Microbial Contamination of Human Milk Purchased Via the Internet
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WHAT’S KNOWN ON THIS SUBJECT: Sharing human milk between those with an abundant supply and those seeking milk for their child may be growing in popularity, facilitated by Web sites recently established to link providers and recipients.

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS: This study documents the potential for human milk shared via the Internet to cause infectious disease by estimating the extent of microbial contamination among samples purchased via a leading Internet Web site.

abstract

OBJECTIVE: To quantify microbial contamination of human milk purchased via the Internet as an indicator of disease risk to recipient infants.

METHODS: Cross-sectional sample of human milk purchased via a popular US milk-sharing Web site (2012). Individuals advertising milk were contacted to arrange purchase, and milk was shipped to a rented mailbox in Ohio. The Internet milk samples (n = 101) were compared with unpasteurized samples of milk donated to a milk bank (n = 20).

RESULTS: Most (74%) Internet milk samples were colonized with Gram-negative bacteria or had >10^5 colony-forming units/mL total aerobic count. They exhibited higher mean total aerobic, total Gram-negative, coliform, and Staphylococcus sp counts than milk bank samples. Growth of most species was positively associated with days in transit (total aerobic count [log_{10} colony-forming units/mL] \( \beta = 0.71 \) [95% confidence interval: 0.38–1.05]), and negatively associated with number of months since the milk was expressed (\( \beta = -0.36 \) [95% confidence interval: −0.55 to −0.16]), per simple linear regression. No samples were HIV type 1 RNA-positive; 21% of Internet samples were cytomegalovirus DNA-positive.

CONCLUSIONS: Human milk purchased via the Internet exhibited high overall bacterial growth and frequent contamination with pathogenic bacteria, reflecting poor collection, storage, or shipping practices. Infants consuming this milk are at risk for negative outcomes, particularly if born preterm or are medically compromised. Increased use of lactation support services may begin to address the milk supply gap for women who want to feed their child human milk but cannot meet his or her needs. PEDIATRICS 2013;132:e1227–e1235

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS: This study documents the potential for human milk shared via the Internet to cause infectious disease by estimating the extent of microbial contamination among samples purchased via a leading Internet Web site.
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Human milk is the optimal nutrition for infants worldwide. Approximately 77% of US infants are fed their mother’s milk at least once. Many infants are bottle-fed their mothers’ expressed milk in addition to feeding directly at the breast. In fact, 85% of breastfeeding mothers in the US Infant Feeding Practices Study II expressed milk within the first 5 months postpartum.

Sharing human milk between those with an abundant supply and those seeking milk for their child may be growing in popularity, facilitated by Web sites recently established to link providers and recipients. The US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) recommends against feeding milk obtained in this way, and the American Academy of Pediatrics discourages milk from unfamiliar sources. Concerns about the informal sharing of unpasteurized milk include the potential for infectious disease and exposure to chemicals, pharmaceuticals, and drugs.

Breastfeeding has been shown to confer some protection to infants against infectious disease, and commensal bacteria and other bioactive compounds including antibodies in human milk appear to be important for healthy gut colonization and immune system modulation. However, exposure to pathogenic bacteria like group B streptococci (GBS), Staphylococcus aureus, Salmonella sp, Klebsiella pneumoniae, and certain strains of Escherichia coli remains a concern for neonatal sepsis, meningitis, necrotizing enterocolitis, and diarrheal disease, particularly for preterm and immunocompromised infants. Cases linked to contaminated breast milk have been documented.

Human milk collected and distributed by Human Milk Banking Association of North America (HMBANA) milk banks undergoes pasteurization, which is largely effective in limiting the risk of bacterial and viral illness to recipients. However, human milk that is informally shared among individuals may come from an unfamiliar source, and the milk may be collected, stored, and transported under varying conditions, presenting opportunities for contamination with pathogenic bacteria and bacterial overgrowth, which are unchecked without pasteurization. Additionally, milk from unfamiliar sources carries the potential for transmission of viral diseases including HIV type 1 and cytomegalovirus (CMV).

