

Association Between Sexually Transmitted Diseases and Young Adults' Self-reported Abstinence



WHAT'S KNOWN ON THIS SUBJECT: The extent to which young adults' laboratory-confirmed sexually transmitted disease results and self-reported sexual behaviors are consistent has not been assessed in a nationally representative sample.



WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS: The primary purpose of this study was to determine whether young adults' reports of recent sexual behavior (presence of penile/vaginal sex in the previous 12 months) correspond with the presence of laboratory-confirmed nonviral STDs assessed by nucleic acid amplification testing.

abstract



OBJECTIVE: Self-reported behavior has been the cornerstone of sexual health research and clinical practice, yet advances in sexually transmitted disease (STD) screening provide researchers with the opportunity to objectively quantify sexual risk behaviors. However, the extent to which young adults' laboratory-confirmed STD results and self-reported sexual behaviors are consistent has not been assessed in a nationally representative sample.

PATIENTS AND METHODS: Data are derived from participants who completed wave 3 in the National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent Health. Young adults ($N = 14\ 012$) completed an audio computer-assisted self-interviewing survey and provided a urine specimen to detect the presence of *Chlamydia trachomatis* and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*, and a polymerase chain reaction assay to detect *Trichomonas vaginalis*.

RESULTS: More than 10% of young adults with a laboratory-confirmed positive STD result reported abstaining from sexual intercourse in the 12 months before assessment and STD testing. After controlling for several sociodemographic factors, self-reported sex (versus those who reported abstinence) in the previous 12 months was significantly associated with testing positive, but the odds of testing positive were only slightly more than twofold (adjusted odds ratio: 2.11 [95% confidence interval: 2.097–2.122]).

CONCLUSIONS: Findings indicate discrepancy between young adults' positive STD status and self-reported sexual behavior. No significant correlates of discrepant reporting were identified. From a clinical standpoint, the discrepancies between STD positivity and self-reported sexual behavior observed in this nationally representative sample suggest that routine STD screening may be beneficial and necessary to reduce STD morbidity among young adults. *Pediatrics* 2011;127:208–213

AUTHORS: Ralph J. DiClemente, PhD,^{a,b,c} Jessica McDermott Sales, PhD,^{a,b} Fred Danner, PhD,^d and Richard A. Crosby, PhD^{e,f}

^aRollins School of Public Health, and ^cDivision of Infectious Diseases, Epidemiology, and Immunology, Department of Pediatrics, School of Medicine, Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia; ^bEmory Center for AIDS Research, Atlanta, Georgia; ^dDepartment of Educational, School, and Counseling Psychology, and ^eCollege of Public Health, University of Kentucky, Lexington, Kentucky; and ^fRural Center for AIDS and STD Prevention, Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana

KEY WORDS

young adults, self-report, sexual behavior, national sample

ABBREVIATIONS

STD—sexually transmitted disease

aOR—adjusted odds ratio

CI—confidence interval

www.pediatrics.org/cgi/doi/10.1542/peds.2009-0892

doi:10.1542/peds.2009-0892

Accepted for publication Oct 13, 2010

Address correspondence to Jessica McDermott Sales, PhD, Department of Behavioral Sciences and Health Education, Rollins School of Public Health, Emory University, 1520 Clifton Rd NE, Room 266, Atlanta, GA 30322. E-mail: jmcderm@emory.edu

PEDIATRICS (ISSN Numbers: Print, 0031-4005; Online, 1098-4275).

Copyright © 2011 by the American Academy of Pediatrics

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE: The authors have indicated they have no financial relationships relevant to this article to disclose.

Sexually transmitted diseases (STDs), including HIV, are a significant source of morbidity for adolescents and young adults.^{1,2} Abstinence, monogamy, and consistent condom use are the primary HIV/STD-protective behaviors that public health intervention efforts target for adolescents and young adults.³ Historically, self-reported behavior has been the cornerstone of sexual health research from large observational studies, such as the Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance and the National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent Health, referred to as Add Health,^{4,5} to evaluating the efficacy of sexual risk-reduction interventions.^{6–8} However, advances in the microbiologic technology in the detection of common sexually transmitted pathogens may provide an opportunity to objectively quantify sexual risk behaviors of young people.

