutional review boards at each of the MCOs approved this study. The study population included all children in the VSD cohort from 1999 to 2006 with a diagnosis of sickle cell anemia and who were continuously enrolled in the MCO during the influenza season of hospitalization. We used the International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) code of sickle cell anemia (ICD-9 282.6×) to identify children with sickle cell disease during the time of year when most influenza vaccine is administered (October 1–March 31 of each year). If a child was hospitalized more than once during a given influenza season, only the first hospitalization was used in the analysis. Hospitalizations over multiple influenza seasons for the same child were treated as independent events.

**Medical Record Review**

The medical records of potential cases were reviewed to confirm hospitalization for sickle cell crises, including pain crisis, acute chest syndrome, and splenic sequestration crisis. At 1 VSD site, only a subset of electronically identified potential cases with ICD-9-CM code 282.62 (sickle cell crisis) was reviewed to confirm case status (100 of 179, or 56%). Four hundred thirty-nine charts were reviewed by 2 abstractors who were blinded to vaccination status. Abstractors used a standard chart review tool to confirm a sickle cell crisis and entered the data into a Microsoft Access database. Both reviewers’ data were compared using SAS version 9.1 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC), and all discrepancies were resolved by a board-certified pediatrician. We did not review charts of control children to confirm either the diagnosis of sickle cell disease or vaccination status.

**Study Design**

Our primary study design was a matched case-control (MCC) with the date of hospitalization for sickle cell crisis set as the index date. Children with chart-confirmed hospitalization for sickle cell crises (the “cases”) were matched with 4 control subjects who also had sickle cell anemia but were not hospitalized with a sickle cell crisis from 14 days before to 14 days after the case’s hospitalization index date. Cases were matched with 4 controls using index date of hospitalization, VSD site, gender, and age category (6–23 months, 24–59 months, 60 months–17 years). For 21 cases, only 1 to 3 controls were available for matching across all 4 categories. Because each control was matched by VSD site and the date of hospitalization of the index case, this design implicitly controls for seasonal fluctuations of sickle cell crises. Vaccination status was assessed retrospectively after assignment of case or control status; a case or control child who received influenza vaccine within 14 days before the index date was classified as “exposed.” Two cases could not be matched to any controls and were excluded from the analysis.

To avoid bias by indication (children more likely to be hospitalized with sickle cell crises may also be more likely to receive influenza vaccine), we used a case-only design (the self-controlled case series, or SCCS design) in which each case acts as its own control; only vaccinated cases were analyzed. The SCCS method controls for both measured and unmeasured confounding and has been shown to be as powerful as a full cohort study when exposure (ie, vaccine coverage) rates are high and the risk periods after vaccination are brief.

**Exposure**

The exposure of interest was trivalent inactivated influenza vaccine (TIV). For young children who received 2 influenza vaccines in their first season of vaccination, as recommended, both vaccines were included in the analysis. For all cases and controls, we examined exposure to TIV in a 14-day risk window before the index date of hospitalization.
for the case. We used a 14-day risk window as the usual complications from an inactivated vaccine, such as fever, are seen within a relatively short time window after vaccination.4,11

Analysis
Because the number of TIV doses declines sharply in the latter part of the influenza season (data not shown), there is little chance of finding an exposed case after January. Therefore, we limited the analysis to periods when most TIV was administered, between October 1 and January 31 of each influenza season.12 This resulted in the removal of 3 TIV-exposed children who were vaccinated in the months of February or March. For the matched case-control study, we used conditional logistic regression to calculate matched odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). For the SCCS design, we used conditional Poisson regression to estimate the incidence rate ratios and 95% CIs for hospitalization with sickle cell crisis in the 14 days after TIV compared with a 14-day control period either before vaccination or after the 14-day risk window. For all SCCS analyses, we adjusted for within-season calendar time by including month of the year as a categorical variable in the models. In addition to the main SCCS analysis, we also analyzed outcomes stratified by age and gender.

