

# Telemedicine Consultations and Medication Errors in Rural Emergency Departments



**WHAT'S KNOWN ON THIS SUBJECT:** Medication errors occur frequently among pediatric patients, particularly those treated in rural emergency departments (EDs). Although telemedicine has been proposed as a potential solution, there are few data supporting its clinical effectiveness and its effect on medication errors.



**WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS:** The use of telemedicine to provide pediatric critical care consultations to rural EDs is associated with less frequent physician-related ED medication errors among seriously ill and injured children. Therefore, this model of care may improve patient safety in rural hospital EDs.

## abstract

**OBJECTIVE:** To compare the frequency of physician-related medication errors among seriously ill and injured children receiving telemedicine consultations, similar children receiving telephone consultations, and similar children receiving no consultations in rural emergency departments (EDs).

**METHODS:** We conducted retrospective chart reviews on seriously ill and injured children presenting to 8 rural EDs with access to pediatric critical care physicians from an academic children's hospital. Physician-related ED medication errors were independently identified by 2 pediatric pharmacists by using a previously published instrument. The unit of analysis was medication administered. The association of telemedicine consultations with ED medication errors was modeled by using hierarchical logistic regression adjusting for covariates (age, risk of admission, year of consultation, and hospital) and clustering at the patient level.

**RESULTS:** Among the 234 patients in the study, 73 received telemedicine consultations, 85 received telephone consultations, and 76 received no specialist consultations. Medications for patients who received telemedicine consultations had significantly fewer physician-related errors than medications for patients who received telephone consultations or no consultations (3.4% vs 10.8% and 12.5%, respectively;  $P < .05$ ). In hierarchical logistic regression analysis, medications for patients who received telemedicine consultations had a lower odds of physician-related errors than medications for patients who received telephone consultations (odds ratio: 0.19,  $P < .05$ ) or no consultations (odds ratio: 0.13,  $P < .05$ ).

**CONCLUSIONS:** Pediatric critical care telemedicine consultations were associated with a significantly reduced risk of physician-related ED medication errors among seriously ill and injured children in rural EDs. *Pediatrics* 2013;132:1090–1097

**AUTHORS:** Madan Dharmar, MBBS, PhD,<sup>a,b</sup> Nathan Kuppermann, MD, MPH,<sup>a,b,c</sup> Patrick S. Romano, MD, MPH,<sup>a,b,d</sup> Nikki H. Yang, DVM, MPVM,<sup>a</sup> Thomas S. Nesbitt, MD, MPH,<sup>e</sup> Jennifer Phan, PharmD,<sup>f</sup> Cynthia Nguyen, PharmD,<sup>g</sup> Kourosh Parsapour, MD, MBA,<sup>h</sup> and James P. Marcin, MD, MPH<sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Center for Healthcare Policy and Research and Departments of <sup>a</sup>Pediatrics, <sup>c</sup>Emergency Medicine, <sup>d</sup>Internal Medicine, <sup>e</sup>Family Practice and Community Medicine, and <sup>f</sup>Pharmacy, University of California Davis, Sacramento, California; <sup>g</sup>Department of Pharmacy, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, California; and <sup>h</sup>Department of Pediatrics, University of California Irvine, Irvine, California

### KEY WORDS

emergency medicine, health services research, medication errors, patient safety, pediatrics, telehealth, telemedicine, rural health

### ABBREVIATIONS

ED—emergency department

OR—odds ratio

PRISA II—Pediatric Risk of Admission II

Dr Dharmar conceptualized and designed the study, participated in the conduct of the study and data collection, completed the analyses, interpreted the results, and drafted the manuscript; Drs Kuppermann and Romano conceptualized and designed the study, interpreted the results, and revised the manuscript; Dr Yang assisted with data collection and data analysis, helped interpret the results, and critically reviewed the manuscript; Dr Nesbitt conceptualized and designed the study and critically reviewed the manuscript; Drs Phan and Nguyen determined the data parameters for the study, assisted with data collection, and critically reviewed the manuscript; Dr Parsapour conceptualized and designed the study, participated in the conduct of the study, and revised the manuscript; and Dr Marcin conceptualized and designed the study, participated in the conduct of study, obtained funding, interpreted the results, and revised the manuscript. All authors approved the final manuscript as submitted.