Specifically, the advent of nucleic acid amplification testing, a noninvasive technology for detecting prevalent nonviral infections such as chlamydia, gonorrhea, and *Trichomoniasis* with high sensitivity and specificity, may provide an objective referent of adolescent and young adults' self-reported penile/vaginal sexual activity.^{5,9,10} Associations between laboratory-confirmed STD positivity and young adults' self-reported sexual behavior has not been explored in a nationally representative sample of US young adults. Given that the science of HIV/STD prevention for young people is predicated on the critical assumption that self-reported sexual behaviors are valid, it is important to determine the degree of association that exists between STD testing and self-reported behavior in young adults. Although some data suggest that self-report of sexual behaviors may be prone to bias,^{11–13} an evaluation using a nationally representative sample has not been reported.

The primary purpose of this study was to determine if young adults' reports of recent sexual behavior (presence of penile/vaginal sex in the previous 12 months) correspond with the presence of laboratory-confirmed nonviral STDs assessed by nucleic acid amplification testing among a nationally representative sample of young adults. The secondary purpose of this study was to identify potential factors related to discrepant reporting (ie, those who had a laboratory-confirmed positive STD result but who reported abstaining from sexual intercourse in 12-months before survey assessment and STD testing) in this nationally representative sample.

METHODS

Study Design and Sample

Data from the National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent Health (Add Health) were used for this study. Participants were initially recruited in 1994 (grades 7–12) for wave 1 of Add Health. Stratified sampling of high schools ensured that the sample was nationally representative. At wave 1, 20 745 adolescents were interviewed in their homes. In 2001 and 2002 (wave 3), a total of 15 197 of the original participants were reinterviewed. Additional details about the sample and methods have been described elsewhere.⁵

Data Collection

Data collection occurred in the participants' homes. Self-reported measures in wave 3 were collected by in-home interviewers using audio computer-assisted self-interviewing to reduce reporting bias to sensitive health-risk behaviors. Evidence suggests that this method of data collection optimizes the validity of adolescent and young adults' responses to sensitive questions.¹⁴ Wave 3 also included the collection of biological specimens to detect STDs. Participants

collected first-catch urine specimens that were assayed for *Chlamydia trachomatis* and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* using the Abbott LCx Probe System (Abbott Park, IL). Specimens were stored at 2 to 8°C and analyzed within 96 hours after donation. Aliquots were assayed for the presence of *Trichomonas vaginalis* by using an in-house polymerase chain reaction enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay with established and acceptable estimates of sensitivity and specificity.^{15,16}

Data Analysis

The analyses reported here are based on data collected from the 14 012 wave 3 participants who consented to undergo STD testing, which represents 92% of the wave 3 sample. There were some differences in rates of refusal to undergo STD testing as a function of race (white and Asian participants were slightly more likely to refuse), age (those who refused were slightly older), and education (those who had completed high school were slightly more likely to refuse). There were no gender differences in rates of refusal. Sampling weights provided by Add Health were applied to all analyses to maintain a nationally representative sample. All subsequent references to weighted percents in this article, therefore, are presumed to accurately reflect the young adult population of the United States who originally were a nationally representative cohort of adolescents in 1994. Given the epidemiologic evidence that suggests that STDs are disproportionately prevalent among minority youth, we compared black, Hispanic, Asian, and other-race participants (a combination of the remaining ethnic categories) separately from white participants (the referent category) in the analyses as a control variable. Gender, age, and level of education also were used in this capacity. Analyses were conducted by using Stata (Stata Corp, College Station,

TX),¹⁷ which takes full advantage of Add Health's complex sampling design and weights to obtain correct SEs (and, therefore, significance levels) for all analyses. Procedures to perform a design-based analysis using Add Health data, described by Chantala and Tabor¹⁸ were followed to both maintain national representation and to obtain unbiased parameter estimates. Logistic regression models were developed, adjusted for the complex survey design, to calculate adjusted odds ratios that pertain to STD prevalence and participants' discrepant reports of abstaining from recent (previous 12 months) penile/vaginal sex and testing positive for an STD at wave 3 assessment.

