

Late Pregnancy β Blocker Exposure and Risks of Neonatal Hypoglycemia and Bradycardia

Brian T. Bateman, MD, MSc,^{a,b} Elisabetta Patorno, MD, DrPH,^a Rishi J. Desai, MS, PhD,^a Ellen W. Seely, MD,^c Helen Mogun, MS,^a Ayumi Maeda, MD,^b Michael A. Fischer, MD, MS,^a Sonia Hernandez-Diaz, MD, DrPH,^d Krista F. Huybrechts, MS, PhD^a

abstract

BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVES: β blockers are widely used in the treatment of hypertensive disorders during pregnancy. These medications cross the placenta and may cause physiologic changes in neonates exposed in utero. We sought to define the risks of neonatal hypoglycemia and bradycardia associated with maternal exposure to β blockers at the time of delivery in a large, nationwide cohort of Medicaid beneficiaries.

METHODS: We used a cohort of 2 292 116 completed pregnancies linked to liveborn infants of Medicaid-enrolled women from 2003 to 2007. We examined the risks of neonatal hypoglycemia and neonatal bradycardia associated with maternal exposure to β blockers at the time of delivery. Propensity score matching was used to control for potential confounders including maternal demographics, obstetric and medical conditions, and exposure to other medications.

RESULTS: There were 10 585 (0.5%) pregnancies exposed to β blockers at the time of delivery. The risk of neonatal hypoglycemia was 4.3% in the β blocker–exposed neonates versus 1.2% in the unexposed; the risk of neonatal bradycardia was 1.6% in the exposed versus 0.5% in the unexposed. After controlling for confounders, risk remained elevated for both neonatal hypoglycemia and bradycardia among exposed pregnancies versus unexposed (adjusted odds ratio, 1.68, 95% confidence interval, 1.50–1.89 and adjusted odds ratio, 1.29, 95% confidence interval, 1.07–1.55, respectively).

CONCLUSION Our findings suggest that neonates born to mothers exposed to β blockers in late pregnancy, including labetalol, are at elevated risk for neonatal hypoglycemia and bradycardia.



^aDivision of Pharmacoepidemiology and Pharmacoeconomics, Department of Medicine, and ^cDivision of Endocrinology, Diabetes and Metabolism, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts; ^bDepartment of Anesthesiology, Critical Care, and Pain Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts; and ^dDepartment of Epidemiology, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts

Dr Bateman conceptualized and designed the study and drafted the initial manuscript; Drs Patorno, Desai, Seely, Mogun, Fischer, Hernandez-Diaz, and Huybrechts conceptualized and designed the study and reviewed and revised the manuscript; Ms Mogun designed the study, performed the data and statistical analysis, and critically reviewed the manuscript; Dr Maeda designed and performed the validation component of the study and reviewed and revised the manuscript; and all authors approved the final manuscript as submitted and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2016-0731

Accepted for publication Jun 15, 2016

WHAT'S KNOWN ON THIS SUBJECT: β blockers are commonly used to treat hypertensive disorders during pregnancy. β blockers can cross the placenta and may cause physiologic changes in neonates exposed in utero.

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS: β blockers, including labetalol, cause an elevated risk for both neonatal hypoglycemia and bradycardia among neonates exposed during late pregnancy compared with unexposed pregnancies.

To cite: Bateman BT, Patorno E, Desai RJ, et al. Late Pregnancy β Blocker Exposure and Risks of Neonatal Hypoglycemia and Bradycardia. *Pediatrics*. 2016;138(3):e20160731

Hypertensive disorders complicate between 5% and 10% of all pregnancies¹ and are associated with significant maternal and fetal morbidity.¹⁻⁴ Although the threshold for treating hypertension in pregnancy and the risks and benefits of treatment remain controversial,⁵⁻⁷ ~5% of pregnant women in the United States are treated with antihypertensive medications as outpatients each year.^{8,9} β blockers are some of the most widely used agents for the treatment of hypertension in pregnancy,^{8,9} and labetalol is now considered a first-line medication for the treatment of hypertension in pregnancy.¹⁰

Although generally considered safe for use in pregnancy, β blockers can cross the placenta and have the potential to cause physiologic changes in neonates exposed in utero.¹¹ Sympathetic blockade in the exposed neonates leads to 2 potential risks after delivery, neonatal hypoglycemia and neonatal bradycardia, and previous studies have suggested an association between late pregnancy β blocker exposure and these outcomes.^{7,11}

The magnitude of these risks and how they may vary as a function of type of β blocker remain poorly defined. In particular, there are very few data on whether these risks extend to the combined α - β blocker labetalol.¹² Understanding these risks is important because both of these outcomes, particularly prolonged neonatal hypoglycemia, have been tied to adverse fetal outcomes, including neurologic injury.^{11,13,14} If these drugs confer excess risk for these outcomes, neonates exposed in utero may benefit from more intensive monitoring after delivery.

