Usefulness of Routine Head Ultrasound Scans Before Surgery for Congenital Heart Disease
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**ABBREVIATIONS**

- 2V—biventricular
- 2VA—biventricular with arch obstruction
- CHD—congenital heart disease
- DWI—diffusion-weighted imaging
- HUS—head ultrasound scan
- IVH—intraventricular hemorrhage
- LSV—lenticulostriate vasculopathy
- PVL—periventricular leukomalacia
- RCH—Royal Children’s Hospital
- SCH—Starship Children’s Hospital
- SV—single ventricle
- SVA—single ventricle with arch obstruction
- TCH—Texas Children’s Hospital
- WMI—white matter injury
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**WHAT’S KNOWN ON THIS SUBJECT:** Routine head ultrasound scans (HUSs) are frequently performed in the preoperative evaluation of the infants with congenital heart disease, and brain MRI is being increasingly used in the research setting. The utility of HUSs in this population has not yet been established.

**WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS:** This is the first study to prospectively evaluate the utility of routine HUSs compared with MRIs in asymptomatic newborns and young infants undergoing cardiac surgery. Our findings suggest that routine HUS is not indicated in asymptomatic term or near-term neonates undergoing surgery for CHD.

**OBJECTIVE:** The purpose of this study was to assess the utility of preoperative head ultrasound scan (HUS) in a cohort of newborns also undergoing preoperative MRI as part of a prospective research study of brain injury in infants having surgery for congenital heart disease (CHD).

**METHODS:** A total of 167 infants diagnosed with CHD were included in this 3-center study. None of the patients had clinical signs or symptoms of preoperative brain injury, and all patients received both HUS and brain MRI before undergoing surgical intervention. HUS and MRI results were reported by experienced neuroradiologists who were blinded to any specific clinical details of the study participants. The findings of the individual imaging modes were compared to evaluate for the presence of brain injury.

**RESULTS:** Preoperative brain injury was present on HUS in 5 infants (3%) and on MRI in 44 infants (26%) \( (P < .001) \). Four of the HUS showed intraventricular hemorrhage not seen on MRI, suggesting false-positive results, and the fifth showed periventricular leukomalacia. The predominant MRI abnormality was white matter injury \( (n = 32) \). Other findings included infarct \( (n = 16) \) and hemorrhage \( (n = 5) \).

**CONCLUSIONS:** Preoperative brain injury on MRI was present in 26% of infants with CHD, but only 3% had any evidence of brain injury on HUS. Among positive HUS, 80% were false-positive results. Our findings suggest that routine HUS is not indicated in asymptomatic term or near-term neonates undergoing surgery for CHD, and MRI may be a preferable tool when the assessment of these infants is warranted. *Pediatrics* 2013;131:e1765–e1770

(Continued on last page)
Neurodevelopmental impairment is the most common long-term, noncardiac morbidity affecting neonates undergoing surgery for congenital heart disease (CHD). The impact of this impairment is far-reaching and may not be manifest very early in life but instead may become apparent in later childhood, often extending into adolescence.1–5 Given the potential relationship between brain injury and impaired outcome, and the possibility that aspects of cardiac surgery could further worsen preexisting brain injury, preoperative brain imaging is commonly used in the clinical setting as well as in the context of prospective investigations of brain injury in this high-risk group.

The spectrum of brain injury affecting newborns with heart disease includes stroke or infarct, hemorrhage, and white matter injury (WMI), as well as more subtle maturational, structural, and metabolic abnormalities. Although the impact of these changes on subsequent neurodevelopment is not yet clear; there is an increasing appreciation for the importance of preoperative evaluation in this population. In many centers performing cardiac surgery in young infants, head ultrasound scans (HUSs) have become a routine part of the preoperative evaluation of young infants with CHD because they are relatively inexpensive, easily performed, safe, and readily available. However, in contrast with other neonatal preterm and term populations,6 the utility of HUS to detect brain injury has not been established in the CHD population. Indeed, the overall detection rates of brain injury in infants with CHD range from 5% to 59% in the multiple studies performed.2–11 Over the past decade, the use of MRI in infants with CHD has been increasing, although mostly in the research setting. A number of studies have reported the rate of preoperative brain injury on MRI in young infants undergoing surgery for CHD to be between 25% and 40%.12–16 In noncardiac infant populations, MRI has been shown to be superior to HUS in sensitivity and specificity for detection of brain injury, which included white matter abnormalities or periventricular leukomalacia (PVL),6 ischemia,17 and hemorrhage,6,17 but this comparison has not been made in infants with CHD.

