

Language Problems in Children With ADHD: A Community-Based Study

AUTHORS: Emma Sciberras, DPsych,^{a,b} Kathryn L. Mueller, PhD,^a Daryl Efron, FRACP, MD,^{a,b,c} Matthew Bisset, GDipPsych,^a Vicki Anderson, PhD,^{a,b,c} Elizabeth J. Schilpzand, BPsychHons,^{a,c} Brad Jongeling, FRACP, MBBS,^{d,e} and Jan M. Nicholson, PhD^{a,f}

^aMurdoch Childrens Research Institute, Parkville, Victoria, Australia; ^bThe Royal Children's Hospital, Parkville, Victoria, Australia; ^cThe University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria, Australia; ^dChild Development Service, Joondalup, Western Australia; ^eThe University of Western Australia, Perth, Western Australia; and ^fParenting Research Centre, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

KEY WORDS

attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder, child, language, comorbidities, social function, academic function

ABBREVIATIONS

ADHD—attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder
ASD—autism spectrum disorder
CELF-4—Clinical Evaluation of Language Fundamentals, fourth edition
CI—confidence interval
Conners 3AI—Conners 3 ADHD Index
DISC-IV—Diagnostic Interview Schedule for Children IV
MD—mean difference
OR—odds ratio
SSIS—Social Skills Improvement System

Dr Sciberras conceptualized and designed the study, carried out the analyses, and drafted the initial manuscript; Drs Mueller and Efron contributed to the conception and design of the study, reviewed and revised the manuscript, and provided critical input; Mr Bisset coordinated the study and collected study data, and contributed to the drafting of the manuscript; Professor Anderson contributed to the conception and design of the study, reviewed and revised the manuscript, and provided critical input; Ms Schilpzand coordinated the study and collected study data, and reviewed and revised the manuscript; Dr Jongeling contributed to the conception and design of the study, and reviewed and revised the manuscript; Professor Nicholson contributed to the conception and design of the study, reviewed and revised the manuscript, and provided critical input; and all authors approved the final manuscript as submitted.

(Continued on last page)



WHAT'S KNOWN ON THIS SUBJECT: Children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) have poorer academic and social functioning and more language problems than typically developing peers. However, it is unknown how language problems impact the academic and social functioning of these children.



WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS: Language problems are common in children with ADHD and are associated with markedly poorer academic functioning independent of ADHD symptom severity and comorbidities. There was little evidence that language problems were associated with poorer social functioning for children with ADHD.

abstract

FREE

OBJECTIVES: To examine the prevalence of language problems in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) versus non-ADHD controls, and the impact of language problems on the social and academic functioning of children with ADHD.

METHODS: Children (6 to 8 years) with ADHD (n = 179) and controls (n = 212) were recruited through 43 Melbourne schools. ADHD was assessed by using the Conners 3 ADHD Index and the Diagnostic Interview Schedule for Children IV. Oral language was assessed by using the Clinical Evaluation of Language Fundamentals, fourth edition, screener. Academic functioning was measured via direct assessment (Wide Range Achievement Test 4) and teacher report (Social Skills Improvement System). Social functioning was measured via parent and teacher report (Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire; Social Skills Improvement System). Logistic and linear regression models were adjusted for sociodemographic factors and child comorbidities.

RESULTS: Children with ADHD had a higher prevalence of language problems than controls after adjustment for sociodemographic factors and comorbidities (odds ratio, 2.8; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.5 to 5.1). Compared with children with ADHD alone, those with language problems had poorer word reading (mean difference [MD], −11.6; 95% CI, −16.4 to −6.9; effect size, −0.7), math computation (MD, −11.4; 95% CI, −15.0 to −7.7; effect size, −0.8), and academic competence (MD, −10.1; 95% CI, −14.0 to −6.1; effect size, −0.7). Language problems were not associated with poorer social functioning.

CONCLUSIONS: Children with ADHD had a higher prevalence of language problems than controls, and language problems in children with ADHD contributed to markedly poorer academic functioning. *Pediatrics* 2014;133:793–800

Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) is highly prevalent and associated with impairments in academic and social functioning.^{1,2} Individuals with ADHD may also be at risk for language problems^{3,4}; however, the impact of language ability on academic and social functioning for children with ADHD is unknown. Given that language problems are associated with poorer social and academic function in the general population,^{5,6} this study investigated the prevalence and impact of language problems in a community-based sample of children with ADHD.