Our objective was to document the potential for human milk shared via the Internet to cause infectious disease by estimating the extent of microbial contamination among samples purchased via a leading Internet Web site, compared with unpasteurized milk donated to a milk bank. We hypothesized that milk bank samples would be similar to Internet samples in that both were expressed outside of clinical settings and handled and stored in a home environment until provided. However, we expected them to differ in that milk bank donors are instructed on hygienic milk collection and storage techniques and optimal shipping procedures and are screened for some viral diseases like HIV.

METHODS

Purchase of Human Milk Via the Internet

Two of the 4 major Internet sites that exist in the United States to facilitate human milk sharing use a classified advertising format. The primary role of the sites is to connect donors and recipients. They are not involved in communications beyond the initial contact, in the transaction, or shipping. Although milk sharing Web sites post guidance on how to minimize health and safety risk, the onus is on individuals to protect themselves and their children.

During 3 months in 2012, individuals who posted a public advertisement on these 2 sites searching for recipients for their milk were sent a standard e-mail inquiry expressing interest in buying a small amount of milk. Communications were confined to carrying out the transaction and were terminated if sellers inquired about a recipient infant or insisted on telephone or in-person communication. Sellers were offered the advertised price and encouraged to choose whichever commercial shipper and service, ice, and packing materials they believed to be appropriate. Payment was sent via PayPal payment system. To approximate real-life transactions, the e-mail address, PayPal account information, and delivery address were anonymous and tied to a rented mailbox, not the investigators’ or institutional information.

Upon delivery, shipments were transported to the laboratory and processed. Shipment time-in-transit, packaging, and shipping method were recorded. On average, 3.23 containers arrived per shipment (SD = 1.10); 1 was designated for bacterial analysis, and 1 for viral analysis. Container surface temperature and physical condition were documented immediately upon opening the box. Incidentally, 95% of sellers wrote a date on the sample container, which we considered the date of milk expression and recorded. These “Internet samples” were stored at −20°C until analyzed within 2 months.

In addition to data on samples themselves, an abstraction form was used to record information conveyed in each advertisement, including whether the seller mentioned adopting any of the following health behaviors that may affect milk safety: the use of a hygienic milk handling or storage practice (ie, pump sterilized or cleaned, milk frozen immediately, containers cleaned, or other), screening for viral disease(s) transmissible via milk (ie, stated she has been screened, offered to provide test results.
or medical records, stated she has been milk bank donor certified, or offered to provide a health care provider recommendation letter), limiting or abstaining from legal or illegal drugs or pharmaceuticals (ie, consumed limited or no alcohol; nonsmoking; stated she is “drug free” or took no illicit drugs; took no medications). Information about a healthy diet or exercise habit(s) (ie, took vitamins or supplements; stated having a healthy, well-balanced, vegetarian or organic diet; limited or excluded particular allergic or sensitive foods; or regularly exercised) was also recorded because it was often mentioned even if not directly related to milk safety. Any statements the seller made to advertise the quality of the milk (eg, “great quality,” “organic”) were also documented. Identifiers used to track payments and shipments were purged from study records before laboratory results became available. This study was exempt from review by the Institutional Review Board at Nationwide Children’s Hospital.

Acquisition of Human Milk Donated to a Milk Bank

Twenty donated, anonymized, unpasteurized samples (“milk bank samples”) were obtained from an HMBANA-member milk bank for comparison. Samples were sent to the milk bank according to their guidelines for frozen, well-insulated, and overnight shipment. The samples available for this research would have been discarded because they came from donors temporarily disqualified per HMBANA guidelines (eg, contraindicated medication use) or exceeded guidelines for how long ago the milk had been expressed (>6 months). The reason for each sample was unavailable. These samples were transported via car to the laboratory, maintained at −20°C, and underwent no pasteurization. No additional information about the donors or these samples was available. Donors provided written informed consent for the research use of their milk.

