

Assessing the Febrile Child for Serious Infection: A Step Closer to Meaningful Rapid Results

David W. Kimberlin, MD, Claudette L. Poole, MD

All pediatricians have experienced the difficult decision about whether a young infant has a life-threatening infection that requires hospitalization or has a self-limited viral disease that can safely be managed at home. As our appreciation of the negative aspects of antibiotic overuse (both to society and individuals) has matured, these situations have become more rather than less frequent. The almost dizzying development of sophisticated diagnostic modalities over the past 10 to 20 years has, in at least some situations, outpaced our ability to know how to use the test results. No single test has proven adept at accurately distinguishing the child with a life-threatening infection from the child who will get better on his or her own. Into this terrain, Srugo et al¹ increase the number of tools in our toolbox and potentially move us substantially closer to that Holy Grail of accurately determining which child truly is at risk for having a serious bacterial infection.

To fully scrutinize the results of this large, international study, we must first discuss how we assess the utility of diagnostic tests. The sensitivity of a test is how often a test result is abnormal if a serious infection is present, whereas the positive predictive value is how often an infection is present when the test result is abnormal. The positive likelihood ratio is the degree to which an abnormal test result increases the pretest probability of disease. In comparison, specificity is how often

a test result is normal if a serious infection is absent, the negative predictive value is how often an infection is absent with a normal test result, and the negative likelihood ratio is the degree to which a normal test result decreases the pretest probability of disease. Whereas predictive values will vary with the prevalence of disease, likelihood ratios relate only to the sensitivity and specificity of the test itself.² Likelihood ratios range from 0 to infinity. Values between 0 and 1 suggest that the disease is not present, and the closer to 0 the value is (eg, <0.1), the lower the probability that the disease is present. In contrast, likelihood ratios >1 suggest the disease is present, and the higher the value is (eg, >10), the higher the probability that the disease is present.³

The ImmunoXpert assay (MeMed Diagnostics, Ltd, Tirat Carmel, Israel) assessed in the Srugo et al study¹ generates a likelihood score for viral versus bacterial infection that incorporates assessment of tumor necrosis factor–related apoptosis-inducing ligand, interferon γ -induced protein-10, and C-reactive protein (CRP). The first 2 proteins are more elevated in viral infections, whereas CRP is more elevated in bacterial infections. In comparing this novel assay with the standard laboratory assessments of white blood cell (WBC) counts, absolute neutrophil counts, CRP, and procalcitonin (PCT), the ImmunoXpert assay had superior sensitivity compared with WBC, absolute neutrophil counts, and PCT,

Department of Pediatrics, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama

Opinions expressed in these commentaries are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the American Academy of Pediatrics or its Committees.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2017-1210>

Accepted for publication Jul 25, 2017

Address correspondence to David W. Kimberlin, MD, Department of Pediatrics, University of Alabama at Birmingham, 1600 7th Ave South CHB 303, Birmingham, AL 35233. E-mail: dkimberlin@peds.uab.edu

PEDIATRICS (ISSN Numbers: Print, 0031-4005; Online, 1098-4275).

Copyright © 2017 by the American Academy of Pediatrics

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE: The authors have indicated they have no financial relationships relevant to this article to disclose.

FUNDING: No external funding.

POTENTIAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST: The authors have indicated they have no potential conflicts of interest to disclose.

COMPANION PAPER: A companion to this article can be found online at www.pediatrics.org/cgi/doi/10.1542/peds.2016-3453.

To cite: Kimberlin DW and Poole CL. Assessing the Febrile Child for Serious Infection: A Step Closer to Meaningful Rapid Results. *Pediatrics*. 2017;140(4):e20171210

and superior specificity compared with WBC, CRP, and PCT. Most impressively, the assay's positive likelihood ratio approached 10, and the negative likelihood ratio was 0.07. This suggests that the test results may be able to be used meaningfully in the management of patients to a degree that currently does not exist.