(Continued on last page)

The Institute of Medicine report, *To Err Is Human: Building a Safer Health System*, identified medication errors as one of the most common categories of preventable medical error in hospitals, accounting for >7000 potentially preventable deaths annually.<sup>1</sup> Patients receiving treatment in emergency departments (EDs) are at particularly high risk for experiencing medication errors due to the acute nature of the presenting illness, the importance of timely administration of therapies,<sup>2–6</sup> the chaotic environment, and the lack of oversight to verify medication orders and administration.<sup>7,8</sup> Particularly among children, the risk of medication errors is magnified because of weight-based drug dosing and limited experience among many health care professionals in pediatric prescribing and pharmacotherapy.<sup>2,8–11</sup> Several studies have identified physician prescribing as the most common source of medication errors among children and in EDs.<sup>2,6,9,12–14</sup>

In previous work, we reported high frequencies of medication errors among children treated in rural EDs.<sup>15</sup> Others have found more frequent medication errors among children treated by trainees than among children treated by pediatric attending physicians.<sup>8,16</sup> These findings can be partially attributed to the level of experience and specialized training of physicians and staff caring for seriously ill and injured children.<sup>2,8,16</sup> In addition, infrastructural factors can also contribute to the increased risk of medication errors in rural EDs, such as the lack of electronic medical record systems, computerized physician order entry, medication bar coding, or around-the-clock pharmacist coverage.<sup>3,8,11</sup>

Telemedicine is increasingly used to address some of these shortcomings by providing pediatric specialty consultations to children presenting to

rural and underserved EDs as an alternative to telephone consultations.<sup>17–19</sup> Specifically, telemedicine has the potential to prevent medication errors resulting from the lack of access to experienced staff and pediatric specialty expertise.<sup>20–25</sup> The goal of the current study was to evaluate whether pediatric telemedicine consultations are associated with fewer physician-related medication errors among seriously ill and injured children presenting to rural EDs. We compared the frequency of physician-related medication errors experienced by pediatric patients in the ED receiving pediatric critical care telemedicine consultations with the frequency experienced by similar patients receiving telephone consultations from the same group of specialists and with similar patients receiving no specialist consultations. Our hypothesis was that children whose providers received telemedicine consultations would experience fewer physician-related ED medication errors than children whose providers received telephone consultations or no consultations during their ED visits.

## METHODS

### Study Design and Setting

This retrospective chart review study was part of a larger investigation of the impact of telemedicine on the quality of care delivered to seriously ill and injured children presenting to rural EDs in northern California. Eight rural EDs were selected (non-random) to receive telemedicine as an intervention during the study period. Critical-access hospital EDs were selected because of their limited experience in treating seriously ill and injured children and the likelihood that telemedicine consultations would potentially have a greater impact on quality of care at these hospitals. All of the study hospitals were located in designated rural areas, as defined by California's Office of Statewide Health

Planning and Development<sup>26</sup> and the federal Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services,<sup>27</sup> and were within University of California Davis Children's Hospital's referral region. The study hospitals were also located in "underserved" communities, according to the Health Resources and Services Administration's definitions of Health Professional Shortage Areas, Medically Underserved Areas, and Medically Underserved Populations.<sup>27,28</sup> The participating rural EDs treat between 4000 and 10 000 patients annually, including 10 to 30 seriously ill and injured children, and had existing relationships with University of California Davis Children's Hospital to have around-the-clock access to pediatric critical care consultations by telephone. None of the study hospitals had computerized physician order entry systems, used software to verify dosing or administration technique, or had a system for verifying allergies or contraindications to medications. All participating EDs also dispensed medications without pharmacist involvement.

### Telemedicine Equipment

Pole-mounted telemedicine systems were installed at the 8 participating EDs on a rolling basis between 2003 and 2007. The telemedicine systems included a turnkey videoconferencing unit (either Polycom, Inc or Cisco Systems, Inc [San Jose, CA]), a flat-screen, high-resolution monitor, and an uninterrupted power supply. The videoconferencing unit provided bidirectional video using a high-definition camera capable of pan, tilt, and zoom functions. Our clinicians did not use any peripheral devices such as digital stethoscopes, otoscopes, or ophthalmoscopes.

### Telemedicine and Telephone Consultations

Pediatric critical care physicians were available around-the-clock for both

telemedicine and telephone consultations. When a remote ED physician desired a pediatric critical care consultation, he or she would call a toll-free number. The critical care physician would then be contacted by pager and would provide consultation to the referring ED physician either over telemedicine or a bridged telephone call.

Telemedicine consultations involved live, interactive audiovisual communications between the referring rural ED physician, the pediatric patient, the ED nurse, the parent/guardian (if available), and the academic children's hospital pediatric critical care physician. In the event that the in-house physician was unable to provide the consultation, a "backup" attending physician was designated at all times. All backup physicians had access to desktop and/or laptop computer-based videoconferencing systems that allowed them to conduct telemedicine calls while away from the hospital.

### Selection of Patients

This study included children aged >1 day and <17 years who presented to one of the participating EDs between January 1, 2003, and December 31, 2009, and were triaged in the highest category at presentation (ie, seriously ill or injured). All of the participating EDs had similar 3-level triage systems. The study protocol recommended the use of telemedicine for all children presenting to the ED in this highest triage category, but the final decision was made by the rural ED physician, including whether a telemedicine or telephone consultation was to be used. Eligible patients were identified retrospectively after reviewing the paper and/or electronic logs from the participating EDs. The medical records of these patients were then reviewed to determine if the patients received a pediatric critical care consultation.

