

# The Diagnosis of UTI: Concentrating on Pyuria

Kenneth B. Roberts, MD

The term “pyuria” literally means “pus in the urine” but, in common usage, the focus is not on the presence of pus but on the number of white blood cells (WBCs) or amount of leukocyte esterase (LE) that exceeds a threshold and suggests a urinary tract infection (UTI). In this issue of *Pediatrics*, Chaudhari et al<sup>1</sup> share the results of a study of the impact of urine concentration on the optimal threshold in the new era of automated urinalysis. Centrifugation of urine specimens has long been standard laboratory practice, presumably performed to concentrate sediment and facilitate the detection of cellular elements and bacteria. The implied (but questionable) assumption is that the process renders 1 spun specimen comparable to another in concentration. The “enhanced urinalysis”<sup>2</sup> and automated urinalysis methods use analysis of unspun urine for cellular elements but have not attempted to control for concentration. The authors recognized concentration as a potential concern and demonstrated, with automated urinalysis, different thresholds for dilute and concentrated urine as having the optimal combination of positive and negative likelihood ratios: 3 WBCs/high power field in dilute urine, 6 WBCs/high power field in concentrated urine. If specific gravity is integrated with the number of WBCs in automated urinalyses, the authors’ finding has both significance and a practical application.

However, for the many sites that do not have machines for automated urinalyses (virtually all office practices, for example), the most important finding in this study may well be how

well LE performs regardless of urine concentration. The optimal threshold for LE is not clear, however. The authors use “small” as their threshold for LE.<sup>1</sup> Schroeder et al,<sup>3</sup> in a study of young infants with bacteremic UTI, found “any LE” (including “trace”) to be more sensitive, as would be expected. Recently, Lavelle et al<sup>4</sup> used “moderate” LE as a threshold in specimens collected in urine bags to decrease the number of catheterizations in a busy emergency department; they were able to cut the rate of catheterizations in half. Their surveillance did not detect any missed UTIs in the >350 infants with negative screening urinalyses.

At any threshold, can a negative urinalysis be relied on to exclude the diagnosis of UTI? A “positive” culture without inflammation evident in the urine is likely due to contamination, very early infection (rare), or asymptomatic bacteriuria (AB) (positive urine cultures in febrile children can still represent AB, because the fever may be due to a source other than the urinary tract). A recent study dismissed the idea that AB could explain the apparent suboptimal sensitivity of the urinalysis because “the prevalence of asymptomatic bacteriuria is too low (<1%) to fully explain why many children with an apparent UTI lack pyuria.”<sup>5</sup> Missing from that assessment is that the rate of UTI in that study (as in other comparable studies) was ~5%.<sup>5</sup> Because the 5% rate with positive cultures includes those with AB and no pyuria, the sensitivity of pyuria appears unacceptably low but is precisely what is expected

*Department of Pediatrics, University of North Carolina School of Medicine, Chapel Hill, North Carolina; and Cone Health, Greensboro, North Carolina*

Opinions expressed in these commentaries are those of the author and not necessarily those of the American Academy of Pediatrics or its Committees.

**DOI:** 10.1542/peds.2016-2877

Accepted for publication Aug 25, 2016

Address correspondence to Kenneth B. Roberts, MD, 3500 Bramblewood Dr, Mebane, NC 27302.

E-mail: kenrobertsmd@gmail.com

PEDIATRICS (ISSN Numbers: Print, 0031-4005; Online, 1098-4275).

Copyright © 2016 by the American Academy of Pediatrics

**FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE:** The author has indicated he has no financial relationships relevant to this article to disclose.

**FUNDING:** No external funding.

**POTENTIAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST:** The author has indicated he has no potential conflicts of interest to disclose.

**COMPANION PAPER:** A companion to this article can be found online at [www.pediatrics.org/cgi/doi/10.1542/peds.2016-2370](http://www.pediatrics.org/cgi/doi/10.1542/peds.2016-2370).

