Children who become overweight by age 2 years have significantly greater risks of long-term health problems, and children in low-income communities, where rates of low adult literacy are highest, are at increased risk of developing obesity. The objective of the Greenlight Intervention Study is to assess the effectiveness of a low-literacy, primary-care intervention on the reduction of early childhood obesity. At 4 primary-care pediatric residency training sites across the US, 865 infant-parent dyads were enrolled at the 2-month well-child checkup and are being followed through the 24-month well-child checkup. Two sites were randomly assigned to the intervention, and the other sites were assigned to an attention-control arm, implementing the American Academy of Pediatrics’ The Injury Prevention Program. The intervention consists of an interactive educational toolkit, including low-literacy materials designed for use during well-child visits, and a clinician-centered curriculum for providing low-literacy guidance on obesity prevention. The study is powered to detect a 10% difference in the number of children overweight (BMI > 85%) at 24 months. Other outcome measures include observed physician–parent communication, as well as parent-reported information on child dietary intake, physical activity, and injury-prevention behaviors. The study is designed to inform evidence-based standards for early childhood obesity prevention, and more generally to inform optimal approaches for low-literacy messages and health literacy training in primary preventive care. This article describes the conceptual model, study design, intervention content, and baseline characteristics of the study population. Pediatrics 2014;133:e1724–e1737
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Obesity prevention is a national public health priority, and early childhood may be a critical period for preventing obesity-related morbidity across the entire life course. More than 1 in 4 preschool children are overweight or obese, and these rates are disproportionately higher among children in low income and ethnic-minority communities. Increased weight gain during infancy has been associated with increased obesity risk during early childhood. Children who are overweight during early childhood are at least 5 times more likely than nonobese children to become overweight or obese adolescents. Overweight adolescents, in turn, are at increased risk for adult obesity and adult-onset, obesity-related illnesses such as hypertension, type-2 diabetes, steatohepatitis, and orthopedic problems. Addressing obesity during early childhood, however, requires a family-centered approach that engages children’s caregivers, especially their parents.

The US Surgeon General has identified health literacy as “one of the largest contributors to our nation’s epidemic of overweight and obesity.” At least 1 in 4 parents has basic or below-basic health literacy skills. Low literacy and numeracy skills have been independently associated with poor understanding of health information, poor health behaviors, and poor clinical outcomes. In the context of child growth and nutrition, low parent health literacy is associated with worse knowledge of breastfeeding, problems mixing infant formula correctly, difficulty understanding food labels and portion sizes, difficulty understanding standard growth charts, and higher BMI in children.

Although clinical efforts to prevent childhood obesity have had a limited effect on school-aged children, few clinical trials have specifically addressed obesity prevention during the first years of life, and none has examined the effect of an intervention that integrates a literacy-sensitive approach. In this report, we describe the Greenlight Intervention Study, a cluster randomized, multisite trial to assess the efficacy of a low literacy, primary care-based intervention to prevent early childhood obesity. Specifically, we describe its conceptual model, study design, intervention components, research methodology, and baseline data.

**STUDY DESIGN**

We implemented a cluster randomized controlled trial design to explore 3 primary aims: (1) to assess the impact of the intervention on reducing the prevalence of overweight at age 2 years; (2) to assess the impact of the intervention on the parent health behaviors most likely to prevent child overweight; and (3) to examine the role of parent health literacy as a moderator of both effects. To avoid intrasite contamination, randomization occurred at the site level, stratified by population density, such that the 2 sites serving the higher population-density communities were assigned to different groups. A statistician, blinded to site location, conducted the sites’ random assignment to intervention or control status, using a random number generator in Stata 9.0 (College Park, TX). Two sites (New York University and Vanderbilt University) were randomized to the intervention, which applied health literacy principles and focused on obesity prevention, and 2 sites (University of Miami and University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill) to the active-control arm, which did not apply health literacy principles and focused on injury prevention. At both intervention and control sites, we enrolled caregiver–infant dyads at each child’s 2-month well-child checkup (WCC), with intervention and assessment at each of 5- to 6-month and 12-, and 15- and/or 18-month WCCs, through the study’s completion at the child’s 24-month WCC. The study was approved by the institutional review boards at each of the 4 participating university medical centers, and a data safety monitoring board, including participants from each institution, monitored study progress. The study was registered with the national Clinical Trials Registry (NCT01040897 at clinicaltrials.gov).