For all children meeting eligibility criteria, we used consecutive sampling of records with telemedicine consultations and a random sample of records with telephone consultations and no specialist consultations to ensure a similar number of patients in each group. The medical records for the study patients were copied by a research assistant, who ensured that any information related to the telemedicine or telephone consultation was obscured (ie, blacked out) so that reviewers were neither aware of nor influenced by the type of consultation obtained by the rural ED physician.

### Medication Error Ascertainment

We used a previously published medication error instrument to identify and categorize medication errors by using retrospective chart review.<sup>15</sup> This instrument evaluated all medications administered in the ED. The medication error instrument was applied to all medical records independently by two pediatric pharmacists who were not involved in the care of the study participants, according to previously published guidelines. Discrepancies between the reviewers' assessments of whether there was a medication error were resolved by both pharmacists together in the presence of a pediatric critical care physician. We focused on physician-related ED medication errors because we hypothesized that telemedicine and telephone consultations would most likely affect errors related to ED physician prescribing. We defined physician-related ED medication errors a priori as those involving a wrong dose, a wrong or inappropriate medication for the patient's condition, a wrong route of administration, a wrong dosage form, and errors related to drug interaction information as well as errors regarding patient information, such as a known allergy.

### Outcome Variables and Factors Likely Related to Medication Errors

Our primary outcome variable was physician-related ED medication errors. The research assistant abstracted information about factors that might be related to the risk or incidence of medication errors, including patient age and gender, year of consultation, method of arrival (walk-in or Emergency Medical Services transport), weekend admission (Friday 7 PM to Monday 7 AM), disposition (observed in ED, discharged from the hospital, admitted, transferred, or died), Pediatric Risk of Admission II (PRISA II) score,<sup>29</sup> and type of consultation (telemedicine, telephone, or no consultation).

### Statistical Analysis

We compared the baseline characteristics of patients who received telemedicine consultations, patients who received telephone consultations, and patients who received no consultations by using an analysis of variance. A Bonferroni correction was applied for multiple comparisons to compare differences among the groups when the global F test was significant ( $P < .05$ ). We compared categorical variables by using the  $\chi^2$  test and Fisher's exact test, as appropriate. The unit of analysis was individual medication administered, such that individual patients could have zero or >1 medication included in the analyses. Patient gender, weekend admission, ED arrival method, and disposition of care were considered for inclusion as risk adjustors. All of the explanatory variables were assessed by using a correlation matrix to determine collinearity before including them in the model. The Akaike Information Criterion was used for model selection. We decided, a priori, to include age, the PRISA II score (risk of admission), and hospital in the multivariable model. We also decided a priori to include a temporal measure

(year of consultation) to adjust for the effect of any secular trend in the care provided to these children. A hierarchical logistic regression model with patient-level random effects was used to estimate odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals for the association between physician-related medication error and types of consultation.

### Human Subjects

The study was approved by the Human Subjects Review Committees at the primary academic hospital and all of the participating hospitals.

### RESULTS

A total of 234 pediatric patients were retrospectively evaluated for medication errors by the pharmacists. For the telemedicine cohort, we included all 73 (100%) children who received pediatric critical care telemedicine consultations. For the telephone and no consultation cohorts, we randomly sampled 85 (88.5%) of the 96 children who received pediatric critical care telephone consultations, and 76 (22.6%) of the 336 children who received no pediatric critical care consultations, respectively. Table 1 describes the characteristics of the study sample. Patients were similar when stratified based on consultation type (telemedicine, telephone, or no consultation) except for some baseline demographic variables, including race, which was unknown (not documented) in 83 (35.5%) patients. Patients who received no consultations were older than children who received telemedicine and telephone consultations. Children who received telephone consultations had a significantly higher mean PRISA II score than children who received no consultations.

Of the 234 patients, 168 (71.8%) received at least 1 medication while in the ED. Thirty patients (12.8% of all patients,

**TABLE 1** Demographic Characteristics of Children Receiving Telemedicine Consultations, Telephone Consultations, and No Consultations