Bacterial Analysis

All samples were analyzed in a single batch by milk bacteriology technicians blind to the source of the samples. Bacterial populations were quantified by serial dilutions of milk surface plated on Plate Count agar (total aerobic count), MacConkey agar (total Gram-negative and coliform counts), Modified Edwards Medium (streptococcal counts), Staph 110 medium (staphylococcal counts), and Salmonella agar (Salmonella counts). Positive cultures were expressed as colony-forming units (CFUs)/mL. Samples were considered to have no growth if the total count was ≤24 CFU/mL, the lower limit of detection. Confirmatory identification was per a standard reference handbook.26

Viral Analysis

Samples were analyzed for HIV type 1 and CMV by a technician blind to the source of the samples. To prevent cross-contamination, DNA/RNA extractions were performed in a laminar-flow hood dedicated to DNA/RNA extraction from clinical isolates, and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) reactions were prepared in a dead-air box.27 RNA was extracted from 140 μL unfractionated milk with the QiAamp viral mini kit (Qiagen). Reverse-transcription PCR was performed with the Invitrogen High-Fidelity Reverse-Transcription PCR kit and HIV gag-specific primers: SK38 (HXB2 coordinates: 1544–1571) and SK39 (HXB2 coordinates: 1658–1681).28 NL4-3 (AIDS Reagent Program) was used as a positive control.29 DNA was extracted from unfractionated milk by using the Plasma-Serum Circulating DNA Purification Mini kit (Norgen Biotek, Ontario, Canada). PCR was performed by using the 2× PCR master mix (Norgen Biotek) and CMV-specific primers (CMV PCR primer set and controls, Norgen Biotek). A CMV-specific positive control (Norgen Biotek) was used in an assay with a lower limit of detection of 700 CMV-particles/μL. PCR amplicons were separated on an agarose gel, stained with ethidium bromide, and visualized under UV light.

Statistical Analysis

The prevalence of selected bacteria species was calculated (defined as >24 CFU/mL), and univariate statistics for each were estimated. Geometric mean bacteria counts and prevalence for each bacteria type among the Internet samples were compared with the milk bank samples (Satterthwaite t test, Fisher’s exact test). Univariate statistics for shipment and sample characteristics were estimated. The log of bacterial counts were analyzed as continuous variables in relation to milk sample characteristics (time-in-transit, shipment contents, temperature, and the time since the milk was expressed) and topics communicated in advertisements using simple linear regression models. Binary variables indicating viral status were analyzed in relation to the same variables by using logistic regression. All analyses used SAS software (version 9.3, SAS Institute, Inc, Cary, NC).

RESULTS

Of 495 inquiries sent, 191 individuals never replied, whereas 41 stopped corresponding after at least 1 reply. Communications were ceased with 57 who wanted to communicate verbally or inquiries about an infant. Some (79) agreed to send milk but never followed through with the transaction, 17 transactions were unresolved, 8 sellers accepted payment but did not send milk (5 refunded payment), and 102 milk shipments were received (1 not analyzed due to late receipt). The prevalence of selected bacteria types in the Internet samples (n = 101) and milk bank samples (n = 20) is displayed in Table 1.
Gram-negative bacteria and *Staphylococcus* sp were detected in a majority of Internet samples, and coliforms and *Streptococcus* sp were fairly common as well. Three Internet samples were contaminated with *Salmonella* sp; due to low prevalence, *Salmonella* sp was not included in subsequent analyses. Compared with the unpasteurized milk bank samples, the Internet samples more frequently presented with Gram-negative and *Staphylococcus* sp growth (Table 1) and exhibited higher mean total aerobic, total Gram-negative, coliform, and *Staphylococcus* sp counts (all $P < .01$, Fig 1). No samples from either source were HIV-RNA positive. Twenty-one percent of the Internet samples were CMV DNA positive, whereas 5% of the milk bank samples were CMV DNA positive (Fisher’s exact test, $P = .12$).

Counts for most bacteria types exhibited a wide distribution among the Internet samples (Fig 2), up to $10^7$ CFU/mL for total aerobic, total Gram-negative, coliforms, and *Streptococcus* sp; $10^6$ CFU/mL for *Staphylococcus* sp; and $10^5$ CFU/mL for *Salmonella* sp. More than 17% of samples had counts $>10^6$ CFU/mL for at least 1 bacteria type or in total. Approximately one-half of the samples arrived within 2 days; 12% required 3 to 6 days. Dry ice was included in 62% of shipments; 20% used freezer ice or gel packs to attempt to maintain low temperature (Table 2), and 19% included no cooling agent. Milk temperature ranged $-49$ to $+27^\circ$C (mean $= 2^\circ$C, SD $= 18$). The time elapsed between milk expression and bacterial analysis varied widely (median $= 46$, interquartile range $= 21$–$103$, maximum $= 272$); only 3 samples exceeded 6 months.