Before we get to that point, however, a number of confirmatory investigations are required. All published studies in which researchers have assessed the ImmunoXpert assay have used specimens that were frozen at -80°C .^{1,4-6} Researchers of future studies should use prospective trial designs to determine the performance characteristics of the test in a more real-world manner, including the use of refrigerated specimens. As the authors note,¹ the assay also needs to be assessed in infants <3 months of age because this is a population in which tremendous need exists for improved diagnostics that can drive decision-making in an evidence-based fashion. Immunocompromised children also have a large unmet medical need for improved diagnostics that can reliably distinguish bacterial from viral infections. If the assay is validated in these future studies, performance of randomized trial designs that assess how knowledge of the assay result impacts clinical care should be considered, as has been done with influenza testing.⁷

The work of Srugo et al¹ substantially advances the opportunity to one day be able to more accurately assess patients for risk of life-threatening

bacterial infections, saving them from unnecessary hospitalization and antibiotic exposure. By focusing on the initial host response to infection, these investigators add to the rapidly expanding field of molecular diagnostics, in which pathogens are increasingly being detected in clinical specimens but the significance of those detections can be questioned because of false-positive results, copathogens, or simple colonization.⁸ Their work also enhances exciting discoveries of patterns of host RNA expression that vary by type of infection.⁹⁻¹¹ Taken together, these advancements bode well for the future of diagnostic assessments of ill children in years to come.

ABBREVIATIONS

CRP: C-reactive protein
PCT: procalcitonin
WBC: white blood cell

REFERENCES

1. Srugo I, Klein A, Stein M, et al. Validation of a novel assay to distinguish bacterial and viral infections. *Pediatrics*. 2017;140(4):e20163453
2. Van den Bruel A, Thompson MJ, Haj-Hassan T, et al. Diagnostic value of laboratory tests in identifying serious infections in febrile children: systematic review. *BMJ*. 2011;342:d3082
3. McGee S. Simplifying likelihood ratios. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2002;17(8):646-649
4. van Houten CB, de Groot JA, Klein A, et al. A host-protein based assay to differentiate between bacterial and viral infections in preschool children

- (OPPORTUNITY): a double-blind, multicentre, validation study. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2017;17(4):431-440
5. Oved K, Cohen A, Boico O, et al. A novel host-proteome signature for distinguishing between acute bacterial and viral infections. *PLoS One*. 2015;10(3):e0120012
6. Eden E, Srugo I, Gottlieb T, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of a TRAIL, IP-10 and CRP combination for discriminating bacterial and viral etiologies at the emergency department. *J Infect*. 2016;73(2):177-180
7. Bonner AB, Monroe KW, Talley LI, Klasner AE, Kimberlin DW. Impact of the rapid diagnosis of influenza on physician decision-making and patient management in the pediatric emergency department: results of a randomized, prospective, controlled trial. *Pediatrics*. 2003;112(2):363-367
8. Leber AL, Everhart K, Balada-Llasat JM, et al. Multicenter evaluation of Biofire FilmArray meningitis/encephalitis panel for detection of bacteria, viruses, and yeast in cerebrospinal fluid specimens. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2016;54(9):2251-2261
9. Ramilo O, Mejías A. Shifting the paradigm: host gene signatures for diagnosis of infectious diseases. *Cell Host Microbe*. 2009;6(3):199-200
10. Mahajan P, Kuppermann N, Suarez N, et al; Febrile Infant Working Group for the Pediatric Emergency Care Applied Research Network (PECARN). RNA transcriptional biosignature analysis for identifying febrile infants with serious bacterial infections in the emergency department: a feasibility study. *Pediatr Emerg Care*. 2015;31(1):1-5
11. Ramilo O, Mejias A, Mahajan P, Kuppermann N. RNA signature test to distinguish bacterial from viral infection. *J Pediatr*. 2017;182:401-404

Assessing the Febrile Child for Serious Infection: A Step Closer to Meaningful Rapid Results

David W. Kimberlin and Claudette L. Poole

Pediatrics 2017;140;

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2017-1210 originally published online September 13, 2017;

Updated Information & Services

including high resolution figures, can be found at:
<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/140/4/e20171210>

References

This article cites 11 articles, 4 of which you can access for free at:
<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/140/4/e20171210#BIBL>

Subspecialty Collections

This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the following collection(s):
Infectious Disease
http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/infectious_diseases_sub

Permissions & Licensing

Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at:
<http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/Permissions.xhtml>

Reprints

Information about ordering reprints can be found online:
<http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/reprints.xhtml>

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN™



PEDIATRICS®

OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS

Assessing the Febrile Child for Serious Infection: A Step Closer to Meaningful Rapid Results

David W. Kimberlin and Claudette L. Poole

Pediatrics 2017;140;

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2017-1210 originally published online September 13, 2017;

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:

<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/140/4/e20171210>

Pediatrics is the official journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. A monthly publication, it has been published continuously since 1948. Pediatrics is owned, published, and trademarked by the American Academy of Pediatrics, 141 Northwest Point Boulevard, Elk Grove Village, Illinois, 60007. Copyright © 2017 by the American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 1073-0397.

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN™

