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BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVE: Night teams of hospital providers have become more common in the wake of resident physician duty hour changes. We sought to examine relationships between nighttime communication and parents’ inpatient experience.

METHODS: We conducted a prospective cohort study of parents (n = 471) of pediatric inpatients (0–17 years) from May 2013 to October 2014. Parents rated their overall experience, understanding of the medical plan, quality of nighttime doctors’ and nurses’ communication with them, and quality of nighttime communication between doctors and nurses. We tested the reliability of each of these 5 constructs (Cronbach’s α for each > .8). Using logistic regression models, we examined rates and predictors of top-rated hospital experience.

RESULTS: Parents completed 398 surveys (84.5% response rate). A total of 42.5% of parents reported a top overall experience construct score. On multivariable analysis, top-rated overall experience scores were associated with higher scores for communication and experience with nighttime doctors (odds ratio [OR] 1.86; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.12–3.08), for communication and experience with nighttime nurses (OR 6.47; 95% CI, 2.88–14.54), and for nighttime doctor–nurse interaction (OR 2.66; 95% CI, 1.26–5.64) (P < .05 for each). Parents provided the highest percentage of top ratings for the individual item pertaining to whether nurses listened to their concerns (70.5% strongly agreed) and the lowest such ratings for regular communication with nighttime doctors (31.4% excellent).

CONCLUSIONS: Parent communication with nighttime providers and parents’ perceptions of communication and teamwork between these providers may be important drivers of parent experience. As hospitals seek to improve the patient-centeredness of care, improving nighttime communication and teamwork will be valuable to explore.

WHAT'S KNOWN ON THIS SUBJECT:
Communication between parents and providers is an important driver of parent experience of care. The impact of nighttime communication, which has become increasingly relevant after changes in resident physician duty hours, on parent experience is unknown.

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS: Parent communication with nighttime doctors and nurses, and parent perceptions of communication and teamwork between these providers, may be important drivers of parent experience. Efforts to improve nighttime communication, both with parents and between team members, may improve parent experience.
Patient and family experience is an important measure of the quality of inpatient care. Poor patient experience is associated with negative patient outcomes, including illness recovery and treatment adherence. Patient experience scores are also increasingly being linked to reimbursements and assessments of hospital performance.

Parents’ communications with providers (doctors and nurses) are important predictors of their experience. However, parents’ communication with nighttime providers in particular has not been well studied. Communication at night may fundamentally differ from communication during the day. Staffing levels are typically lower, provider roles differ, and patients may be cared for by providers who know them less well (especially given recent reductions in consecutive resident physician duty hours). Communication may also be adversely affected by sleep deprivation and circadian misalignment as well as parental anxiety. Therefore, we sought to explore the relationships between nighttime communication and parent experience of care during hospitalization by analyzing rates and predictors of parent-reported “top-box” responses (defined as the most positive response option in a scale) to experience questions in a cohort of hospitalized children.

METHODS

Data, Setting, and Study Population
We conducted a prospective cohort study of parents of a randomly selected subset of children (0–17 years) before anticipated discharge from 2 general pediatric units at Boston Children’s Hospital between May 2013 and October 2014. We included general pediatric, “short stay” (patients with straightforward illnesses), and subspecialty (e.g., adolescent, immunology, hematology, rheumatology) patients. Research assistants administered written surveys to parents on weekday (Monday–Thursday) evenings. After explaining instructions and answering questions, research assistants left surveys with parents to complete. Research assistants checked in with families 2 to 3 times that evening, or the next morning if requested, to collect completed surveys. We obtained verbal consent from parents by using a study information sheet. We used hospital administrative data to obtain patient demographic and clinical characteristics. Our hospital institutional review board approved the study.

Exclusions
Given limited nighttime interpreter resources, we included only English-speaking parents. To ensure that parents had sufficient time to assess nighttime communication, we included only parents of patients who had spent ≥2 nights in the hospital. We excluded parents of patients “boarding” on the inpatient unit awaiting psychiatric placement, in state custody, or ≥18 years old.

