Maternal Versus Infant Vitamin D Supplementation During Lactation: A Randomized Controlled Trial
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OBJECTIVE: Compare effectiveness of maternal vitamin D3 supplementation with 6400 IU per day alone to maternal and infant supplementation with 400 IU per day.

METHODS: Exclusively lactating women living in Charleston, SC, or Rochester, NY, at 4 to 6 weeks postpartum were randomized to either 400, 2400, or 6400 IU vitamin D3/day for 6 months. Breastfeeding infants in 400 IU group received oral 400 IU vitamin D3/day; infants in 2400 and 6400 IU groups received 0 IU/day (placebo). Vitamin D deficiency was defined as 25-hydroxy-vitamin D (25(OH)D) <50 nmol/L. 2400 IU group ended in 2009 as greater infant deficiency occurred. Maternal serum vitamin D, 25(OH)D, calcium, and phosphorus concentrations and urinary calcium/creatinine ratios were measured at baseline then monthly, and infant blood parameters were measured at baseline and months 4 and 7.

RESULTS: Of the 334 mother-infant pairs in 400 IU and 6400 IU groups at enrollment, 216 (64.7%) were still breastfeeding at visit 1; 148 (44.3%) continued full breastfeeding to 4 months and 95 (28.4%) to 7 months. Vitamin D deficiency in breastfeeding infants was greatly affected by race. Compared with 400 IU vitamin D3 per day, 6400 IU/day safely and significantly increased maternal vitamin D and 25(OH)D from baseline (P < .0001). Compared with breastfeeding infant 25(OH)D in the 400 IU group receiving supplement, infants in the 6400 IU group whose mothers only received supplement did not differ.

CONCLUSIONS: Maternal vitamin D supplementation with 6400 IU/day safely supplies breast milk with adequate vitamin D to satisfy her nursing infant’s requirement and offers an alternate strategy to direct infant supplementation.

WHAT’S KNOWN ON THIS SUBJECT: The vitamin D concentration in breast milk of women taking 400 IU vitamin D per day is relatively low, leading to vitamin D deficiency in breastfeeding infants. As a result, the American Academy of Pediatrics recommends breastfeeding infant vitamin D supplementation within days after birth.

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS: Maternal vitamin D supplementation alone with 6400 IU/day safely supplies breast milk with adequate vitamin D to satisfy the requirement of her nursing infant and offers an alternate strategy to direct infant supplementation.
Breast milk has long been held as the “perfect” food for the human neonate with one caveat: it contains insufficient vitamin D for nursing neonates to maintain minimal circulating levels of the precursor hormone 25-hydroxy-vitamin D (25(OH)D; calcidiol), and thus skeletal integrity. In fact, when compared with formula-fed infants, solely breastfed infants are at increased risk of developing rickets. This is especially true in African American breastfed infants. Vitamin D activity in “normal” lactating women’s milk is known to be in the range of 5 to 80 IU/L depending on the method of assay, however, the vitamin D content of human milk can be greatly increased by maternal oral vitamin D supplementation and/or increasing solar exposure of the mother. Infants solely breastfed by women with vitamin D intakes of 400 IU/day typically attain a circulating 25(OH)D concentration in the marginally sufficient to severely deficient (<12.5 nmol/L) range. Therefore, to address this risk of deficiency, supplementation of all breastfeeding infants beginning within a few days of birth has been recommended by both the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) and the Institute of Medicine (IOM). Although this has been the recommendation for decades, it is rarely followed for various reasons, with low compliance ranging from 2% to 19%, leaving the nursing infant at significant risk for vitamin D deficiency.

The amount of vitamin D required by a lactating woman to normalize her own vitamin D status and ensure adequate vitamin D concentrations in her milk for her breastfeeding infant is predicted by known pharmacokinetics about vitamin D transfer into human milk. Early studies demonstrated some effectiveness of maternal vitamin D supplementation on increasing circulating 25(OH)D levels in nursing infants. Our research group performed an interventional study providing 6400 IU vitamin D₃ per day to lactating mothers for a 6-month period that produced dramatic increases in both milk vitamin D and infant circulating 25(OH)D concentrations. The results of that pilot study became the basis for this larger National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, 2-site randomized clinical trial (RCT) using 3 maternal doses of oral vitamin D₃ in a diverse group of women for a 6-month period starting at 1 month postpartum. Baseline characteristics of the lactating mother and infant cohort have been published previously.