Examination of shipment and sample characteristics in relation to bacteria counts revealed that each additional transit day was associated with an increase in total bacteria count, Gram-negatives, coliforms, and *Streptococcus* sp (Table 2). Shipment on any ice or with Styrofoam and container damage was unassociated with bacterial counts. Samples that were thawed upon receipt had lower *Staphylococcus* sp counts than frozen samples, but were no different in terms of other bacterial counts. The more time that had elapsed between milk expression and analysis, the lower the counts for all bacteria types. These characteristics were not predictive of CMV DNA-positivity. The majority of sellers promoted their diet or exercise habits or limitations on drug or pharmaceutical use in their advertisements; however, far fewer mentioned adoption of hygiene practices or being screened for infectious disease. Approximately one-quarter of sellers used adjectives like “safest” or “great quality to promote the quality of their milk. Whether sellers mentioned adoption of any of these health behaviors or made quality claims about their milk was not predictive of bacteria counts or presence of CMV DNA.

**DISCUSSION**

This sampling of human milk purchased via the Internet in the United States revealed high levels of overall bacterial growth and frequent contamination with pathogenic bacteria. Most Internet samples (74%) would have failed HMBANA criteria for feeding without pasteurization ($>10^6$ CFU/mL total colony count or any detectable pathogens). By almost all measures, the Internet samples exhibited greater contamination than the milk bank samples. The milk bank samples represented an appropriate choice for a comparison group as they were unpasteurized. Nevertheless, this study observed large and clinically meaningful differences between the groups. Internet sample characteristics that were predictive of bacteria counts were time-in-transit and the age of the milk. Even though many samples arrived at elevated temperature, some shipments included no ice, and some containers were damaged, these factors were unassociated with bacteria growth. Information sellers conveyed in their advertisements about their health and behaviors were poor indicators of milk quality. No variables under study were predictive of CMV DNA.

To our knowledge, no previous studies have evaluated the safety of human milk sold via the Internet. However, studies have documented wide variation in bacteria levels in mother’s own milk fed to hospitalized infants or in milk bank donations. A study of Chinese mothers with hospitalized infants may have had the greatest contamination previously reported, finding 88% of milk samples to have total counts $>10^6$ CFU/mL. However, the highest total count reported was $1.86 \times 10^6$ CFU/mL, and Gram-negative counts did not exceed $10^6$ CFU/mL, significantly lower than here. A study of milk bank donations where women pooled milk over days revealed 61% of pools had Gram-negative rods;

**TABLE 1** Proportions of Human Milk Samples With Each Bacteria Type Isolated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacteria Types</th>
<th>Internet Purchased, $n$ (%)</th>
<th>Milk Bank Samples, $n$</th>
<th>$P$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gram-negative bacteria</td>
<td>73 (72)</td>
<td>7 (35)</td>
<td>.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coliforms (lactose-fermenting Gram-negative bacteria)</td>
<td>44 (44)</td>
<td>5 (25)</td>
<td>.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Salmonella</em> sp</td>
<td>3 (5)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Staphylococcus</em> sp</td>
<td>64 (63)</td>
<td>5 (25)</td>
<td>.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Streptococcus</em> sp</td>
<td>36 (56)</td>
<td>4 (20)</td>
<td>.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No detectable growth</td>
<td>9 (8)</td>
<td>5 (25)</td>
<td>.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* $>24$ CFU/mL.

$^b$ Calculated with Fisher’s exact test.
however, the degree of contamination was far lower than the current study. In general, previous studies have revealed low proportions with coliform contamination (Enterobacter <1%–7%, E. coli 0%–2%, Klebsiella 0.4%–9%) compared with 44% of Internet samples here.19,32–35 Staphylococcus epidermidis and Viridans streptococci are common skin flora and not usually pathogenic. The prevalence of Staphylococcus sp in the

![Figure 1](image1.png)

**FIGURE 1**
Geometric mean bacteria counts (SEs) for human milk samples purchased via the Internet (n = 101) and acquired from a milk bank (n = 20). Results of the Satterthwaite t test for unequal variances reported. Error bars represent SEM.