RESULTS
There were, on average, 2.2 million children per year aged under 18 years in the VSD cohort from 1999 to 2006, of which 1085 children had a diagnosis of sickle cell anemia during influenza season (October 1 to March 31). In electronic administrative data, there were 439 potential hospitalizations for sickle cell pain crises, for which 404 charts were available for review and 241 were unique for individual children in separate influenza seasons (Fig 1).

Table 1 depicts selected characteristics of the children in the SCCS design and the cases and controls in the MCC design. Of the 269 chart-confirmed hospitalizations, 48 (18%) occurred in children aged younger than 5 years. There was a trend toward higher hospitalization rates for sickle cell crises earlier in the study; the rate of hospitalization for sickle cell crisis dropped from 6 to 7 per 100 children in the first 5 years to 4 to 5 per 100 children in the last 2 years of the study. Figure 2 depicts seasonal variation in receipt of influenza vaccine and hospitalization for sickle cell crises, by week. Over all 7 years of the study, influenza vaccination peaked between the week of October 15 and November 12, whereas hospitalization for sickle cell crises remained relatively high from October 1 through December 17, before declining in later December and January.

In the MCC study, after matching cases to controls on age category, gender, VSD site, and influenza season, the risk of hospitalization for sickle cell crisis in the 2 weeks after influenza vaccination was 1.3 (odds ratio 1.2, 95% CI 0.8–2.2) in vaccinated children (Table 2). In the SCCS study, the incident rate ratio for hospitalization for pain crisis or fever in the 2 weeks after influenza vaccination was 1.2 (incidence rate ratios 1.2, 95% CI 0.75–1.95) compared with control time periods unrelated to vaccination (Table 3). There was no significant association of influenza vaccination with hospitalization by gender or age group. No children aged 24 to 59 months were hospitalized for sickle cell crisis in the 2 weeks after influenza vaccination.

DISCUSSION
Our study adds to the sparse literature on the safety of TIV,13 or other types of influenza vaccine,14,15 in children with sickle cell disease. The study design allowed us to identify sickle cell crisis events with individual-level electronic data and validate exposure and outcomes through detailed medical record review, a strength of the VSD.

We studied a cohort of 269 children aged 6 months through 17 years with sickle cell disease and hospitalized during an influenza season, to identify any associated risk of sickle cell crises.

FIGURE 1 Identification of children with sickle cell crisis in the VSD cohort.

- Children in VSD with diagnosis of sickle cell anemia during an influenza season, 1999–2006: N = 1085 children
- Sampled medical records requested for abstraction—children hospitalized for sickle cell crisis during influenza season: N = 439 hospitalizations (263 children)
- Medical records available for review: N = 404 (241 children)
- Hospitalization for sickle cell crisis confirmed after chart review: N = 269 (179 children)
- 35 medical records not available:
  - 16 received care outside of system
  - 15 with incomplete or missing medical records
  - 4 with undetermined status
- 135 medical records excluded:
  - Sickle cell crisis not confirmed by chart review
with influenza vaccine. The study screened more than 2 million children from 8 MCOs across 7 influenza seasons. Most of the hospitalizations (82%) occurred in children aged 5 to 17 years. Of note, we found the rate of hospitalization for sickle cell crisis was greater in earlier years of the study, possibly suggesting improvements in outpatient management of sickle cell disease. In addition, the percent of children immunized against influenza increased from 43% in 2000–2001 to 76% in 2005–2006, likely reflecting secular trends in pediatric influenza vaccination practices.

Across all analyses (MCC and SCCS), we found no statistically significant risk of hospitalization for sickle cell crisis within the 2 weeks after TIV in children aged 6 months through 17 years. These results offer reassurance to patients, families, and caregivers to children with sickle cell disease that influenza vaccine can be safely used to prevent the sequelae of influenza virus in this population.