The purpose of the current study was to assess the utility of preoperative HUS in a cohort of newborns and infants who also underwent preoperative MRI as part of prospective research studies of brain injury in the setting of surgery for CHD.

**METHODS**

**Participants**

Participants were enrolled in prospective studies of brain injury related to CHD at 1 of 3 pediatric cardiac centers: Starship Children’s Hospital (SCH), Auckland, New Zealand; The Royal Children’s Hospital (RCH), Melbourne, Australia; and Texas Children’s Hospital (TCH), Houston, Texas. The studies were all approved by the local institutional review boards, and written consent was obtained from parents of all participants. The subgroup of participants included in the current study population were infants with CHD requiring surgical intervention before 8 weeks of age who received both HUS and MRI preoperatively. Infants were excluded if they were <35 weeks gestational age at birth, had a recognized genetic or malformation syndrome independently associated with neurodevelopmental impairment, or had an abnormal result on neurologic examination before surgery.

**Neuroimaging**

HUS was performed at the bedside by using a Zonare ultrasound (Zonare Medical Systems, Inc, Mountain View, CA) or LOGIQ E9 ultrasound (GE Healthcare, Waukesha, WI). An experienced neuroradiologist interpreted each HUS, and the results remained a part of the clinical record. At TCH, MRI scans were performed under general anesthesia and immediately before planned surgery, whereas participants at SCH and RCH were not specifically anesthetized or sedated for the purpose of performing the MRI scans. MRI was performed by using a 1.5- or 3.0-T Magnetom Avanto scanner (Siemens AG, Erlangen, Germany) or a 1.5-T Intera scanner (Philips Medical Systems, Best, the Netherlands). Standardized sequences were used for all studies, including coronal 3D-FLAIR T1-weighted images (1-mm slice thickness), coronal and axial T2-weighted dual-echo, fast spin-echo images (2-mm slice thickness), and axial diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) (12–20 directions, 4-mm slice thickness). MRI scans were interpreted independently by a neuroradiologist in each institution who was not aware of the clinical details, HUS results, other imaging results, or clinical treatment of any of the infants. The reports for all scans were made available in the medical record so that the treating medical team and parents of enrolled patients could be informed of the results.

For the purposes of this study, the abnormalities that were focused on were focal infarction (stroke), WMI, or hemorrhage (intraventricular or parenchymal). Stroke referred to discrete areas involving the cerebral cortical or deep nuclear gray matter of hyperintensity on DWI, with hypointensity on the corresponding apparent diffusion coefficient scan and/or hyperintensity on T2-weighted images (Fig 1). These were classified as less than one-third, one-third to two-thirds, or more than two-thirds of the vascular territory of the anterior cerebral artery, middle cerebral artery, or posterior cerebral artery in 1 hemisphere.18 WMI referred to discrete, usually punctate, foci of T1 hyperintensity and/or T2 hypointensity. This outcome was classified as normal (no WMI), mild (≤3 foci and all
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RESULTS

A total of 167 study participants fulfilled the inclusion criteria, had no neurologic abnormalities on examination, and underwent both HUS and MRI scans before surgery. Patient characteristics are given in Table 1. The mean gestational age at birth and birth weight were 38.6 ± 1.4 weeks and 3.2 ± 0.5 kg, respectively. Infants were categorized according to preoperative physiology into 1 of 4 groups as described previously. Briefly, the 4 groups were single ventricle (SV; n = 16), single ventricle with arch obstruction (SVA; n = 73), biventricular (2V; n = 63), and biventricular with arch obstruction (2VA; n = 15). Within each group, the most common diagnosis was as follows: SV, pulmonary atresia in 9 (56%) patients; SVA, hypoplastic left heart syndrome in 61 (84%) patients; 2V, transposition of the great arteries in 47 (75%) patients; and 2VA, coarctation of the aorta in 11 (73%) patients.

