Hydroxyurea and Growth in Young Children With Sickle Cell Disease

WHAT'S KNOWN ON THIS SUBJECT: Growth impairment in sickle cell disease has been a consistent finding in published reports. Hydroxyurea (HU) decreases vasoocclusive events and increases hemoglobin levels, which may improve growth. However, HU may adversely affect growth in young children by its effect on DNA synthesis.

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS: Height, weight, and head circumference were normal in HU-treated children in the study as compared with the World Health Organization standards. Height, weight, and BMI z scores were similar in placebo and treatment groups. There were no harmful effects of HU on growth.

abstract

BACKGROUND: Growth impairment is a known complication of sickle cell disease. Effects of hydroxyurea (HU) on growth in very young children are not known.

METHODS: Height, weight, BMI, and head circumference (HC) were compared with World Health Organization (WHO) standards in BABY HUG, a multicenter, randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled 2-year clinical trial of HU in 193 children 9 to 18 months of age. Anthropometric data were closely monitored and converted to z scores by using WHO standardized algorithms for descriptive analyses. The treatment and placebo groups were compared longitudinally by using a mixed model analysis.

RESULTS: At entry, the z scores of BABY HUG children were higher than WHO norms. After 2 years of HU or placebo treatment, there were no significant differences between the groups, except for the mean HC z scores at study exit (HU: +0.8 versus placebo: +1.0, P = .05). Baseline z scores were the best predictors of z scores at study exit. The absolute neutrophil count, absolute reticulocyte count, and total white blood cell count had significant negative correlations with growth measures.

CONCLUSIONS: Both groups had normal or near normal anthropometric measures during the study. The HC z scores at study entry and exit were slightly greater than WHO norms. Higher baseline white blood cell count, absolute reticulocyte count, and absolute neutrophil count were associated with poorer growth. The significance of the slightly lower HC in the treatment group at study exit is not clear. Trends toward normalization of weight and height and effects on HC will be monitored in ongoing BABY HUG follow-up studies. Pediatrics 2014;134:465–472
Growth involves complex interactions of nutrients, hormones, and genetic factors. Numerous genetic and epigenetic factors interact with influences from internal and external environments to regulate growth and account for a major portion of variation in growth within populations. The effects of sickle cell disease (SCD) on weight and height in children were first described more than half a century ago. Previous studies have revealed a consistent pattern of diminished growth among individuals with SCD from all regions of the world, with evidence linking growth failure to endocrine dysfunction, metabolic derangement, and nutrient deficiencies.

The Cooperative Study of Sickle Cell Disease (CSSCD) data on growth and sexual maturation of more than 2000 children and young adults with various sickle genotypes revealed that individuals with HbSS and HbSβthalassemia were smaller compared with those with HbSC and HbSβthalassemia. All 4 genotypes were below norms for African Americans. Growth differences were evident even in the youngest group of children (2–4 years old). Numerous other studies have confirmed these observations. Al-Saqladi et al reviewed 46 studies of anthropometric parameters, body composition, energy metabolism, micronutrient deficiency, and endocrine dysfunction in individuals with SCD. There was a consistent pattern of growth failure among affected individuals from all geographic areas. In a recent prospective longitudinal study of 148 children with SCD who were evaluated for 4 years, height, weight, or BMI declined in 84% of subjects, 38% fell below the fifth percentile on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention growth curves in 1 or more measures. In the Stroke Prevention Clinical Trial for Sickle Cell Anemia, individuals receiving chronic transfusion therapy for 2 years had improved growth velocities that were close to normal for age compared with standardized US growth charts from the National Center for Health Statistics. Hydroxyurea (HU) decreases the frequency of acute vasoocclusive events and improves hematologic parameters in SCD; however, it is not clear if it also improves growth. A phase I-II safety study of HU (HUG KIDS) compared 68 children ages 5 to 16 years at baseline with historical controls from the CSSCD and revealed improvement in weight, but no significant improvement in height percentiles. In a comparison between healthy controls and 41 children with SCD who had received HU for at least 2 years, there was significant improvement in aerobic exercise tolerance, but the mean values for weight, height, and lean body mass were all lower in the children with SCD. Most studies have either used controls from the local population or NHANES data. Only 1 recent study used World Health Organization (WHO) growth data as a comparison group.