**Setting**

Study principal investigators (PIs) implemented the intervention or active-control at academic-medical-center-based pediatric primary care clinics, where pediatric trainees (residents) provide the majority of pediatric preventive care. PIs chose this setting for several reasons: (1) pediatric resident practice sites provide care for more than one-fifth of the socioeconomically disadvantaged families in the United States, who are at highest risk for childhood obesity; (2) resident physicians have been shown to be more sensitive to clinical behavior change than community-based physicians; (3) a majority of pediatric residents become practicing community-based physicians; and (4) academic practice-based research networks, particularly the Continuity Clinic Research Network, provide the potential for rapid dissemination and quality improvement. Although we considered alternative intervention settings (eg, primary-care private practices, community health centers; family-medicine practices), none offered more optimal combinations of these attributes. The model was constructed to be easily translatable, however, for implementation in community-based practices. Participating sites were located in diverse areas of the eastern United States, with 2 sites (New York University/Bellevue Hospital and University of Miami/Jackson Memorial Hospital) serving higher population-density communities, and 2 sites (Vanderbilt and...
Nearly all participating primary caregivers (>90%) were mothers (Table 2).

**INTERVENTION**

Based on social cognitive theory (SCT) and health-literacy principles, the Greenlight Intervention targets adult caregivers with behavior-change components administered by pediatric residents at each well-child visit from 2 months to 24 months. The intervention design team included clinicians, scholars, and other professionals from the fields of pediatrics, health literacy and numeracy, health communication, child development and behavioral health, pediatric obesity, injury prevention, linguistic and cultural competence, graphic design, and multisite implementation. The Greenlight Intervention consists of 2 main components: (1) a low-literacy toolkit for parents, including developmentally tailored, tangible tools reinforcing recommended behaviors at each well-child visit; and (2) a health-communication curriculum for child-health providers, including modules on teach-back shared goal-setting techniques.

**Conceptual Model**

SCT and health-literacy principles informed the conceptual framework for the Greenlight Intervention Study (Fig 1). 20,51–55 SCT suggests that a parent (or other adult caregivers) is more likely to adopt a new health behavior in an environment that includes each of 4 features: motivation (direct reinforcement of the behavior), social cues (repeated modeling of the behavior), outcome expectancy (expectation that the new behavior will produce real child benefit); and self-efficacy (confidence in her or his ability to perform the behavior). To optimize parent adoption of specific obesity-prevention behaviors, the intervention includes these 4 SCT features: (1) physicians are trained in motivational-interviewing and shared goal-setting skills to identify and reinforce specific parent behaviors (motivation); (2) at each visit, the physician provides each parent with a written toolkit and other tangible tools that offer visual models of recommended behaviors (social cues); (3) the physician provides information to help the parent make a causal link between the behavior and a healthy child (outcome expectancy); and (4) the physician uses simple messages from the toolkit to support the parent’s confidence in adopting discreet, short-term behaviors (self-efficacy).