RESULTS

Descriptive Findings

There were 6636 male participants (weighted percent: 50.9) and 7376 female participants (weighted percent: 49.1). Sixty-seven percent of the sample self-described as white (7497 [weighted percent: 67.1]) and 16.4% self-identified as black (3097 [weighted percent: 16.4]). There were 2291 Hispanics (weighted percent: 11.8), 956 Asians (weighted percent: 3.7), and 171 in all other self-described racial/ethnic categories (weighted percent: 1.0). The mean age of participants in the sample was 21.9 years (SD: 1.8 years), and 12 522 self-reported that they had graduated high school or received a general equivalency degree (weighted percent: 88.2). Overall, 11 039 (weighted percent: 79.7) reported having penile/vaginal sex with at least 1 partner in the previous 12 months. Of all wave 3 participants who provided a urine sample for STD screening, 964 (weighted percent: 6.0) tested STD-positive for at least 1 of the 3 assessed STDs. Of the STD-positive participants, 838 (weighted percent: 89.5) reported sex with at least 1 partner in the previous 12

months, 118 (weighted percent: 10.5) reported abstaining from sexual activity during the previous 12 months before assessment, and 60 of the latter participants (weighted percent: 5.9) reported never having penile/vaginal sex in their lifetime. Thus, 118 participants (more than 10% of the 964 participants who tested positive for an STD) demonstrated a discrepancy in their STD test results and self-report of recent sexual activity.

Results of Logistic Regression Analyses

Controlling for age, level of education, gender, and race (white), females were 36% more likely than were males to have a positive STD test (adjusted odds ratio [aOR]: 1.36 [95% confidence interval (CI): 1.35–1.36]; $P = .002$). Race also was associated with a positive STD test; with black participants being more than 6 times more likely than white participants to test positive (aOR: 6.56 [95% CI: 6.53–6.59]; $P = .0001$). Hispanic participants (aOR: 2.44 [95% CI: 2.42–2.45]; $P = .0001$) and other-race participants (aOR: 3.52 [95% CI: 3.47–3.58]; $P = .0001$) were also associated with testing positive for an STD. Age was not associated with a positive STD test (aOR: 0.97 [95% CI: 0.972–0.974]; $P = .25$), but participants who had a high school degree or general equivalency degree were significantly less likely to have a positive STD test (aOR: 0.621 [95% CI: 0.618–0.624]; $P = .0001$). Moreover, self-reported sex (versus those who reported abstinence) in the previous 12 months was significantly associated with testing positive (aOR: 2.11 [95% CI: 2.097–2.122]; $P = .0001$). This finding indicates that reporting penile/vaginal sex increased the odds of testing positive for an STD by only just more than twofold. Indeed, more than 10% of those with a positive STD test self-reported being abstinent in the previous 12 months.

A second logistic regression model was constructed to identify the determinants of discrepant findings (ie, a positive STD test and self-report of abstaining from sex in the previous 12 months) among those who tested positive for an STD. With age, gender, level of education, and race (all minority groups compared separately to white participants) entered into the model, findings indicate that none of these sociodemographic factors were significantly associated with discrepancies between STD test results and self-report among STD-positive participants.*

DISCUSSION

Findings from this nationally representative sample of US young adults suggest that the vast majority of STD-positive participants (~89%) had concordant self-reports of recent penile/vaginal sex that matched their STD-positive status. At the same time, however, the findings suggest that more than 10% of STD-positive participants had discrepant results. This means they had a positive STD test but reported abstaining from sex in the previous 12 months. It is interesting to note that ~6% of STD-positive participants reported no lifetime history of penile/vaginal sex.