BABY HUG was a clinical trial of treatment with HU in young children with SCD. Careful serial growth measurements were included as a safety outcome because of concerns regarding potential adverse effects of HU on growth in very young children. These concerns stemmed from unpublished but widely disseminated animal data suggesting that HU may have a deleterious effect on the growth and development of the brain.

METHODS

In 2003, the BABY HUG study, a double-blind, multicenter, placebo-controlled clinical trial of HU, was initiated, and 193 eligible infants 9 to 18 months of age with HbSS or HbSβthalassemia (enrolled from 2003 to 2007) were randomly assigned to 24 months of treatment with HU or placebo. The primary end points of the study were preservation of spleen and renal function. Evaluation of growth parameters was among the secondary end points. The methodology, challenges of designing this trial, and main results of the study have been reported in detail. This article summarizes the analyses of the anthropometric data collected from the children enrolled in BABY HUG.

All measurements were obtained in duplicate by trained study coordinators using standardized techniques. Measurements were obtained at screening, treatment initiation, 2 weeks, 4 weeks, 6 weeks, and 8 weeks, and then every 4 weeks thereafter. If predefined toxicities occurred, study visits were conducted every 2 weeks.

Weight, without clothing, was measured in study participants up to 12 months of age by using a pan-type balance scale, with a beam balance or electronic recording. Subjects older than 12 months who could stand were weighed on a leveled platform scale, without clothing or in light clothing. Two measurements were made, with a third being obtained if the first 2 differed by more than 100 g.

Recumbent length was obtained in children up to 18 months of age, with standing height measured after 18 months. Recumbent length was measured by using a measuring board with a fixed headboard and moveable footboard, with centimeter markings. Two measurements were made, with a third being obtained, if the first 2 differed by more than 0.5 cm.

A plastic measuring tape that was not subject to stretching was used in the study to measure head circumference (HC). Two measurements were made, with a third being obtained if the first 2 differed by more than 0.4 cm. Every effort was made to circumvent the problems associated with braiding or other hair styles.

We chose WHO normative data, based on recommendations by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the...
American Academy of Pediatrics for children younger than 2 years of age. The WHO data are intended to reflect optimal growth and are based on a high quality study from selected ethnically diverse communities worldwide. Growth charts such as those based on NHANES are actually references and not standards, and describe how certain children grew in a particular place and time, whereas WHO normative data are intended to provide universal standards.

Z scores for height, weight, BMI, and HC were calculated by using SAS (SAS Institute, Inc, Cary, NC) macros downloaded from the WHO Web site. Each Z score calculation was controlled for gender and age (in days) when making the calculation from the WHO standard population. BABY HUG growth data were averaged within each 3-month interval after treatment assignment (eg, 0–3 months, 3–6 months, etc), with the baseline measurement being used as the time 0 measurement. Baseline z scores were compared with the WHO norms by testing whether the mean z score was equal to zero, versus the alternative that it was not equal to zero, using student’s t test. All longitudinal data were analyzed by using Proc MIXED, with an autoregressive covariance structure used to account for the covariance between each serial measurement. Covariables included in each analysis were the baseline measurements of the following: the outcome being reviewed, time in months since enrollment, hemoglobin (Hb) level, absolute reticulocyte count (ARC), total white blood cell (WBC) count, and absolute neutrophil count (ANC). Backward elimination (using 0.05 as the significance level) for the Hb, ARC, WBC count, and ANC covariables was used to arrive at the final model for each outcome measure.

Simple Pearson correlations between each outcome measure (at each time point) and the corresponding baseline measurement of Hb, ARC, WBC count, and ANC were made by using Proc CORR. All analyses used SAS version 9.2.

RESULTS

All 193 randomized children were included in the analysis. See Table 1 for demographic information. Unadjusted mean Z scores and SEs for height at baseline and for each 3-month interval are presented by randomized study assignment in Fig 1. There was no significant difference in growth trajectories between the 2 treatment groups (P = .7). The baseline height Z scores were slightly, but significantly greater than the WHO norm and were positively correlated with all subsequently measured height Z scores. The baseline ANC in both groups was negatively correlated with height over the course of the study (P = .004). Baseline weight Z scores in both treatment groups were also higher than the WHO norms and were positively correlated with all subsequent measurements. Unadjusted mean z scores and SEs for weight at baseline and for each 3-month interval are presented by study group in Fig 2. There were no significant differences in the HU and placebo trajectories over time (P = .43). Higher WBC count and ARC were negatively correlated with weight z scores.