We therefore sought to define the risks of neonatal hypoglycemia and bradycardia associated with maternal exposure to β blockers at the time of delivery in a large, nationwide cohort of Medicaid beneficiaries.

METHODS

Cohort

The cohort was defined using data from the Medicaid Analytic eXtract (MAX), a healthcare use database including Medicaid beneficiaries. MAX contains information regarding beneficiary demographic characteristics, inpatient admissions and outpatient clinic visits (including diagnosis and procedure claims), and dispensed prescriptions for outpatient medications. The use of this de-identified database for research was approved by the institutional review board of the Partners Healthcare System (Boston, MA).

A cohort for the study of medication safety in pregnancy was developed by using MAX data from 46 US states and the District of Columbia from 2000 to 2007, as previously described by Palmsten et al.¹⁵ The last menstrual period (LMP) before the pregnancy was defined using a validated algorithm based on maternal and infant diagnostic codes.^{15,16} This cohort has been used extensively for drug safety and use studies in pregnancy.^{9,17-23}

Before 2003, there was not a distinct diagnostic code indicating neonatal bradycardia, so we restricted the analysis to births occurring from 2003 to 2007. We restricted the cohort to women who were continuously eligible for Medicaid from 5 months after the LMP through 1 month postpartum. We required that women in the cohort be without restricted benefits, private insurance, or certain capitated managed care programs that underreported claims to MAX during this period of eligibility. To capture the outcomes of interest in the linked infants, we required that they meet the same eligibility criteria as the mothers for at least 1 month after birth (unless they died).

Exposure

Because the posited mechanism for β blockers causing neonatal hypoglycemia and bradycardia is direct placental transfer around the time of delivery, the primary exposure was maternal consumption of β blockers at the time of delivery, defined based on dispensed prescriptions with day's supply sufficient to cover the date of delivery. We considered prescriptions that were filled between 5 months after the LMP and the day of delivery and accumulated the days supply for consecutive prescriptions of the same medication, if a new dispensing occurred before the expected end date for the last prescription. The primary analysis included all β blockers, including combined α - β blockers, and combination medications that included a β blocker (see Supplemental Table 5). The reference group consisted of patients without β blocker exposure at the time of delivery. In secondary analyses, we examined the effect of exposure at the time of delivery to the most commonly used individual β blockers (labetalol, metoprolol, and atenolol) compared with nonexposure to any β blocker.

Outcomes

The outcomes of interest were defined by the presence of ≥ 1 diagnostic codes from the *International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision* (ICD-9) indicating the presence of neonatal hypoglycemia or bradycardia in the infant records from the day of birth to day of life 30. We identified recordings of the diagnostic codes for 1 month after delivery to allow for potential lags in the posting of claims.

To determine the positive predictive value of the diagnostic codes used to define the outcome in our study, after IRB approval, a member of our study team (A.M.) validated by electronic and paper chart review 50

randomly selected cases in which an infant had a diagnosis code indicating neonatal bradycardia (ICD-9 Clinical Modification 779.81) and 50 with a code indicating neonatal hypoglycemia (ICD-9 Clinical Modification 775.6X) recorded in the electronic medical record between 2003 and 2007 at a single academic medical center. Patients identified with the code who did not have a medical record associated with an inpatient admission during the first 30 days of life at the institution were excluded and replaced with additional randomly selected cases. The presence of neonatal bradycardia was defined as documentation of bradycardia in the newborn by a clinician in the medical record, heart rate of ≤ 100 , and/or treatment with rate control medications or resuscitative measures. Of the 50 cases reviewed, 47 cases met these criteria, resulting in a positive predictive value of the diagnostic code of 94% (95% confidence interval [CI], 83%–99%).

Neonatal hypoglycemia was defined as documentation of hypoglycemia in the newborn by a clinician in the medical record, a glucose level ≤ 45 mg/dL, and/or treatment with intravenous glucose or a prescribed increased frequency of feeding. Of the 50 cases reviewed, 48 cases met these criteria, resulting in a positive predictive value of the diagnostic code of 96% (95% CI, 87%–99%).