HUS and MRI Findings

HUS was performed before MRI in 137 (82%) of the patients; in the remainder, HUS were performed on the same day or afterward. The mean age at HUS and MRI were 3.8 ± 6.0 and 8.1 ± 7.7 days, respectively, and the interval between scans was 4.3 ± 5.8 days. The interval between the 2 imaging studies was not related to the presence or absence of brain injury (P = .70). Brain injury was diagnosed in 5 infants (3%) by using HUS (Tables 2 and 3). Four patients were diagnosed with grade 1 IVH and 1 with PVL. Overall, brain injury was present on MRI in 44 (26%) infants. The most common abnormality was WMI, which was present in 32 infants; 23 had mild WMI, 8 had moderate WMI, and 1 had severe WMI. Sixteen had evidence of infarct on MRI; 11 had infarcts less than one-third, 4 had infarcts one-third to two-thirds, and 1 had an infarct more than two-thirds of vascular territory. Hemorrhage was present in 5 infants; 1 had grade 2 IVH, 3 had intraparenchymal hemorhages 1 to 5 mm in size, and 1 had intraparenchymal hemorrhage >15 mm in size.

HUS and MRI Correlation

The overall relationship between HUS and MRI is given in Table 2. The incidence of brain injury on HUS was 3% (95% confidence interval: 1.0–6.9) and on MRI it was 26% (95% confidence interval: 19.8–33.7) (P < .001). When examining the correlation between HUS and MRI findings in further detail (Table 3), of the 4 infants with a grade 1 IVH on HUS, none had a corresponding IVH on MRI, whereas the single infant

---

**TABLE 1 Patient Characteristics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight, kg</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age, wk</td>
<td>38.6 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head circumference, cm</td>
<td>33.8 ± 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at surgery, d</td>
<td>7 (4–9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days between imaging examinations</td>
<td>3 (1–6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Male 99 (59) Female 68 (41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode of delivery</td>
<td>Cesarean 61 (37) Vaginal 105 (63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnostic category</td>
<td>SV physiology 16 (10) SVA physiology 73 (44) 2V physiology 63 (38) 2VA physiology 15 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enrollment site</td>
<td>SCH 23 (14) RCH 51 (31) TCH 53 (32)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as mean ± SD, median (interquartile range), or absolute numbers (%). a Mode of delivery was unknown in 1 case.
with PVL on HUS did have corresponding MRI injury. Therefore, the positive predictive value of HUS for the presence of any brain injury was only 20%.

Potential Risk Factors for Brain Injury

When we examined the subset of patients with brain injury according to their physiologic diagnosis, there was MRI-confirmed brain injury in 5 of the 16 infants with SV physiology, in 19 of 73 with SVA, 18 of 63 with 2V, and 2 of 15 with 2VA. The rate of brain injury was not related to diagnostic category \( P = .68 \). For the group as a whole, baseline characteristics (Table 4) were similar in those with brain injury and those without except with respect to gestational age at birth; median gestational age for infants with MRI injury was 1 week less than those without \( P = .006 \).

DISCUSSION

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first prospective study of the relationship between HUS and MRI in asymptomatic newborns before surgery for CHD. This study has several important findings relating to brain injury before surgical intervention. First, we showed that brain injury was present in more than one-quarter of our patients. Second, the pattern of brain injury was mostly WMI, with stroke and hemorrhage being much less common. Lastly, but possibly most clinically relevant, we found that HUS was not a reliable screening tool for preoperative brain injury in this patient population.

The nature of injury in this study population of neonates with CHD was predominantly WMI, with an overall incidence of 19%. Infarct was present in 10% and hemorrhage was present in 3% of patients in our analysis. Our findings are in keeping with previously published studies that report incidences of WMI ranging from 10% to 38% and infarcts or hemorrhage ranging from 0% to 33\%.

Potential Risk Factors for Brain Injury

Table 3 presents summary data relating to brain injury before surgical intervention. First prospective study of the relationship between HUS and MRI in asymptomatic newborns before surgery for CHD. This study has several important findings relating to brain injury before surgical intervention. First, we showed that brain injury was present in more than one-quarter of our patients. Second, the pattern of brain injury was mostly WMI, with stroke and hemorrhage being much less common. Lastly, but possibly most clinically relevant, we found that HUS was not a reliable screening tool for preoperative brain injury in this patient population. The rate of brain injury was not related to diagnostic category \( P = .68 \). For the group as a whole, baseline characteristics (Table 4) were similar in those with brain injury and those without except with respect to gestational age at birth; median gestational age for infants with MRI injury was 1 week less than those without \( P = .006 \).

DISCUSSION

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first prospective study of the relationship between HUS and MRI in asymptomatic newborns before surgery for CHD. This study has several important findings relating to brain injury before surgical intervention. First, we showed that brain injury was present in more than one-quarter of our patients. Second, the pattern of brain injury was mostly WMI, with stroke and hemorrhage being much less common. Lastly, but possibly most clinically relevant, we found that HUS was not a reliable screening tool for preoperative brain injury in this patient population.