The mean baseline BMI z score was higher in the HU treatment group compared with the placebo group, and both measurements were greater than the WHO norm (HU: +0.220 and placebo: +0.086). Unadjusted mean Z scores and SEs for BMI at baseline and for each 3-month interval are presented by study group in Fig 3. In both groups, the baseline BMI z score was positively and the WBC count and ARC were negatively correlated to the BMI z scores during and at the end of treatment. A general quadratic trend (with a single concavity as compared with linear) was apparent for the BMI z scores. Once
adjusted for the difference in the baseline measurements, there was no statistically significant difference in the 2 trajectories ($P = .7$).

Unadjusted mean $z$ scores and SEs for HC at baseline and for each 3-month interval are presented by study group in Fig 4. The mean HC $z$ scores were higher than the WHO standards in both groups at baseline (HU: 1.031 and placebo: 0.989). The baseline HC $z$ score was the most significant predictor of HC during and at the end of treatment. The trajectory of HC $z$ scores was lower in the HU treatment group compared with placebo (HU: 0.852 versus placebo: 0.968, $P = .05$) after adjusting for the baseline difference in HC $z$ scores between the HU and placebo groups. The ANC was negatively correlated with HC $z$ scores during and after treatment.

On average, children enrolled in BABY HUG were larger than the WHO reference population with respect to height, weight, BMI, and HC at the beginning of the study. HU treatment was associated with a slightly lower HC over the time course of treatment. The analysis of variance for each of the above analyses is presented in Supplemental Tables 5–8 for this article. During the trial, each child was evaluated to determine if he or she ever crossed downward over 2 major percentiles of the HC $z$ scores, defined as the 95th, 50%, 10th, 5%, and 1.5th percentiles, or crossed below the 1.5th percentile. In all, one-quarter to one-fifth of the children were observed to have one of these events, with height 27%; weight 19%; and HC 21%, with most occurrences attributable to crossing 2 major percentiles of the HC $z$ scores. There was no statistically significant difference between HU and placebo-treated children in the number of times a major percentile was crossed.

In addition, “normal” growth curves for height, weight, BMI, and HC of 1- to 3-year-old children with HbSS were generated by using data from the BABY HUG placebo group and are presented in the Supplemental Materials. These growth curves may be useful as historical controls for future comparisons of therapeutic interventions.

**DISCUSSION**

Growth impairment is a known complication of SCD. The CSSCD growth curves for individuals with sickle hemoglobinopathies were significantly different from the published norms for African Americans, and HbSS and HbSβ thalassemia individuals were smaller than those with HbSC and HbSβ thalassemia. Since publication of the CSSCD data, several other studies have revealed that children and adolescents with SCD had significantly lower height, weight, and BMI compared with reference growth curves. In general the adverse influence of SCD has been more pronounced for weight than height. Endocrine dysfunction, poor nutrient intake, micronutrient deficiencies, hypermetabolism, and high protein turnover have been described in individuals with SCD and growth failure. Growth hormone deficiency may account for a small percentage of children with SCD who have severe growth delay. Hemolysis, chronic anemia, and a high metabolic rate appear to be the most significant adverse factors for growth in SCD. Resting energy expenditure was increased in several studies of children with SCD and is probably related to anemia, chronic inflammation, high cardiac output, and high protein turnover. Interventions that decrease anemia and inflammation may have a beneficial effect on growth as well. HU has modest effects on the severity of hemolysis and anemia and may also decrease inflammation. Therefore, it is reasonable to hypothesize that HU could have a positive influence on growth.
Although spleen and kidney function were the primary end points of the BABY HUG trial, assessments of growth were important secondary end points and a safety measure due to concerns that HU might have an adverse effect on growth.22 At study entry, BABY HUG children were generally similar but slightly larger than the WHO standard population for height, weight, and BMI, while having significantly larger HC. During the study, there was a downward percentile trend for weight in both treatment and placebo groups; nonetheless, the mean z scores remained close to the WHO norms, suggesting that there was no significant impairment of overall growth in either group by study end. In addition, there were no significant differences for height, weight, and BMI based on study treatment. The HC was significantly larger in children enrolled in BABY HUG and remained so throughout the study period. Measurement of HC in African American children is challenging, because of hair styles that may include braids, dreadlocks, beads, etc. It is possible that the overall larger HC throughout the study may have resulted from these hair styles, although examiners were specifically trained to minimize the effect of hair style on HC. Oro-facial and cranio-skeletal abnormalities that may contribute to HC have been described in individuals with SCD and are frequently the result of marrow hyperplasia.37–39 Even though the difference was small, the HC percentile was lower in the HU treatment group by the end of the study, possibly as a result of suppression of bone marrow hyperplasia. Nonetheless, the HC remained well above the WHO 50th percentile standard. Further evaluation of children in the ongoing BABY HUG follow-up studies will be necessary to determine if this effect persists and assess its significance.