Covariates

We extracted 5 groups of potential confounders of the planned analysis: demographic characteristics, medical conditions, obstetrical conditions, maternal medications, and measures of healthcare use. The demographic characteristics assessed included maternal age at delivery, maternal race/ethnicity, and calendar year of delivery. Maternal medical conditions were defined based on

the presence of diagnostic codes recorded in the patients' inpatient or outpatient codes from 5 months after the estimated LMP to delivery; we considered the major indications for β blockade, along with conditions that were likely to be associated with both the exposure and outcome. Obstetrical conditions were defined in the same manner. Preterm delivery was adjusted for in the analysis because it may be a proxy for the severity of conditions that are indications for β blockers and is not expected to be a causal intermediate in the relationship between β blockers and the outcome. The assessment of maternal medication use included oral glucose-lowering medication or insulin use during the final month of pregnancy and was used as a marker for the severity of maternal gestational and preexisting diabetes. Finally, we defined 2 measures of healthcare use assessed from 5 to 6 months after LMP that might serve as markers for patients' overall burden of comorbidity and/or access to healthcare, the number of distinct non- β blocker prescriptions and the number of physician visits for any reason.

Statistical Analysis

We described the baseline characteristics for the analytic cohort stratified by β blocker exposure at the time of delivery, calculated the frequency of neonatal hypoglycemia and neonatal bradycardia stratified by β blocker exposure status, and determined unadjusted odds ratios (OR) and 95% CIs for the association between exposure and the outcome.

To account for differences with respect to potentially confounding variables between pregnancies exposed and unexposed to β blockers at the time of delivery, we used propensity scores (PS). The PS was estimated using a logistic regression model in which exposure was the dependent variable and

was estimated on the basis of all the covariates defined above without additional selection.

Women exposed to β blockers were then matched to those unexposed on the basis of the PS in a fixed 1:3 ratio using a nearest neighbor algorithm, with a maximum caliper of 0.05. After matching, we determined the distribution of all covariates in the exposed and unexposed, and the absolute difference in the frequency of each covariate was calculated. The numbers of outcomes occurring among exposed and unexposed pregnancies in the matched cohort were determined and the ORs and 95% CIs were again calculated. This analysis was repeated for the 3 most commonly used β blockers (labetalol, metoprolol, and atenolol) with the PS re-estimated for each of these analyses.

Sensitivity Analyses

In the first sensitivity analysis, we employed an active comparator design, because this may make the exposed and unexposed more comparable with respect to unmeasured confounders. We selected as our active comparator methyldopa that was the most commonly used antihypertensive medication in our cohort and that has not, to our knowledge, been associated with the outcomes of interest. Second, we defined a high dimensional PS (hd-PS) to adjust for empirically defined covariates in addition to the investigator-specified covariates; hd-PS has been shown to improve control of confounding in some circumstances.²⁴ Third, we conducted a sensitivity analysis in which we restricted to pregnancies complicated by preexisting diabetes or gestational diabetes. Infants born to mothers with diabetes are frequently screened for hypoglycemia. By imposing this restriction, we can reasonably assume that most infants will be screened, which reduces the likelihood

TABLE 1 Baseline Characteristics Stratified by Exposure to β Blockers, Before and After PS Matching

	Full Cohort		Matched Cohort		Absolute Difference
	β Blocker Exposed	β Blocker Unexposed	β Blocker Exposed	β Blocker Unexposed	
Total	10 585	2 281 531	10 561	31 683	
Age (y)					
≤ 19	894 (8.5)	440 708 (19.3)	894 (8.5)	2906 (9.2)	-0.7
20–24	2921 (27.6)	938 225 (41.1)	2920 (27.7)	9088 (28.7)	-1
25–29	2787 (26.3)	527 668 (23.1)	2784 (26.4)	8505 (26.8)	-0.5
30–34	2069 (19.6)	240 599 (10.6)	2060 (19.5)	5948 (18.8)	0.7
35–39	1400 (13.2)	107 070 (4.7)	1392 (13.2)	3882 (12.3)	0.9
≥ 40	514 (4.9)	27261 (1.2)	511 (4.8)	1354 (4.3)	0.6
Race					
White	6347 (60)	1 121 952 (49.2)	6328 (59.9)	19 374 (61.2)	-1.2
Black	2658 (25.1)	574 024 (25.2)	2654 (25.1)	8028 (25.3)	-0.2
Hispanic	746 (7.1)	296 576 (13)	746 (7.1)	2099 (6.6)	0.4
Asian	189 (1.8)	75 534 (3.3)	188 (1.8)	445 (1.4)	0.4
Other	395 (3.7)	147 696 (6.5)	395 (3.7)	1059 (3.3)	0.4
Unknown	250 (2.4)	65 749 (2.9)	250 (2.4)	678 (2.1)	0.2
Medical/obstetrical conditions					
Preexisting hypertension	6309 (59.6)	76 218 (3.3)	6285 (59.5)	19 251 (60.8)	-1.3
Gestational hypertension	4022 (38)	110 315 (4.8)	4006 (37.9)	12 670 (40)	-2.1
Mild preeclampsia	2626 (24.8)	92 618 (4.1)	2615 (24.8)	8018 (25.3)	-0.5
Severe preeclampsia	2474 (23.4)	42 122 (1.9)	2464 (23.3)	6828 (21.6)	1.8
Migraine	387 (3.7)	18 859 (0.8)	383 (3.6)	1251 (4)	-0.3
Cardiac arrhythmia	973 (9.2)	16 524 (0.7)	953 (9)	2848 (9)	0
Ischemic heart disease	48 (0.5)	591 (0)	45 (0.4)	88 (0.3)	0.2
Anxiety	308 (2.9)	21 456 (0.9)	304 (2.9)	912 (2.9)	0
Congestive heart failure	279 (2.6)	3025 (0.1)	268 (2.5)	554 (1.8)	0.8
Multiple gestation	259 (2.5)	34 670 (1.5)	258 (2.4)	761 (2.4)	0
Preterm delivery	3107 (29.4)	263 861 (11.6)	3089 (29.3)	8573 (27.1)	2.2
Preexisting diabetes	1466 (13.9)	85 451 (3.8)	1458 (13.8)	4063 (12.8)	1
Gestational diabetes	2175 (20.6)	190 371 (8.3)	2161 (20.5)	6281 (19.8)	0.6