In controlled analyses, it was intriguing that reporting penile/vaginal sex in the previous 12 months increased the odds of testing positive for 1 of 3 STDs by only ~2.1 times. In a logistic regression model, an obtained odds ratio of this magnitude would represent a modest effect. In this case then it can be said the value of young adults' self-report to the prediction of a positive test result was only modest. This counterintuitive finding suggests that sole reliance on young adults' self-reported

*A more complex set of analyses, which involved all participants and examined interactions between each demographic category and self-reported abstinence as predictors of STD test results, yielded the same pattern of results.

penile/vaginal sexual activity as a marker for STD acquisition risk may be imprecise and, further, could be problematic. From a national behavioral surveillance perspective, researchers should be cautious about making inferences regarding STD risk among this population on the basis of responses to self-report surveys assessing recent sexual activity.

Although the findings indicate discrepancies between young adults' positive STD status and their self-reported sexual behavior, the use of a disease marker (ie, STDs), although representing an objective and quantifiable marker of sexual behavior, is not without controversy, nor is it a panacea for avoiding bias associated with self-report. With respect to intervention studies, it is important to recognize that reliance on incident STDs as a measure to evaluate the efficacy of an STD/HIV risk-reduction program may not be an appropriate outcome for every study. It is unlikely, for instance, that the incidence of STDs will be changed in a short-term study conducted in a population with little sexual activity or in a community with a low prevalence of STDs. Conversely, populations with a high degree of sexual activity and a high prevalence of STDs are ideal for studying the effects of behavioral interventions on STD incidence. Moreover, studies that incorporate STDs as the primary outcome measure will need to be conducted with sufficiently large samples to provide sufficient statistical power to detect differences in STD incidence.

With respect to observational studies, the use of STDs as a marker of risky sexual behavior may not reflect the true prevalence of risk behaviors in a population. Indeed, being a marker of disease, STD prevalence, observed in cross-sectional studies, or STD incidence observed in longitudinal studies, may represent a marked underes-

timate of actual sexual risk behaviors (eg, proportion of young adults who are sexually active or frequency of sexual intercourse) in a young adult population. Acquiring an STD is not only a function of unprotected sexual intercourse, but also reflects the prevalence of STDs in young adults' sociosexual network, risk of sex partners (ie, concurrency), and frequency and proficiency of correct condom use.

The lack of associations regarding discrepancies between STD status and self-reported sexual behavior is striking. Although it may be reasonable to expect that discrepancies in reporting would vary by gender, race, age, or education, this was not observed. In essence, discrepant reporting may be likely among young adults regardless of gender or race. Thus, future research should test novel assessment techniques designed to minimize occurrences of discrepant reporting for diverse populations of young adults.

From a clinical standpoint, the discrepancies between STD positivity and self-reported sexual behavior identified in this nationally representative sample suggest that routine STD screening may be beneficial and necessary to reduce STD morbidity among young adults. In addition, given that no socio-demographic characteristics differentiated young adults with discrepant results (ie, STD-positive but reported no recent penile/vaginal sex) from those without (ie, STD-positive but reported penile/vaginal recent sex), routine screening for common STDs may be useful for all young adults, regardless of self-reported sexual history, race, gender, or age.

The study is not without limitations, and plausible alternative hypotheses that may explain, in part, the finding of discrepancies in having a laboratory-confirmed STD and young adults' self-reported abstinence. Foremost is the absence of a baseline measure of STD