Characteristic	Total	Telemedicine	Telephone	No Consultations
Age, mean $\pm$ SD, y <sup>a,b</sup>	5.2 $\pm$ 5.1	3.7 $\pm$ 4.3	5.1 $\pm$ 5.1	6.7 $\pm$ 5.8
Gender				
Male	140 (59.8)	45 (61.6)	44 (51.8)	51 (67.1)
Female	94 (40.2)	28 (38.4)	41 (48.2)	25 (32.9)
Race/ethnicity <sup>a,c</sup>				
White	98 (41.9)	39 (53.4)	30 (35.3)	29 (38.2)
African American	8 (3.4)	0	3 (3.5)	5 (6.6)
Asian	2 (0.9)	1 (1.4)	1 (1.2)	0
Hispanic	43 (18.4)	19 (26.0)	17 (20.0)	7 (9.2)
Other	83 (35.5)	14 (19.2)	34 (40.0)	35 (46.1)
Weekend admission				
No	180 (76.9)	61 (83.6)	65 (76.5)	54 (71.1)
Yes	54 (23.1)	12 (16.4)	20 (23.5)	22 (28.9)
Patients arriving by Emergency Medical Services transport				
No	169 (72.2)	58 (79.5)	58 (68.2)	53 (69.7)
Yes	65 (27.8)	15 (20.5)	27 (31.8)	23 (30.3)
Disposition of care				
Observed	15 (6.4)	4 (5.5)	4 (4.7)	7 (9.2)
Sent home	73 (31.2)	23 (31.5)	32 (37.6)	18 (23.7)
Admitted	68 (29.1)	20 (27.4)	26 (30.6)	22 (28.9)
Transferred	72 (30.8)	23 (31.5)	22 (25.9)	27 (35.5)
Died	6 (2.6)	3 (4.1)	1 (1.2)	2 (2.6)
PRISA II score (mean, SD) <sup>b</sup>	12.7 (12.6)	11.9 (12.0)	15.7 (13.3)	10.0 (11.7)

Bonferroni correction was applied to adjust for multiple comparisons. Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  SD or *n* (%).

<sup>a</sup>  $P < .05$  patients receiving telemedicine consultations compared with no consultation.

<sup>b</sup>  $P < .05$  patients receiving telephone consultations compared with no consultation.

<sup>c</sup>  $P < .05$  patients receiving telemedicine consultations compared with telephone consultations.

17.9% of patients who received at least 1 medication) were identified as having at least 1 physician-related ED medication error. Among the 73 patients who received telemedicine consultations, 56 (76.7%) received at least 1 medication while in the ED, of whom 4 (7.1%) were identified as having at least 1 physician-related ED medication error. Among the 85 patients who received telephone consultations, 58 (68.2%) received at least 1 medication while in the ED, of whom 15 (25.9%) were identified as having at least 1 physician-related ED medication error. Among the 76 patients who received no consultations, 54 (71.1%) received at least 1 medication while in the ED, of whom 11 (20.3%) were identified as having at least 1 physician-related ED medication error.

A total of 441 medications were administered to study patients, with 146 (33.1%) administered to patients receiving telemedicine consultations, 167

(37.9%) administered to patients receiving telephone consultations, and 128 (29.0%) administered to patients receiving no consultations. Among the 441 medications administered, 39 (8.8%) were identified as having at least 1 physician-related ED medication error. As shown in Table 2, there were significant differences in the risk of a physician-related ED medication error among the 3 cohorts ( $P < .05$ ). Patients who received telemedicine consultations had significantly fewer physician-related ED medication errors (3.4%) than patients who received telephone consultations (10.8%) and no consultations (12.5%) (both,  $P < .05$ ). Among the administered medications, the most common type of error was wrong dose (4.1% of all administered medications). Patients who received telemedicine consultations had significantly fewer wrong dose medication errors (0.7%) than patients



**TABLE 2** Type and Frequency of Physician-Related ED Medication Errors in Children Who Received Telemedicine Consultations, Telephone Consultations, or No Consultations

Medication Error Type	Total (N = 441)	Telemedicine (n = 146)	Telephone (n = 167)	No Consultations (n = 128)
Wrong dose <sup>a</sup>	18 (4.1)	1 (0.7)	11 (6.6)	6 (4.7)
Too large a dose	11 (2.5)	1 (0.7)	7 (4.2)	3 (2.3)
Too little a dose	7 (1.6)	0	4 (2.4)	3 (2.3)
Wrong or inappropriate drug administered for condition	17 (3.9)	3 (2.1)	5 (3.0)	9 (7.0)
Wrong route	0	0	0	0
Wrong dosage form	2 (0.5)	1 (0.7)	1 (0.6)	0
Error related to patient information	2 (0.5)	0	1 (0.6)	1 (0.8)
Total medication errors <sup>a,b</sup>	39 (8.8)	5 (3.4)	18 (10.8)	16 (12.5)

Medication administered is the unit of analysis. Bonferroni correction was applied to adjust for multiple comparisons.

<sup>a</sup>  $P < .05$  patients receiving telemedicine consultations compared with telephone consultations.

<sup>b</sup>  $P < .05$  patients receiving telemedicine consultations compared with no consultations.

who received telephone consultations (6.6%,  $P < .05$ ) and no consultations (4.7%,  $P = .053$ ).

In the bivariate analyses with administered medications as the unit of analysis, patients who received telemedicine consultations were less likely to have physician-related ED medication errors than patients who received telephone consultations (OR: 0.29,  $P < .05$ ) and no consultations (OR: 0.25,  $P < .05$ ). None of the other independent variables (including age, patient gender, race, weekend admission, year of consultation, PRISA II score, hospital, or mode of arrival to the ED) was significantly associated with physician-related medication errors.