**FIGURE 3**
Longitudinal comparison of WHO standardized BMI z scores between children treated with HU and placebo. Z scores for height, weight, BMI, and HC were calculated by using SAS macros downloaded from the WHO Web site and controlled for gender and age. Data were averaged within each 3-month interval after treatment assignments with the baseline measurement used as the time 0. Student’s t test was used for comparison with the WHO normal population. Proc MIXED was used to analyze longitudinal data. BABY HUG children were larger than the WHO population on all parameters at the beginning of the study, and there was no difference between the treatment groups except for the BMI that was larger at each observation point in the HU group (trend not significant). There were no significant differences between the treatment groups for height, weight, and BMI at exit. The HC in the treatment group was slightly smaller but still within normal limit at the end of the study. Baseline z scores were the best predictors of z scores for all growth parameters.

**FIGURE 4**
Longitudinal comparison of WHO standardized HC z scores between children treated with HU and placebo. Z scores for height, weight, BMI, and HC were calculated by using SAS macros downloaded from the WHO Web site and controlled for gender and age. Data were averaged within each 3-month interval after treatment assignments with the baseline measurement used as the time 0. Student’s t test was used for comparison with the WHO normal population. Proc MIXED was used to analyze longitudinal data. BABY HUG children were larger than the WHO population on all parameters at the beginning of the study, and there was no difference between the treatment groups except for the BMI that was larger at each observation point in the HU group (trend not significant). There were no significant differences between the treatment groups for height, weight, and BMI at exit. The HC in the treatment group was slightly smaller but still within normal limit at the end of the study. Baseline z scores were the best predictors of z scores for all growth parameters.
These data provide evidence that in infants and toddlers enrolled in BABY HUG, HU did not adversely affect the weight or linear growth of infants. Their growth parameters were normal and comparable to the WHO standards. Although our preliminary analysis demonstrated higher weight z scores in the treatment group compared with the placebo group, this difference lost significance after accounting for differences in weight at baseline.

In the BABY HUG trial, HU treatment was associated with a decreased rate of clinical events and improved hematologic profile. Clinical events and disease severity have been considered an important reason for poor growth in children with SCD; however, in this study, the decrease in clinical events with HU treatment did not produce a significant difference in growth parameters between the 2 treatment arms. The association of high ANC and WBC counts with lower height and weight in this study potentially supports an important role of inflammation as both increased WBC count and ANC are associated with inflammation and a higher rate of complications in persons with SCD. Alternatively, these parameters may simply be markers of more severe disease. This study has several limitations. A standard dose of HU of 20 mg/kg was used throughout the BABY HUG study. This dose was chosen because of its demonstrated safety in this age group in preliminary studies and because a relatively low fixed dose was expected to reduce the likelihood of toxicity. Many pediatric hematologists in the United States treat children with SCD with higher doses of HU, up to 35 mg/kg. A higher dose is more likely to increase HbF, decrease chronic hemolysis, and possibly reduce inflammation as well; therefore, it is possible that a higher dose of HU may have greater impact on growth parameters. Also, the better height and weight z scores of children at baseline and on placebo, as well as on HU when compared with WHO standards are puzzling. BABY HUG children were seen frequently, and there was intense monitoring of growth parameters at the central study coordinating site, because of concerns regarding safety. These repeated measurements could have raised awareness of parents and caregivers regarding the potential of early growth failure and thus produced an increased focus on nutrition. Perhaps this dampered any growth differences between those in the 2 arms of the trial. We are not aware of any studies that have looked at the effects of frequent clinic visits and close central monitoring of growth parameters in individuals with chronic illness; however, frequent visits have been shown to have favorable effects on other health outcomes, such as improved Hba1c levels in individuals with type 2 diabetes and hypertension. More frequent study visits (required for dosage titration in some subjects) were associated with better adherence to medications in BABY HUG.