status. Specimens were collected only as part of the wave 3 assessment; thus, the STDs identified are "prevalent" STDs, not necessarily incident infections. Also, only urine specimens were collected for STD testing, which generally only detect STDs acquired through penile/vaginal sex, thereby not detecting STDs transmitted through other means of sexual contact (ie, anal sex or oral/genital sex). Although urine tests could capture urethral infections acquired through anal sex among men who have sex with men,¹⁹ no information was collected about this behavior. Second is the duration of the time interval from the wave 2 to the wave 3 assessment. The question used to categorize participants into those with sexual experience and those who were abstinent is based on the wave 3 retrospective assessment of young adults' previous 12 months. Thus, given that the time interval between wave 2 and wave 3 assessments is longer than 12 months, coupled with the fact that STD specimens were not collected in wave 2, participants could be accurate and reliable in their reporting of abstinence (over the previous 12 months) at wave 3 and still have an STD because the infection could have been acquired before the 12 month assessment interval, at a time when they may have been sexually active (eg, wave 2). Although studies that report on persistent *C trachomatis* infections among women suggest it can persist for more than 1 year if left untreated,^{20,21} these same studies also report that among asymptomatic women, ~50% of *C trachomatis* infections clear within 1 year of infection, and 82% within 2 years of infection. In addition, clearance rates differed by age of first sex, oral contraceptive use, and serotype,²¹ and none of these studies report on persistence of infection in males, or clearance rates in males. Thus, we have no way to accurately estimate how often persistent infections occurred in this mixed

gender sample of young adults. In addition, the accuracy of the STD tests used in the Add Health study is less than perfect, and therefore some false-negatives and false-positives were inevitable. It is difficult to estimate the rate of false-positives as these data were obtained from a nationally representative community-based sample and most available estimates of the sensitivity and specificity of the STD assays are based on clinic samples. However, the false-positive rate (typically computed by subtracting a test's specificity from 1) was likely quite small, as reported specificities for the STD assays used by Add Health are as high as 98.9% to 100% in several studies.^{22–24} Another limitation is the length of the interval that assessed young adults' sexual behavior. Given the length of the reporting interval, the previous 12 months, young adults' retrospective recall could be inaccurate (they did have sex, but do not recall having sex), making the assessment of sexual behavior unreliable. In this case, the data would still be invalid; however, the source of bias would be attributable to poor recall, not volitional underreporting of sexual

behavior, which is also a likely source of bias. Indeed, recent data suggest that shorter recall periods yield more reliable reports of sexual behaviors.²⁵ Poor recall, although plausible, may be more likely among younger adolescents whose sexual behavior is sporadic or episodic rather than older adolescents or young adults who have a greater frequency and regularity of sexual intercourse. Singly, or more likely, a combination of limitations may partly account for the observed discrepancy between young adults' positive STD status and self-reported abstinence.

CONCLUSIONS

The findings indicate discrepancy between young adults' positive STD status and self-reported penile/vaginal sexual behavior. Specifically, more than 10% of young adults detected with 1 of 3 assessed STDs reported being abstinent in the year before testing. In addition, none of the sociodemographic factors assessed, including age, level of education, race, and gender, were significantly associated with discrepant findings (ie, STD-positive and self-reporting no recent penile-vaginal sex) in this nationally representative sample. The implications of

the findings suggest that although self-report remains necessary, it may not be sufficient to provide a precise estimate of STD-positive young adults' sexual risk behavior. When appropriate, other objective and quantifiable nondisease biological markers are needed to more precisely gauge young adults' sexual behavior as well as corroborate their self-report of sexual behaviors. Finally, from a clinical perspective, the implications of our findings are that all young people receiving clinical services, whether their sexual history indicates they are recently sexual active or not, should be tested for prevalent STDs, like those assayed in this study. Importantly, our findings reveal that if pediatricians and adolescent medicine physicians do not test all young people, there are likely a substantial number of missed cases of STDs that will go undiagnosed, untreated, and spread to future sex partners.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This study was supported in part by the Emory Center for AIDS Research (grant P30 A1050409), Social and Behavioral Sciences Core.