Our study was designed to test the primary hypothesis that the lactating woman requires substantially more dietary vitamin D than the amount received from maternal supplementation with 400 IU/day. We based our maternal supplementation dosing on previous studies: for every 1000 IU per day vitamin D₃, milk antirachitic activity would increase by ~80 IU/L in a way that would sustain the nursing infant. Thus, if successful, our strategy could offer an alternative to the largely failed direct infant supplementation strategy. The findings of this supplementation trial are presented here.

METHODS

Design

This was a randomized, double-blind, comparative effectiveness trial of 3 doses of vitamin D supplementation in lactating mothers and their breastfeeding infants (November 2005–August 2012). The study was conducted at the Medical University of South Carolina (MUSC) and the University of Rochester (U of R). Approval was granted by (1) MUSC’s Institutional Review Board for Human Subjects HR 16536 and Clinical and Translational Research Center (CTRC; Protocol 752); and (2) U of R’s Institutional Review Board (14460) and CTRC (Protocol 1129), and registered via ClinicalTrials.gov NCT00412074.

Following written informed consent, mothers were randomized to 1 of 3 vitamin D supplementation regimens: Group 1: 400 IU vitamin D₃ per day (0 IU vitamin D₃: placebo and 1 prenatal vitamin containing 400 IU vitamin D₃); Group 2: 2400 IU (2000 vitamin D₃ per day and 1 prenatal containing 400 IU vitamin D₃); and Group 3: 6400 IU vitamin D₃ per day (6000 IU vitamin D₃ and 1 prenatal containing 400 IU vitamin D₃). Breastfeeding infants also were given 1 drop per day of a liquid suspension vitamin D supplement (Bio-D-Mulsion, Biotics Research, Rosenberg, TX) as follows: those infants in Group 1 received 400 IU vitamin D₃ as previously described, and infants in Groups 2 and 3 received a placebo emulsion containing 0 IU vitamin D₃ for the 6-month study period.

Mothers and infants were evaluated monthly with maternal blood samples and urine samples obtained at those visits. Infant urine samples were obtained monthly but blood samples were drawn only at baseline (4–6 weeks postpartum; V1), month 4 (V4), and month 7 (V7).

Participants

Exclusively breastfeeding mothers and their singleton infants receiving no other form of nutrition other than human milk at the time of study entry within 4 to 6 weeks postpartum were eligible for inclusion in the study if they planned to continue exclusive/full breastfeeding for the next 6 months. Infants had to be ≥35 weeks’ gestation and in good general health at the time of enrollment. Exclusion criteria are summarized in the Methods section of the Supplemental information.

Outcome Measures

Laboratory Measurements

1. Maternal and infant baseline serum calcium and phosphorus were
measured using standard methodology and laboratory normative data by MUSC’s and U of R’s Clinical Chemistry Laboratories. Cross-validation between laboratories was performed for 5% of the samples (interassay variation 5.4%).

2. Circulating 25(OH)D and vitamin D (parent compound) were measured using high performance liquid chromatography and radioimmunoassay techniques as previously described.27–30 On the basis of clinical laboratory classifications31,32 and the work of Heaney et al33 and Vieth et al,35,36 deficiency was defined a priori as total circulating 25(OH)D <50 nmol/L (<20 ng/mL).16,30,32,36 The inter- and intraassay coefficient of variation was ≤10% (see Methods in the Supplemental Information).