CONCLUSIONS

We saw no clinically significant differences in height, weight, or BMI between the HU and placebo treated groups demonstrating the lack of harmful effects on growth due to HU treatment of 2 years starting at a young age. It is important to note that the height, weight, BMI, and HC were all either normal or close to normal in both treatment groups compared with the WHO standards, possibly impeding any demonstration of additional benefit from HU. There were no significant differences between treatment groups for any of the growth measures, except for HC. Increased WBC count, ARC, and ANC that have been associated with more severe disease in other studies were associated with significantly decreased growth in our subjects. The study was limited by an observation period of only 2 years. However, follow-up of these children is continuing, and it remains to be seen if normal growth patterns will persist in these ongoing studies, which are characterized by less frequent visits, but generally higher milligram per kilogram doses of HU.
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Pediatrics (2015;135(3):469–474; doi:10.1542/peds.2014-2329). On page 473, under Discussion, the decrease in the readmission rate from 18.4 to 15.7 per 1000 among those who had an early well-child visit should be described as a 15% relative risk reduction (2.7/18.4), not a 15% absolute risk reduction. The absolute risk reduction was 18.4 minus 15.7, or 2.7 per 1000 readmissions. The calculation of the number of early well-child visits associated with a reduction of a single readmission should have been calculated based on the absolute risk reduction (1000/2.7) and was 371 rather than 7 as stated in the article. The authors thank medical student Wade Harrison from the Geisel School of Medicine, Hanover, NH, for pointing out these errors. The corrections have been made to the online edition of the published article.
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An error occurred in the article by Rana et al, titled “Hydroxyurea and Growth in Young Children With Sickle Cell Disease” published in the September 2014 issue of Pediatrics (2014;134(3):465–472; doi:10.1542/peds.2014-0917). On page 467, under the heading Results, on line 2, this reads: “See Table 1 for demographic information.” This should have read: “See Table 1 in the main BABY HUG paper.”
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Errors occurred in the article by Mitchell et al, titled “Weapon Involvement in the Victimization of Children” published in the July 2015 issue of Pediatrics (2015;136(1):10–17; doi:10.1542/peds.2014-3966). On page 13, under the heading ‘Experiencing Victimization With a Weapon: Lifetime Prevalence and Youth Characteristics’, this reads: “More than 1 in 4 youth (26.5%) reported at least 1 victimization that involved a weapon in their lifetime, such as a knife, gun, stick, or rock; 12.5% reported at least 1 direct victimization with a weapon, and 13.1% at least 1 indirect (or witnessed) victimization with a weapon.” This should have read: “More than 1 in 4 school-age youth (ages 6–17, 26%) reported at least 1 victimization that involved a weapon in their lifetime; more than 1 in 5 youth when including younger children (ages 2–17 years, 21.2%). Among 2–17 year olds, 12.5% reported at least 1 direct victimization with a weapon, and 13.1% at least 1 indirect (or witnessed) victimization with a weapon.”

This change also impacts the Abstract and Discussion as follows:

On page 10, in the Abstract, it reads: “Results: Estimates from the Second National Survey of Children’s Exposure to Violence indicate that >17.5 million youth in the United States have been exposed to violence involving a weapon in their lifetime as witnesses or victims, or >1 in 4 children.” This should have read: “Results: Estimates from the Second National Survey of Children’s Exposure to Violence indicate that almost 14 million youth, ages 2–17, in the United States have been exposed to violence involving a weapon in their lifetimes as witnesses or victims, or >1 in 5 children in this age group.”

On page 13, in the Discussion section, it reads: “NatSCEV II estimates that >17.5 million youth in the United States have been exposed to violence involving
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