**Literacy and Cultural Sensitivity**

Applying principles and evidence from the field of health literacy, the design team reinforced the Greenlight intervention with additional low-literacy features, to ensure that verbal and written messages are most easily understood. Pls conducted...
TABLE 2 Baseline Characteristics of Children and Caregivers (N = 865)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Mean (SD) or n (%)</th>
<th>Intervention, N = 459</th>
<th>Control, N = 406</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Child</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child age at enrollment, wk</td>
<td>9.3 (1.8)</td>
<td>9.1 (1.6)*</td>
<td>9.5 (1.9)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child gender; girl</td>
<td>444 (51)</td>
<td>245 (53)</td>
<td>199 (49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child health insurance coverage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicaid/CHIP/Public</td>
<td>734 (84)</td>
<td>412 (90)*</td>
<td>322 (80)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>27 (5)</td>
<td>11 (2)</td>
<td>16 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private/Commercial</td>
<td>97 (11)</td>
<td>33 (7)</td>
<td>64 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child birth weight, kg</td>
<td>3.29 (0.52)</td>
<td>3.34 (0.48)*</td>
<td>3.23 (0.56)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child weight at enrollment, kg</td>
<td>5.36 (0.75)</td>
<td>5.39 (0.77)</td>
<td>5.32 (0.80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child weight z score at enrollment</td>
<td>0.31 (1.13)</td>
<td>0.25 (1.12)</td>
<td>0.41 (1.14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent age, y</td>
<td>27.6 (6.1)</td>
<td>27.1 (5.7)*</td>
<td>28.3 (6.4)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relationship to child</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother</td>
<td>826 (95)</td>
<td>447 (97)*</td>
<td>379 (93)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Father</td>
<td>37 (4)</td>
<td>10 (2)*</td>
<td>27 (7)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grandmother</td>
<td>2 (1)</td>
<td>2 (1)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent Non-US born</td>
<td>458 (51)</td>
<td>254 (56)*</td>
<td>184 (48)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent race/ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>431 (50)</td>
<td>258 (56)*</td>
<td>173 (43)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White, non-Hispanic</td>
<td>153 (18)</td>
<td>85 (19)</td>
<td>68 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black, non-Hispanic</td>
<td>253 (27)</td>
<td>96 (21)*</td>
<td>139 (34)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other, non-Hispanic</td>
<td>44 (5)</td>
<td>20 (4)</td>
<td>24 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent’s primary language, Spanish</td>
<td>301 (35)</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent education, less</td>
<td>224 (26)</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>than high school graduate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent health literacy, PHLAT score</td>
<td>58 (27)</td>
<td>57 (26)*</td>
<td>60 (27)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent health literacy, STOFHLA score</td>
<td>31.3 (7.8)</td>
<td>31.4 (7.4)</td>
<td>31.3 (8.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent health literacy*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low literacy (inadequate or marginal)</td>
<td>94 (11)</td>
<td>50 (11)</td>
<td>44 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income (annual)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;$10,000</td>
<td>264 (31)</td>
<td>146 (32)</td>
<td>118 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10,000-$19,999</td>
<td>227 (26.2)</td>
<td>133 (29)*</td>
<td>95 (24)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$20,000-$39,999</td>
<td>202 (23.3)</td>
<td>118 (26)*</td>
<td>84 (21)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥$40,000</td>
<td>132 (15.3)</td>
<td>56 (12)*</td>
<td>76 (19)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not know</td>
<td>26 (3.0)</td>
<td>3 (1)*</td>
<td>23 (6)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of adults in home, &gt;1d</td>
<td>792 (90.0)</td>
<td>420 (91)*</td>
<td>358 (88)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of children in home, &gt;1d</td>
<td>524 (60)</td>
<td>415 (60)</td>
<td>354 (60)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Statistically significant difference between intervention and control group (P < .05 by Wilcoxon or Pearson test).

TABLE 3 Baseline Characteristics of Pediatric Providers (N = 516)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Mean (SD) or n (%)</th>
<th>Intervention, N = 280</th>
<th>Control, N = 236</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age at enrollment, y</td>
<td>27.7 (2.4)</td>
<td>27.3 (2.1)*</td>
<td>28.5 (2.7)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender, women</td>
<td>380 (76)</td>
<td>213 (76)</td>
<td>177 (75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent status, with children</td>
<td>58 (11)</td>
<td>31 (11)</td>
<td>27 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spanish-language fluency</td>
<td>91 (18)</td>
<td>24 (9)*</td>
<td>67 (28)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training year, at enrollment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 1</td>
<td>389 (75)</td>
<td>209 (75)</td>
<td>180 (76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 2</td>
<td>75 (15)</td>
<td>43 (15)</td>
<td>32 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 3</td>
<td>52 (10)</td>
<td>28 (10)</td>
<td>24 (10)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Statistically significant difference between intervention and control group (P < .05 by Wilcoxon or Pearson test).

