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abstract

BACKGROUND: Pediatric constipation is commonly managed in the primary care setting, where there is much variability in management and specialty referral use. Shared Care is a collaborative quality improvement initiative between Boston Children’s Hospital and the Pediatric Physician’s Organization at Children’s (PPOC), through which subspecialists provide primary care providers with education, decision-support tools, pre-referral management recommendations, and access to advice. We investigated whether Shared Care reduces referrals and improves adherence to established clinical guidelines.

METHODS: We reviewed the primary care management of patients 1 to 18 years old seen by a Boston Children’s Hospital gastroenterologist and diagnosed with constipation who were referred from PPOC practices in the 6 months before and after implementation of Shared Care. Charts were assessed for patient factors and key components of management. We also tracked referral rates for all PPOC patients for 29 months before implementation and 19 months after implementation.

RESULTS: Fewer active patients in the sample were referred after implementation (61/27 365 [0.22%] vs 90/27 792 [0.36%], P = .003). The duration of pre-referral management increased, and the rate of fecal impaction decreased after implementation. No differences were observed in documentation of key management recommendations. Analysis of medical claims showed no statistically significant change in referrals.

CONCLUSIONS: A multifaceted initiative to support primary care management of constipation can alter clinical care, but changes in referral behavior and pre-referral management may be difficult to detect and sustain. Future efforts may benefit from novel approaches to provider engagement and systems integration.

Constipation is a common diagnosis encountered by pediatric primary care providers (PCPs), accounting for 3% of ambulatory visits, and is a frequent reason for referral to pediatric gastroenterologists, representing 10% to 25% of gastroenterology (GI) clinic visits.1-2 Guidelines for the management of constipation in the primary care setting have been published and updated by the North American Society for Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition (NASPGHAN) in 1999, 2006, and 2014, and consistently endorsed by the American Academy of Pediatrics.1,2 Currently, it remains unclear if constipation guidelines are being adopted by PCPs or having an impact on standardizing its management. One report suggested the vast majority of North American
Pediatricians were unaware of the 1999 guidelines 4 years after publication.3

There is evidence that much variability exists in pediatric subspecialty use in general14,5 and in the management of pediatric constipation specifically.3,6 Efforts to ensure effective primary care may reduce specialty care utilization and enhance value.7 There are few studies, however, evaluating the impact of quality improvement interventions on referral rates or pre-referral management in pediatric patients, and none specifically for constipation.8–10 Recent adoption of accountable care organizations and global payments11 provides incentive for primary and specialty care providers to collaborate and facilitate integrated management of commonly referred conditions, including constipation.

Within the Pediatric Physicians’ Organization at Children’s (PPOC), an independent practice network affiliated with Boston Children’s Hospital (BCH), GI is one of the medical specialties to whom patients are most often referred, with 15% of PPOC referrals for constipation. In turn, constipation was selected as a target diagnosis to pilot a quality improvement initiative developed between the PPOC and BCH entitled “Shared Care,” with the specific goal of improving collaboration with subspecialists at BCH, while empowering PCPs to offer high-value care for chronic and commonly referred conditions. This initiative was multimodal and included a collaboratively developed management algorithm, phone and e-mail advice lines, and didactic education. Our primary outcome measure was the rate of referral, determined by the proportion of PPOC patients with new visits to gastroenterologists for constipation over time. As secondary outcomes, we also sought to identify the impact of Shared Care on demographic characteristics, disease severity, and pre-referral management of children referred to GI subspecialists for constipation.

METHODS

Setting

The PPOC is an independent practice association of more than 80 private pediatric primary care practices consisting of more than 200 PCPs. Characteristics of the 70 PPOC practices that were active during the entire period of this initiative are shown in Table 1. BCH GI is a hospital-based pediatric GI practice that includes more than 40 physicians and 3 nurse practitioners who see patients at the main hospital campus as well as satellite clinics in the Boston metropolitan area. The Children’s Hospital Integrated Care Organization is an entity that includes the PPOC and BCH subspecialty physicians, facilitates payer contracting, and supports performance monitoring and improvement.