**To cite:** Roberts KB. The Diagnosis of UTI: Concentrating on Pyuria. *Pediatrics*. 2016;138(5):e20162877

mathematically. AB has been shown not to be harmful except during pregnancy, and children with it are better off left untreated, because antimicrobial therapy changes the virulence of the offending organism and makes a symptomatic UTI more likely.<sup>6</sup>

If there are, in fact, some true UTIs without evidence of inflammation from the urinalysis, are they as harmful as those with “pyuria”? Animal data demonstrate it is the inflammatory response, not the presence of organisms, that causes renal damage in the form of scarring.<sup>7</sup> So the role of using evidence of inflammation in the urine to screen for who needs a culture seems justified on the basis not only of practicality at point of care and likelihood of UTI, but also sparing individuals at low-to-no risk of scarring from invasive urine collection. Moreover, using the urinalysis as a screen permits selecting individuals for antimicrobial treatment 24 hours sooner than if clinicians were to wait for culture results before treating. In a recent study that compared the rates of scarring according to the

duration between onset of fever and initiation of treatment, the rate increased slightly from 1 day to 1 to 2 days and 2 to 3 days, but then jumped after 3 days.<sup>8</sup> The urinalysis provides a practical window for clinicians to render prompt treatment. And Chaudhari et al<sup>1</sup> provide valuable assistance for interpreting the results of automated urinalyses.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author would like to thank the review and helpful suggestions of Alan R. Schroeder, MD.

#### ABBREVIATIONS

AB: asymptomatic bacteriuria  
LE: leukocyte esterase  
UTI: urinary tract infection  
WBC: white blood cell

#### REFERENCES

1. Chaudhari P, Monuteaux M, Bachur R. The optimal diagnostic threshold of pyuria for identifying UTI in young infants. *Pediatrics*. 2016;138(5):e20162370

2. Hoberman A, Wald ER, Penchansky L, Reynolds EA, Young S. Enhanced urinalysis as a screening test for urinary tract infection. *Pediatrics*. 1993;91(6):1196–1199
3. Schroeder AR, Chang PW, Shen MW, Biondi EA, Greenhow TL. Diagnostic accuracy of the urinalysis for urinary tract infection in infants <3 months of age. *Pediatrics*. 2015;135(6):965–971
4. Lavelle JM, Blackstone MM, Funari MK, et al. Two-step process for ED UTI screening in febrile young children: Reducing catheterization rates. *Pediatrics*. 2016;138(1):e20153023
5. Shaikh N, Shope TR, Hoberman A, Vigliotti A, Kurs-Lasky M, Martin JM. Association between uropathogen and pyuria. *Pediatrics*. 2016;138(1):e20160087
6. Kemper KJ, Avner ED. The case against screening urinalyses for asymptomatic bacteriuria in children. *Am J Dis Child*. 1992;146(3):343–346
7. Glauser MP, Meylan P, Bille J. The inflammatory response and tissue damage. The example of renal scars following acute renal infection. *Pediatr Nephrol*. 1987;1(4):615–622
8. Shaikh N, Mattoo TK, Keren R, et al. Early antibiotic treatment of febrile urinary tract infection and renal scarring. *JAMA Pediatr*. 2016;170(9):1–7

## The Diagnosis of UTI: Concentrating on Pyuria

Kenneth B. Roberts

*Pediatrics* 2016;138;

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2016-2877 originally published online October 18, 2016;

### Updated Information & Services

including high resolution figures, can be found at:  
<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/138/5/e20162877>

### References

This article cites 8 articles, 5 of which you can access for free at:  
<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/138/5/e20162877#BIBL>

### Subspecialty Collections

This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the following collection(s):

#### **Nephrology**

[http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/nephrology\\_sub](http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/nephrology_sub)

#### **Urology**

[http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/urology\\_sub](http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/urology_sub)

#### **Genitourinary Disorders**

[http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/genitourinary\\_disorders\\_sub](http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/genitourinary_disorders_sub)

### Permissions & Licensing

Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at:

<http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/Permissions.xhtml>

### Reprints

Information about ordering reprints can be found online:

<http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/reprints.xhtml>

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN™



# PEDIATRICS®

OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS

## **The Diagnosis of UTI: Concentrating on Pyuria**

Kenneth B. Roberts

*Pediatrics* 2016;138;

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2016-2877 originally published online October 18, 2016;

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:

<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/138/5/e20162877>

Pediatrics is the official journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. A monthly publication, it has been published continuously since 1948. Pediatrics is owned, published, and trademarked by the American Academy of Pediatrics, 141 Northwest Point Boulevard, Elk Grove Village, Illinois, 60007. Copyright © 2016 by the American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 1073-0397.

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN™