Table 3 shows the results of the multivariable analysis, adjusting for clustering at the patient level. After adjusting for age, risk of admission (PRISA II score), year of consultation, and hospital, patients who received telemedicine consultations were less likely to have physician-related ED medication errors than patients who received no consultations (OR: 0.13,  $P < .05$ ). In the same multivariable analysis, patients who received telemedicine consultations were less likely to have physician-related ED medication errors than patients who had telephone consultations (OR: 0.19,  $P < .05$ ).

## DISCUSSION

In this cohort of seriously ill and injured children treated in 8 rural EDs, physician-related medication errors were less frequent in patients who received telemedicine consultations (3.4% medication error rate) than among patients who received no consultation (12.5% medication error rate) or who received telephone consultations (10.8% medication error rate). This lower incidence of physician-related ED medication errors was identified despite the fact that children were younger in the telemedicine cohort than in the other cohorts. In our multivariable analysis, adjusting for patient age, risk of admission, year of consultation, and hospital, we found lower odds of physician-related ED medication errors when consultations were conducted with the use of telemedicine. The lower frequency of medication errors associated with telemedicine consultations was observed both when we used the individual patient and the individual medications administered as the unit of analysis.

Our finding of 12.8% risk for physician-related medication errors among children treated in our study EDs is similar to the risk (12%–24%) reported in previous studies.<sup>2,5,13</sup> Errors in dosing were the most common type, consistent with previous studies involving children

in other acute care settings.<sup>3–6,8,11,15</sup> These studies attributed dosing errors in children to the chaotic environment in EDs and the lack of sufficient provider training and knowledge in calculating medication doses for children.<sup>3–6,8,11,15</sup>

Our finding of lower physician-related ED medication errors among patients who received telemedicine consultations could be attributed to the specialized training and higher level of experience among the consulting physicians in treating children, which is consistent with other studies evaluating the impact of physician training and experience on patient outcomes.<sup>8,15,30–33</sup> Kozer et al,<sup>8</sup> who examined medication errors experienced by children in an ED, found that trainees who do not have sufficient experience in treating children are more likely to commit prescribing errors than attending physicians.<sup>8</sup> Charash et al<sup>30</sup> examined the impact of telemedicine on simulated rural trauma patients in a moving ambulance and found that telemedicine resulted in better assessments, more interventions, and better patient outcomes

**TABLE 3** Multivariable Analysis of the Association Between Physician-Related ED Medication Errors and Type of Consultation

Variable	OR	95% CI
Age	0.99	0.89–1.09
PRISA II score	1.01	0.98–1.05
Year of consultation	1.07	0.74–1.53
Hospital		
A	Ref	
B	0.17	0.02–1.40
C	0.77	0.18–3.18
D	1.18	0.19–7.06
E	0.49	0.09–2.59
F	8.58	0.00–9.00
G	0.34	0.03–4.85
H	0.16	0.02–1.76
Consultation type		
No consultation	Ref	
Telephone	0.82	0.25–2.67
Telemedicine	0.13 <sup>a</sup>	0.02–0.74

Medication administered is the unit of analysis. N = 441 medications. CI, confidence interval.

<sup>a</sup>  $P < .05$ .

than radio consultations. Several studies have associated delays in pediatric diagnosis and inappropriate pediatric management with the unavailability of pediatric specialists.<sup>15,31–33</sup> These studies suggest that telemedicine consultations may reduce the risk of medication errors by enabling improved assessments and therapeutic recommendations by specialists experienced in the care of seriously ill and injured children.

Telemedicine consultations were associated with significantly fewer physician-related medication errors than telephone consultations, consistent with other intervention studies aimed at preventing medical errors in children.<sup>34–37</sup> These findings could reflect the fact that patients receiving telemedicine consultations have more involvement of the consulting specialist than patients receiving telephone consultations and the ability of the consulting physicians to see the patient during the consultation. In our experience, the pediatric specialist spends more time on a telemedicine consultation call than on a telephone consultation call, although precise time estimates are not available. In a recent systematic review, Kaufmann et al<sup>38</sup> reported that measures focused on improving a provider's experience, and aids focused on improving the medication-ordering process, can effectively reduce the risk of medication errors in children. This study has several limitations. In a retrospective chart review study, the identification and assessment of medication errors are dependent on the level of documentation in the medical record. However, this problem would

have affected all consultation cohorts, given that neither telemedicine nor telephone consultants documented directly in the referring hospital's medical record. Also, as a consequence of our inability to consistently identify intercepted errors in this study, we could not evaluate the impact of telemedicine consultation on intercepted errors experienced in the ED. Furthermore, we were unable to determine the time of consultations in relation to the medication errors. Therefore, the observed relationship between telemedicine consultations and lower frequency of medication errors is only an association and cannot be assumed to be causal. Because our study hospitals may not be representative of other rural EDs or community hospitals, our findings are subject to potential selection bias. At rural EDs with computerized physician order entry, automated alert algorithms, or around-the-clock pharmacist availability, telemedicine consultations may have less of an impact on medication errors. The clinical significance of medication errors varies; it is not clear whether the observed association would lead to improved patient outcomes or reduced health care costs. Most importantly, this study was not a randomized trial and is therefore subject to potential confounding bias. The study patients in different cohorts may have differed on unobserved characteristics or confounders that explained the observed differences in physician-related medication errors, although we adjusted for observable confounders in our multivariable analysis.