Development of the Constipation Management Algorithm

PPOC providers were surveyed for preferences regarding decision-support tools and other resources. Physician representatives from the PPOC and BCH GI and nonphysician project managers from the Children’s Hospital Integrated Care Organization collaborated to develop a standard management algorithm (Fig 1), establish synchronous and asynchronous pre-referral advice lines, and create electronic medical record (EMR) order sets incorporating management recommendations. Representatives developed the algorithm by adapting the 2006 NASPGHAN guidelines to local practice preferences. Both the NASPGHAN guidelines and the Shared Care algorithm emphasize (1) identifying constipation by careful history and physical examination, (2) recognizing red flags that may suggest etiologies apart from functional constipation, (3) identifying and treating fecal impaction, and (4) ensuring adherence to a maintenance regimen that includes dietary and behavioral interventions, in addition to laxative medications. Both the PPOC Shared Care algorithm and the most current NASPGHAN guidelines, which were published after the study period, de-emphasize laboratory investigations before referral (eg, thyroid studies and tests for celiac disease). Small differences between the Shared Care algorithm and the most current NASPGHAN guidelines include

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>PPOC Practices</th>
<th>BCH GI Practices</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Practice size, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–2 physicians</td>
<td>13 (54)</td>
<td>29 (63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3–5 physicians</td>
<td>8 (33)</td>
<td>11 (24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6–10 physicians</td>
<td>3 (13)</td>
<td>6 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practice location, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large central metro</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
<td>3 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large fringe metro</td>
<td>23 (96)</td>
<td>42 (91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium metro</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small metro</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shared Care implementation, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Representative at didactic education session</td>
<td>13 (54)</td>
<td>23 (50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practices with EMR</td>
<td>24 (100)</td>
<td>21 (46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median shortest driving distance to a BCH GI clinic, miles</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of patients insured by Medicaid, median</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Metro, metropolitan county

b Urban-Rural Classification Scheme, National Center for Health Statistics.
specific suggestions for medications and dosages, and inclusion of BCh-specific contact information for advice and referral.

Education and Decision Support Tools

The Shared Care constipation algorithm (Fig 1) was sent electronically to all providers and in print to all practices, and was embedded as a linked document in the PCC’s EMR for point-of-care access. Advice lines consisted of a Rapid Response pager line to the lead nurse for BCh GI and a secure e-mail portal using P2Popen, accessed within the PCs’ EMR, eClinicalWorks (Westborough, MA). E-mails were answered within 1 to 2 business days by a single GI fellow (DM) with assistance from one attending (JRL). The algorithm and information about advice lines were distributed at the 2013 PPOC annual member meeting, which also featured a didactic talk followed by question-and-answer session from a BCH gastroenterologist who specializes in GI motility and who is a standing member of the NASPGHAN constipation guideline committee (SN). To measure rate and variability of presentation of referrals, we monitored referral rates to BCh GI for constipation and emergency department (ED) visit rates for constipation at 6-month intervals, as well as use of Rapid Response pager and P2Popen e-mail.

Measures

Of 70 practices in the PPOC active during the study period, 50 used a common EMR that allowed chart review. We selected a convenience sample by making an alphabetical list of those 50 practices by name and reviewing the charts of patients referred from the first consecutive 24. We analyzed records of patients 1 to 18 years old who had a new ambulatory visit to BCh GI during the 6-month periods before and after implementation of Shared Care, and were assigned a primary or secondary diagnosis of constipation, irritable bowel syndrome, or other gastrointestinal condition.
Cases were excluded if the patient (1) had been seen by a gastroenterologist within the past 2 years, (2) had a history of abdominal surgery except appendectomy, or (3) had a comorbid condition known to cause constipation (eg, cystic fibrosis, spina bifida).

A referral rate was calculated by dividing the number of these new visits to GI by the mean monthly total of all active PPOC patients of the selected practices. PCP charts, including demographic information and all notes for visits, telephone encounters, referral letters, specialty consultations, and hospital reports were reviewed. We noted documentation of patient factors expected to affect referral decisions, including demographic information, historical and physical indicators of constipation, clinical assessments, and parental requests for referral. We also recorded indicators of disease severity and adverse clinical events, including fecal incontinence, fecal impaction, and ED visits for constipation or abdominal pain. Fecal impaction was defined as hard stool palpable on abdominal or rectal examination, inability to pass stool for more than 2 days, or fecal incontinence. We calculated the duration of pre-referral management from the date of the first presentation to the PCP with a complaint leading to referral and the date of the GI visit.

Key management recommendations were noted for any visit or phone encounters. Dietary recommendations included any mention of general healthy diet, fruit, fiber, or hydration. Behavioral recommendations included any mention of daily toilet-sitting, tracking or incentive systems, or exercise. Any osmotic laxative, such as polyethylene glycol 3350 or prune juice, and any stimulant laxative (eg, senna or bisacodyl) counted toward documentation of recommending use of a laxative. Our criteria for disimpaction included any short-term (<1 week) use of osmotic laxative at a higher dose than baseline or subsequent therapy, rectal suppository, or enema. We also tracked whether laboratory investigations were obtained before referral.