iterative focus groups with nonparticipating parents of diverse literacy levels and cultural backgrounds. Targeting a fourth- to sixth-grade suitability level, each toolkit includes limited text density per page, subdivided text, minimal words per sentence, minimal syllables per word, large font size, and maximum white space. Text is reinforced with meaningful and actionable visual images (eg, photographs or diagrams of foods, portion sizes, or physical activities appropriate to each developmental stage). A common traffic-light motif reinforces key messages: Green sections indicating positive health behaviors to adopt; yellow sections indicating behaviors that are to be adopted only with caution; red sections indicating health behaviors to avoid. Individual-page formats were designed with the expectation that most content may be transferrable in the future to mobile-phone and tablet-accessible platforms.

Special efforts were made to include dietary and physical activity content, language, and visual images from diverse traditions and customs. All materials were translated into Spanish by an advisory committee, which was composed of 4 native Spanish-language speakers from 4 nations of origin in Latin America. The committee met iteratively to reach consensus on the most linguistically and culturally appropriate terms, examples, and images to capture the main messages.

**Toolkit: Core Booklet and Tangible Tools**

At each well-child visit, the physician presents the parent with a developmentally appropriate “core booklet,” and has the option to further reinforce messages with 1 of 6 topic supplements (breastfeeding, formula feeding, infant sleep, television and other screen time, family physical activity, and family nutrition). Parents are encouraged to share this booklet with all adult caregivers in the child’s home. Each core booklet is tailored to the child’s developmental stage and corresponds to 1 of the routine well-child visits between 2 months and 18 months. Each core booklet or supplement measures 8.5 by 5.5 inches (8.5 by 11-inch page, folded in half). Each core booklet contains 12 to 16 pages, and each
supplement 4 to 8 pages. To promote shared goal setting at each visit, the back page of each core booklet provides blank lines to allow for tailored goal-setting, as well as a checkbox list to help guide families to make specific goals (Figs 2 and 3). To access additional information on the intervention materials, visit http://www.mc.vanderbilt.edu/greenlight. Each core booklet introduces or reinforces 3 parent behaviors thought to be most strongly associated with preventing obesity during early childhood, based on developmental-stage appropriateness, complementary messages at previous and subsequent visits, and the best available evidence in the peer-review literature in December 2009 (Table 3).11,56–72 Each of these behaviors is highlighted on the cover of each core booklet within a green “traffic light” circle.

At 4 of the 6 well-child visits during study participation, the parent-child dyad receives a “tangible tool,” which is intended to promote intervention fidelity and to reinforce core messages (Fig 4). Each tangible tool cost <$4, with an estimated annual cost per child of <$8. At the 2-month and 9-month WCC, the tangible tool reinforces a message to limit intake of sweet drinks: at 2 months, an infant onesie that reads “I’m Sweet Enough. Please, No Juice!”; at 9 months, a Bisphenol A-free infant cup (“sippy cup”) with markings to help identify maximum daily juice intake and/or assist in juice dilution. (As part of the 9 month core messages, toolkits encourage parents to fill this and all cups primarily with water or milk, not juice.) At the 12-month and 15-month WCC, the tangible tool reinforces messages about portion size: at 12 months, 2 developmentally appropriate plastic snack bowls; at 15 months, a placemat illustrating a sample dinner plate with appropriate serving sizes for protein, starch, and fruits and vegetables.

