Admixture of a Multivitamin Preparation to Parenteral Nutrition: The Major Contributor to In Vitro Generation of Peroxides

Jean-Claude Lavoie, MSc*; Sylvie Bélanger, MD‡; Monica Spalinger, Pharm*; and Philippe Chessex, MD*‡

ABSTRACT. Background. Peroxides have been reported to contaminate lipid emulsions and amino acid solutions used in total parenteral nutrition (TPN). This is particularly disturbing in newborn infants who are prone to several diseases related to immature defense mechanisms against oxidative challenges. It is not clear whether the antioxidants in multivitamins help protect parenteral nutrients against the hazards of oxidation.

Objective. To evaluate the role of a multivitamin preparation (MVI) on the actual peroxide load received by patients on TPN.

Methodology. The generation of peroxides in parenteral nutrition was tested first using test solutions. We compared the relative contribution of commercially available amino acid solutions, a lipid emulsion, and MVI on the level of peroxides in clinically relevant TPN solutions. Second, we measured the level of peroxides actually infused at the bedside. In both circumstances, the effects of time and light exposure were isolated. The level of peroxides was determined by a colorimetric technique and expressed as μM equivalents tert-butyl hydroperoxide (μM = TBH).

Results. Even when protected from light, the addition of MVI produced a 10-fold increase in peroxides (mean ± SEM, n = 3, 19 ± 4 to 189 ± 8 μM = TBH at 4 h) in the fat-free TPN solution and a fourfold increase (64 ± 6 to 244 ± 8 μM = TBH at 4 h) in the lipid-containing TPN solution. This increase could be related to the concentration of MVI and peroxide levels. The effect of light was the strongest in the presence of multivitamins. The amino acid solutions had a relative inhibitory effect on the generation of peroxides by MVI, which varied (from 54 ± 1% to 72 ± 1%) all according to the amino acid blend. In parenterally fed premature infants, protecting the intravenous set from light decreased the load of infused peroxides (146 ± 15 vs 215 ± 24 μM = TBH).

Conclusions. The lipid emulsion had a significant but minor additive effect compared with the multivitamin preparation, which was the major contributor to the generation of peroxides. Protection from photooxidation is not sufficient to prevent peroxidation of TPN solutions. Contrary to what one would expect, increasing the concentration of MVI will lead to a greater generation of peroxides, suggesting that the essential antioxidants in MVI do not have antiperoxide properties.

ABBREVIATIONS. TPN, total parenteral nutrition; TBH, tert-butyl hydroperoxide; PN, fat-free and vitamin-free parenteral nutrition; MVI, multivitamin preparation.

Isolated constituents of total parenteral nutrition (TPN) represent a potential source of oxidants. Because lipids infused with TPN solutions are contaminated by peroxides,1,2 these emulsions are often believed to be the major source of oxidants in solutions of parenteral alimentation. However, other nutrients can promote peroxidation such as amino acids,3,4 vitamins,5,6 trace metals,7 and additives used for stability.8 But the total peroxide load received by patients on TPN has not been clearly established.

Peroxides are products of oxidation, but they can also become highly reactive oxygen species in the presence of trace metals. Increasing evidence indicates that these sources of oxidants disrupt cell membrane integrity and mediate tissue injury.9–11 Furthermore, the oxidation can cause changes in the quality of solutions,12 as well as loss of potency of parenteral nutrients, because the concentrations of several vitamins and amino acids decrease in TPN solutions exposed to light.12 In the face of immature antioxidant defenses, infused peroxides have the potential to cause an oxidative challenge with general effects or local repercussions at the site of infusion. This is supported by the demonstration that the infusion of an antiperoxide such as bisulfite was associated in parenterally fed infants with a drop in urinary malondialdehyde,9 a marker of peroxidation13. At the site of infusion, tert-butyl hydroperoxide (TBH) induced an oxidative response in endothelial cells characterized by modifications in prostaglandin and glutathione productions.14

Multivitamin preparations contain several antioxidants such as ascorbate, tocopherol, vitamin A, mannitol, butylhydroxytoluene. Therefore, one would expect the multivitamins to help protect parenteral nutrients against the hazards of oxidation. This is supported by the observation that ascorbate hinders the generation of peroxides in a lipid emulsion.15 These antioxidants act as chain-breaking agents by scavenging peroxy radicals and reactive oxygen species. Multivitamin preparations also contain molecules associated with the generation of peroxides, such as riboflavin16 and detergents.17 It is not clear whether multivitamins have antiperoxide properties.
The aim of our study was to evaluate the role of a multivitamin preparation on the actual peroxide load received by patients on TPN.