![Figure 2](image2.png)

**FIGURE 2**
Distribution of bacteria counts by bacteria type for human milk samples purchased via the Internet (n = 101). Salmonella sp was detected in 3 samples (counts not shown).
### TABLE 2 Bacterial Counts (log_{10} CFU/mL) in Relation to Milk Shipment and Sample Characteristics and Advertised Health Behaviors and Quality Indicators, Milk Purchased Via the Internet (n = 101).

**Results From Simple Linear Regression Models**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. (%)</th>
<th>Total Aerobic Bacteria</th>
<th>Total Gram-negative</th>
<th>Coliforms</th>
<th>Staphylococcus sp</th>
<th>Streptococcus sp</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time-in-transit, d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.71 (0.38 to 1.05)</td>
<td>0.76 (0.41 to 1.11)</td>
<td>0.83 (0.51 to 1.14)</td>
<td>-0.09 (-0.29 to 0.12)</td>
<td>0.33 (0.02 to 0.68)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shipping container contentsa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry ice</td>
<td>63 (62)</td>
<td>-0.53 (-1.50 to 0.43)</td>
<td>-0.75 (-1.74 to 0.29)</td>
<td>-0.79 (-1.71 to 0.12)</td>
<td>0.01 (-0.55 to 0.57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freezer ice or gel packs</td>
<td>20 (20)</td>
<td>-0.97 (-1.94 to 0.51)</td>
<td>-0.94 (-2.08 to 0.41)</td>
<td>-0.58 (-1.81 to 0.50)</td>
<td>-0.28 (-0.98 to 0.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk container damaged or leaking</td>
<td>80 (79)</td>
<td>0.09 (-0.81 to 0.90)</td>
<td>0.05 (-0.89 to 0.98)</td>
<td>-0.24 (-1.11 to 0.63)</td>
<td>0.05 (-0.45 to 0.55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature &gt; 6°C</td>
<td>65 (64)</td>
<td>0.06 (-0.71 to 0.82)</td>
<td>-0.19 (-0.60 to 0.98)</td>
<td>0.26 (-0.46 to 0.99)</td>
<td>-0.51 (-0.92 to -0.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time from milk expression to analysis, mob</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.71 (-0.10)</td>
<td>0.74 (-0.62)</td>
<td>0.29 (-0.55 to 0.81)</td>
<td>-0.19 (-0.49 to -0.09)</td>
<td>-0.19 (-0.39 to -0.00)</td>
<td>0.22 (-0.53 to -0.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hygienic milk handling or storagec</td>
<td>26 (26)</td>
<td>0.29 (-0.54 to 1.12)</td>
<td>0.21 (-0.65 to 1.08)</td>
<td>0.21 (-0.60 to 1.02)</td>
<td>0.45 (-0.91 to 0.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Screened for infectious diseaseb,d</td>
<td>22 (22)</td>
<td>0.09 (-0.80 to 0.97)</td>
<td>0.03 (-0.08 to 0.95)</td>
<td>0.01 (-0.85 to 0.87)</td>
<td>-0.36 (-0.85 to 0.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy diet or exercise habit(s)b,e</td>
<td>62 (62)</td>
<td>-0.12 (-0.87 to 0.59)</td>
<td>-0.19 (-0.97 to 0.59)</td>
<td>-0.19 (-0.92 to 0.54)</td>
<td>0.09 (-0.33 to 0.51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limit or abstain from legal or illegal drugs or pharmaceuticalsf</td>
<td>72 (71)</td>
<td>-0.15 (-0.96 to 0.85)</td>
<td>-0.41 (-1.25 to 0.42)</td>
<td>-0.15 (-0.93 to 0.63)</td>
<td>-0.07 (-0.92 to 0.38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Makes claims about quality of milkg</td>
<td>27 (27)</td>
<td>-0.11 (-0.93 to 0.72)</td>
<td>-0.60 (-1.45 to 0.29)</td>
<td>-0.57 (-1.36 to 0.22)</td>
<td>0.22 (-0.24 to 0.67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unless noted otherwise, data are presented as β (95% CI).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a One shipment contained both dry ice and freezer ice or gel packs.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b Seven samples did not indicate the date of milk expression.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c Pump sterilized or cleaned, milk frozen immediately, or containers cleaned or other hygiene or milk handling practice mentioned.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d Stated has been screened for infectious disease(s), offered to provide screening test results or medical records, milk bank donor certified, or offered to provide letter of recommendation from health care provider.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e Took vitamins or supplements; stated healthy, well-balanced, vegetarian or organic diet; limited or excluded particular allergic or sensitive foods; or regularly exercised.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f Consumed limited or no alcohol; nonsmoking; stated she is drug free or took no illicit drugs, or took no prescription or over the counter medications.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g Described milk as safest, great quality, organic, &quot;tasty,&quot; &quot;sweet,&quot; or similar.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Internet samples was similar to other studies, although Staphylococcus sp was not systematically quantified in the current study. Bacteria counts in milk samples from previous studies were within the range of those found in the current study. The frequency of sellers who maintain temperature, when relevant, and follow the guidance. The frequency of sellers who maintain temperature, when relevant, and follow the guidance.