A small body of research has consistently reported an elevated prevalence of language problems among children with ADHD.^{3,7–9} Similarly, studies examining the prevalence of ADHD in language-impaired samples also demonstrate that these conditions are highly comorbid.¹⁰ However, estimates of this overlap vary considerably, and previous studies have relied on small, nonrepresentative clinical samples; underrepresentation of girls and those with ADHD-inattentive subtype; and failure to directly confirm ADHD diagnosis.⁴ One community-based study revealed that 45% of children with ADHD had comorbid language problems.⁹ However, this study did not have a control group, and children with comorbid behavioral disorders were excluded.⁹

Despite the availability of pharmacological and behavioral treatments, children with ADHD continue to have poorer long-term academic and social outcomes.¹¹ Furthermore, most children with ADHD have 1 or more comorbidities,¹² which may also contribute to poorer outcomes.¹³ Thus, the identification of comorbidities is a critical element of ADHD management. Standardized language assessments are rarely included in assessment, and therefore language deficits may go unidentified or misdiagnosed.^{14,15} Cohen et al¹⁶ reported

that children with ADHD and language impairment had lower academic achievement than children with ADHD alone; however, the sample with ADHD and language impairment was small ($n = 36$), and analyses did not adjust for comorbidities or sociodemographic characteristics. If comorbid language problems contribute to poorer functioning for children with ADHD, these should be an additional target for intervention.

Using a community-ascertained sample, we aimed to examine the:

1. Prevalence of language problems in children with ADHD and non-ADHD controls;
2. Frequency with which children with ADHD and controls access speech pathology services; and
3. Associations between language problems and academic and social functioning in children with ADHD.

We hypothesized that children with ADHD would have a higher prevalence of language problems than controls, but that few of these children would have received speech pathology services. We predicted that language problems would be associated with poorer academic and social functioning in children with ADHD.

METHODS

Design and Setting

Data were collected as part of the Children's Attention Project, a community-based longitudinal study of ADHD.¹⁷ Ethics approval was obtained from The Royal Children's Hospital (no. 31056) and the Victorian Department of Education and Early Childhood Development (no. 2011_001095). Parents provided consent for participation in each stage of the study.

Eligibility and Recruitment of Screening Sample

Participants were recruited from 43 mainstream (inclusive) elementary

schools in Melbourne, Australia. Parents and teachers of children in second grade were invited to complete the 10-item Conners 3 ADHD Index (Conners 3AI)¹⁸ as an initial screener for ADHD. Parents also reported whether the child had been diagnosed with ADHD or any other developmental or medical conditions, and provided demographic information.

Children were classified as screening positive if their scores on both the parent and teacher ADHD indices were ≥ 75 th percentile for age for boys, and ≥ 80 th percentile for girls and/or they had been diagnosed with ADHD. Children were classified as screening negative if their scores on both parent and teacher ADHD indices were < 75 th percentile for boys and < 80 th percentile for girls, and they had no ADHD diagnosis. Exclusion criteria for both groups included parent-report of any of the following conditions in the screening survey: intellectual disability, serious medical condition, genetic disorder, moderate to severe sensory impairment, or neurologic problem. Parents with insufficient English to complete assessments were also excluded. Each positively screened child was randomly matched on gender and school with a negatively screened child.

Diagnostic Confirmation and Baseline Data Collection

Families of children screening positive and the matched children screening negative were invited into the study, involving ADHD case confirmation, detailed questionnaires, and direct child assessments. ADHD status was confirmed by using a face-to-face structured diagnostic interview with the child's parent (Diagnostic Interview Schedule for Children IV [DISC-IV]).¹⁹ Interviews and child assessments were completed by research staff, with at least a 4-year undergraduate degree in psychology, who were blinded to child screening status.

Measures

ADHD and comorbid conditions were assessed by using the DISC-IV,¹⁹ which assesses for mental health conditions according to *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition* criteria. Version N (April 2007) algorithms were used to confirm ADHD status and assess internalizing (social phobia, separation anxiety disorder, generalized anxiety disorder, obsessive compulsive disorder, posttraumatic stress disorder, major depression, dysthymic disorder, hypomania or manic episode) and externalizing disorders (oppositional defiant disorder or conduct disorder).

Oral language was assessed by using the Clinical Evaluation of Language Fundamentals, fourth edition, Screening Test (CELF-4 screener),²⁰ which identifies children at risk for language disorder. The screener assesses expressive and receptive language ability. Children are regarded as being “at risk” for a language disorder when their total raw score (ranging from 0 to 28) is less than the criterion score for age (developed by using a large standardization sample; $n = 1200$).²⁰ For simplicity, we refer to children screening in the at risk range as having “language problems” throughout the article. The measure has high sensitivity (0.88) and specificity (0.88), and excellent test-retest reliability ($r = 0.89$).²⁰

Academic functioning was assessed by using the Word Reading and Math Computation subtests from the Wide Range Achievement Test 4.²¹ Raw scores were converted to standard scores for the child's age (mean = 100; SD = 15). Academic competence was assessed by using the 7-item teacher-rated Academic Competence scale ($\alpha = 0.96$) from the Social Skills Improvement System (SSIS),²² and raw scores were again converted to standard scores based on age.