Survey Development
We developed a survey to assess overall inpatient experience and parent experience regarding nighttime communication with and between providers. Our survey included 29 closed questions with a 5-point Likert scale to assess communication and experience. Questions covered 5 distinct constructs: (1) parent understanding of the medical plan, (2) parent communication and experience with nighttime doctors, (3) parent communication and experience with nighttime nurses, (4) parent perceptions of nighttime interaction between doctors and nurses, and (5) parent overall experience of care during hospitalization. We validated the reliability of each construct by calculating a Cronbach’s $\alpha$ ($\alpha > .8$ for each).

We also included in the survey an open question asking whether parents had anything else to share about communication during the hospitalization and 10 parent demographic questions. We designed this survey with the input of family partners and a survey methodologist. We cognitively tested and piloted the instrument in the study units before data collection.

Outcome Measure
Our primary outcome was parent-reported “top-box” overall experience of care during hospitalization (a dichotomous outcome generated from Construct 5). The top-box refers to the most positive response to a survey question. In our survey, items within the overall experience construct included 6 questions that used an agreement scale (top-box = strongly agree) and one that used a quality scale (top-box = excellent). Responses were subsequently coded onto a 5-point scale for analysis (e.g., excellent and strongly agree responses were assigned a score of 5). Parents were considered to have top-box overall experience if they had a score of 5 out of 5 for all items in this construct.

Predictors of Top-Box Overall Experience
We examined the relationship between mean scores for each construct (Constructs 1–4) and our dichotomous top-box overall experience outcome (Construct 5). We assessed which parent and patient characteristics were associated with overall top-box experience. We evaluated parent age, gender, race/ethnicity, education, income, and primary language based on parent survey responses. We evaluated patient age, insurance, length of stay, and complex chronic condition (CCC).
count based on hospital administrative data. The CCC system uses International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification codes to identify medically complex children. It uses these codes to capture “any medical condition that can be reasonably expected to last at least 12 months (unless death intervenes) and to involve either several different organ systems or 1 organ system severely enough to require specialty pediatric care and probably some period of hospitalization in a tertiary care center.”19 Mean construct scores, age, and length of stay were analyzed as continuous predictors; all other predictors were dichotomized.

Statistical Analyses
We performed a descriptive analysis of parent and patient characteristics by using percentages for categorical variables and means (SDs) for continuous variables. For survey questions pertaining to parent-reported communication and experience, we calculated mean scores (SDs) for each of the 5 constructs and for the 29 individual items within these constructs. However, for the purposes of modeling relationships, given the large number of survey questions, we opted to examine aggregate constructs rather than individual items.

To identify factors associated with top-box overall experience (our primary outcome), we dichotomized the sample into a top-box overall experience group (a score of 5 out of 5 for all items within the overall experience construct [Construct 5]) and a non-top-box group.

For bivariate analysis, we assessed the association of categorical sociodemographic and clinical factors across the 2 groups by using the χ² and Fisher’s exact tests where appropriate. We used the analysis of variance test to assess differences between the 2 groups in mean scores for Constructs 1–4 and the Wilcoxon–Mann–Whitney test to assess differences in nonparametric continuous variables (patient age, length of stay). Covariates with a P of <.20 in the bivariate analyses were added into the multivariable logistic regression model. A P of <.05 was considered statistically significant.

We also performed a content analysis with clustering according to theme on text from the open-ended question asking whether parents had anything else to share about communication. We collected and managed study data using REDCap (REDCap Consortium, Nashville, TN).20 We performed analyses by using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Inc, Cary, NC).

RESULTS
Sample Characteristics
Among eligible parents, 471 (98.9%) consented to participate in the study, and 398 completed surveys (84.5% response rate). Parents were predominantly female (69.1%), white (52.0%), primarily English-speaking (83.4%), college-educated (66.6%), with a mean age of 36.8 years (SD 8.9); 44.0% reported an annual household income ≥$50 000. Patients were predominantly ≤5 years old (57.3%), white (53.0%), and non–publicly insured (61.5%), with no CCCs (73.6%) and a median length of stay of 2.6 days (interquartile range 1.9–4.1) (Table 1).