REFERENCES

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *Sexually Transmitted Disease Surveillance, 2006*. Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health and Human Services; 2007
- Weinstock H, Berman S, Cates W. Sexually transmitted diseases among American youth: incidents and prevalence estimates, 2000. *Perspect Sex Reprod Health*. 2004; 36(1):6–10
- Eng TR, Butler WT, eds. *The Hidden Epidemic: Confronting Sexually Transmitted Diseases*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press; 1997
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Youth risk behavior surveillance: United States, 2005. *MMWR Surveill Summ*. 2006; 55(5):1–108
- Bearman P, Jones J, Udry R. *The National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent Health: Research Design*. Chapel Hill, NC: University of North Carolina, Carolina Population Center; 1997
- Robin L, Dittus P, Whitaker D, et al. Behavioral interventions to reduce incidence of HIV, STD, and pregnancy among adolescents: a decade in review. *J Adolesc Health*. 2004;34(1):3–26
- Sales JM, Milhausen RR, DiClemente RJ. A decade in review: building on the experiences of past adolescent STI/HIV interventions to optimize future prevention efforts. *Sex Transm Infect*. 2006;82(6):431–436
- Mullen PD, Ramirez G, Strouse D, Hedges LV, Sogolow E. Meta-analysis of the effects of behavioral HIV prevention interventions on the sexual behavior of sexually experienced adolescents in controlled studies in the United States. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2002;30(suppl 1):S94–S105
- Shew ML, Remafedi GJ, Bearinger LH, et al. The validity of self-reported condom use among adolescents. *Sex Transm Dis*. 1997; 24(9):503–510
- Orr DP, Fortenberry DJ, Blythe MJ. Validity of self-reported sexual behaviors in adolescent women using biomarker outcomes. *Sex Transm Dis*. 1997;24(5):261–266
- Tourangeau R. Remembering what happened: memory errors and survey reports. In: Stone AA, Turkkan JS, Bachrach CA, Jobe JB, Kurtzman HS, Cain, VS, eds. *The Science of Self-report: Implications for Research and Practice*. Manwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates; 1993:29–47
- Zenilman JM, Weisman CS, Rompalo AM, et al. Condom use to prevent incident STDs: the validity of self-reported condom use. *Sex Transm Dis*. 1995;22(1):15–21
- Brener ND, Billy JOG, Grady WR. Assessment of factors affecting the validity of self-

- ported health risk behavior among adolescents: evidence from the scientific literature. *J Adolesc Health*. 2003;33(6):436–457
14. Turner CF, Ku L, Rogers SM, Linberg LD, Pleck JH, Sonenstein FL. Adolescent sexual behavior, drug use, and violence: increased reporting with computer survey technology. *Science*. 1998;280(5365):867–871
 15. Kaydos SC, Swygard H, Wise SL, et al. Development and validation of a PCR-based enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay with urine for use in clinical research settings to detect *Trichomonas vaginalis* in women. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2002;40(1):89–95
 16. Kaydos-Daniels SC, Miller WC, Hoffman I, et al. Validation of a urine-based PCR-enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for use in clinical research settings to detect *Trichomonas vaginalis* in men. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2003;41(1):318–323
 17. *Stata Software* [computer program]. Release 10.0. College Station, TX: Stata Corp; 2008
 18. Chantala K, Tabor J. *The National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent Health: Strategies to Perform a Design-Based Analysis Using the Add Health Data*. Chapel Hill, NC: University of North Carolina, Carolina Population Center; 1999
 19. Kent CK, Chaw JK, Wong W. Prevalence of rectal, urethral, and pharyngeal chlamydia and gonorrhea detected in two clinical settings among men who have sex with men: San Francisco, California, 2003. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2005;41(1):67–74
 20. Morré SA, van den Brule AJC, Rozendaal L, et al. The natural course of asymptomatic *Chlamydia trachomatis* infections: 45% clearance and no development of clinical PID after one-year follow-up. *Int J STD AIDS*. 2002;13(suppl 2):12–18
 21. Molano M, Meijer CJ, Weiderpass E, et al. The natural course of *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection in asymptomatic Colombian women: a 5-year follow-up study. *J Infect Dis*. 2005;191(6):907–916
 22. Black C, Marrazzo J, Johnson R, et al. Head-to-head multicenter comparison of DNA probe and nucleic acid amplification tests for *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection in women performed with an improved reference standard. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2002;40(10):3757–3763
 23. Koumans E, Johnson R, Knapp J, St Louis M. Laboratory testing for *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* by recently introduced nonculture tests: a performance review with clinical and public health considerations. *Clin Infect Dis*. 1998;27(5):1171–1180
 24. Watson E, Templeton A, Russell I, et al. The accuracy and efficacy of screening tests for *Chlamydia trachomatis*: a systematic review. *J Med Microbiol*. 2002;51(12):1021–1031
 25. Kauth MR, St Lawrence JS, Kelly JA. Reliability of retrospective assessments of sexual HIV risk behavior: a comparison of biweekly, three-month, and twelve-month self-reports. *AIDS Educ Prev*. 1991;3(3):207–214