**Physician Training Curriculum**

Before study participation, each consenting resident physician is required to attend at least 1 hour of formal Greenlight Training and to exceed a threshold score on a Greenlight Certification Checklist (see below). Every 6 months after the initial training, each resident physician attended an additional Greenlight Booster Training, in person or by video-enabled webcast. Applying the principles of active learning,73,74 the training curriculum used obesity prevention content to teach physician–parent communication skills in 3 domains: (1) clear health communication techniques (eg, plain language, “teach back” technique, and the effective use of printed materials)27,75–79; (2) cultural and linguistic competence (eg, family structure, community resources, and language interpreters),80 and (3) shared goal setting.81 Complementing the toolkit’s content, the physician-training curriculum promotes conversational dialogue with minimal jargon, reference to the toolkit’s pictures, and frequent verbal verification of understanding of information (eg, teach back). In addition to interpersonal communication, the curriculum addressed learner-centered content in each of the 6 competencies espoused by the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education: medical knowledge (evidence-based behaviors associated with early childhood risk of obesity), patient care (improving anticipatory guidance into a routine preventive care visit), professionalism (respecting cultural differences in infant feeding practices), systems-based practice (curriculum that acknowledges obesity as a public-health problem), and practice-based knowledge (integration of the Greenlight intervention into daily practice).33,46 The interactive training sessions were facilitated by the PI and physician champions (including attending physicians and residents). Short, “trigger” videos portraying physician–parent encounters were employed to stimulate discussion and to demonstrate...
effective use of the Greenlight Toolkit. Each resident was also asked to pair off with another resident to role play scenarios with the use of the Greenlight Toolkit.

A trained research coordinator verified each resident’s acquisition of communication skills with the Greenlight Certification Checklist (Fig 5), completed in the examination room during a clinical encounter with a nonstudy-enrolled family. Adapted from the Observed Structured Clinical Examination-standardized observation tool,82 the Kalamazoo Consensus Statement Tool,83,84 and the “Set the stage, Elicit Information, Give information, Understand the patient’s perspective, and End the encounter” (SEGUE) framework,85 the checklist includes 10 core items, with 2 additional items for encounters with limited English proficiency families. It assesses resident competencies in each of 3 domains: clear communication, cultural and linguistic competence, and shared goal setting. A threshold score of 8 (with limited English proficiency families, 10) was required for certification. Failure to exceed this threshold on an initial observation prompted brief feedback on areas for improvement and repeated observation at a subsequent clinic session until the threshold score was achieved.

ACTIVE CONTROL GROUP

At control sites, families received “usual care” with respect to obesity prevention, but as “active control” sites, they received implementation of The Injury Prevention Program (TIPP). In 1983, TIPP was designed by the American Academy of Pediatrics’ (AAP) Committee on Injury, Violence, and Poison Prevention to help pediatricians identify and address at-risk behaviors, to deliver developmentally appropriate anticipatory guidance, and provide written resources to parents and caregivers.86 For the purposes of this study, the AAP provided permission to use the English and Spanish language TIPP materials as designed and approved by the AAP as of September 1, 2009. For TIPP materials not available in Spanish, the research team’s advisory committee translated and adapted the materials into Spanish language, following the same process as applied to the Greenlight materials. To maintain equipoise in attention with the intervention group, the PIs also designed “TIPP Tangible Tools,” physician training modules in injury prevention, and physician observation checklists that accounted for developmentally appropriate injury prevention counseling. In keeping with active control principles, families and resident physicians at control sites received equal duration and frequency of attention as their counterparts at the intervention sites. Each resident physician at control sites received equal time of exposure to...
didactic training and examination room certification as their counterparts at intervention sites.

**MEASURES**

Trained, bilingual research assistants (RAs) conducted parent interviews in English or Spanish, based on the caregiver’s language of preference. Throughout the study, site PIs conducted periodic review and observation to ensure reliable data collection.