This study also has several strengths. To the best of our knowledge, it is the first

study to evaluate the impact of telemedicine consultations on physician-related ED medication errors. Second, we used a robust method for evaluating medication errors, having two pharmacists review medical charts by using a previously validated medication error instrument that was specifically developed for children. Lastly, this study is consistent with previous research which has demonstrated that specialty telemedicine consultations can result in improved patient safety.<sup>18,39–44</sup>

## CONCLUSIONS

The use of telemedicine to provide pediatric critical care consultations to rural EDs was associated with significantly fewer physician-related medication errors than providing critical care consultations by telephone or providing care to similarly ill children without pediatric critical care consultations. This reduction in medication errors can potentially lead to improved outcomes and/or lower health care costs, although our study does not directly address these questions. Consequently, the use of telemedicine to provide pediatric specialty consultations may be a means to improve patient access to specialists and increase safety for seriously ill or injured children receiving emergency care in rural, underserved hospitals.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We also acknowledge the administrative work conducted by Candace Sadorra and Stacey Cole, as well as the technical work conducted by Juan Trujano, which made this project possible.

## REFERENCES

1. Kohn LT, Corrigan J, Donaldson MS. *To Err Is Human: Building a Safer Health System*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press; 2000
2. Selbst SM, Fein JA, Osterhoudt K, Ho W. Medication errors in a pediatric emergency department. *Pediatr Emerg Care*. 1999;15(1):1–4
3. Goldman RD, Koren G. Keeping it safe in the paediatric emergency department—drug errors and ways to prevent them. *Paediatr Child Health (Oxford)*. 2007;12(6):461–464