In conclusion, our study provides important insights into the quality of milk purchased via the Internet, highlighting the need for improved regulatory and quality assurance measures to ensure the safety and health benefits of these products.
with the possible exception of *Bacillus cereus*. Even for raw milk expressed by mothers for their hospitalized infants, the benefits of feeding breast milk likely outweigh the risk of bacterial disease. However, for infants receiving raw milk from an unfamiliar source, this benefit-risk calculation may not hold. Milk bank donors are also screened for several viruses including HIV, but not CMV. Pasteurization is an additional safeguard against viral disease transmission.

Quantification of bacterial contamination and presence of CMV is not deterministic of disease risk as much depends on the immune status of the recipient. In fact, compared with formula, many bioactive compounds and immune-modulating factors in human milk protect against bacterial illness and conditions like necrotizing enterocolitis. However, there are numerous reported cases of preterm and immune-compromised infants developing late onset neonatal sepsis attributed to GBS, Gram-negative bacteria, or methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* with some resulting in death, linked to raw human milk. Several cases of healthy, term infants sickened by *Salmonella* sp in human milk have also been reported. Similarly, CMV infection via breast milk may have long-term neurodevelopmental consequences. Our previous work found that 21% of those seeking milk on Web sites were doing so for a child with a poor birth outcome or other medical condition, which may put them at increased infection risk.

This study confirms that advertisement information sellers communicate on topics relevant to milk quality were unhelpful signals to buyers. Complicating matters, sellers sometimes communicated virtually unverifiable information, like advertising safe or high-quality milk based on no objective measure, which might distract buyers from considering more relevant factors like screening for viral disease and drug use.

One limitation is approximately one-quarter of sellers offered to send milk but never sent it for unknown reasons. Second, some potentially useful information was not collected directly from sellers (eg, use of hygienic practices when collecting the milk analyzed, whether the milk was fresh never frozen, objective information about the seller’s health). However, the advertisements and milk included in the study may have been more representative of the milk sold via the Internet because steps were taken to maintain sellers’ and investigators’ anonymity. Nevertheless, the procedures to maintain anonymity may have biased the results if women who were excluded because they inquired about a recipient infant or desired telephone communication were also more careful about hygiene or were less likely to carry viral disease. Several limitations stem from the use of the milk bank comparison group; the number of samples was limited due to a shortage in donations, and this reduced statistical power. The age of the milk bank samples was unknown and may have been related to bacterial counts; however, the association between time since expression and bacterial counts was modest and so would not explain the observed differences between groups. Overall, we felt the milk bank samples were the best comparison group available; although milk bank donors are screened for some infectious diseases and instructed on milk handling, which distinguishes them from some sellers, milk donated to milk banks is similar to purchased milk in that it is self-collected and often undergoes shipment. Another limitation is that we could not make direct comparison for some bacteria species to other studies that distinguished species differently or in more detail. Consequently, the prevalence of pathogenic bacteria was likely underestimated because *Staphylococcus aureus* or GBS populations were not delineated from total staphylococcal counts. Finally, the Internet samples were exchanged for money, which introduces different incentives for sellers than for individuals who donate their milk. These results may not be generalizable to non-monetary exchanges, which are predominant on some Web sites or to sharing among relatives or friends.