**Characteristics of Child, Caregiver/Family, and Physician**

A flowsheet of study recruitment is shown in Fig 6, and a summary of the baseline characteristics of the study population is shown in Tables 2 and 3. For each child, we collected the following baseline characteristics: date of birth, gender, race, ethnicity, birth history (including birth weight), medical history, health insurance status, initial feeding status (breast, bottle, both, and predominance of each), and out-of-home childcare. At 12 and 24 months, RAs abstracted additional information from each child’s medical record about child health care use, including preventive care visits, immunization history, and unscheduled acute care visits. After each study visit, the identity of the primary caregiver, the primary language used during the visit, and the pediatric resident providing the service were documented.

From each participating primary caregiver, we collected the following characteristics: age, gender, race, ethnicity, years of education, household composition, country of birth, English-language proficiency, family income, employment status, sources of health information (including Internet and mobile), health status, food security, BMI (self-reported weight and height), depressive symptoms,87,88 acculturation level, as measured by the Short Acculturation Scale for Hispanics (SASH) and sources of health information.89,90 Caregiver health locus of control was measured with the 20-item Parent Health Beliefs Scale.91,92 Caregiver health literacy and numeracy skills were assessed at baseline with the Short Test of Functional Health Literacy (S-TOFHLA),93,94 and pediatric-specific health literacy skills with the Pediatric Health Literacy Assessment Test.36 Caregiver health numeracy skills were assessed at 6 months with the Wide Range Achievement Test,95 and at 9 months with Newest Vital Sign.96 For each resident physician, we collected the following socio-demographic characteristics at the time of study enrollment: age, gender, level of training, medical school location (inside versus outside the United States), and if the resident physician was a parent.
Outcomes

Child weight Status

The study’s primary outcome is the prevalence of child overweight or obesity at 24 months, defined by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention as BMI $\geq$ the 85th percentile, adjusting for age and gender.14,97 Weight and length measurements were collected at baseline and at each study visit by trained clinic staff on the basis of Department of Health and Human Services guidelines for accurate anthropomorphic measurement.98 We will also examine weight for length $z$ scores on the basis of World Health Organization guidelines for children 0 to 2, and BMI $z$ scores on the basis of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention guidelines for children age 24 months.50,99

Family Health Behaviors

Parent-reported indicators of infant-feeding and physical-activity behaviors cover the following subdomains: (1) child and family activities (eg, sweetened beverages, “tummy time,” television time) and (2) parent self-efficacy, and (3) parent locus of control for specific behaviors. Whenever possible, survey items were derived from previously validated measures of infant feeding behaviors, infant and family physical activity and other early childhood health behaviors. Reports of infant feeding style were derived from the Infant Feeding Style Questionnaire.100 Developmentally appropriate reports of infant physical activity were adapted from the Early Childhood Longitudinal Study.101 When necessary, additional items to assess intervention-targeted behaviors were adapted from existing behavioral scales. This adaptation underwent iterative review by content experts (in the fields of pediatric obesity prevention and injury prevention) and methodological experts (in the fields of survey research, pediatric...
psychology and epidemiology). We also assessed parent-reported knowledge of obesity prevention recommendations and parent perception of child weight status.

Physician–Parent Communication

The Patient Communication Assessment Tool assessed primary caregiver’s satisfaction and perception of physician communication immediately after each WCC. 44,102 In addition to parent report of physician skills, resident physicians each self-reported the following: (1) competencies in health communication, (2) self-efficacy for anticipatory guidance, (3) knowledge, and (4) satisfaction. Scales to assess these outcomes were adapted from existing measures of satisfaction with and perception of physician–patient communication.103 RAs audiotaped a convenience sample (N = 20 per site) of 12- to 24-month WCC encounters, for later transcription and coding, to examine more thoroughly the content of physician–parent communication.