**Association Between Sexually Transmitted Diseases and Young Adults'
Self-reported Abstinence**

Ralph J. DiClemente, Jessica McDermott Sales, Fred Danner and Richard A. Crosby
Pediatrics originally published online January 3, 2011;

Updated Information & Services	including high resolution figures, can be found at: http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/early/2011/01/03/peds.2009-0892
Permissions & Licensing	Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at: https://shop.aap.org/licensing-permissions/
Reprints	Information about ordering reprints can be found online: http://classic.pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/reprints

Pediatrics is the official journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. A monthly publication, it has been published continuously since . Pediatrics is owned, published, and trademarked by the American Academy of Pediatrics, 141 Northwest Point Boulevard, Elk Grove Village, Illinois, 60007. Copyright © 2011 by the American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved. Print ISSN:

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN™



ERRATA

DiClemente RJ, et al. Associations Between Sexually Transmitted Diseases and Young Adults' Self-reported Abstinence. *Pediatrics*. 2011;127(2):208–213

An error occurred in this article by DiClemente et al (doi:10.1542/peds.2009-0892). On page 212, under the Acknowledgment section it reads, "This study was supported in part by the Emory Center for AIDS Research (grant P30 A1050409), Social and Behavioral Sciences Core."

This should have read: "This study was supported in part by the Emory Center for AIDS Research (grant P30 A1050409), Social and Behavioral Sciences Core. Additionally, this research uses data from Add Health, a program project directed by Kathleen Mullan Harris and designed by J. Richard Udry, Peter S. Bearman, and Kathleen Mullan Harris at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, and funded by grant P01-HD31921 from the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, with cooperative funding from 23 other federal agencies and foundations. Special acknowledgment is due to Ronald R. Rindfuss and Barbara Entwisle for assistance in the original design. Information on how to obtain the Add Health data files is available on the Add Health website (www.cpc.unc.edu/addhealth). No direct support was received from grant P01-HD31921 for this analysis."

doi:10.1542/peds.2011-0295

Berg AL, et al. Hearing Screening in a Well-Infant Nursery: Profile of Automated ABR-Fail/OAE-Pass. *Pediatrics*. 2011;127(2):269–275

An error occurred in this article by Berg et al (doi:10.1542/peds.2010-0676). Figure 2 on page 273, is incorrect. The revised figure is below.

doi:10.1542/peds.2011-0368




FIGURE 2

Experimental and standard well-infant nursery (WIN) protocol time comparison. The total testing time was <1 minute for 41% of infants screened with the standard WIN protocol. In comparison, 7% of infants screened with the experimental protocol were screened in <1 minute.

PEDIATRICS®

OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS

Association Between Sexually Transmitted Diseases and Young Adults' Self-reported Abstinence

Ralph J. DiClemente, Jessica McDermott Sales, Fred Danner and Richard A. Crosby
Pediatrics originally published online January 3, 2011;

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is
located on the World Wide Web at:

<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/early/2011/01/03/peds.2009-0892>

An erratum has been published regarding this article. Please see the attached page for:
<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/127/4/805.1.full.pdf>

Pediatrics is the official journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. A monthly publication, it has been published continuously since . Pediatrics is owned, published, and trademarked by the American Academy of Pediatrics, 141 Northwest Point Boulevard, Elk Grove Village, Illinois, 60007. Copyright © 2011 by the American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved. Print ISSN:

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN™