Top-Box Experience Scores by Construct and by Individual Item
Overall, 42.5% (n = 169) of parents reported top-box overall experience (a score of 5 out of 5 on all items in the overall experience construct [Construct 5]). Mean (SD) construct scores ranged from 4.05 (0.88) for the nighttime doctor experience and communication construct to 4.59 (0.51) for the overall experience construct (our outcome) (Table 2).
Mean (SD) construct scores for parents who reported top-box overall experience as compared with parents who did not report top-box overall experience were 4.69 (0.50) vs 4.19 (0.64) for understanding of the medical plan (Construct 1), 4.55 (0.68) vs 3.68 (0.83) for communication and experience with nighttime doctors (Construct 2), 4.85 (0.30) vs 4.31 (0.59) for communication and experience with nighttime nurses (Construct 3), and 4.87 (0.37) vs 4.19 (0.71) for interaction between nighttime doctors and nurses (Construct 4) (P < .001 for all).

Individual items for which parents provided highest ratings included feeling that nurses listened to their concerns (70.5% strongly agreed), having the same understanding of the medical plan as nighttime nurses (70.3% always), and feeling that nurses thought of them as an important part of the health care team (69.2% strongly agreed). Items for which parents reported lowest ratings included regular communication with nighttime doctors (31.4% strongly agreed), being updated about what changes to look out for overnight (41.0% strongly agreed), quality of communication with nighttime doctors (43.7% excellent), and coordination between daytime and nighttime doctors (43.9% excellent).

More than 80% of parents who reported top-box overall experience provided top ratings for coordination between daytime and nighttime nurses and for teamwork between nighttime doctors and nurses, as compared with <40% of parents who did not report top-box overall experience (P < .05 for both) (Fig 1).

Bivariate predictors of top-box overall experience included patient age and increased scores for Constructs 1–4: parent understanding of the medical plan, communication and experience with nighttime doctors, communication and experience with nighttime nurses, and communication and experience with nighttime nurses and I had the same understanding of my child care team caring for my child. (70.5% strongly agreed), feeling that nurses listened to my concerns about my child. 390 4.62 (0.57) and feeling that nurses valued my input about my child. 388 4.55 (0.65)

Construct 3: Communication and Experience With Nighttime Nurses

14. I regularly communicated with my child’s nighttime nurses. 394 4.54 (0.72)
15. I always had a chance to ask my nighttime nurses questions about my child. 393 4.61 (0.66)
16. How would you rate the availability of your child’s nighttime nurses? 393 4.50 (0.74)
17. How would you rate the quality of communication you had with your child’s nighttime nurses? 391 4.52 (0.74)
18. My child’s nighttime nurses always seemed to know the medical plan for my child. 395 4.55 (0.62)
19. My child’s nighttime nurses and I had the same understanding of my child’s medical plan. 391 4.67 (0.57)
20. How well did your child’s daytime and nighttime nurses coordinate with one another? 387 4.42 (0.80)
Overall nighttime nurses construct score 394 4.54 (0.55)

Construct 4: Nighttime Interaction Between Doctors and Nurses

21. My child’s nighttime doctors and nurses had the same understanding as one another of my child’s medical plan. 374 4.61 (0.62)
22. How would you rate teamwork among your nighttime doctors and nurses? 377 4.37 (0.83)
Overall nighttime interaction construct score 378 4.49 (0.87)

Construct 5: Overall Experience

23. How would you rate the overall quality of care your child received? 393 4.55 (0.77)
24. I felt like my child’s doctors valued my input about my child. 388 4.55 (0.65)
25. I felt like my child’s doctors listened to my concerns about my child. 393 4.62 (0.57)
26. I felt like my child’s doctors thought of me as an important part of the health care team caring for my child. 393 4.51 (0.71)
27. I felt like my child’s nurses valued my input about my child. 389 4.63 (0.59)
28. I felt like my child’s nurses listened to my concerns about my child. 390 4.66 (0.58)
29. I felt like my child’s nurses thought of me as an important part of the health care team caring for my child. 386 4.63 (0.82)
Overall experience construct score 398 4.59 (0.51)

All scores represent responses to questions with 5-point Likert scale responses. 1 = lowest quality response (never for items 4, 5, 12, 19, 21; poor for items 9, 10, 13, 16, 17, 20, 22, 23, and strongly disagree for all other items). 5 = highest quality response (always, excellent, or strongly agree for the corresponding items). Constructs 1–4 are predictors, and Construct 5 was used to generate the primary outcome.
nighttime nurses, and interaction between nighttime doctors and nurses \((P < .05\) for all) (Table 3).