3. Maternal and Infant Circulating intact PTH Concentrations were measured by immunoradiometric assay (Diasorin, Stillwater, MN).37

Statistical Methods
Sample Size and Power Considerations
One hundred and eighty-nine participants were to be randomized into 3 treatment arms, with 63 per supplementation arm stratified by race/ethnicity. With stopping of the 2400 IU arm (see Methods in the Supplemental Information for details), there were to be 126 mother/infant pairs enrolled in the two remaining arms. The loss of the 2400 IU group (see Methods in the Supplemental Information) did not alter the capability of the other arms in assessing their effectiveness because each can be viewed as an independent trial.

Of the 564 women who consented to participate in the study, 175 women/infant pairs met exclusion criteria (Fig 1). Of the remaining women, 389 women met the criterion for exclusive breastfeeding at entrance into the study and had baseline 25(OH)D concentrations measured; 55 were in the 2400 IU group and excluded from final analysis, with 334 mother/infant pairs randomized to the 400 IU and 6400 IU groups. Allocation, interim analysis, follow-up, and final analysis as well as reasons for exclusion at V4 and V7 are included in Fig 1 and in the Results section of the Supplemental Information.

Statistical Analyses
The primary outcome was change from baseline maternal and infant total circulating 25(OH)D concentrations at 4 and 7 months postpartum in exclusively/fully lactating pairs by treatment group, and the secondary outcome was the percent of women and infants by treatment group with 25(OH)D <50 nmol/L at baseline, 4 and 7 months’ postpartum (V4 and V7).

The analysis was undertaken as intention-to-treat in which all exclusively/fully breastfeeding mothers randomized to 1 group were considered to be within that group throughout the analysis.38 Comparison of the 3 treatment groups at entrance into the study was performed to detect potential differences with regard to sociodemographic and baseline clinical characteristics (no statistically significant differences; data not shown). After stopping the 2400 IU arm due to safety concerns for the infants (see Methods in the Supplemental Information), time-point measures were restricted to the 2 remaining 400 IU and 6400 IU treatment groups.

Statistical analyses were performed by using SAS version 9.3 (SAS, Cary, NC). Descriptive statistics were used to characterize and compare the groups at baseline. \( \chi^2 \) and analysis of variance were used to test for differences in categorical data. Student’s t test analyses were used to test for differences in normally distributed variables. The Wilcoxon rank-sum test was used for analyses involving nonparametric variables. Regression methods (multivariate and logistic) included variables that were significant in bivariate analysis to model 25(OH)D status. Correlation analysis was performed by Spearman’s correlation. Significance was set a priori as \( P < .05 \).

RESULTS
Of the 334 women randomized into the 400 and 6400 IU arms of the study who had baseline 25(OH)D concentration measured, 118 women stopped exclusively breastfeeding after randomization. Of the remaining 216 exclusively/fully breastfeeding mother-infant pairs enrolled and randomized into the 400 and 6400 IU arms of the study with baseline 25(OH)D values, 148 (64.7%) continued to exclusively/fully breastfeed and completed the study to V4; 95 (28.4%) completed the study through visit 7. As shown in Fig 1, the main reason for subject attrition was change of breastfeeding status. Table 1 summarizes baseline sociodemographic and clinical characteristics data in the 400 vs 6400 IU groups of mothers who were exclusively/fully breastfeeding at the time of enrollment. The average maternal dietary vitamin D intake (IU/day) was ~200 IU/day.

Vitamin D status at baseline for mother and infant by race are found in Table 2. African American mothers and infants had substantially lower circulating 25(OH)D levels than did white subjects with several minority infants exhibiting severe vitamin D deficiency (2.5 nmol/L 25(OH)D) after 1 month of breastfeeding.