4. Selbst SM, Levine S, Mull C, Bradford K, Friedman M. Preventing medical errors in pediatric emergency medicine. *Pediatr Emerg Care*. 2004;20(10):702–709
5. Pham JC, Story JL, Hicks RW, et al. National study on the frequency, types, causes, and consequences of voluntarily reported emergency department medication errors. *J Emerg Med*. 2011;40(5):485–492
6. Pacheco GS, Viscusi C, Hays DP, Woolridge DP. The effects of resident level of training on the rate of pediatric prescription errors in an academic emergency department. *J Emerg Med*. 2012;43(5):e343–e348
7. Chamberlain JM, Slonim A, Joseph JG. Reducing errors and promoting safety in pediatric emergency care. *Ambul Pediatr*. 2004;4(1):55–63
8. Kozler E, Scolnik D, Macpherson A, et al. Variables associated with medication errors in pediatric emergency medicine. *Pediatrics*. 2002;110(4):737–742
9. Kaushal R, Bates DW, Landrigan C, et al. Medication errors and adverse drug events in pediatric inpatients. *JAMA*. 2001;285(16):2114–2120
10. Lesar TS, Lomaestro BM, Pohl H. Medication-prescribing errors in a teaching hospital. A 9-year experience. *Arch Intern Med*. 1997;157(14):1569–1576
11. Hixson R, Gandhi M, Holton F. A randomised trial to evaluate prescribing accuracy when using the Paediatric Analgesia Wheel. *Arch Dis Child*. 2009;94(4):268–272
12. Leape LL, Bates DW, Cullen DJ, et al; ADE Prevention Study Group. Systems analysis of adverse drug events. *JAMA*. 1995;274(1):35–43
13. Rinke ML, Moon M, Clark JS, Mudd S, Miller MR. Prescribing errors in a pediatric emergency department. *Pediatr Emerg Care*. 2008;24(1):1–8
14. Fernandez CV, Gillis-Ring J. Strategies for the prevention of medical error in pediatrics. *J Pediatr*. 2003;143(2):155–162
15. Marcin JP, Dharmar M, Cho M, et al. Medication errors among acutely ill and injured children treated in rural emergency departments. *Ann Emerg Med*. 2007;50(4):361–367, 367.e1–e2
16. Wong IC, Ghaleb MA, Franklin BD, Barber N. Incidence and nature of dosing errors in paediatric medications: a systematic review. *Drug Saf*. 2004;27(9):661–670
17. Marcin JP, Nesbitt TS, Kallas HJ, Struve SN, Traugott CA, Dimand RJ. Use of telemedicine to provide pediatric critical care inpatient consultations to underserved rural Northern California. *J Pediatr*. 2004;144(3):375–380
18. Heath B, Salerno R, Hopkins A, Hertzog J, Caputo M. Pediatric critical care telemedicine in rural underserved emergency departments. *Pediatr Crit Care Med*. 2009;10(5):588–591
19. Galli R, Keith JC, McKenzie K, Hall GS, Henderson K. TelEmergency: a novel system for delivering emergency care to rural hospitals. *Ann Emerg Med*. 2008;51(3):275–284
20. Hampers LC, Faries SG. Practice variation in the emergency management of croup. *Pediatrics*. 2002;109(3):505–508
21. Keeler EB, Rubenstein LV, Kahn KL, et al. Hospital characteristics and quality of care. *JAMA*. 1992;268(13):1709–1714
22. Kronick JB, Frewen TC, Kissoon N, et al. Influence of referring physicians on interventions by a pediatric and neonatal critical care transport team. *Pediatr Emerg Care*. 1996;12(2):73–77
23. Phibbs CS, Bronstein JM, Buxton E, Phibbs RH. The effects of patient volume and level of care at the hospital of birth on neonatal mortality. *JAMA*. 1996;276(13):1054–1059
24. Bowman SM, Zimmerman FJ, Christakis DA, Sharar SR, Martin DP. Hospital characteristics associated with the management of pediatric splenic injuries. *JAMA*. 2005;294(20):2611–2617
25. Farrell LS, Hannan EL, Cooper A. Severity of injury and mortality associated with pediatric blunt injuries: hospitals with pediatric intensive care units versus other hospitals. *Pediatr Crit Care Med*. 2004;5(1):5–9
26. Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development. Health Professional Shortage and Medically Under-Served Designations. Available at: [www.oshpd.ca.gov/HWDD/FAQs.html](http://www.oshpd.ca.gov/HWDD/FAQs.html). Accessed June 1, 2013
27. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Rural health clinic/federally qualified health center. Available at: [www.cms.gov/Regulations-and-Guidance/Legislation/CFCsAndCoPs/RHC\\_FQHC.html](http://www.cms.gov/Regulations-and-Guidance/Legislation/CFCsAndCoPs/RHC_FQHC.html). Accessed June 1, 2013
28. Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA). Shortage Designation: Health Professional Shortage Areas & Medically Underserved Areas/Populations. Available at: <http://bhpr.hrsa.gov/shortage/>. Accessed June 1, 2013
29. Chamberlain JM, Patel KM, Pollack MM. The Pediatric Risk of Hospital Admission score: a second-generation severity-of-illness score for pediatric emergency patients. *Pediatrics*. 2005;115(2):388–395
30. Charash WE, Caputo MP, Clark H, et al. Telemedicine to a moving ambulance improves outcome after trauma in simulated patients. *J Trauma*. 2011;71(1):49–54, discussion 55
31. Pollack MM, Getson PR. Pediatric critical care cost containment: combined actuarial and clinical program. *Crit Care Med*. 1991;19(1):12–20
32. Potoka DA, Schall LC, Gardner MJ, Stafford PW, Peitzman AB, Ford HR. Impact of pediatric trauma centers on mortality in a statewide system. *J Trauma*. 2000;49(2):237–245
33. Tilford JM, Simpson PM, Green JW, Lensing S, Fiser DH. Volume-outcome relationships in pediatric intensive care units. *Pediatrics*. 2000;106(2 pt 1):289–294
34. Kozler E, Scolnik D, MacPherson A, Raucherger D, Koren G. Using a preprinted order sheet to reduce prescription errors in a pediatric emergency department: a randomized, controlled trial. *Pediatrics*. 2005;116(6):1299–1302
35. Kadmon G, Bron-Harlev E, Nahum E, Schiller O, Haski G, Shonfeld T. Computerized order entry with limited decision support to prevent prescription errors in a PICU. *Pediatrics*. 2009;124(3):935–940
36. Campino A, Lopez-Herrera MC, Lopez-de-Heredia I, Valls-i-Soler A. Educational strategy to reduce medication errors in a neonatal intensive care unit. *Acta Paediatr*. 2009;98(5):782–785
37. Morriss FH Jr, Abramowitz PW, Nelson SP, et al. Effectiveness of a barcode medication administration system in reducing preventable adverse drug events in a neonatal intensive care unit: a prospective cohort study. *J Pediatr*. 2009;154(3):363–368, 368.e1
38. Kaufmann J, Laschat M, Wappler F. Medication errors in pediatric emergencies: a systematic analysis. *Dtsch Arztebl Int*. 2012;109(38):609–616
39. Young LB, Chan PS, Lu X, Nallamothu BK, Sasson C, Cram PM. Impact of telemedicine intensive care unit coverage on patient outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Arch Intern Med*. 2011;171(6):498–506
40. Willmitch B, Golembeski S, Kim SS, Nelson LD, Gidel L. Clinical outcomes after telemedicine intensive care unit implementation. *Crit Care Med*. 2012;40(2):450–454
41. Smith AC, Armfield NR. A systematic review and meta-analysis of ICU telemedicine reinforces the need for further controlled investigations to assess the impact of telemedicine on patient outcomes. *Evid Based Nurs*. 2011;14(4):102–103
42. Shaikh U, Cole SL, Marcin JP, Nesbitt TS. Clinical management and patient outcomes among children and adolescents receiving