Multivariable predictors (odds ratio \([\text{OR}]\) and 95% confidence interval \([\text{CI}]\)) of top-box overall experience included increased patient age \((\text{OR} 1.06; 95\% \text{ CI}, 1.01–1.11)\) and higher mean scores for Constructs 2–4:

- **Communication and Experience With Nighttime Doctors**
  - \([\text{OR} 1.86; 95\% \text{ CI}, 1.12–3.08] \), \(P = .02\)
- **Communication and Experience With Nighttime Nurses**
  - \([\text{OR} 6.47; 95\% \text{ CI}, 2.88–14.54] \), \(P < .001\)
- **Interaction Between Nighttime Doctors and Nurses**
  - \([\text{OR} 2.66; 95\% \text{ CI}, 1.26–5.64] \), \(P = .01\)

TABLE 3  Bivariate and Multivariable Predictors of Top-Box\(^a\) Overall Experience

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Bivariate</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Multivariable</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean construct score(^b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construct 1: Understanding of Medical Plan</td>
<td>6.98</td>
<td>4.15–11.76</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>0.80–2.64</td>
<td>.22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construct 2: Communication and Experience</td>
<td>5.27</td>
<td>3.80–7.71</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>1.12–3.08</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construct 3: Communication and Experience</td>
<td>20.66</td>
<td>10.17–41.99</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>6.47</td>
<td>2.88–14.54</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construct 4: Interaction Between Doctors</td>
<td>12.36</td>
<td>6.92–22.06</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>1.26–5.64</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Parents were considered to have top-box overall experience if they had a score of 5 out of 5 for all items within this construct.

Narrative Comments

Narrative comments \((n = 109)\) provided by parents in response to the open-ended item asking whether they had anything else to share about communication covered a number of themes (see Table 4 for illustrative quotes for each). The majority of parents relayed positive comments \((n = 64)\) that ranged from general to detailed comments about respectfulness, clarity of communication, experience with staff, teamwork, attentiveness, patient and family involvement, and thoroughness. However, some expressed concerns about communication with their doctors and nurses \((n = 36)\). These included concerns related to completeness and
TABLE 4 Parent Narrative Comments About Inpatient Communication (n = 109)

A. Positive Experiences (n = 64)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Example(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General experience</td>
<td>&quot;They are wicked awesome!&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respectfulness</td>
<td>&quot;Everybody was very polite and made me feel like my son was a top priority and not just a patient.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experience with nurses and physicians</td>
<td>&quot;Doctor and nurse did a wonderful job!&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experience with nurses</td>
<td>&quot;The nurses show us a very professional and friendly approach. They are always available all the time we need them.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarity of communication</td>
<td>&quot;All doctors + nurses knew her plan, updated me with changes + if there were changes to her plan everyone knew it. Great communication!&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experience with specific individuals</td>
<td>&quot;Loved the Diabetes Center Manager.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teamwork</td>
<td>&quot;Excellent teamwork.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attentiveness</td>
<td>&quot;Very attentive and responsive.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Involvement of patient and family in care</td>
<td>&quot;The entire health care team have been phenomenal in their attentiveness to [ ]. Involving the patient in [the] planning process and decision making was a great help to ease his mind.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thoroughness</td>
<td>&quot;The drs + nurses have provided my child with excellent care. I feel that they have been very thorough.&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Concerns (n = 36)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Example(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Completeness and quality of communication</td>
<td>&quot;The only slight concern I had was there was slight confusion between the nurses relating to the fluid intake which required clarification with the doctors in the early stages.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absence of nighttime doctors</td>
<td>&quot;I never saw a nighttime doctor. No one ever explained any plan of care.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delays in communication</td>
<td>&quot;I did not get a chance to see the doctors in the morning before I had to leave (9:30 am). I was hoping to get lab results.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conflicting communication</td>
<td>&quot;We got conflicting opinions from 2 daytime doctors and didn’t know who to listen to.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;Daytime plan was sometimes conflicting between doctors and nurses.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpersonal concerns</td>
<td>&quot;Night doctor bedside manner needs to improve . . . you could tell by her eyes being closed she did not like being corrected.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeling dismissed</td>
<td>&quot;I felt like she needed an anti-inflammatory, however doctors totally disregarded me . . . I asked for Dr. [ ] because my family has history w/him. They told me I could seek him out on my own. However, two days prior to discharge he happened to be on the ‘Team’ that came to visit. He wanted to know why my daughter hadn’t received any steroids for inflammation?”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“The care team doesn’t always get back to me with answers about every concern I had.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daytime versus nighttime</td>
<td>&quot;I felt that the daytime doctors were much more involved whereas the nighttime doctors were there just to make sure that the game plan established by the day doctors went smoothly.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repetition</td>
<td>&quot;It seemed as though none of the teams shared information before meeting us for the first time so we were forced to repeat ourselves every time about basic information.”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C. Suggestions for Improvement (n = 9)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Example(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identifying staff</td>
<td>&quot;It would be helpful to have a final list of the daily doctors, residents and fellows. I always knew nurses’ names.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;Would be nice to have a pt wipe board in the room listing day/date, his current RN + MD’s names and his medical plan for the day, and what approx. time the MD will round next.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;Need to write names on board, so many different healthcare providers. I started doing it.”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

