

If We Had a Crystal Ball, Would We Use It?

Brett Drake, PhD, Melissa Jonson-Reid, PhD

Vaithianathan et al's¹ "Injury and Mortality Among Children Identified as at High Risk of Maltreatment" showcases the promise of predictive risk modeling (PRM). As part of the emerging literature revealing the strong predictive utility of PRM relative to child maltreatment and health, Vaithianathan et al¹ help answer a set of existing questions and pose an entirely new set of perhaps more difficult questions.

PRM involves evaluating a large number of variables to create risk scores for a given outcome in a given population. Previous work in New Zealand revealed that PRMs developed from a full national birth cohort predicting subsequent substantiated child protective services (CPS) referrals had excellent predictive utility (yielding an "area under the curve" approaching 0.90). For example, those 10% of all children categorized as having the highest risk of a substantiated CPS report included the majority (52.7%) of all subsequent CPS referrals.² Similar findings are also being obtained in the United States.

The authors of the current article do something unusual: they take a PRM that was created specifically to predict CPS involvement and test it against serious medical outcomes. The CPS-based PRM predicted many non-CPS medical outcomes just as well as the outcomes on which it was originally trained. For example, in the current study, those 10% of children most at risk included 57.1% of all postneonatal unintentional injury deaths and 50.0% of all inflicted injury deaths. The authors reinforce recent work³⁻⁵ in

which researchers showed that bias (eg, class bias, surveillance bias) in CPS referral and substantiation is far less of a concern than was commonly believed.

Vaithianathan et al¹ add to the literature in which researchers have shown that PRM may represent a breakthrough in predicting negative child outcomes. Additionally, they suggest that PRM models trained on 1 outcome may be usable to predict other related outcomes. This finding requires replication across differing contexts.

Most important, it is becoming increasingly difficult to ignore the question, "What do we do with the predictive power that PRM confers?" Answering this question is complicated by a range of factors, including an ongoing lack of clarity about the role of CPS (is it more like a criminal justice system or a public health system?), the patchwork nature of current family and child supportive services in the United States, and concerns about the ethics of using state-held data as a basis for preventative service provision.

Perhaps the simplest possible case in point would be a decision to offer voluntary preventive services to the 10% of highest-risk children (covering half of all children with subsequent injury deaths) or the top 20% (covering over three-fourths of all such children). Until now, preventive services have been parent-initiated or from a hotline referral. This system breaks down relative to child fatalities because most fatalities happen early. The simple fact of the matter is that

FREE

George Warren Brown School of Social Work and Public Health, Washington University in St Louis, St Louis, Missouri

Both authors shared in the conceptualization, writing, and review of this commentary.

Opinions expressed in these commentaries are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the American Academy of Pediatrics or its Committees.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2017-3469>

Accepted for publication Oct 19, 2017

Address correspondence to Brett Drake, PhD, Washington University in St Louis, CB 1196, 1 Brookings Dr, St Louis, MO 63130. E-mail: brettd@wustl.edu

PEDIATRICS (ISSN Numbers: Print, 0031-4005; Online, 1098-4275).

Copyright © 2018 by the American Academy of Pediatrics

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE: The authors have indicated they have no financial relationships relevant to this article to disclose.

FUNDING: No external funding.

POTENTIAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST: The authors have indicated they have no potential conflicts of interest to disclose.

COMPANION PAPER: A companion to this article can be found online at www.pediatrics.org/cgi/doi/10.1542/peds.2017-2882.

To cite: Drake B and Jonson-Reid M. If We Had a Crystal Ball, Would We Use It?. *Pediatrics*. 2018; 141(2):e20173469

the status quo came into being in the absence of the necessary technology to create effective “early warning systems.” We now have that prognostic capacity, but we have no way to use it.

New Zealand has been considering just such a program: a voluntary program for supporting families identified as being at high risk. Ethical reviews of this proposal have been favorable.⁶ It is possible that the ethical cost of not using accurate predictive models is greater than any ethical cost attendant to instituting such models. Creating such a program in the United States is not utterly without precedent,⁷ but it would represent a paradigmatic change in how we see the role of the state in protecting children and supporting families, supplementing the current hotline-gated system

with an empirically sound and timely, preventive system.

The question is, “Do we want to do it?”

ABBREVIATIONS

CPS: child protective services
PRM: predictive risk modeling

REFERENCES

1. Vaithianathan R, Rouland B, Putnam-Hornstein E. Injury and mortality among children identified as at high risk of maltreatment. *Pediatrics*. 2018;141(2):e20172882
2. Wilson ML, Tumen S, Ota R, Simmers AG. Predictive modeling: potential application in prevention services. *Am J Prev Med*. 2015;48(5):509–519
3. Pelton LH. The continuing role of material factors in child maltreatment and placement. *Child Abuse Negl*. 2015;41(5):30–39
4. Jonson-Reid M, Drake B, Kohl PL. Is the overrepresentation of the poor in child welfare caseloads due to bias or need? *Child Youth Serv Rev*. 2009;31(3):422–427
5. Drake B, Jonson-Reid M, Kim H. Surveillance bias in child maltreatment: a tempest in a teapot. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2017;14(9):971
6. Dare T. Predictive risk modeling and child maltreatment: an ethical review. Available at: <https://www.msd.govt.nz/documents/about-msd-and-our-work/publications-resources/research/predictive-modelling/00-predictive-risk-modelling-and-child-maltreatment-an-ethical-review.pdf>. Accessed November 14, 2017
7. Shaw TV, Barth RP, Mattingly J, Ayer D, Berry S. Child welfare birth match: timely use of child welfare administrative data to protect newborns. *J Public Child Welf*. 2013;7(2):217–234

If We Had a Crystal Ball, Would We Use It?

Brett Drake and Melissa Jonson-Reid

Pediatrics 2018;141;

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2017-3469 originally published online January 29, 2018;

Updated Information & Services

including high resolution figures, can be found at:
<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/141/2/e20173469>

References

This article cites 5 articles, 0 of which you can access for free at:
<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/141/2/e20173469#BIBL>

Subspecialty Collections

This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the following collection(s):
Child Abuse and Neglect
http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/child_abuse_neglect_sub

Permissions & Licensing

Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at:
<http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/Permissions.xhtml>

Reprints

Information about ordering reprints can be found online:
<http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/reprints.xhtml>

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN™



PEDIATRICS®

OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS

If We Had a Crystal Ball, Would We Use It?

Brett Drake and Melissa Jonson-Reid

Pediatrics 2018;141;

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2017-3469 originally published online January 29, 2018;

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:

<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/141/2/e20173469>

Pediatrics is the official journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. A monthly publication, it has been published continuously since 1948. Pediatrics is owned, published, and trademarked by the American Academy of Pediatrics, 141 Northwest Point Boulevard, Elk Grove Village, Illinois, 60007. Copyright © 2018 by the American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 1073-0397.

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN™