Social functioning was measured by using the parent- and teacher-reported

peer problems (parent: $\alpha = 0.66$; teacher: $\alpha = 0.69$) and prosocial behavior (parent: $\alpha = 0.75$; teacher: $\alpha = 0.85$) subscales from the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire.²³ Higher scores on the peer problems scale indicate poorer functioning, whereas higher scores on the prosocial behavior scale indicate better functioning. Social skill domains were also assessed by using the parent-and/or teacher-reported subscales from the SSIS²²: engagement (eg, participates in games or group activities; teacher: $\alpha = 0.93$), responsibility (eg, takes responsibility for his/her actions; parent: $\alpha = 0.89$; teacher: $\alpha = 0.93$), self-control (eg, uses appropriate language when upset; parent: $\alpha = 0.86$; teacher: $\alpha = 0.94$), and bullying (eg, is aggressive toward people or objects; parent: $\alpha = 0.77$; teacher: $\alpha = 0.90$). Lower scores indicated poorer functioning with the exception of bullying where higher scores indicated more bullying.

Speech pathologist service use was assessed by using study-designed parent report questions: “Have you ever sought/ Are you currently seeking any professional help for any concerns about your child's learning, behavior, or emotions?” Parents marked all that applied on a list, which included a speech pathologist.

A priori confounders included child age and gender, ADHD symptom severity (parent report, Conners 3AI), internalizing disorder (yes/no: DISC-IV), externalizing disorder (yes/no: DISC-IV), autism spectrum disorder (ASD; yes/no: parent-reported diagnosis), parent age, parent high school completion (yes/no), single parent status (yes/no), and parent mental health (Kessler 6, total score).²⁴

Other sample characteristics included nonverbal IQ measured by using the Matrix Reasoning subtest from the Wechsler Abbreviated Scales of Intelligence.²⁵ Similar to other studies examining neurodevelopmental con-

ditions,²⁶ we described but chose not to adjust for nonverbal IQ. Parents also reported whether their child was taking any medications to assist with learning, behavior, or emotions. Medication use was not included as a confounder given its strong relationship with ADHD symptom severity.

Analyses

χ^2 and t tests were used to examine demographic differences between groups. Summary statistics were used to report the prevalence of language difficulties, and the proportion that had accessed a speech pathologist (aims 1 and 2). Logistic regression compared the likelihood of a child in the ADHD group having a language problem, relative to controls (aim 1). Linear regression compared the mean difference (MD) on academic and social outcomes in children with ADHD and language problems to those with ADHD alone (aim 3). All models controlled for school clustering.

For aims 1 and 3, analyses were rerun by using 2 adjusted regression models. The first model accounted for child (age and gender) and family (parent age, parent high school completion, single parent status, and parent mental health) sociodemographic factors. The second model accounted for all child and family sociodemographic factors, as well as child comorbidities (internalizing disorder, externalizing disorder, and ASD). For aim 3, ADHD symptom severity was also accounted for in the second adjusted model. A sensitivity analysis was conducted excluding children with comorbid ASD. Effect sizes were calculated by standardizing outcome variables to have a mean of zero and an SD of 1. Analyses were conducted by using Stata 13.0 (Stata Corp, College Station, TX).

RESULTS

We received 3734 of 5922 completed parent and teacher screening surveys

(response rate: 63%). Although there were no differences in child age and gender between responders and non-responders, responders were from more socially advantaged areas, measured by the census-based Socioeconomic Indexes for Areas Disadvantage Index for the child's postcode of residence.²⁷ From complete and eligible screening data, we identified 412 children screening positive for ADHD and matched these children to 412 children screening negative.

Of the 412 children screening positive 267 were eligible and participated (response rate: 65%). Of these, 179 met criteria for ADHD on the DISC-IV and formed the ADHD group. Of the 412 children screening negative 231 participated (response rate: 56%). Of these, 212 did not meet criteria for ADHD on the DISC-IV and formed the control group. There were no differences in child age and gender between those who consented to participate or declined. Consenting positive screens were more likely to be from socially advantaged areas compared with positive screens who declined participation; however, there was no difference in social advantage for negative screens.

Sample Characteristics

Children with ADHD were more likely to have an internalising and externalising disorder, were more likely to have been diagnosed with ADHD and were more likely to be taking medication than controls (Table 1). The primary caregivers in the ADHD group were younger, more likely to be single parents, less likely to have completed high school, and reported higher levels of psychological distress.

Prevalence of Language Problems

Forty percent of children in the ADHD group had language problems (42% of girls versus 40% of boys), compared with 17% of controls. Although not

statistically significant, language problems were more common for the ADHD-combined type (47%; $n = 43$), followed by ADHD-hyperactive/impulsive (36%; $n = 8$) and ADHD-inattentive (33%; $n = 21$). Medication use was similar for children with ADHD alone (42%) and children with ADHD and language problems (38%).

After adjustment for confounders, children with ADHD remained more likely to have language problems than controls (odds ratio [OR], 2.8; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.5 to 5.1; $P < .001$; Table 2). Confounding variables were not significantly associated with language problems in the adjusted model; ADHD status was the only unique predictor of language problems.