ANALYTIC PLAN

A total minimum sample size of 852 was calculated through a simulation study, powered at a β of over 80% to detect a 10% absolute difference between the intervention and comparison groups in the proportion of children at age 24 months with healthy weight status (>5th percentile and <85th percentile BMI). Intention-to-treat analyses of between-group differences will be performed by using generalized estimating equations, with adjustment for double clustering both at the level of the physician (pediatric resident) and at the level of the clinic, using a robust sandwich estimator to compute right variance-covariance matrix. Secondary analyses will examine between-group differences in the change of BMI z score at 24 months47,97-99 and the change in height/weight percentile over time, adjusted for an a priori defined set of covariates, including baseline height/weight percentile. When missing values exist in the covariates used in the model, we will perform a sensitivity analysis with imputed data sets through multiple imputation method. Our analysis is powered based on a 15:1 estimation of events per variable for a dichotomous outcome, and 15:1 samples per variable for a continuous outcome variable.

Caregiver health literacy will be examined as a primary predictor in most generalized estimating equation analyses. Interaction between health literacy (low versus adequate) and study status (intervention versus control) will be examined to determine if literacy level is a significant effect modifier. Similar analyses will be performed to examine the impact of other caregiver characteristics associated with child health outcomes (eg, ethnicity, educational attainment, family income, English-language proficiency, and acculturation) on the relationship between study status and primary outcomes.
CLINICAL AND PUBLIC HEALTH IMPLICATIONS

The IOM report on health literacy called for more experimental evidence to examine the role of health literacy in attenuating clinically significant health disparities that threaten morbidity and mortality.19 Because of its potential to significantly reduce morbidity across the life course, obesity prevention efforts during early childhood provide a unique opportunity to accumulate experimental evidence on the efficacy of low literacy approaches to clinical and preventive care. We anticipate that this study will help to assess the following overarching questions:

- Can a parent counseling-based intervention delivered in pediatric primary care increase the adoption of healthy family behaviors and/or reduce the risk of childhood obesity at age 2 years?
- What parent behaviors and attitudes during infancy are related to child obesity at age 2 years?
- How do parent literacy and numeracy mediate these effects?

We know relatively little about the role of specific family behaviors (eg, breastfeeding, recognizing satiety clues, limiting television exposure) in determining an infant's likelihood of developing clinically significant obesity. The Greenlight study affords the opportunity to explore these relationships. Furthermore, this study will allow clinicians and researchers to assess the modifiable roles of other social and cultural factors (eg, parent acculturation, parent locus of control, parent–clinician language discordance) in the early adoption of family health behaviors. Finally, as we follow this cohort through age 5 years, we will examine the sustained impact of the intervention into the critical periods of adiposity rebound and school entry.

Despite the large sample size and analytic approach, the sampling frame limits our ability to detect a small treatment effect and to generalize beyond low-income populations in urban and near-urban settings. The group-level differences in child, parent, and pediatric-provider baseline characteristics may introduce additional bias, which will require the use of general-estimating equations to adjust for baseline characteristics and clustering.

FIGURE 6
Study flow.
The intervention period (2 to 24 months) limits our ability to judge the intervention’s effect during the preschool years, when adiposity rebound occurs and when the initial effects of obesity prevention may be more clinically meaningful. We are continuing to follow all study participants through age 5 years, however, to ascertain long-term impact and to monitor for study wash-out effects.

The results of this study may have significant implications for pediatric primary care, for medical training, and for public health practice. If the hypothesized study results were realized and the intervention replicated nationally among all infants, as many as 7 million additional infants may be kept within normal weight through age 2 years. If the hypothesized effect on child weight status is not detected, however, the study will be able to provide valuable information about the natural history of the infant-care behaviors (eg, feeding, physical activity) and about the trial’s impact on more proximate health-related outcomes (eg, doctor–parent interaction, physician behavior, and family health behaviors). Through the control arm, this study affords additional opportunity to assess the efficacy of the AAP’s TIPP program and to describe the natural history of injury-prevention behaviors during early childhood. More generally, study findings may also inform quality standards for primary-care practice and anticipatory guidance, including the Bright Futures Initiative and Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education competency-based standards for postgraduate training. Most importantly, the results of the Greenlight Intervention Study are likely to provide meaningful, evidence-based guidance to the improved delivery of clinical and preventive health care for all children.
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