- telemedicine consultations for obesity. *Telemed J E Health*. 2008;14(5):434–440
43. Huang T, Moon-Grady AJ, Traugott C, Marcin J. The availability of telecardiology consultations and transfer patterns from a remote neonatal intensive care unit. *J Telemed Telecare*. 2008;14(5):244–248
44. Duchesne JC, Kyle A, Simmons J, et al. Impact of telemedicine upon rural trauma care. *J Trauma*. 2008;64(1):92–97, discussion 97–98

---

(Continued from first page)

[www.pediatrics.org/cgi/doi/10.1542/peds.2013-1374](http://www.pediatrics.org/cgi/doi/10.1542/peds.2013-1374)

doi:10.1542/peds.2013-1374

Accepted for publication Sep 23, 2013

Address correspondence to Madan Dharman, MBBS, PhD, Department of Pediatrics, University of California Davis Children's Hospital, 4610 X St, Suite 2310, Sacramento, CA 95817. E-mail: [mdharman@ucdavis.edu](mailto:mdharman@ucdavis.edu)

PEDIATRICS (ISSN Numbers: Print, 0031-4005; Online, 1098-4275).

Copyright © 2013 by the American Academy of Pediatrics

**FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE:** The authors have indicated they have no financial relationships relevant to this article to disclose.

**FUNDING:** Supported, in part, by grants from the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ 1 K08 HS 13179-01), Emergency Medical Services for Children (HRSA H34MC04367-01-00), the Office for the Advancement of Telehealth (HRSA 5R01HS019712), the California Healthcare Foundation (CHCF 02-2210), and the William Randolph Hearst Foundation.

**POTENTIAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST:** The authors have indicated they have no potential conflicts of interest to disclose.



## Telemedicine Consultations and Medication Errors in Rural Emergency Departments

Madan Dharmar, Nathan Kuppermann, Patrick S. Romano, Nikki H. Yang, Thomas S. Nesbitt, Jennifer Phan, Cynthia Nguyen, Kourosh Parsapour and James P. Marciniak  
*Pediatrics* 2013;132;1090; originally published online November 25, 2013;  
DOI: 10.1542/peds.2013-1374

<b>Updated Information &amp; Services</b>	including high resolution figures, can be found at: <a href="/content/132/6/1090.full.html">/content/132/6/1090.full.html</a>
<b>References</b>	This article cites 37 articles, 8 of which can be accessed free at: <a href="/content/132/6/1090.full.html#ref-list-1">/content/132/6/1090.full.html#ref-list-1</a>
<b>Citations</b>	This article has been cited by 5 HighWire-hosted articles: <a href="/content/132/6/1090.full.html#related-urls">/content/132/6/1090.full.html#related-urls</a>
<b>Subspecialty Collections</b>	This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the following collection(s): <b>Community Pediatrics</b> <a href="/cgi/collection/community_pediatrics_sub">/cgi/collection/community_pediatrics_sub</a> <b>Community Health Services</b> <a href="/cgi/collection/community_health_services_sub">/cgi/collection/community_health_services_sub</a> <b>Health Information Technology</b> <a href="/cgi/collection/health_information_technology_sub">/cgi/collection/health_information_technology_sub</a> <b>Telehealth Care</b> <a href="/cgi/collection/telehealth_care_sub">/cgi/collection/telehealth_care_sub</a>
<b>Permissions &amp; Licensing</b>	Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at: <a href="/site/misc/Permissions.xhtml">/site/misc/Permissions.xhtml</a>
<b>Reprints</b>	Information about ordering reprints can be found online: <a href="/site/misc/reprints.xhtml">/site/misc/reprints.xhtml</a>

PEDIATRICS is the official journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. A monthly publication, it has been published continuously since 1948. PEDIATRICS is owned, published, and trademarked by the American Academy of Pediatrics, 141 Northwest Point Boulevard, Elk Grove Village, Illinois, 60007. Copyright © 2013 by the American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 0031-4005. Online ISSN: 1098-4275.

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN™



# PEDIATRICS®

OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS

## **Telemedicine Consultations and Medication Errors in Rural Emergency Departments**

Madan Dharmar, Nathan Kuppermann, Patrick S. Romano, Nikki H. Yang, Thomas S. Nesbitt, Jennifer Phan, Cynthia Nguyen, Kourosh Parsapour and James P. Marciniak  
*Pediatrics* 2013;132;1090; originally published online November 25, 2013;  
DOI: 10.1542/peds.2013-1374

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:  
[/content/132/6/1090.full.html](#)

PEDIATRICS is the official journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. A monthly publication, it has been published continuously since 1948. PEDIATRICS is owned, published, and trademarked by the American Academy of Pediatrics, 141 Northwest Point Boulevard, Elk Grove Village, Illinois, 60007. Copyright © 2013 by the American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 0031-4005. Online ISSN: 1098-4275.

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN™