Also included in the PS model: alcohol abuse, illicit drug use, tobacco use, chronic renal disease, essential tremor; number of distinct non- β blocker prescription drugs and physician visits during baseline period, calendar year, and maternal exposure to insulin or oral hypoglycemics in the final month of pregnancy.

that our results are vulnerable to surveillance bias (which would occur if infants born to mothers treated with β blockers were screened more often for hypoglycemia). Fourth, we restricted to term deliveries to examine whether there was effect modification by term delivery. Fifth, we excluded patients with β blockers dispensed from 5 months after the LMP to delivery who did not have a β blocker with days supply overlapping delivery from the reference group to minimize the potential for misclassification of the unexposed. Sixth, we excluded neonates with preterm delivery, who were small for gestational age, had low birth weight, or experienced intrauterine hypoxemia/birth asphyxia. Although these conditions cannot be determinants of treatment and may, in fact, in some instances be intermediates on

the causal pathway from β blocker exposure to the outcomes, they may also be proxies for the severity of the underlying indication. Finally, we explored 3 alternative definitions of outcome: (1) requiring recording of the outcomes of interest in the infant claims from day of birth to day of life 30 ≥ 2 times (which may increase the specificity of the outcomes definition in some cases); (2) defining the outcome based on inpatient claims only (which most closely matches the outcomes defined in our validation study); and (3) defining the presence of the outcomes using diagnoses recorded in both maternal and infant claims (as claims for the infant are sometimes instead applied to the maternal claims).

All analyses were performed by using SAS 9.3 (SAS Institute, Inc, Cary, NC).

RESULTS

The cohort included 2 292 116 completed pregnancies linked to liveborn infants, of which 10 585 (0.5%) pregnancies were exposed to β blockers at the time of delivery. Labetalol was the most commonly used β blocker ($n = 6748$), followed by metoprolol ($n = 1485$) and atenolol ($n = 1121$).

β blocker-exposed patients were more likely to be older, white, and have diagnoses that represent indications for the medication. They were also more likely to have preexisting or gestational diabetes and to deliver preterm. After matching on the basis of the PS, these differences were no longer present, with the absolute difference in the prevalence of all measured confounders $<3\%$ (Table 1).

TABLE 2 The Association Between β Blockers (Overall) and the Risks of Neonatal Hypoglycemia and Neonatal Bradycardia

	β Blocker Exposed		β Blocker Nonexposed		OR (95% CI)
	Outcomes	Total	Outcomes	Total	
Neonatal hypoglycemia					
Unadjusted	460	10585	27 228	2 281 531	3.76 (3.42–4.13)
PS-matched	459	10561	834	31 683	1.68 (1.50–1.89)
Neonatal bradycardia					
Unadjusted	165	10585	11 659	2 281 531	3.08 (2.64–3.6)
PS-matched	165	10561	385	31 683	1.29 (1.07–1.55)

TABLE 3 The Association Between Exposure to Specific β Blockers and the Risks of Neonatal Hypoglycemia and Neonatal Bradycardia