Comparison of maternal and infant laboratory parameters in the 400 IU and 6400 IU groups are found in Fig 2A, 2B, 2C, and 2D (see also Supplemental Tables 3, 4, 5, and 6). Whereas women who were exclusively/fully breastfeeding through V4 differed on baseline 25(OH)D by treatment group with
a slightly higher initial concentration in the 6400 IU group, this difference was not seen in the group of women who were exclusively/fully breastfeeding through V7. There were similar numbers of women in both treatment groups who met the IOM definition of vitamin D deficiency (25(OH)D < 50 nmol/L) at baseline. The other vitamin D–related laboratory values did not differ at baseline between the treatment groups. By V4 there was a difference in maternal 25(OH)D (Fig 2A) and vitamin D, and additionally at V7 only with iPTH and serum phosphorus being lower in the 6400 IU group. Within group comparisons of the mothers over time revealed the following: exclusively/fully lactating women in the 400 IU group had –6.5 nmol/L decline in 25(OH)D between V1 and V4 and –10.5 nmol/L decline in 25(OH)D between V1 and V7 (P = .02) compared with +51.3 nmol/L in the 6400 IU group between V1 and V4 that was sustained through V7 (P < .0001). In a model predicting maternal 25(OH)D that included race/ethnicity, treatment, and maternal BMI, treatment with 6400 IU was the strongest predictor (parameter estimate 67.2 ± 5.8 nmol/L; P < .0001).

Focusing on the infants in the study, those infants fully breastfed through V4 did not differ by treatment group on any of the parameters measured at either baseline or at V4 (see also Supplemental Tables 5 and 6). Of note, 70% of those babies at 1 month (V1) met the IOM definition of vitamin D deficiency (25(OH)D concentration < 50 nmol/L). Those infants who were fully breastfed through V7 did not differ by treatment group at baseline, V4 or V7 on any of the parameters measured but there was deficiency at baseline
Maternal BMI 27.8
Maternal vitamin D dietary intake (IU) 234.8
Days postpartum 37.5
Maternal baseline total circulating vitamin D3 (nmol/L) 9.5
Infant birth wt (g) 3345.6
Maternal age (y) 28.7
Maternal wt (lb) 156.5
Maternal calcium intake, mg/day 1236.2
Maternal Kcal intake 2378.4
Infant baseline total circulating 25(OH)D (nmol/L) 33.7
Infant length (cm) 54.7
Infant gestational age (wk) 39.3

in >75% of the infants at V1. By V4, there was marked improvement that was sustained to V7 in both treatment groups. Thus, infants whose only source of vitamin D was maternal (6400 IU group) did not differ from those infants who received oral supplementation of 400 IU/day (400 IU Group) on any of the laboratory parameters tested. Mean 25(OH)D (SD) by treatment group of exclusively/fully breastfeeding infants through V4 is depicted in Fig 2B, and through V7 is depicted in Fig 2D. Across the visits, there were no differences in infant serum calcium, creatinine, phosphorus, or urinary calcium/creatinine ratios.

When analyzed by treatment group, there were no differences in infant weight, length, and head circumference at any of the visits, which persisted even after controlling for race/ethnicity (data not shown). Baseline anterior fontanelle area (AFA) did not differ by treatment group (see Table 1). Maternal and infant 25(OH)D concentration at V1 correlated with differences between treatment groups: AFA 7.0 ± 4.8 cm² in the 400 IU group infants versus 3.7 ± 3.7 cm² in the 6400 IU group (P = 0.037). This difference was not seen in the

