

Vaccine Medical Exemptions Are a Delegated Public Health Authority

Richard J. Pan, MD, MPH,^a Dorit Rubinstein Reiss, LLB, PhD^b

Vaccines are safe, >1000 times safer than the diseases they prevent. Protection from vaccines extends beyond individuals when vaccination rates are high enough to confer community immunity. However, vaccine risks may be too high for a few people, for example, those with a known severe allergy to a vaccine. Public health and medical experts have identified contraindications and precautions for each vaccine based on data from extensive postapproval monitoring that reveal actual or theoretical risk.¹ Some conditions are so uncommon that despite years of administration of millions of vaccine doses, it is still unclear whether the condition is truly associated with the vaccine; but out of an abundance of caution, those conditions may be listed as a vaccine precaution.

The passage of Senate Bill 277 (SB277) in 2015 abolished all nonmedical exemptions in California. SB277 proved to be a great success, with sharp increases in vaccination rates seen in kindergarteners entering school. For the 2014–2015 school year, when the Disneyland measles outbreak occurred and SB277 was introduced and passed into law, the statewide kindergarten full-vaccination rate was only 90.4%,² below the 94% needed for community immunity to measles. After the passage of SB277, the kindergarten full-vaccination rate for the 2015–2016 school year rose to 92.6%,² which has been attributed to families receiving information about the importance of vaccination from the widespread press coverage of SB277 and the measles outbreak; and after the actual implementation of SB277 in 2016, the

kindergarten full-vaccination rate rose to >95% for the past 2 school years.³

Given the laxity of the previous personal belief exemption, experts anticipated a rise in medical exemptions (MEs) because some parents whose children qualified for MEs had previously used the more convenient personal belief exemption. However, MEs more than tripled, with some schools reporting ME rates >20%,⁴ revealing that many students received inappropriate MEs.

SB277 made no major changes to California law regarding granting MEs, a power that lies solely in the discretion of any physician licensed by the Medical Board of California or the Osteopathic Medical Board of California. Mohanty et al⁵ interviewed California health officers after the passage of SB277 regarding their role in and observations of granting MEs. Health officers expressed frustration with their lack of authority to limit unprofessional behavior by physicians granting large numbers of unwarranted MEs and thus putting students at risk. A local health officer who tracked MEs faced a failed lawsuit and personal attacks by antivaccine extremists. Health officers also felt it is was unfair for school staff to review MEs.

Our constitutional jurisprudence recognizes state authority to mandate vaccination to protect public health and safety.⁶ Historically, courts granted states extensive leeway to set conditions attached to school mandates.⁷ Policymakers should recognize that granting MEs to legally required vaccines is not the practice of medicine but a delegation of state authority to licensed physicians to

FREE

^aCalifornia State Senator, Sacramento, California; and
^bHastings College of the Law, University of California, San Francisco, California

Opinions expressed in these commentaries are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the American Academy of Pediatrics or its Committees.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2018-2009>

Accepted for publication Aug 2, 2018

Address correspondence to Richard J. Pan, MD, MPH, State Capitol, Room 5114, Sacramento, CA 95814. E-mail: richard.pan@sen.ca.gov

PEDIATRICS (ISSN Numbers: Print, 0031-4005; Online, 1098-4275).

Copyright © 2018 by the American Academy of Pediatrics

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE: Dr Reiss's family owns regular stock in GlaxoSmithKline; as Dr Pan is an elected official, all of his campaign disclosures can be found on the California Secretary of State Web site (<http://cal-access.sos.ca.gov/Campaign/Candidates/#senate>), and he has indicated he has no financial relationships relevant to this article to disclose.

FUNDING: No external funding.

POTENTIAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST: Dr Pan authored legislation (Senate Bill 277) to abolish nonmedical exemptions; Dr Reiss's family owns regular stock in GlaxoSmithKline.

To cite: Pan RJ and Reiss DR. Vaccine Medical Exemptions Are a Delegated Public Health Authority. *Pediatrics*. 2018;142(5):e20182009

protect public health and individuals. Essentially, physicians are fulfilling an administrative role: certifying to the state that a patient meets professionally recognized criteria that justify granting an ME. This delegation makes sense because physicians already evaluate patients for existing health conditions and can identify which of their patients warrant MEs. In addition, standards of care for vaccination that are established by medical professional associations make granting unwarranted MEs unprofessional behavior that is subject to potential liability and discipline by a state licensing board. However, the lack of cooperation by patients' families who desire unwarranted MEs makes disciplining physicians who are engaged in this unprofessional behavior difficult and costly because licensing boards need to subpoena patient records over families' objections to obtain evidence. Similarly, professional standard-setting organizations, including professional associations and certification boards, have been reluctant to withdraw credentials or expel members who promote vaccine misinformation and grant unwarranted MEs.