	Exposed		Nonexposed		OR (95% CI)
	Outcomes	Total	Outcomes	Total	
Labetalol					
Neonatal hypoglycemia					
Unadjusted	345	6748	27 228	2 281 531	4.46 (4.00–4.98)
Propensity-score matched	344	6730	593	20 190	1.78 (1.55–2.04)
Neonatal bradycardia					
Unadjusted	124	6748	11 659	2 281 531	3.65 (3.05–4.36)
Propensity-score matched	123	6730	276	20 190	1.34 (1.08–1.67)
Metoprolol					
Neonatal hypoglycemia					
Unadjusted	49	1485	27 228	2 281 531	2.83 (2.13–3.76)
Propensity-score matched	49	1484	91	4452	1.64 (1.15–2.33)
Neonatal bradycardia					
Unadjusted	12	1485	11 659	2 281 531	1.60 (0.91–2.81)
Propensity-score matched	12	1484	61	4452	0.59 (0.32–1.09)
Atenolol					
Neonatal hypoglycemia					
Unadjusted	30	1121	27 228	2 281 531	2.28 (1.59–3.28)
Propensity-score matched	30	1121	59	3363	1.54 (0.99–2.4)
Neonatal bradycardia					
Unadjusted	12	1121	11 659	2 281 531	2.11 (1.2–3.73)
Propensity-score matched	12	1121	31	3363	1.16 (0.60–2.27)

Neonatal hypoglycemia occurred in 4.3% of offspring of pregnancies exposed to β blockers compared with 1.2% of pregnancies that were unexposed, such that the OR associated with β blocker exposure was 3.76 (95% CI, 3.42–4.13) (Table 2). After matching, the risk of neonatal hypoglycemia was substantially attenuated but remained elevated (OR, 1.68; 95% CI, 1.50–1.89).

Neonatal bradycardia occurred in 1.6% of the infants born to mothers exposed to β blockers and in 0.5% of those born to mothers who were unexposed (OR, 3.08; 95% CI, 2.64–3.60). In the PS-matched cohort, the risk of neonatal bradycardia also remained, albeit attenuated by approximately threefold from the

crude estimate (OR, 1.29; 95% CI, 1.07–1.55).

We examined separately the 3 most commonly prescribed β blockers (Table 3). The risk estimates for neonatal hypoglycemia in the PS-matched cohorts were similarly increased in association with exposure to each of the agents, including labetalol (OR, 1.78; 95% CI, 1.55–2.04), metoprolol (OR, 1.64; 95% CI, 1.15–2.33), and atenolol (OR, 1.54; 95% CI, 0.99–2.40). Risk estimates for neonatal bradycardia across the specific β blockers in the PS-matched cohorts were less consistent, although the CIs for some estimates were wide: labetalol (OR, 1.34; 95% CI, 1.08–1.67), metoprolol (OR, 0.59; 95% CI, 0.32–1.09),

and atenolol (OR, 1.16; 95% CI, 0.60–2.27).

Results across each of the sensitivity analyses conducted were similar to those from the main analysis, although for some analyses, in the setting of widened CI, the lower bound of the 95% CI intersected the null (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

In this large cohort study that included ~2.2 million pregnancies, over 10 000 of which were exposed to β blockers around the time of delivery, we observed an ~70% increase in the risk of neonatal hypoglycemia and a 30% increase in the risk of neonatal bradycardia in infants born to mothers taking

TABLE 4 Sensitivity Analyses Showing the Association Between β Blocker Exposure and the Risks of Neonatal Hypoglycemia and Neonatal Bradycardia

	Neonatal Hypoglycemia, OR (95% CI)	Neonatal Bradycardia, OR (95% CI)
Reference methyl dopa ^a		
Unadjusted	1.57 (1.34–1.83)	1.28 (1–1.63)
PS-matched	1.67 (1.39–2.01)	1.21 (0.91–1.6)
hd-PS adjustment		
Unadjusted	3.76 (3.42–4.13)	3.08 (2.64–3.6)
PS-matched	1.44 (1.28–1.63)	1.09 (0.90–1.32)
Restricted: patients with diabetes ^b		
Unadjusted	2.83 (2.39–3.36)	N/A
PS-matched	1.50 (1.22–1.84)	N/A
Restricted: term deliveries		
Unadjusted	3.10 (2.64–3.65)	2.07 (1.4–3.04)
PS-matched	1.69 (1.38–2.06)	1.35 (0.85–2.14)
Excluding patients from reference group with β blocker exposure		
Unadjusted	3.77 (3.43–4.15)	3.10 (2.65–3.61)
PS-matched	1.69 (1.51–1.90)	1.27 (1.06–1.53)
Excluded neonates with preterm delivery, small for gestational age, low birth weight, or intrauterine hypoxemia/birth asphyxia		
Unadjusted	2.98 (2.50–3.55)	2.05 (1.35–3.12)
PS-matched	1.53 (1.24–1.90)	1.61 (0.96–2.71)
Two codes in the infant record		
Unadjusted	3.73 (3.2–4.34)	3.29 (2.39–4.53)
PS-matched	1.70 (1.41–2.06)	1.34 (0.92–1.97)
Codes from inpatient claims only		
Unadjusted	3.66 (3.29–4.06)	3.21 (2.72–3.80)
PS-matched	1.79 (1.57–2.04)	1.30 (1.07–1.59)
Codes in the infant or maternal record		
Unadjusted	3.76 (3.44–4.12)	3.00 (2.58–3.49)
PS-matched	1.67 (1.49–1.86)	1.25 (1.05–1.5)

N/A, not applicable.