### TABLE 1

| Characteristic                                      | 400 IU Group (n = 110) | 6400 IU Group (n = 106) | P  
|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------
| Maternal race/ethnicity                             |                        |                         |      
| Black                                               | 26 (23.6)              | 23 (21.7)               | .5   
| Hispanic                                            | 32 (29.1)              | 25 (23.6)               |      
| White                                               | 52 (47.3)              | 58 (54.7)               |      
| Education                                           |                        |                         |      
| Less than high school education                     | 16 (14.6)              | 14 (13.2)               |      
| High school graduate                                | 22 (20.0)              | 17 (16.0)               |      
| College or more                                     | 72 (65.5)              | 75 (70.8)               |      
| Employed full-time at study entrance                | 72 (65.5)              | 75 (70.8)               |      
| Insurance                                           |                        |                         |      
| Commercial                                          | 49 (44.6)              | 57 (53.8)               | .2   
| Medicaid/None                                       | 61 (55.5)              | 49 (46.2)               |      
| BMI >30                                              | 28 (25.5)              | 21 (19.8)               | .5   
| Season at study entry                               |                        |                         |      
| April–September                                     | 57 (51.8)              | 66 (56.2)               |      
| October–March                                       | 53 (48.2)              | 46 (43.4)               |      
| Interpregnancy interval (mo)                        | 24.0 (1.0–132.0, 83)   | 24.0 (1.0–156.0, 81)    | .4   
| Parity                                              | 2.0 (0.0–6.0, 110)     | 2.0 (0.0–5.0, 106)      | .8   
| Maternal Health Rating Scale                        | 9.5 (0.0–100, 110)     | 9.00 (0.0–100, 106)     | .9   
| Maternal age (y)                                    | 28.7 ± 6.5             | 29.0 ± 5.8              | .8   
| Maternal wt (lb)                                    | 156.5 ± 35.3           | 161.2 ± 30.4            | .8   
| Maternal BMI                                        | 27.8 ± 5.5             | 27.4 ± 4.3              | .6   
| Days postpartum                                     | 37.5 ± 8.6             | 36.0 ± 7.2              | .2   
| Maternal smart probe forearm                        | 56.8 ± 9.5             | 56.0 ± 9.5              | .5   
| Maternal vitamin D dietary intake (IU)              | 234.8 ± 147.4          | 201.5 ± 119.1           | .2   
| Maternal Kcal intake                                | 2578.4 ± 919.0         | 2274.7 ± 838.7          | .6   
| Maternal calcium intake, mg/day                     | 5235.2 ± 252.5         | 1201.4 ± 500.2          | .7   
| Maternal baseline total circulating 25(OH)D (nmol/L) | 81.2 ± 31.8            | 90.7 ± 34.8             | .06  
| Maternal baseline total circulating vitamin D3 (nmol/L) | 9.5 ± 21.5             | 6.4 ± 10.0              | .2   
| Infant birth wt (g)                                 | 3345.8 ± 475.7         | 3460.3 ± 481.1          | .08  
| Infant gestational age (wk)                         | 39.5 ± 1.4             | 39.4 ± 1.0              | .5   
| Infant fontanelle area (cm)                         | 9.5 ± 5.9              | 9.6 ± 6.1               | .7   
| Infant birth head circumference (cm)                | 37.8 ± 13.0            | 37.7 ± 1.5              | .6   
| Infant length (cm)                                  | 54.7 ± 2.4             | 54.5 ± 2.6              | .6   
| Infant baseline total circulating 25(OH)D (nmol/L)   | 33.7 ± 23.5            | 37.9 ± 23.3             | .2   

### TABLE 2

Baseline 25(OH)D (nmol/L) a at 1 Month Postpartum in Exclusively Breastfeeding Mothers and Infants by Race/Ethnicity Who Participated Through V4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race/Ethnicity</th>
<th>25(OH)D (nmol/L), Mean ± SD (Range)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mother</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black/African American, n = 28</td>
<td>69.8 ± 27.7 (26.5–132.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic, n = 32</td>
<td>71.2 ± 24.5 (14.5–153.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White, n = 88</td>
<td>105.4 ± 32.7 (47.8–230.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black/African American, n = 28</td>
<td>24.1 ± 23.1, (12.5–113.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic, n = 32</td>
<td>29.4 ± 20.8, (12.5–88.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White, n = 88</td>
<td>43.4 ± 22.9, (10.5–106.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Profound deficiency by the IOM’s Guidelines is defined as a 25(OH)D concentration <25 nmol/L (10 ng/mL) for both adults and children (including neonates and young infants).  

b The level of detection of the assay for 25(OH)D is 2.5 nmol/L.
subcohort of infants who continued to breastfeed through V7.

The number of adverse events and serious adverse events did not differ by treatment group. There were 7 adverse events among the breastfeeding mothers/infants equally distributed by treatment group. The Data and Safety Monitoring Committee (DSMC) deemed these events as not being related to treatment dose.