There are several potential limitations to our study. Children who received influenza vaccine outside of their MCO could bias the results by inappropriately being classified as unexposed. If these children present for care at their MCO, their outside vaccination records should be entered into the MCO’s immunization registry, but the rate of outside vaccine capture varies by MCO. Also, the influenza season is associated with a decrease in weather temperature, which is a known trigger for pain crisis and possibly introduces temporal bias in the analysis. There may have been bias by indication: children with more severe disease may be more likely to be vaccinated and to be hospitalized. Such

### TABLE 1 Characteristics of Children in Different Study Populations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vaccination season</th>
<th>Children in SCCS Design</th>
<th>Cases in MCC Design</th>
<th>Controls in MCC Design</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>October 1, 1999—March 31, 2000</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 1, 2000—March 31, 2001</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 1, 2001—March 31, 2002</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 1, 2002—March 31, 2003</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 1, 2003—March 31, 2004</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 1, 2004—March 31, 2005</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 1, 2005—March 31, 2006</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE 2 Results of MCC Study Design

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case Control Ratio</th>
<th>Cases, n</th>
<th>Matched Controls, n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>246</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Influenza Vaccination History</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>Cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not vaccinated in risk windowa</td>
<td>962</td>
<td>248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaccinated in risk window</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaccinated, %</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Odds Ratio</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.8–2.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Matched on index date of hospitalization (and therefore influenza season), age category (6–23 mo, 24–59 mo, 60 mo–17 y), VSD site, and gender. Two cases could not be matched to any controls and were excluded from the analysis.

b Risk Window = 2 weeks prior to sickle cell crisis hospitalization date (cases) or matched index date (controls).

### FIGURE 2

Number of influenza vaccinations and hospitalizations for sickle cell crises by week, 1999–2006. In addition to these influenza vaccinations, 2 children received influenza vaccine in September over the 7 years of this study. These vaccines are included in the analysis but not depicted in the graph.
TABLE 3  Results of Self-Controlled Case Series Design

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>IRR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>p Value</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>TIV Exposure*</th>
<th>No TIV Exposure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All children</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>0.75–1.95</td>
<td>.43</td>
<td>144*</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boys</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.50–2.29</td>
<td>.86</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girls</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>0.72–2.44</td>
<td>.36</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6–23 mo</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>0.25–6.04</td>
<td>.80</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24–59 mo</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 mo–17 y</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>0.83–2.29</td>
<td>.22</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IRR, incidence rate ratio; NA, not applicable; cannot calculate IRR because there were no case children with exposure to TIV.
All analyses adjusted for month of year.
* In 2 weeks before hospitalization for sickle cell crisis.
† Three children excluded (compared with Table 1) because they received influenza vaccine late in influenza season, when it is highly unlikely they could be an exposed case (see Analysis section of Methods).

a bias has been shown in children with asthma but would lead to a falsely elevated association between vaccination and hospitalization and is not suggested in our results because the point estimates of both the MCC and the SCCS studies are the same. If there were bias by indication, the point estimates of the MCC design should be elevated compared with the SCCS. We did not review the charts of all potential cases because of sampling at 1 site; however, we did review 404 of 518 potential charts (78%); 79 were not sampled, and 35 medical records were not available. Finally, we did not review the charts of control children to confirm the diagnosis of sickle cell disease nor vaccination status. However, if this lack of chart review had introduced bias into the analysis, the results of the MCC design (which uses controls) and the SCCS design (which does not) would differ, but the point estimates of the 2 designs were identical.

These findings will help to inform ongoing policy recommendations for influenza vaccine for children with sickle cell anemia, considered a high-risk population by the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices recommendations since the 1970s. Because the risk of hospitalization due to influenza complications in children with sickle cell disease is 56-fold compared with children without sickle cell disease, our study findings will provide valuable information to clinicians seeking to reassure parents of children with sickle cell disease about the safety of annual influenza vaccination.
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