METHODS

The peroxide-generating capacity of parenteral nutrition was tested first on the bench by comparing the relative contribution of amino acids, lipids, and the multivitamin preparation, as well as by comparing different commercially available amino acid preparations. Second, it was also tested at the bedside.

Test Solutions

The generation of peroxides was compared between different TPN preparations left at darkness or at daylight for 6 h. The tested solutions contained clinically relevant concentrations of individual parenteral nutrients delivered to newborn infants: (a) fat-free and vitamin-free parenteral nutrition (PN) = 2.5% (w/v) amino acids (Travalos 10% Blend C; Clincet Canada, Mississauga, Ontario, Canada) plus 10% (w/v) dextrose plus standard electrolytes and trace elements (Micro + 6 Pediatric, Sabex International, Montreal, Quebec, Canada); (b) PN + LIPIDS = the same as in a, to which the lipid emulsion Intralipid-10% (Pharmacia Inc, Mississauga, Ontario, Canada) was added to obtain a final 5.5% (v/v) concentration; (c) PN + multivitamin preparation (MVI) = the same as in a, to which the multivitamin preparation MVI Pediatric (Rhône Poulenc Rorer, Montreal, Quebec, Canada) was added to obtain a final 1% (v/v) concentration; (d) PN + LIPIDS + MVI = the same as in b, to which the multivitamin preparation MVI Pediatric was added to obtain a final 1% (v/v) concentration. All these solutions were prepared in water to obtain a constant concentration of nutrients. Furthermore, a dose–response relationship was sought between MVI in water and peroxides after 3 h of exposure to daylight.

As bags of TPN are changed daily, the effect of a 24-h exposure to daylight was sought in the following preparations, characterized by varying amino acid contents in the face of a constant MVI concentration (1%) relative to the final volume: 100% PN; 30% PN + 49% H2O + 1% MVI; 25% PN + 74% H2O + 1% MVI; 15% PN + 84% H2O + 1% MVI; 99% H2O + 1% MVI.

Commercially available 10% (w/v) amino acid solutions provided us with the opportunity to isolate the effects of amino acid blends as well as bisulfite and cysteine hydrochloride, both known for their antioxidant properties. As bags of TPN are changed daily, the effect of a 24-h exposure to daylight was sought in the following preparations, characterized by varying amino acid contents in the face of a constant MVI concentration (1%) relative to the final volume: 100% PN; 50% PN + 49% H2O + 1% MVI; 25% PN + 74% H2O + 1% MVI; 15% PN + 84% H2O + 1% MVI; 99% H2O + 1% MVI.

The data are presented as the mean ± SEM. Unless otherwise stated, measurements were performed in triplicate. The effects of nutrients, daylight, and duration of exposure were isolated in a 4 × 2 × 2 × 2 (time × LIPID × MVI × light) multifactorial ANOVA. The effect of bisulfite was isolated by comparing Blend C vs TIV. The effects of cysteine and amino acid blends were isolated in a 1% MVI vs no MVI: (a) 2 × 2 × 2 multifactorial ANOVA (Blend C + 500 mg/L cysteine hydrochloride vs Aminosyn ≥ 500 mg/L cysteine hydrochloride). At the bedside, the effect of daylight on peroxidation was isolated by ANOVA. The level of significance was set at P < .05.

RESULTS

Hydroperoxides were detected in newly open bottles of Intralipid-10% (134 ± 16 μM = TBH, n = 6) in the freshly prepared PN solution (16 ± 5 μM = TBH) as well as in newly reconstituted 5-mL vials of MVI (3280 ± 110 μM = TBH). However, the actual peroxide load measured in TPN solutions (Figs 1, 2) reflected the dilution of the original constituents.