Public health officers should have the authority to invalidate unwarranted MEs and revoke the delegation of authority to grant MEs from physicians who abuse it. These powers maintain the state's constitutional authority to protect public health and safety. For example, West Virginia, another state with only MEs, requires physicians to provide information to the state's public health department, which then grants MEs.⁸ With this model, the state avoids putting school personnel in the position of reviewing the appropriateness of MEs.

Unfortunately, currently, only 6 states require the involvement of public health departments in reviewing MEs. Thus, most health officers and licensing boards do not have direct access to data on MEs. To protect public health, this situation needs to change. States can collect and maintain searchable records of MEs, which could be included as part of state immunization registries. This information would both benefit patients with valid MEs by alerting clinicians of patient MEs and provide important data for public health. Laws should also require parents to submit MEs to public health departments as well as schools.

These data allow for public health officers to assess public health risks from a congregation of unvaccinated children and limit the risk of outbreaks.

Vaccines are one of the greatest public health successes in history. Mandating vaccination for school is an effective strategy to prevent outbreaks. This protection is undermined when unscrupulous physicians monetize their license and abuse the authority delegated to them from the state by granting unwarranted MEs. Public health officers need the information to identify these physicians and the authority to withdraw their ability to grant MEs and to invalidate unwarranted MEs to protect children and public health. Pediatricians can partner with public health advocates and proscience parents to pass laws that empower public health officers to protect our children and community. Every child needs community immunity.

ABBREVIATIONS

ME: medical exemption
SB277: Senate Bill 277

COMPANION PAPER: A companion to this article can be found online at www.pediatrics.org/cgi/doi/10.1542/peds.2018-1051.

REFERENCES

- Centers for Diseases Control and Prevention. Vaccine recommendations and guidelines of the ACIP: contraindications and precautions. 2018. Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/hcp/acip-recs/general-recs/contraindications.html>. Accessed June 24, 2018
- California Department of Public Health, Immunization Branch. Kindergarten school reporting data. Available at: www.shotsforschool.org/k-12/reporting-data/. Accessed June 28, 2018
- California Department of Public Health, Immunization Branch. 2017-2018 kindergarten immunization assessment – executive summary. 2018. Available at: <https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/CDPH%20Document%20Library/Immunization/2017-2018KindergartenSummaryReport.pdf>. Accessed June 24, 2018
- Karlamangla S, Poindexter S. Despite California's strict new law, hundreds of schools still don't have enough vaccinated kids. *Los Angeles Times*. August 13, 2017. Available at: www.latimes.com/health/la-me-kindergarten-vaccination-20170813-htmllstory.html. Accessed June 24, 2018
- Mohanty S, Bутtenheim A, Joyce C, Howa A, Salmon D, Omar S. Elimination of nonmedical vaccine exemptions in California: experiences with medical exemptions. *Pediatrics*. 2018;142(5):e20181051
- Jacobson v Massachusetts*, 197 US 11 (1905)
- Mello MM, Studdert DM, Parmet WE. Shifting vaccination politics — the end of personal-belief exemptions in California. *N Engl J Med*. 2015;373(9):785–787
- West Virginia Department of Health and Human Services, Office of Epidemiology and Prevention Services. Medical exemptions information. Available at: <https://dhhr.wv.gov/oeps/immunization/requirements/Pages/Medical-Exemption-Resource-Center.aspx>. Accessed July 5, 2018

Vaccine Medical Exemptions Are a Delegated Public Health Authority

Richard J. Pan and Dorit Rubinstein Reiss

Pediatrics 2018;142;

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2018-2009 originally published online October 29, 2018;

Updated Information & Services

including high resolution figures, can be found at:
<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/142/5/e20182009>

References

This article cites 2 articles, 1 of which you can access for free at:
<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/142/5/e20182009#BIBL>

Subspecialty Collections

This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the following collection(s):
Infectious Disease
http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/infectious_diseases_sub
Vaccine/Immunization
http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/vaccine:immunization_sub
Advocacy
http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/advocacy_sub
Legislation
http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/legislation_sub

Permissions & Licensing

Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at:
<http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/Permissions.xhtml>

Reprints

Information about ordering reprints can be found online:
<http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/reprints.xhtml>

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN™



PEDIATRICS®

OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS

Vaccine Medical Exemptions Are a Delegated Public Health Authority

Richard J. Pan and Dorit Rubinstein Reiss

Pediatrics 2018;142;

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2018-2009 originally published online October 29, 2018;

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:

<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/142/5/e20182009>

Pediatrics is the official journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. A monthly publication, it has been published continuously since 1948. Pediatrics is owned, published, and trademarked by the American Academy of Pediatrics, 141 Northwest Point Boulevard, Elk Grove Village, Illinois, 60007. Copyright © 2018 by the American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 1073-0397.

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN™