We also assessed initial management by the BCH GI provider by reviewing the BCH EMR related to each patient’s GI visits to assess documentation of diagnostic and therapeutic interventions. Specifically, we tracked whether the GI provider’s recommendations were consistent with both the Shared Care algorithm and NASPGHAN guidelines. Our hypothesis was that those patients who received care from the GI provider that was limited to the Shared Care algorithm may not have required referral.

**Medical Claims Data**

To evaluate a larger pool of patients over a longer period as a secondary outcome, we analyzed paid medical claims from 2 large not-for-profit commercial insurers in Massachusetts, which include approximately 25% of the entire PPOC patient population, for new visits to any subspecialist for constipation, by using the same primary or secondary ICD-9 codes as those used in the chart review sample. For comparison with secular referral trends, we performed analogous analyses of referrals to other subspecialists for common diagnoses that are often comanaged by PCPs and specialists, such as heart murmur, chest pain, and asthma, but were not part of a Shared Care program to reduce referrals.

**Analysis**

Pre- and postimplementation referral rates were compared by using a 2-sample t-test. Mean age for each group was compared by Wilcoxon rank-sum test. Frequencies for individual patient characteristics and documentation recommendations were compared by χ² analysis.

For medical claims data, we used statistical process control charting methodology to analyze trends in monthly referral rates over time. Monthly rates of new visits to subspecialists were plotted on a U-chart by using the time period from January 2010 through May 2012 to set the centerline and upper and lower control limits (±3 SDs). Postimplementation monthly visit rates were analyzed to identify special cause points (statistically significant deviations from baseline) as outlined by standard process control rules.¹²

This project was determined by the institutional review board to meet our institution’s definition of quality improvement and was therefore exempt from institutional review board approval.

**RESULTS**

**Participation**

All 206 PPOC primary care providers in 70 practices received the algorithm and supporting 1-page provider handout. Sixty-eight (33%) of 206 providers, representing 36 (51%) of 70 practices, attended the in-person presentation with question-and-answer session. Early monitoring showed slow uptake of Rapid Response pager and P2Popen e-mail advice lines, which prompted site visits by program personnel to increase awareness of the program among PPOC practices. In the postimplementation period, the Rapid Response pager line was contacted 53 times by a PPOC office and, of those, 7 contacts (13%) pertained to constipation. The P2Popen e-mail line received 4 e-mails from PPOC providers for pre-referral advice, and none pertained to constipation.

**Chart Review**

Practices selected for chart review were comparable in terms of size,
urban/rural classification, payer distribution, proximity to a BCH GI clinic site, and attendance of the didactic educational session (Table 1). Demographic and clinical information for patients referred from the 24 selected PPOC practices in both 6-month periods are shown in Table 2. There were no significant differences between the patients in the 2 periods with regard to median age; gender; or documentation of abdominal pain, fecal incontinence, parental request for referral, or symptoms, signs, or assessment of constipation by the PCP. Fewer patients had fecal impaction after implementation. A smaller proportion of patients were referred to BCH GI (61/27 365 [0.22%] vs 90/27 792 [0.36%]), and the average duration of PCP management before referral increased by more than 3 months after implementation (10.5 vs 6.9 months, \( P = .03 \)). No significant differences were found in the proportions of patients with documentation of individual or selected combinations of key recommendations (Figure 2). The initial BCH GI management was consistent with the Shared Care algorithm for a similar proportion of patients in each group (37/90 [41.1%] pre- vs 26/61 [42.6%] postimplementation). The most common way GI providers deviated from the Shared Care algorithm was recommending a scheduled (rather than "as-needed") stimulant laxative (28/90 [31%] pre- vs 19/61 [31%] postimplementation).

**Medical Claims Data Analysis**

The U-chart of monthly rates of new visits to subspecialists for constipation is shown in Figure 3. The data show no measurable change in referral rate that meets process control rules. No significant differences were seen in referral rates to subspecialists for heart murmur, chest pain, or asthma (data not shown).

**DISCUSSION**

Our data demonstrate that a quality improvement project aimed at improving the care of pediatric constipation in the primary care setting resulted in a decrease in referrals to gastroenterologists in the 6-month period after implementation. Analysis of medical claims over a longer period corroborates a decrease in the referral rates in the 6 months after implementation, but does not show measurable change in referral patterns over a longer period. This is in contrast to similar experience the PPOC has had in lowering referrals for adolescent idiopathic scoliosis, where a similar educational initiative resulted in a 20% reduction in referrals that was sustained for well over a year. As one possible explanation for differences in outcomes between these initiatives, we note that scoliosis is a simpler construct with objective data to use to gauge the necessity of referral (ie, scoliometer reading and Cobb Angle), whereas constipation involves more complex physical and behavioral components and lacks a straightforward objective measure of severity.