^a 1:1 (given similar numbers in unmatched cohorts in both groups).

^b Restricted: patient with preexisting or gestational diabetes.

these medications after adjusting for relevant confounders. In addition, we found that these risks were present for the most commonly used medication in the class, the combined α - β blocker labetalol. These results suggest that infants born to mothers exposed to β blockers should be monitored for these conditions and potentially screened for hypoglycemia after birth.

Our findings confirm and extend those of previous studies. A recent meta-analysis of randomized controlled clinical trials examining treatment of mild to moderate hypertension in pregnancy suggested that treatment with oral β blockers was associated with an increased risk of neonatal bradycardia (risk ratio

[RR], 1.93; 95% CI, 1.05–3.53).²⁵ Although data from RCTs on the risk of neonatal hypoglycemia associated with β blockers is inconclusive, with a wide CI in the pooled estimate (RR, 0.81; 95% CI, 0.44–1.49) and unclear exposure status for the participant around the time of delivery,²⁵ a recent observational study reported a threefold increased risk in association with third-trimester exposure (RR, 3.1; 95% CI, 2.2–4.2).¹¹ These findings have physiologic plausibility, because β blockers are known to cross the placenta and have the potential to cause sympathetic blockade in exposed infants.

It has not previously been clear whether these risks extend to the combined α - β blocker labetalol,

which is recommended as a first-line therapy for the treatment of hypertension in pregnancy.¹² Our findings suggest that labetalol exposure is associated with a 1.3-fold increase in the risk of neonatal bradycardia and a 1.8-fold increased risk for hypoglycemia. Clinicians should therefore be alert to the possibility of these outcomes in infants exposed in utero to this commonly used antihypertensive.

Although the literature on the effects of neonatal hypoglycemia on long-term neurodevelopment is limited and conflicting,²⁶ brain imaging studies suggest that the developing brain may be vulnerable to hypoglycemia.^{13,14} This motivates the prompt recognition and treatment of this condition to avoid the potential for neurologic injury. Guidelines do not generally include maternal use of β blockers as an indication for routine blood glucose monitoring in asymptomatic infants.²⁷ Our findings suggest that such monitoring may be indicated, particularly as it is possible that the β blocker transmitted in utero to an infant may mask its symptoms. Defining the value of such monitoring will be an important focus for future clinical studies.

Our study has several important strengths. Its large size makes it possible to generate relatively precise risk estimates both for β blockers overall and for specific medications. It assesses drug exposure in an objective fashion that is free from recall bias. Finally, it captures a wide range of potential confounders and controls for them with PS-based methods.

The study also has some limitations inherent in its design. First, the outcomes are defined based on the presence of diagnostic codes for the conditions of interest recorded in the infant claims, introducing the possibility of outcome misclassification. However, our chart-based validation suggests that these diagnoses are recorded with

very high accuracy in claims data (>90% for both conditions) and any misclassification of outcome (assuming it is nondifferential with respect to exposure status) will be expected to bias the outcome to the null. Second, although we attempted to rigorously define and adjust for all measured clinical factors that might confound the association between β blocker exposure and the outcome, the possibility exists that the reported associations may be biased by unknown or unmeasured confounders. To minimize this limitation, we performed a sensitivity analysis using an active comparator design. In this analysis, the patients in the reference group are expected to closely match those in the exposed group with respect to unmeasured conditions.²⁸ The findings from this analysis were very similar to those of the main study, suggesting the observed associations are not likely to be due to residual confounding. We do not have information about

whether infants were screened for the presence of hypoglycemia. If infants born to women exposed to β blockers were more closely monitored for this condition, it could lead to surveillance bias. However, when we restricted the analysis to offspring of diabetic mothers (who are routinely screened for hypoglycemia), the increased risk of hypoglycemia associated with the use of β blockers persisted and was of a similar magnitude to that of the main analysis. Finally, the strength of the association between β blocker exposure and neonatal bradycardia was weaker than the association with hypoglycemia. In many of the sensitivity analyses, the CI for the bradycardia estimate crosses the null. This association therefore needs to be interpreted with some caution.

CONCLUSIONS

Infants born to mothers exposed to β blockers, including labetalol, around

the time of delivery are at heightened risk for neonatal bradycardia and hypoglycemia. Our results support increased surveillance of these infants, including routine glucose monitoring, so that these conditions can be promptly recognized and treated.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Sara Z. Dejene for her work as a research assistant on this project.