We found that parents who experienced suboptimal communication and teamwork at night were much more likely to rate their overall hospital experience poorly. More than 80% of parents who reported top-box overall experience provided top scores for teamwork among nighttime doctors and nurses, as compared with <40% of parents who did not report top-box overall experience. On multivariable analyses, parents’ ratings of their direct communications with doctors and nurses, and their observations of teamwork and communication between doctors and nurses, were significant predictors of top-box overall experience. These findings suggest that improving nighttime communication could be an important, largely unrecognized means by which hospitals could achieve improvements in patient experience.

Parents’ communication with providers is known to affect their ratings of inpatient experience.6,7 Previous studies have not focused on differences between communication during the day and night, however. Over the past decade, hospitals have increasingly moved toward care models in which different teams of providers care for patients by day and by night. Parent communications with nighttime providers, who may be responsible for more than half of care...
TABLE 4 Continued

A. Positive Experiences (n = 64)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Example(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Written summaries</td>
<td>“1. A summary sheet of appointments or written on the board. 2. An early explanation, expectation of how long the stay would be would have been nice.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More communication</td>
<td>“There is always room for more communication.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nighttime updates</td>
<td>“It would be nice if day nurse came in with night nurse at change of shift to introduce and help parents transition to night/day team.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>“I would recommend only discussing serious diagnoses at length if they have been confirmed.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“The pharmacy needs to be more informed of the patients’ med times before the upcoming scheduled med so meds do not arrive onto floor to be given late.”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Parents’ narrative comments (109/398 responded), organized by category (positive experiences [A], concerns [B], and suggestions for improvement [C]) and theme. Illustrative quotes for each category are provided.

provided in hospitals, may differ from communications with daytime providers given different provider roles and responsibilities at night. Interestingly, we found that parent experience seemed predicated not only on parent communications at night with physicians and nurses but also on parent perceptions of nighttime communication and teamwork between nurses and physicians. Our study suggests that parents may perceive, to a greater extent than providers realize, problems in interprofessional teamwork and communication that in turn may affect their experience. Thus, our data suggest that in addition to interventions to improve communications between health care providers and parents, initiatives to improve interprofessional (eg, physician–nurse) and intraprofessional (eg, daytime physician–nighttime physician or daytime nurse–nighttime nurse) communication and teamwork may be associated with improved parent experience of care.

Beyond having an impact on parent experience, teamwork and communication interventions may affect patient outcomes. The quality of provider–patient communication predicts treatment adherence,21–24 Physician–nurse interactions are associated with patient mortality and readmissions.25,26 Teamwork has been correlated with patient outcomes27 and quality of care.28,29 An important secondary finding of our study was the absence of nighttime doctors at the bedside. The nighttime doctor construct had the lowest mean score (4.0) of all 5 constructs, and of all individual survey items, parents reported the lowest score for regular communication with nighttime doctors (only 31% strongly agreed). Although parents who reported suboptimal experience had significantly lower scores than their counterparts for all survey items, these differences were particularly marked for items relating to communication with nighttime doctors. For instance, 70% of parents who reported top-box overall experience rated quality of communication with nighttime doctors as excellent, compared with only 24% of parents who did not report top-box overall experience. These results may reflect, in part, night physicians engaging in behind-the-scenes care coordination and communication, of which parents are unaware. Regardless, parent perceptions of physician presence appear to be associated with overall experience.