The nature of injury in this study population of neonates with CHD was predominantly WMI, with an overall incidence of 19%. Infarct was present in 10% and hemorrhage was present in 3% of patients in our analysis. Our findings are in keeping with previously published studies that report incidences of WMI ranging from 10% to 38% and infarcts or hemorrhage ranging from 0% to 33%. In our analysis, HUS proved to not only be unreliable but also potentially misleading in the preoperative evaluation of the CHD population, with a false-positive rate of 80%. False-positive findings on HUS have the potential of increasing parental stress and anxiety, and of potentially influencing management decisions. Fortunately for our patients, this discrepancy was able to be detected after confirmatory MRI testing revealed the error, but in the nonresearch setting, without a confirmatory MRI, this finding could have very different ramifications.

There were a number of findings reported on each imaging modality that have not been included in our study. Incidental HUS findings in our cohort that were not included in our analysis were choroid plexus cyst, lenticulostrate vasculopathy (LSV),
periventricular echogenicity, and prominence of choroid plexus. These are all common findings on HUS, and are not indicative of brain injury, and would not be expected to affect clinical management or patient outcome.\textsuperscript{22,23} When considering MRI analysis, we did not include abnormalities of maturation that we have reported elsewhere\textsuperscript{24} or some specific abnormalities of anatomy or function. Although these findings could be of significance to the patient, a clear HUS corollary does not exist due to the intrinsic nature of the 2 modalities.

Studies range widely when examining the rates of abnormalities found on preoperative HUS in infants with CHD. In 1994, Krull et al\textsuperscript{9} reported abnormalities on preoperative HUS in 9% of patients. These abnormalities included structural abnormalities and variable increases in ventricular size; importantly, this cohort included infants with coexisting prematurity and asphyxial injury. Another study reported a 42% incidence of abnormalities on HUS, with the majority having ventriculomegaly or enlargement of the subarachnoid space, LSV, or calcifications in the basal nuclei.\textsuperscript{8} Gonzalez et al\textsuperscript{a} reported that 21% of infants with CHD had significant or marginally significant abnormalities on HUS. One of the most frequently cited articles about findings on HUS in CHD indicates an incidence of brain injury of 59% diagnosed with HUS, with the majority again demonstrating LSV and/or cerebral atrophy.\textsuperscript{11} None of these studies used MRI as a gold standard tool for comparison, and the majority of published series include patients with other significant risk factors for brain injury, including prematurity and birth asphyxia. Therefore, it is difficult and overspeculative to draw many conclusions when comparing their findings with ours. Glauser et al\textsuperscript{25} recommended screening examinations of intracranial anatomy in all patients with hypoplastic left heart syndrome due to a somewhat elevated incidence of cerebral abnormalities found on autopsy. Of note, their cohort included infants with significant genetic or malformation syndromes that could be associated with neurodevelopmental impairment. Although this feature would contrast with our patient cohort (because significant syndromes was an exclusion criterion for the study), this important article was among the first to recommend routine screening in the complex CHD population. In summary, our cohort of 167 patients is among the largest preoperative CHD populations that have been studied to date\textsuperscript{7,8,10–12,14,15,18,21} and unique in that we were able to compare and contrast HUS with MRI.

The major limitation of the study relates to the variable interval between HUS and MRI scans. This limitation was in part related to the approach to preoperative MRI, which was immediately before surgery in 1 of the 3 institutions, whereas in the other 2, this was not the case. Overall, the MRI scans were usually performed ~4.3 days after the HUS, whereas ideally, the 2 imaging studies would be performed as close as possible to each other. However, the studies were performed closer together in those with brain injury than those without, and importantly there was no significant difference in the rates of injury between centers. A second limitation is that given the very different jurisdictions and financial models that exist in our population, we could not apply an accurate financial analysis to this study. However, it is likely that a more targeted investigational approach would be associated with significant cost savings.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Brain injury was a common finding on MRI in these infants before surgery for CHD. The majority of brain injury diagnosed was WMI, and arterial ischemic strokes and hemorrhages were less common in this population. Brain injury was rarely seen on HUS, and the majority of these abnormal findings were subsequently found to be false-positive results. The single instance of true PVL diagnosed on HUS would not affect surgical plans. Therefore, routine HUS is not indicated in asymptomatic term and near-term infants undergoing surgery for CHD.
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