DISCUSSION

In this study of 3 dosing schedules in lactating women and their exclusively/fully breastfeeding infants, maternal supplementation with 6400 IU vitamin D₃/day was superior to either 2400 IU or 400 IU/day in safely achieving robust maternal vitamin D sufficiency that allowed sufficient vitamin D transfer in the breast milk for infant vitamin D sufficiency for the 6-month study period. Thus, when compared with infants receiving a daily oral vitamin D supplement of 400 IU/day, infants whose mothers were taking 6400 IU vitamin D daily (as their sole source of vitamin D) achieved equivalent vitamin D status. With appropriate vitamin D intake, the lactating mother can fully transfer from her blood to her milk the vitamin D required to sustain optimal vitamin D nutrition in the nursing infant with no additional supplementation required for the infant. Furthermore, the safety profiles of women in each treatment group were equivalent. As viewed by the DSMC, there were no instances of adverse events attributable to vitamin D supplementation.

When this study was initiated, the IOM upper limit for vitamin D was 2000 IU per day. An Investigational New Drug application to the US Food and Drug Administration was mandated to conduct both the current study and our pregnancy vitamin D supplementation trials. Since that time, the IOM has increased the upper limit to 4000 IU per day, and the Endocrine Society set the upper limit at 10 000 IU/day. During the past
decade several studies, including our own, were performed using our original Food and Drug Administration Investigational New Drug application involving several thousand patients. To our knowledge, not a single adverse event has been attributed to vitamin D supplementation at the doses ranging from 2000 to 6400 IU/day.

It is universally accepted that vitamin D toxicity is associated with hypercalcemia, hypercalciuria, and risk of renal stones. In 2006, Jackson et al published the Women’s Health Initiative study that claimed an adjusted vitamin D intake of 280 IU per day resulted in an increase in renal stone incidence. These findings are in marked contrast to the results of a recent report involving several thousand subjects consuming up to 10 000 IU vitamin D per day for 1.5 years that demonstrated no relationship with renal stones. In our studies, we have never observed an event of hypercalcemia associated with vitamin D intake or circulating levels of 25(OH)D. Concern remains about vitamin D toxicity as it relates to mortality. A recent meta-analysis by Garland et al on the subject, however, clearly demonstrated increased all-cause mortality at low circulating levels with no such relationship at higher levels. Finally, the levels of circulating 25(OH)D we report here are robust and consistent with levels achieved in various populations involving only solar exposure with no dietary supplementation.

Human milk has long been known to supply inadequate amounts of vitamin D to nutritionally support the solely breastfed infant. Over the decades, we and others have reported the vitamin D content of human milk and thus its antirachitic activity. These studies have provided valuable information. Universally, the antirachitic activity of human milk is quite low, 5 to 80 IU/L, unless the lactating mother is ingesting a significant amount of vitamin D daily or getting significant total body UV exposure. It is the parent compound, vitamin D itself, which overwhelmingly gets transferred into human milk from the maternal circulation. This is an important yet almost universally misunderstood fact. Although circulating vitamin D readily gains access to human milk, circulating 25(OH)D does not, and this transfer relationship occurs over a massive range of vitamin D intakes and/or circulating levels. Thus, one cannot assume that because a lactating mother’s circulating 25(OH)D level is adequate, her milk vitamin D activity will be. This is confirmed in our baseline data (Table 1) in which mothers had been breastfeeding their infants for 1 month. Maternal baseline circulating 25(OH)D levels were quite good, ~80 to 90 nmol/L; however, infant circulating 25(OH)D levels were in the very low range, ~35 nmol/L, with many exhibiting dire deficiency, <2.5 nmol/L. This is because circulating vitamin D3 in the mothers was low, and, in many cases, undetectable (<4 nmol/L), making mother’s milk a poor source of vitamin D activity. Why? Because the circulating half-life of 25(OH)D is 3 to 4 weeks, and that of vitamin D is ~12 to 24 hours, reflecting their binding affinity to vitamin D binding protein. This reduced affinity of vitamin D3 allows the unbound vitamin D3 to diffuse across cell membranes from blood into the milk. This concept is discussed in depth elsewhere. Thus, a daily dose of vitamin D is required to sustain both circulating and milk levels of vitamin D in the lactating woman.