Speech Pathology Service Use

Forty-two percent ($n = 30$) of children with ADHD and language problems had previously accessed speech pathology services. Of these, 57% ($n = 17$) were still seeing a speech pathologist. Only

16% ($n = 6$) of control children with language problems had previously accessed speech pathology services and of these, half were currently seeing a speech pathologist (50%; $n = 3$).

Association Between Language Problems and Academic and Social Functioning

In unadjusted and adjusted analyses, children with ADHD and language problems had poorer academic functioning than those with ADHD alone (Table 3). After adjustment, they had poorer word reading (effect size, -0.7), math computation (effect size, -0.8), and academic competence (effect size, -0.7).

We found little evidence that language problems adversely affected social functioning in children with ADHD (Table 3). After adjustment, children with ADHD and language problems had lower scores on the parent-reported responsibility subscale compared with children with ADHD alone (effect size, -0.3).

TABLE 1 Sample Characteristics for Children With ADHD and Non-ADHD Controls

	ADHD, $n = 179^a$	Control, $n = 212^b$	P
Child characteristics			
Child age in years, mean (SD)	7.3 (0.4)	7.3 (0.4)	.41
Boy, n (%)	124 (69.3)	135 (63.7)	.24
ADHD subtype, n (%)			—
ADHD-combined	93 (52.0)	—	
ADHD-inattentive	64 (36.0)	—	
ADHD-hyperactive/impulsive	22 (12.3)	—	
ADHD symptom severity, parent report, mean (SD) ^c	13.7 (4.0)	1.3 (1.9)	<.001
ADHD symptom severity, teacher report, mean (SD) ^c	12.9 (5.4)	0.6 (1.6)	<.001
Internalizing disorder, ^d n (%)	47 (26.3)	10 (4.7)	<.001
Externalizing disorder, ^d n (%)	97 (54.2)	17 (8.0)	<.001
Matrix Reasoning T score, mean (SD) ^e	46.0 (9.7)	51.6 (10.3)	<.001
ASD diagnosis, n (%)	33 (18.4)	3 (1.4)	<.001
Medication use (any), n (%) ^f	36 (21.6)	1 (0.5)	<.001
Primary caregiver characteristics			
Parent age in years, mean (SD)	37.2 (5.8)	38.9 (5.4)	.005
Single parent family, n (%)	42 (25.2)	23 (11.4)	.001
Did not complete high school, n (%)	63 (37.7)	39 (19.3)	<.001
Psychological distress, mean (SD) ^g	5.3 (4.5)	2.6 (2.8)	<.001

^a n ranges between 167 and 179.

^b n ranges between 202 and 212.

^c Conners 3AI.

^d DISC-IV.

^e Wechsler Abbreviated Scales of Intelligence.

^f Twenty children (11%) in the ADHD group had taken medication for ADHD on the day of the assessment (dexamphetamine, methylphenidate, or atomoxetine).

^g Kessler 6.

TABLE 2 Prevalence of Language Problems in Children With ADHD Versus Controls

	ADHD, <i>n</i> = 178 ^b	Control, <i>n</i> = 212	OR (95% CI) ^c					
			Unadjusted	<i>P</i>	Adjusted 1 ^d	<i>P</i>	Adjusted 2 ^e	<i>P</i>
Language problem, <i>n</i> (%) ^a	72 (40.4)	37 (17.4)	3.2 (2.0 to 5.1)	<.001	2.9 (1.7 to 4.9)	<.001	2.8 (1.5 to 5.1)	.001

^a Measured by using the CELF-4 screener.

^b CELF-4 data unavailable for 1 participant with ADHD.

^c All unadjusted and adjusted models adjusted for school clustering.

^d Adjusted for child (age and gender) and family (parent age, parent high school completion, single-parent status, and parent mental health) sociodemographic factors.

^e Adjusted for child and family sociodemographic factors in addition to child comorbidities (internalizing disorder, externalizing disorder, and ASD).

Although those with ADHD and language problems had lower scores on the other parent- and teacher-reported measures of social functioning, differences were not statistically significant.

Sensitivity Analysis Excluding Children With ASD

We reran all adjusted analyses excluding, rather than covarying for, children with ASD. Significantly elevated prevalence of language problems in children with ADHD relative to controls remained (38% vs 17%; OR, 3.1; 95% CI, 1.7 to 5.8; $P < .001$); language problems continued to be similar for girls and boys with ADHD (39% vs 38%). We did find that fewer children with ADHD without ASD had previously accessed speech pathology services ($n = 18$; 33%) compared with the entire ADHD sample ($n = 30$; 42%).

In adjusted analyses, we continued to find that, compared with children with ADHD alone, children with ADHD and language problems had poorer word reading (MD, -11.9 ; 95% CI, -17.1 to -6.7 ; $P < .001$; effect size, -0.7), math computation (MD, -11.5 ; 95% CI, -15.5 to -7.5 ; $P < .001$; effect size, -0.8), and academic competence (MD, -10.0 ; 95% CI, -14.5 to -5.6 ; $P < .001$; effect size, -0.7). Similarly there was weak evidence that language problems were associated with poorer social functioning.