ABBREVIATIONS

CI: confidence interval
hd-PS: high-dimensional propensity score
ICD-9: *International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision*
LMP: last menstrual period
MAX: Medicaid Analytic eXtract
OR: odds ratio
PS: propensity score
RR: risk ratio

Address correspondence to Brian T. Bateman, MD, MSc, Division of Pharmacoepidemiology and Pharmacoeconomics, Department of Medicine, Brigham & Women's Hospital, 1620 Tremont St, Ste 3030, Boston, MA 02120. E-mail: bbateman@partners.org

PEDIATRICS (ISSN Numbers: Print, 0031-4005; Online, 1098-4275).

Copyright © 2016 by the American Academy of Pediatrics

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE: The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health. The authors have indicated they have no financial relationships relevant to this article to disclose.

FUNDING: Research reported in this publication was supported by the *Eunice Kennedy Shriver* National Institute of Child Health and Human Development of the National Institutes of Health (Bethesda, Maryland) under award K08HD075831 (Dr Bateman), the National Heart Lung and Blood Institute at the National Institutes of Health under grant K24HL096141 (Dr Seely), and the National Institute of Mental Health under grant K01MH099141 (Dr Huybrechts). The Medicaid Analytic eXtract pregnancy cohort was supported by Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality grant R01HS018533. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health. Funded by the National Institutes of Health (NIH).

POTENTIAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST: Dr Hernandez-Diaz has consulted for AstraZeneca and UCB for unrelated projects, and served as investigator on grants to the Brigham & Women's Hospital from Lilly and Pfizer. The Pharmacoepidemiology Program at the Harvard School of Public Health is supported by Pfizer, Takeda, Bayer, and Asisa. Drs Huybrechts and Bateman are investigators on grants to the Brigham & Women's Hospital from Lilly and Pfizer, and Dr Bateman is an investigator on grants from Baxalta, unrelated to the topic of this manuscript. The other authors have indicated they have no potential conflicts of interest to disclose.

COMPANION PAPER: A companion to this article can be found online at www.pediatrics.org/cgi/doi/10.1542/peds.2016-1691.

REFERENCES

1. Kuklina EV, Ayala C, Callaghan WM. Hypertensive disorders and severe obstetric morbidity in the United States. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2009;113(6):1299–1306
2. ACOG Committee on Practice Bulletins—Obstetrics. ACOG practice bulletin. Diagnosis and management of preeclampsia and eclampsia. Number 33, January 2002. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2002;99(1):159–167
3. Bateman BT, Bansil P, Hernandez-Diaz S, Mhyre JM, Callaghan WM, Kuklina EV. Prevalence, trends, and outcomes of chronic hypertension: a nationwide sample of delivery admissions. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2012;206(2):134.e1–134.e8
4. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. ACOG Practice Bulletin No. 125: Chronic hypertension in pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2012;119(2 Pt 1):396–407
5. Magee LA, von Dadelszen P, Rey E, et al. Less-tight versus tight control of