Importantly, results of our chart review show that PCPs managed patients for a longer period before making a referral once our quality improvement program was implemented. Our observations that ED visits for constipation did not increase and that fecal impaction was less common in the postimplementation period suggest patients were not adversely affected by delaying referral. We also investigated whether improved pre-referral management might have skewed the referral population to include more severely affected patients; however, we found that...
rates of fecal incontinence among referred patients did not increase, and rates of fecal impaction were actually lower in the postintervention period. We therefore hypothesize that this improvement may reflect an enhanced capability for PCPs to manage patients with constipation before the development of fecal impaction.

We did not observe a significant change in the rate of documentation of individual key management recommendations by PCPs. Our findings suggest either that PCPs changed their management but did not document the changes, or that there were other influences apart from our algorithm that may have led to decreased referrals and longer duration of PCP management. It is possible that PCPs optimized therapy within each category of recommendation (eg, more detailed behavioral counseling, more effective laxative dosing, and more effective cleanouts) but did not document their recommendations any differently.

PCPs may have provided handouts or referred patients to educational Web sites, such as healthychildren.org or GIKids.org, which were included in the 1-page handout. We noted that relatively few PCPs documented dietary and behavioral interventions, which may have been more frequently discussed than documented.

Our results should be taken in the context of several study limitations. First, charts of patients whose constipation was managed in the primary care setting without a referral to GI were not reviewed, leaving any identifiable differences in their clinical presentation, disease severity, or management undiscovered. Our data suggest that identifying those patients would be difficult; overall, only 52% of patients with constipation diagnosed by a pediatric gastroenterologist had been assigned a constipation-related diagnosis code by their PCP and only 74% of referred patients' PCP charts had any documentation of history, examination, radiography, or assessments to suggest constipation. Although we facilitated use of decision-support tools by embedding an order set as well as a link to the algorithm within the EMR, we lacked a mechanism to track order set usage and access rate of the linked document, and are therefore unable to assess whether these tools had an impact on management. Our date of implementation occurred near the end of a typical school year (June 1), which raises the possibility that seasonality may play a part in patient presentation and/or referral utilization. We tried to account for seasonality in referral rate with our longer term medical claims data, which did not show any special cause effect at any time, including changes in seasons.

We hope that the increase in duration of management by PCPs was due to successful management and improved symptoms, but we recognize there may be other factors that may have affected the timing of
referral. Because of frequent absence of documentation of the decision to refer and the variable documentation that accompanied referrals in the PCP or BCH chart, we considered the most important factor in the timing of the GI visit to be the decision by the PCP or family to seek specialist care. Although parental requests for referral were infrequent and equivalent in the pre- and postimplementation groups, we recognize they may not always be documented and are likely very important in a PCP’s decision to refer.

Another limitation of our study is the possibility that our educational interventions, which consisted of passive dissemination of the algorithm and 1-page explanation and a didactic session attended by one-third of the organization’s PCPs, were insufficient to substantially alter practice patterns in the long term. A review of the literature on educational interventions to change physician referral behavior reveals mixed results. One systematic review of interventions to improve specialty referrals showed that passive dissemination of locally developed referral guidelines does not lead to change in quantity or quality of referrals. In addition, studies of more intensive educational experiences to optimize specialty referral utilization have had conflicting findings. In one study, monthly workshops with an orthopedic specialist reduced referrals from PCPs. However, 2 other workshop-based interventions led to unintended increased referrals. In another systematic review, interventions that included specialist “outreach,” structured management sheets, or computerized decision support tools led to decreased referrals. One emerging model for continuing medical education is Spaced Education, which incorporates online, interactive educational games, and has been shown to improve adherence to guidelines and improve clinical outcomes. These studies and our experience suggest that local quality improvement programs aimed to change referral behavior are feasible but require effective guideline dissemination, clinical-decision support tools, and effective physician education.

Our data indicate that a multifaceted initiative to support pediatric PCPs in managing constipation in the primary care setting can alter patterns of clinical care, but changes in referral behavior and pre-referral management, if present, are difficult to detect and sustain. Our next steps will feature more engaging and sustained educational interventions to improve familiarity with the algorithm. Improved subspecialty referral utilization is supported by programs that include PCPs collaborating with subspecialists to generate clinical decision-support tools, but changes in referral behavior and pre-referral management may be difficult to sustain. Future efforts to optimize subspecialty referral rates may benefit from continued collaboration, novel approaches to education, and improved systems integration.
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