DISCUSSION

In this study, children with ADHD were at much greater risk of language problems than controls. There was strong evidence that language problems in children with ADHD were associated with

markedly poorer academic functioning. In contrast, there was little evidence that language problems adversely affected social functioning in children with ADHD. Fewer than half of children with ADHD and language problems had accessed speech pathology services and only one-quarter were currently seeing a speech pathologist. All results held when excluding children with comorbid ASD.

The risk of language problems for children with ADHD was nearly 3 times higher than for controls, similar to that found in Tirosh and Cohen's⁹ community-based study. Consistent with previous research, ADHD status was a unique predictor of language problems.⁵ Our findings suggest that the relationship between language problems and ADHD is not merely explained by other commonly occurring comorbidities (eg, ASD, internalizing and externalizing disorders) or sociodemographic factors. Our study extends previous research by considering multiple factors, which may have accounted for this relationship within an inclusive, community-ascertained ADHD sample. The mechanisms underlying comorbidity between ADHD and language impairment are likely complex and cannot be ascertained from this study. One possibility is that poor language ability may constitute a risk factor on the phenotypic pathway to ADHD, and/or vice versa.⁴ Another possibility is that the overlap between these conditions reflects shared biological etiology.

In contrast to the only other community-based study in this area, we found that the prevalence of language problems was similar in boys and girls with ADHD.

Tirosh and Cohen⁹ found that girls with ADHD were more likely to have language problems than boys with ADHD. However, their study comprised a small sample of girls with ADHD, and excluded children with behavioral comorbidities. This may have resulted in the ascertainment of a less severe sample of boys with ADHD.

Consistent with research examining language-impaired samples,⁵ there was a strong relationship between language problems and academic functioning in children with ADHD. Large effect size differences were detected across all academic domains when comparing those with ADHD and language problems to those with ADHD alone. Children with ADHD alone, as a group, had academic functioning that fell within the average range, further highlighting the key relationship between language and academic functioning. Importantly, the strength of the relationship between academic and language functioning held after taking into account key confounding variables, highlighting the unique contribution of children's language to academic functioning.

Despite this association, fewer than half of children with ADHD and language problems had previously accessed speech pathology services, and only one-quarter were currently seeing a speech pathologist. This could be due to ADHD symptoms masking language problems in this population; however, speech pathology service use was even lower for control children. Routine assessments for ADHD do not generally include standardized language assessments, and it

TABLE 3 Unadjusted and Adjusted MDs in Academic and Social Functioning for Children With ADHD and Language Problems Versus Those With ADHD-Along