The narrative comments from parents in our study enable a richer understanding of these findings. For instance, 1 parent remarked that the role of the nighttime doctors seemed merely to ensure that the plan established by the day doctors went smoothly. Although resident physicians in the studied residency program are taught through the I-PASS program that responsibility is fully transferred to them when they assume care at night,30 the realities of discontinuity in coverage, decreased staffing, and increased workload appear to have a continued impact at night. Hospitals seeking to address this challenge will need to contend with cultural change and consider changes in staff logistics and resources.

In contrast, parents seemed to report particularly high scores for experience with nighttime nurses. The nighttime nurse experience construct had a mean score of 4.5, and parents’ highest-rated single item was whether they thought nurses listened to their concerns (71% strongly agreed). Experience with nighttime nurses was also our strongest predictor of overall experience. We found more than a sixfold increase in the odds of having a top-box overall experience for every 1-point increase in the mean nighttime nurse communication and experience construct score. Our study was not designed to directly compare the relative importance of physician, nurse, and physician–nurse factors in informing parent experience, and CIs for these 3 domains overlap. However, our results did preliminarily suggest a particularly important role of the nighttime nurse in shaping overall care experience. This finding is consistent with previous literature31 and may reflect in part the large amount of time nurses often spend at the bedside.

Our study has a number of possible implications warranting additional exploration for how hospitals and providers might improve nighttime communication and thereby
improve parent experience. However, rigorously designed intervention studies are needed to determine their effectiveness, ensure feasibility, and avoid unintended negative consequences. Possible interventions warranting future study include targeted initiatives to increase the amount of time spent at the bedside by nighttime physicians earlier in the evening (while parents are awake) and initiatives to allow parents to more clearly identify their daytime and nighttime care teams (eg, through white boards or photo information face sheets). Additional interventions, as suggested by parents in our study, include providing written documentation with updates about the care plan. Also, although a great deal of emphasis has been placed on improving communication at change of shift between daytime and nighttime physicians, our study suggests that efforts to improve interdisciplinary communication, such as teamwork training, multidisciplinary handoffs that involve parents, huddles, and bedside shift reports, may provide additional value. In addition, technology may be leveraged to improve real-time communication between members of the care team, including parents, nurses, and physicians. For instance, secure text messaging may be an efficient way to improve communication, even when staffing is low and workload is high. In addition to more studies to ensure their feasibility and effectiveness, many of these interventions require culture change, as well as practical, logistical, and financial support.

We recognize that night is a difficult time for physicians, nurses, and families alike given different roles and priorities compared with daytime care (eg, dealing with emergencies in a larger cohort of patients; provider, patient, and parent sleep). Nevertheless, these data suggest that the limited time available to nighttime providers is greatly valued by parents as the communication preferences. 

Our study had several limitations. It was conducted at a single, tertiary care children’s hospital among English-speaking, predominantly female, well-educated parents of patients admitted for ≥2 nights, all of which limit generalizability. The experience of parents of patients admitted for less time or in other contexts may vary. Additionally, unlike most parent experience surveys, which are typically mailed to families after discharge, we conducted our survey before discharge, while parents were still in the hospital with their children. Therefore, we were not able to capture the association between the discharge process and parent experience of inpatient hospitalization. This limitation may have biased our study toward higher experience scores. However, our response rates were high. In addition, parents do not have the opportunity to observe all communication between physicians and nurses, so their perceptions may reflect only a portion of such communication or may capture other elements of care. Finally, we were not able to determine causality in this study, and there are probably complex connections between the types of communication captured in our survey constructs that we could not fully explore here. Additional study is needed to examine these relationships in greater detail.

CONCLUSIONS

In an era of increasing reliance on night teams of residents, our study helps fill gaps in the literature about nighttime care in hospitals. It suggests that nighttime communication may be an important driver of parent experience of care and may be an underrecognized area of improvement for providers and hospitals. Targeted interventions that focus on improving teamwork and communication at night have the potential to improve patient experience and other important indicators of care quality.
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