The FDA does not currently regulate the exchange of human milk, although this was discussed in 2010 by the Pediatric Advisory Committee, which raised concerns about milk sharing via the Internet. The results of this and future studies should inform FDA decision-making.

Seller education and standard viral disease screening combined with pasteurization would be largely effective controls against bacterial contamination and many viruses; however, it is clear that many sellers did not adopt proper techniques and appropriate pasteurization is outside the capability of typical households. Unfortunately, buyers cannot rely on information conveyed in seller’s advertisements to help them make better choices about who to buy from, and buyers cannot test the milk they purchase, resulting in contaminated milk and the potential for infectious disease.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Medical and public health organizations have been effective in promoting breastfeeding, resulting in an increase in breastfeeding initiation to 77% of live births. However, there are limited options for when the mother does not have enough milk. Lactation difficulties may be addressed with lactation support so that children’s needs may be met with mother’s own milk. Unfortunately, not all women access or are referred for support early. The potential risk of milk sharing to infant health needs to be further examined related to other risks posed (eg, toxins, pharmaceutical, and...
drug exposure), especially for infants born preterm or who are medically compromised.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to the OhioHealth Mother’s Milk Bank; Janet McCormick, AAS, and Pam Schoenberger, BS, of the Ohio Agricultural Research and Development Center Mastitis Laboratory for laboratory technical assistance; Anne Brown, BS, and Rachel Ronau for research assistance; Tondi Harrison, PhD, RN, CPNP; Kelly Kelleher, MD, MPH; Mark Klebanoff, MD, MPH; Nehal Parikh, DO, MS; Alex Rakowsky, MD, and Jonathan Slaughter, MD, MPH for critical review of the article; and Kamma Smith for administrative support from The Research Institute at Nationwide Children’s Hospital.

REFERENCES


32. el-Mohandes AE, Schatz V, Keiser JF, Jackson BJ. Bacterial contaminants of collected and frozen human milk used in an intensive

Downloaded from http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/ by guest on September 23, 2017


Microbial Contamination of Human Milk Purchased Via the Internet
Sarah A. Keim, Joseph S. Hogan, Kelly A. McNamara, Vishnu Gudimetla, Chelsea E. Dillon, Jesse J. Kwiek and Sheela R. Geraghty

Pediatrics 2013;132:e1227
DOI: 10.1542/peds.2013-1687 originally published online October 21, 2013;

Updated Information & Services
including high resolution figures, can be found at:
http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/132/5/e1227

References
This article cites 36 articles, 13 of which you can access for free at:
http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/132/5/e1227.full#ref-list-1

Subspecialty Collections
This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the following collection(s):
Administration/Practice Management
http://classic.pediatrics.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/administration/practice_management_sub
Quality Improvement
http://classic.pediatrics.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/quality_improvement_sub
Nutrition
http://classic.pediatrics.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/nutrition_sub
Breastfeeding
http://classic.pediatrics.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/breastfeeding_sub

Permissions & Licensing
Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at:
https://shop.aap.org/licensing-permissions/

Reprints
Information about ordering reprints can be found online:
http://classic.pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/reprints

Pediatrics is the official journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. A monthly publication, it has been published continuously since . Pediatrics is owned, published, and trademarked by the American Academy of Pediatrics, 141 Northwest Point Boulevard, Elk Grove Village, Illinois, 60007. Copyright © 2013 by the American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: .
Microbial Contamination of Human Milk Purchased Via the Internet
Sarah A. Keim, Joseph S. Hogan, Kelly A. McNamara, Vishnu Gudimetla, Chelsea E. Dillon, Jesse J. Kwiek and Sheela R. Geraghty

Pediatrics 2013;132:e1227
DOI: 10.1542/peds.2013-1687 originally published online October 21, 2013;

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:
http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/132/5/e1227