	Mean (SD)		MD (95% CI) ^d															
	ADHD and Language, n = 72		ADHD alone, n = 106		Unadjusted		Adjusted 1 ^e		ES		Adjusted 2 ^f		ES		P			
	MD	SD	MD	SD	MD	SD	MD	SD	ES	P	MD	SD	ES	P	MD	SD	ES	P
Academic functioning																		
Reading ^g	88.8 (15.4)	102.7 (17.0)	-13.4 (-18.3 to -8.5)	-0.8	<.001	-10.9 (-15.6 to -6.2)	-0.6	<.001	-11.6 (-16.4 to -6.9)	-0.7	<.001	-11.4 (-15.0 to -7.7)	-0.8	<.001	-10.1 (-14.0 to -6.1)	-0.7	<.001	
Math ^h	82.6 (79.4)	95.3 (12.9)	-12.6 (-16.6 to -8.6)	-0.8	<.001	-11.1 (-14.7 to -7.5)	-0.7	<.001	-11.4 (-15.0 to -7.7)	-0.8	<.001	-10.1 (-14.0 to -6.1)	-0.7	<.001	-10.1 (-14.0 to -6.1)	-0.7	<.001	
Competence ^b	80.6 (11.7)	90.7 (14.4)	-10.1 (-14.1 to -6.1)	-0.7	<.001	-9.5 (-13.6 to -5.5)	-0.6	<.001	-10.1 (-14.0 to -6.1)	-0.7	<.001	-10.1 (-14.0 to -6.1)	-0.7	<.001	-10.1 (-14.0 to -6.1)	-0.7	<.001	
Social functioning																		
Parent report																		
Peer problems ^c	3.4 (2.2)	2.8 (2.0)	0.6 (-0.1 to 1.2)	0.3	.08	0.5 (-0.1 to 1.2)	0.3	.11	0.5 (-0.1 to 1.1)	0.3	.11	0.5 (-0.1 to 1.1)	0.3	.10	0.5 (-0.1 to 1.1)	0.3	.10	
Prosocial ^c	6.2 (2.2)	6.5 (2.1)	-0.3 (-0.9 to 0.4)	-0.1	.43	-0.2 (-0.8 to 0.5)	-0.1	.59	-0.2 (-0.8 to 0.4)	-0.1	.59	-0.2 (-0.8 to 0.4)	-0.1	.55	-0.2 (-0.8 to 0.4)	-0.1	.55	
Self-control ^b	7.2 (4.1)	7.8 (3.5)	-0.3 (-1.4 to 0.8)	-0.1	.60	-0.3 (-1.4 to 0.8)	-0.1	.62	-0.4 (-1.5 to 0.6)	-0.1	.62	-0.4 (-1.5 to 0.6)	-0.1	.44	-0.4 (-1.5 to 0.6)	-0.1	.44	
Responsibility ^b	8.2 (3.7)	9.3 (2.9)	-1.1 (-2.1 to -0.1)	-0.3	.03	-1.0 (-2.1 to -0.01)	0.3	.05	-1.2 (-2.1 to -0.2)	-0.3	.02	-1.2 (-2.1 to -0.2)	-0.3	.02	-1.2 (-2.1 to -0.2)	-0.3	.02	
Bullying ^b	3.6 (2.9)	3.5 (2.6)	0.02 (-0.8 to 0.9)	0.008	.96	-0.2 (-1.0 to 0.7)	-0.07	.69	-0.03 (-0.8 to 0.7)	-0.01	.93	-0.03 (-0.8 to 0.7)	-0.01	.93	-0.03 (-0.8 to 0.7)	-0.01	.93	
Teacher report																		
Peer problems ^c	3.3 (2.1)	2.8 (2.1)	0.5 (-0.1 to 1.1)	0.3	.11	0.6 (-0.1 to 1.2)	0.3	.09	0.6 (-0.1 to 1.2)	0.3	.09	0.6 (-0.1 to 1.2)	0.3	.09	0.6 (-0.1 to 1.2)	0.3	.09	
Prosocial ^c	5.4 (2.6)	5.9 (2.6)	-0.5 (-1.3 to 0.3)	-0.2	.21	-0.5 (-1.3 to 0.2)	0.2	.18	-0.6 (-1.4 to 0.2)	-0.2	.18	-0.6 (-1.4 to 0.2)	-0.2	.13	-0.6 (-1.4 to 0.2)	-0.2	.13	
Self-control ^b	10.6 (4.5)	10.5 (5.2)	0.1 (-1.4 to 1.5)	0.01	.94	0.4 (-1.1 to 1.9)	0.1	.57	0.4 (-1.0 to 1.9)	0.1	.56	0.4 (-1.0 to 1.9)	0.1	.56	0.4 (-1.0 to 1.9)	0.1	.56	
Responsibility ^b	9.3 (3.6)	9.9 (3.7)	-0.5 (-1.6 to 0.6)	-0.1	.39	-0.5 (-1.7 to 0.6)	-0.1	.38	-0.6 (-1.7 to 0.5)	-0.1	.30	-0.6 (-1.7 to 0.5)	-0.1	.30	-0.6 (-1.7 to 0.5)	-0.1	.30	
Engagement ^b	11.1 (4.3)	12.3 (4.1)	-1.2 (-2.4 to 0.1)	-0.3	.07	-1.2 (-2.5 to 0.1)	-0.3	.06	-1.2 (-2.5 to 0.1)	-0.3	.06	-1.2 (-2.5 to 0.1)	-0.3	.06	-1.2 (-2.5 to 0.1)	-0.3	.06	
Bullying ^b	3.8 (3.5)	3.0 (3.4)	0.8 (-0.2 to 1.9)	0.3	.12	0.8 (-0.3 to 1.9)	0.3	.15	0.8 (-0.2 to 1.0)	0.3	.13	0.8 (-0.2 to 1.0)	0.3	.13	0.8 (-0.2 to 1.0)	0.3	.13	

ES, effect size.

^a Wide Range Achievement Test 4.

^b SSI.

^c Strengths & Difficulties Questionnaire.

^d All unadjusted and adjusted analyses adjust for school clustering.

^e Adjusted for child (age and gender) and family (parent age, parent high school completion, single-parent status, and parent mental health) sociodemographic factors.

^f Adjusted for child and family sociodemographic factors in addition to child comorbidities (internalizing disorder, externalizing disorder, and ASD) and ADHD symptom severity.

may not be feasible to incorporate language assessments into routine practice. However, given the strong association between language and academic underachievement found in this study, if children with ADHD are falling significantly behind academically, they should be referred for a language assessment.

Contrary to expectations, language problems had little adverse effect on social functioning in children with ADHD. It is possible that children with ADHD already experience poorer social functioning due to factors aside from language ability including ADHD symptoms and associated comorbidities (eg, ASD).¹ Alternatively, language problems may exert a greater influence on social functioning as peer relationships become more complex with age.²⁸ We might see a different picture as children progress through elementary school.

To our knowledge, this is the first study to examine the association between language and both academic and social functioning in children with ADHD. Strengths of the study include the community-based design; rigorous case and control identification procedures; and representation of girls, all subtypes, and comorbidities. We assessed outcome variables by using blinded direct assessments and detailed parent and teacher reports. We adjusted for a number of variables in our analyses that may have confounded the relationship between ADHD and language problems.