Figure 1 presents the variation over time in peroxide contents of solutions without MVI. It appears that the addition of the lipid emulsion at a final concentration of 5.5% (v/v) resulted in a threefold higher peroxide content (P < .001), which remained constant over time. Overall, exposure to daylight had no effect (F(3,60) = 0) on the solutions devoid of MVI. From Figure 2, it appears that immediately on preparation of the solutions, the addition of multivitamins resulted in a significantly higher initial peroxide content compared with PN (16 ± 5 vs 66 ± 5 μM = TBH, n = 6) and to PN + LIPID (83 ± 3 vs 126 ± 6 μM = TBH, n = 6). In the presence of MVI, the peroxide content rose significantly over time (P < .001), and the exposure to daylight had a rapid and dramatic effect on stimulating peroxide generation (P < .001). From Figures 1 and 2, it appears that immediately on preparation of the solutions, the addition of multivitamins resulted in a significantly higher initial peroxide content compared with PN (16 ± 5 vs 66 ± 5 μM = TBH, n = 6) and to PN + LIPID (83 ± 3 vs 126 ± 6 μM = TBH, n = 6). In the presence of MVI, the peroxide content rose significantly over time (P < .001), and the exposure to daylight had a rapid and dramatic effect on stimulating peroxide generation (P < .001). From Figures 1 and 2, it appears that immediately on preparation of the solutions, the addition of multivitamins resulted in a significantly higher initial peroxide content compared with PN (16 ± 5 vs 66 ± 5 μM = TBH, n = 6) and to PN + LIPID (83 ± 3 vs 126 ± 6 μM = TBH, n = 6). In the presence of MVI, the peroxide content rose significantly over time (P < .001), and the exposure to daylight had a rapid and dramatic effect on stimulating peroxide generation (P < .001). From Figures 1 and 2, it appears that immediately on preparation of the solutions, the addition of multivitamins resulted in a significantly higher initial peroxide content compared with PN (16 ± 5 vs 66 ± 5 μM = TBH, n = 6) and to PN + LIPID (83 ± 3 vs 126 ± 6 μM = TBH, n = 6). In the presence of MVI, the peroxide content rose significantly over time (P < .001), and the exposure to daylight had a rapid and dramatic effect on stimulating peroxide generation (P < .001). From Figures 1 and 2, it appears that immediately on preparation of the solutions, the addition of multivitamins resulted in a significantly higher initial peroxide content compared with PN (16 ± 5 vs 66 ± 5 μM = TBH, n = 6) and to PN + LIPID (83 ± 3 vs 126 ± 6 μM = TBH, n = 6). In the presence of MVI, the peroxide content rose significantly over time (P < .001), and the exposure to daylight had a rapid and dramatic effect on stimulating peroxide generation (P < .001).
catalase to the PN + LIPID + MVI solution produced a 88% drop in peroxides, confirming that H₂O₂ was the main source of peroxides. Bisulfite produced a 100% drop in peroxides.

The dose–response relationship in Fig 3 underlines the direct effect of MVI content on peroxide generation in the presence of light. It is worth noting that the peroxide content, measured at an MVI concentration of 1% (v/v), corresponds to what was documented in Figure 2. From Fig 4, it appears that contrary to MVI, the amino acid solution had a protective effect, because a lower amino acid concentration resulted in a significant increase in peroxides in the face of a constant MVI concentration.

The protective effect of different commercially available amino acid solutions is presented in the Table and expressed as a percentage inhibition of peroxide generation by MVI. The addition of 500 mg/L cysteine hydrochloride to Aminosyn and Blend C showed a specific effect related to the amino acid solution; the interaction was significant ($P < .01$). The addition of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE. Percent of Inhibition of Peroxide Generation by MVI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Travasol TIV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travasol Blend C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aminosyn PF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aminosyn PF + cysteine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trophamine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primene</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: MVI, multivitamin preparation.

Mean ± SEM (n = 3).
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cysteine hydrochloride to Aminosyn produced an increase of 5% in the antiperoxide property (P < .05) of the final solution; in Blend C, the addition of cysteine hydrochloride diminished by 6% (P < .01) the protective capacity of the solution.

The peroxide concentrations measured in actual TPN solutions being delivered to premature infants were similar to those reproduced on the bench (Fig 5). The difference between sampling sites showed the transit through the extension set was associated with a higher peroxide concentration (P < .01). The rate of increase in the level of peroxides was higher in the group exposed to light, as documented by the statistically significant interaction (P < .05) between the effects of light and sampling sites. There was no difference in the infusion rates (4.9 mL/h ± 0.1 mL/h vs 5.2 mL/h ± .3 mL/h) or the MVI concentrations (1.9% ± 0.2% vs 1.8% ± .2%) between the two groups of six patients each (weight: 975 g ± 63 g vs 937 g ± 55 g).

DISCUSSION

The important findings of this study are that the multivitamin preparation is the major contributor to in vitro peroxidation and that commercially available amino acid preparations offer some degree of protection in the final solution. Our measurements were validated previously by recovery of externally added peroxides such as TBH, \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \), and cumene peroxide, \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \), and furthermore, we found in Intralipid-10% peroxide levels that were in the same range as those reported for the 10% lipid emulsion Liposyn \( ^1 \) despite differing analytical techniques. This is further supported by the drop in peroxide content after catalase or bisulfite admixture.