- hypertension in pregnancy. *N Engl J Med*. 2015;372(5):407–417
6. Tita AT, Sibai BM, Oparil S. Less-tight versus tight control of hypertension in pregnancy. *N Engl J Med*. 2015;372(24):2366–2367
 7. Abalos E, Duley L, Steyn DW. Antihypertensive drug therapy for mild to moderate hypertension during pregnancy. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2014;(2):CD002252
 8. Andrade SE, Raebel MA, Brown J, et al. Outpatient use of cardiovascular drugs during pregnancy. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf*. 2008;17(3):240–247
 9. Bateman BT, Hernandez-Diaz S, Huybrechts KF, et al. Patterns of outpatient antihypertensive medication use during pregnancy in a Medicaid population. *Hypertension*. 2012;60(4):913–920
 10. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists; Task Force on Hypertension in Pregnancy. Hypertension in pregnancy. Report of the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists' Task Force on Hypertension in Pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2013;122(5):1122–1131
 11. Davis RL, Eastman D, McPhillips H, et al. Risks of congenital malformations and perinatal events among infants exposed to calcium channel and beta-blockers during pregnancy. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf*. 2011;20(2):138–145
 12. Daskas N, Crowne E, Shield JP. Is labetalol really a culprit in neonatal hypoglycaemia? *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed*. 2013;98(2):F185
 13. Tam EW, Widjaja E, Blaser SI, Macgregor DL, Satodia P, Moore AM. Occipital lobe injury and cortical visual outcomes after neonatal hypoglycemia. *Pediatrics*. 2008;122(3):507–512
 14. Burns CM, Rutherford MA, Boardman JP, Cowan FM. Patterns of cerebral injury and neurodevelopmental outcomes after symptomatic neonatal hypoglycemia. *Pediatrics*. 2008;122(1):65–74
 15. Palmsten K, Huybrechts KF, Moğun H, et al. Harnessing the Medicaid Analytic eXtract (MAX) to Evaluate Medications in Pregnancy: Design Considerations. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(6):e67405
 16. Margulis AV, Setoguchi S, Mittleman MA, Glynn RJ, Dormuth CR, Hernández-Díaz S. Algorithms to estimate the beginning of pregnancy in administrative databases. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf*. 2013;22(1):16–24
 17. Palmsten K, Huybrechts KF, Michels KB, et al. Antidepressant use and risk for preeclampsia. *Epidemiology*. 2013;24(5):682–691
 18. Palmsten K, Hernández-Díaz S, Huybrechts KF, et al. Use of antidepressants near delivery and risk of postpartum hemorrhage: cohort study of low income women in the United States. *BMJ*. 2013;347:f4877
 19. Bateman BT, Hernandez-Diaz S, Huybrechts KF, et al. Outpatient calcium-channel blockers and the risk of postpartum haemorrhage: a cohort study. *BJOG*. 2013;120(13):1668–1676; discussion 1676–1667
 20. Desai RJ, Hernandez-Diaz S, Bateman BT, Huybrechts KF. Increase in prescription opioid use during pregnancy among Medicaid-enrolled women. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2014;123(5):997–1002
 21. Huybrechts KF, Palmsten K, Avorn J, et al. Antidepressant use in pregnancy and the risk of cardiac defects. *N Engl J Med*. 2014;370(25):2397–2407
 22. Bateman BT, Hernandez-Diaz S, Fischer MA, et al. Statins and congenital malformations: cohort study. *BMJ*. 2015;350:h1035
 23. Desai RJ, Huybrechts KF, Hernandez-Diaz S, et al. Exposure to prescription opioid analgesics in utero and risk of neonatal abstinence syndrome: population based cohort study. *BMJ*. 2015;350:h2102
 24. Schneeweiss S, Rassen JA, Glynn RJ, Avorn J, Moğun H, Brookhart MA. High-dimensional propensity score adjustment in studies of treatment effects using health care claims data. *Epidemiology*. 2009;20(4):512–522
 25. Magee LA, Duley L. Oral beta-blockers for mild to moderate hypertension during pregnancy. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2003; (3):CD002863
 26. Boluyt N, van Kempen A, Offringa M. Neurodevelopment after neonatal hypoglycemia: a systematic review and design of an optimal future study. *Pediatrics*. 2006;117(6):2231–2243
 27. Adamkin DH; Committee on Fetus and Newborn. Postnatal glucose homeostasis in late-preterm and term infants. *Pediatrics*. 2011;127(3):575–579
 28. Schneeweiss S, Patrick AR, Stürmer T, et al. Increasing levels of restriction in pharmacoepidemiologic database studies of elderly and comparison with randomized trial results. *Med Care*. 2007;45(10 Supl 2):S131–S142

Late Pregnancy β Blocker Exposure and Risks of Neonatal Hypoglycemia and Bradycardia

Brian T. Bateman, Elisabetta Paterno, Rishi J. Desai, Ellen W. Seely, Helen Mogun, Ayumi Maeda, Michael A. Fischer, Sonia Hernandez-Diaz and Krista F. Huybrechts
Pediatrics 2016;138;

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2016-0731 originally published online August 30, 2016;

Updated Information & Services

including high resolution figures, can be found at:
<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/138/3/e20160731>

References

This article cites 28 articles, 8 of which you can access for free at:
<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/138/3/e20160731#BIBL>

Subspecialty Collections

This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the following collection(s):
Fetus/Newborn Infant
http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/fetus:newborn_infant_sub
Neonatology
http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/neonatology_sub
Cardiology
http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/cardiology_sub
Cardiovascular Disorders
http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/cardiovascular_disorders_sub

Permissions & Licensing

Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at:
<http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/Permissions.xhtml>

Reprints

Information about ordering reprints can be found online:
<http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/reprints.xhtml>

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN™



PEDIATRICS®

OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS

Late Pregnancy β Blocker Exposure and Risks of Neonatal Hypoglycemia and Bradycardia

Brian T. Bateman, Elisabetta Paterno, Rishi J. Desai, Ellen W. Seely, Helen Mogun, Ayumi Maeda, Michael A. Fischer, Sonia Hernandez-Diaz and Krista F. Huybrechts
Pediatrics 2016;138;

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2016-0731 originally published online August 30, 2016;

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:

<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/138/3/e20160731>

Data Supplement at:

<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/suppl/2016/08/25/peds.2016-0731.DCSupplemental>

Pediatrics is the official journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. A monthly publication, it has been published continuously since 1948. Pediatrics is owned, published, and trademarked by the American Academy of Pediatrics, 141 Northwest Point Boulevard, Elk Grove Village, Illinois, 60007. Copyright © 2016 by the American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 1073-0397.

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN™