However, although we directly assessed child language, we did so via a screening measure, which did not yield information of the type of language problem experienced (ie, receptive or expressive). Further research is needed to replicate these findings using a full assessment of language. The prevalence of language problems in our control group was however, similar to other population-based studies,^{29,30} suggesting a screen may be sufficient for estimating language problems. The participation

rate for our control group was lower than our ADHD group; however, we identified no evidence of participation bias for controls.

CONCLUSIONS

We found that both boys and girls with ADHD had elevated prevalence of language problems, and that language problems in children with ADHD were

associated with significantly poorer academic functioning. Given the strength of this association, future research should examine whether language-based interventions are effective in improving academic functioning for this vulnerable group of children.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank all the research assistants, students, and interns who contributed to

data collection for this study. We also thank the many families, teachers, and schools for their participation in this study. Some study data were collected and managed by using Research Electronic Data Capture (REDCap) electronic data capture tools hosted at Murdoch Childrens Research Institute. REDCap is a secure, Web-based application designed to support data capture for research studies.

REFERENCES

- McQuade JD, Hoza B. Peer problems in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: current status and future directions. *Dev Disabil Res Rev*. 2008;14(4):320–324
- Langberg JM, Molina BSG, Arnold LE, et al. Patterns and predictors of adolescent academic achievement and performance in a sample of children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol*. 2011;40(4):519–531
- Westby C, Watson S. Perspectives on attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: executive functions, working memory, and language disabilities. *Semin Speech Lang*. 2004;25(3):241–254
- Mueller KL, Tomblin JB. Examining the comorbidity of language impairment and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Top Lang Disord*. 2012;32:228–246
- Stothard SE, Snowling MJ, Bishop DVM, Chipchase BB, Kaplan CA. Language-impaired preschoolers: a follow-up into adolescence. *J Speech Lang Hear Res*. 1998;41(2):407–418
- Botting N, Conti-Ramsden G. Social and behavioural difficulties in children with language impairment. *Child Lang Teach Ther*. 2000;16:105–120
- Gualtieri CT, Koriath U, Van Bourgondien M, Saleeby N. Language disorders in children referred for psychiatric services. *J Am Acad Child Psychiatry*. 1983;22(2):165–171
- Love AJ, Thompson MGG. Language disorders and attention deficit disorders in young children referred for psychiatric services: analysis of prevalence and a conceptual synthesis. *Am J Orthopsychiatry*. 1988;58(1):52–64
- Tirosh E, Cohen A. Language deficit with attention-deficit disorder: a prevalent comorbidity. *J Child Neurol*. 1998;13(10):493–497
- Baker L, Cantwell DP. A prospective psychiatric follow-up of children with speech/language disorders. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 1987;26(4):546–553
- Langberg JM, Becker SP. Does long-term medication use improve the academic outcomes of youth with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder? *Clin Child Fam Psychol Rev*. 2012;15(3):215–233
- Gillberg C, Gillberg IC, Rasmussen P, et al. Co-existing disorders in ADHD: implications for diagnosis and intervention. *Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2004;13(suppl 1):180–192
- Cherkasova M, Sulla EM, Dalena KL, Pondé MP, Hechtman L. Developmental course of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and its predictors. *J Can Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2013;22(1):47–54
- Cohen NJ, Barwick MA, Horodezky NB, Vallance DD, Im N. Language, achievement, and cognitive processing in psychiatrically disturbed children with previously identified and unsuspected language impairments. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*. 1998;39(6):865–877
- Redmond SM. The use of rating scales with children who have language impairments. *Am J Speech Lang Pathol*. 2002;11:124–138
- Cohen NJ, Vallance DD, Barwick M, et al. The interface between ADHD and language impairment: an examination of language, achievement, and cognitive processing. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*. 2000;41(3):353–362
- Sciberras E, Efron D, Schilpzand EJ, et al. The Children's Attention Project: a community-based longitudinal study of children with ADHD and non-ADHD controls. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2013;13:18
- Conners CK. *Conners*. 3rd ed. Toronto, Canada: Multi-Health Systems; 2008
- Shaffer D, Fisher P, Lucas CP, Dulcan MK, Schwab-Stone ME. NIMH Diagnostic Interview Schedule for Children Version IV (NIMH DISC-IV): description, differences from previous versions, and reliability of some common diagnoses. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2000;39(1):28–38
- Semel E, Wiig E, Secord W. *Clinical Evaluation of Language Fundamentals, Fourth Edition, Screening Test, Australian & New Zealand Language Adapted Edition (CELF-4 Screener)*. Sydney, Australia: NCS Pearson; 2004
- Wilkinson GS, Robertson GJ. *Wide Range Achievement Test 4 (WRAT 4)*. Lutz, FL: Psychological Assessment Resources; 2006
- Gresham FM, Elliott SN, Kettler RJ. Base rates of social skills acquisition/performance deficits, strengths, and problem behaviors: an analysis of the Social Skills Improvement System—Rating Scales. *Psychol Assess*. 2010;22(4):809–815
- Goodman R. Psychometric properties of the strengths and difficulties questionnaire. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2001;40(11):1337–1345
- Furukawa TA, Kessler RC, Slade T, Andrews G. The performance of the K6 and K10 screening scales for psychological distress in the Australian National Survey of Mental Health and Well-Being. *Psychol Med*. 2003;33(2):357–362
- Wechsler D. *Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence*. San Antonio, TX: Harcourt; 1999
- Dennis M, Francis DJ, Cirino PT, Schachar RJ, Barnes MA, Fletcher JM. Why IQ is not a covariate in cognitive studies of neurodevelopmental disorders. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc*. 2009;15(3):331–343
- Australian Bureau of Statistics. *Census of population and housing: socio-economic*