The generation of peroxides in MVI could be related to photooxidation in the presence of riboflavin and amino acids such as tryptophan, tyrosine, methionine, cysteine, and phenylalanine. \( ^6 \) However, MVI was found to generate peroxides even in the absence of amino acid admixture (Figs 3, 4). Therefore, other constituents of MVI, such as detergents, might account for the spontaneous photooxidation (Figs 3, 4). Indeed, the multivitamin preparation contains polysorbate 80 and polysorbate 20 at concentrations of 1% (w/v) and .02%, respectively. Both of these nonionic detergents are highly susceptible to peroxidation by photooxidation, even at concentrations as low as .1%. \( ^{17,20} \) These detergents are the same as those that were implicated, at 10-fold higher concentrations, in an unusual fatal syndrome among premature infants, characterized by unexplained clinical deterioration. \( ^{21,22} \) Additional information is needed to verify the clinical impact of these detergents in multivitamin preparations.

The dose–response relationship (Fig 3) underscores the potential risk of inadvertently infusing higher concentrations of peroxides than those reported in this study during the weaning of intravenous alimentation. Indeed, if the volume of administered MVI is kept constant while the total volume of fluids to be infused is decreased, the patients will receive concentrations of MVI that can reach >5% during the weaning process, with dramatic effects on peroxide concentrations.

The magnitude of peroxides infused with the studied solutions corresponds to \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) released during phagocytosis by 10,000 human neutrophils per mL over 24 h. \( ^{23,24} \) In red cells, an organic peroxide stimulates proteolysis at concentrations lower than those in the studied solutions, whereas 200 \( \mu \text{M} \) \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) had no such effect. \( ^{25} \) Therefore, infused peroxides are potentially cytotoxic under conditions of weak peroxidase activity, as found in the lung and liver of preterm and term neonates. \( ^{26} \) Furthermore, these immature infants receive the highest concentrations of MVI (up to 5% v/v) when compared with adolescents and adults (0.1% v/v). Although newborn infants are at greater risk of being unable to counter effectively an oxidative challenge such as that represented by TPN, the biological significance of the infused peroxides remains to be proven.

The experimental conditions closely mimic the clinical practice in neonatal units, where the TPN solution can be exposed to light for periods as long as 4 h during its transit through the tubing from the bag to the infant. Protecting the extension set from light produced a significant (P < .01) drop in the amount of peroxides infused in these infants. These data suggest that the use of tubing shielded from light would diminish the oxidant load associated with TPN. The study was not designed to find the best protection from light, but rather to emphasize the magnitude of the phenomenon of photooxidation on MVI under clinical conditions. The group with the tubing shielded from light had a better photoprotection of the bag, because the bottom of its opaque cover was completely closed as opposed to the other group, the bag for which was open toward the bottom, resulting in a higher peroxide concentration (Fig 5). This point stresses the importance of the quality of the shielding from light. The biological significance of protecting the TPN solutions from light has been documented in animals by others researchers, who showed that light exposure was associated with an increase in biliary concentrations of oxidized glutathione and free amino acids. \( ^{27} \)
The amino acid solutions presented a relative protection against peroxidation. It appears that the inhibition of peroxide generation varied from 54% to 72% (Table). The significant interaction between cysteine and amino acid blends indicates that statistical comparisons between the different solutions were not warranted and suggests that the variation in the protective effect depends above all on differing amino acid blends. The antiperoxide property of these solutions might not be desirable if certain amino acids become oxidized in the process.\(^{16}\) Indeed, data not presented show that the thiol function of cysteine was lost in the presence of peroxides. This raises the question as to whether the amino acid blend showing the least antiperoxide activity (Table 1) is the one that conserves best its original composition. This point is emphasized further when considering the fall in peroxide concentrations in PN solutions after 6 h (Fig 4). The balance between generation and consumption of peroxides is positive during the first 6 h after preparation. After that time, we presume that the balance changed in favor of the consumption of peroxides during their transformation into free radicals via the Fenton-like reaction\(^9\) induced by trace elements present in the PN solutions. This is supported by the absence of a fall in peroxides in the solution devoid of trace elements (Fig 4). The fact that added cysteine presented a different redox effect in Aminosyn and Blend C might not be solely related to the amino acid blend but also to the level of peroxides, as well as to trace elements and free radicals present in these solutions. In general, we wish to emphasize that an antioxidant can become prooxidant depending on the concentration of peroxides, as documented previously with bisulphite.\(^8\)

In conclusion, a greater effort should be made to decrease the level of peroxides in TPN solutions. Protection from photodecomposition is not sufficient to prevent peroxidation of TPN solutions (Figs 2, 5). We caution against choosing an amino acid solution for its antiperoxide properties. Contrary to what one would expect, increasing the concentration of MVI would lead to a greater generation of peroxides, suggesting that the essential antioxidants in MVI do not have antiperoxide properties. It remains to be verified whether the detergents represent a source of peroxides that could be eliminated by separating the multivitamins between the hydrophilic and lipophilic fractions, the latter being infused with the lipids. The biological significance of the infused peroxides remains to be proven.
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