From the standpoint of nature, low vitamin D content in breast milk is an odd circumstance. Would nature allow so little vitamin D in breast milk that the nursing infant would develop rickets from ingesting it? We did not believe so. Our belief was that breast milk was deficient in vitamin D due solely to lack of solar exposure and dietary recommendations for vitamin D put forth in recent decades. The current IOM recommendation for vitamin D intake during lactation is 400 to 600 IU/d, yet historical data suggest that this level of maternal supplementation does nothing to increase the vitamin D content of her milk and/or support adequate nutritional vitamin D status in her nursing infant. This fact is precisely why the AAP recommends every nursing infant receive a daily supplement of 400 IU vitamin D. However, this last recommendation treats only the infant and does not address the core problem of why breast milk has such low concentrations of vitamin D. Also, the AAP recommendation is rarely followed as evidenced by our baseline entry data for breastfed infants (Table 1). In our study infants, only 12% were being given supplements at baseline, which concurs with previous reports. This is reflected by the base circulating 25(OH)D levels in nonsupplemented infants of ~35 nmol/L following the first month of breastfeeding, which was less than half that of the supplemented breastfeeding infants (data not shown). This fact alone highlights how the AAP recommendation is ignored to the detriment of the infant.

The strengths of this 2-site study are that it was conducted at 2 distinct latitudes with strong racial/ethnic diversity such that the results can be applied to a wide-range of breastfeeding mothers and their infants. Additional strengths of the study are that it was conducted as an RCT to assess the comparative effectiveness of 3 treatments. Maternal and infant laboratory measures further ensured the safety of the higher dose treatment groups. Limitations of this study, however, are that of the original enrolled women, 
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64.7% at V1 and 44.3% at V4 were still exclusively breastfeeding. That rate continued to decrease in the ensuing months, with only 28.4% at 7 months still fully breastfeeding (with the addition of complementary foods at 6 months). The rates of breastfeeding decline in the study mirrored what has been reported nationally by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. This attrition rate had been taken into account in the original study design, and the number of subjects available for analysis at 7 months was according to the sample size and power calculations. Another limitation is that although it was not possible to measure the vitamin D moieties in the breast milk samples in this study because of cost, we had previously demonstrated how the parent compound vitamin D (cholecalciferol and ergocalciferol) is transferred from the mother to her milk and to her recipient infant. With that being said, however, the most important factor is the amount of vitamin D in mother’s milk that will support the vitamin D status of her nursing infant, which was shown to be the case in this study.

Vitamin D deficiency is almost universal among solely breastfed infants not receiving oral vitamin D supplementation. This problem is especially acute in the black population. This issue is depicted in Table 2 in which one can see that several minority infants exhibited dire vitamin D deficiency, ≤25 nmol/L circulating 25(OH)D, after 1 month of being solely breastfed. The newborn human infant who is solely breastfed can only acquire vitamin D through direct dietary supplementation, direct sun exposure, and/or ingestion of breast milk. Direct supplementation is not adhered to and direct infant sun exposure is contrary to the AAP’s recommendations of no direct sun exposure during the first 6 months of life. That leaves breast milk as the only alternative.

The medical community has accepted the fact that low concentrations of vitamin D are an inherent defect in human milk that has prompted the recommendation of vitamin D supplementation for breastfeeding infants starting within the first few days after birth. The current study clearly refutes this misconception. The inherent flaw is not the design of human milk but in the dietary vitamin D recommendation with respect to the lactating mother. The current recommendation of 400 IU per day to these individuals does little to sustain blood concentrations of the parent vitamin D compound, the form that crosses from the maternal circulation into human milk; thus, minimal vitamin D is transferred into human milk. The result: dire vitamin D deficiency in the breastfeeding infant, especially darker-pigmented infants. Our study clearly demonstrates that with appropriate vitamin D intake, the lactating mother can fully transfer from her blood to her milk the vitamin D required to sustain optimal vitamin D nutrition in the nursing infant with no additional supplementation required for the infant.
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