- indexes for areas (SEIFA), Australia—Data only. Available at: www.abs.gov.au/AUSSTATS/abs@.nsf/DetailsPage/2033.0.55.0012011?OpenDocument. Accessed April 5, 2013
28. Durkin K, Conti-Ramsden G. Young people with specific language impairment: a review of social and emotional functioning in adolescence. *Child Lang Teach Ther*. 2010; 26:107–123
29. Reilly S, Wake M, Ukoumunne OC, et al. Predicting language outcomes at 4 years of age: longitudinal findings from the Early Language in Victoria Study. *Pediatrics*. 2010;126(6). Available at: www.pediatrics.org/cgi/content/full/126/6/e1530
30. Tomblin JB, Records NL, Zhang X. A system for the diagnosis of specific language impairment in kindergarten children. *J Speech Hear Res*. 1996;39(6): 1284–1294

(Continued from first page)

www.pediatrics.org/cgi/doi/10.1542/peds.2013-3355

doi:10.1542/peds.2013-3355

Accepted for publication Feb 3, 2014

Address correspondence to Emma Sciberras, DPsych, Centre for Community Child Health, The Royal Children's Hospital, Flemington Rd, Parkville 3052 Australia. E-mail: emma.sciberras@mcri.edu.au

PEDIATRICS (ISSN Numbers: Print, 0031-4005; Online, 1098-4275).

Copyright © 2014 by the American Academy of Pediatrics

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE: The authors have indicated they have no financial relationships relevant to this article to disclose.

FUNDING: Funded by the Australian National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC; project grant 1008522), the Collier Foundation, and the Murdoch Childrens Research Institute. Dr Sciberras' position is funded by an NHMRC Early Career Fellowship in Population Health 1037159 (2012–2015). Professor Anderson is funded by an NHMRC Practitioner Fellowship 607333 (2010–2014). This work was supported by NHMRC Centre for Research Excellence funding (Professor Nicholson and Drs Mueller and Sciberras: 1023493). This research was supported by the Victorian Government's Operational Infrastructure Support Program to the Murdoch Childrens Research Institute and the Victorian Government funding to the Parenting Research Centre.

POTENTIAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST: The authors have indicated they have no potential conflicts of interest to disclose.

Language Problems in Children With ADHD: A Community-Based Study
Emma Sciberras, Kathryn L. Mueller, Daryl Efron, Matthew Bisset, Vicki Anderson,
Elizabeth J. Schilpzand, Brad Jongeling and Jan M. Nicholson

Pediatrics 2014;133;793

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2013-3355 originally published online April 21, 2014;

Updated Information & Services	including high resolution figures, can be found at: http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/133/5/793
References	This article cites 24 articles, 0 of which you can access for free at: http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/133/5/793#BIBL
Subspecialty Collections	This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the following collection(s): Developmental/Behavioral Pediatrics http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/development:behavioral_issues_sub Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/attention-deficit:hyperactivity_disorder_adhd_sub Cognition/Language/Learning Disorders http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/cognition:language:learning_disorders_sub Psychosocial Issues http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/psychosocial_issues_sub
Permissions & Licensing	Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at: http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/Permissions.xhtml
Reprints	Information about ordering reprints can be found online: http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/reprints.xhtml

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN™



PEDIATRICS®

OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS

Language Problems in Children With ADHD: A Community-Based Study
Emma Sciberras, Kathryn L. Mueller, Daryl Efron, Matthew Bisset, Vicki Anderson,
Elizabeth J. Schilpzand, Brad Jongeling and Jan M. Nicholson
Pediatrics 2014;133;793

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2013-3355 originally published online April 21, 2014;

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is
located on the World Wide Web at:

<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/133/5/793>

Pediatrics is the official journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. A monthly publication, it has been published continuously since 1948. Pediatrics is owned, published, and trademarked by the American Academy of Pediatrics, 141 Northwest Point Boulevard, Elk Grove Village, Illinois, 60007. Copyright © 2014 by the American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 1073-0397.

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN™

