The Impact of Macromastia on Adolescents: A Cross-Sectional Study


METHODS: The following surveys were administered to adolescents with macromastia and control subjects, aged 12 to 21 years: Short-Form 36v2, Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale, Breast-Related Symptoms Questionnaire, and Eating Attitudes Test-26 (EAT-26). Demographic variables and self-reported breast symptoms were compared between the 2 groups. Linear regression models, unadjusted and adjusted for BMI category (normal weight, overweight, obese), were fit to determine the effect of case status on survey score. Odds ratios for the risk of disordered eating behaviors (EAT-26 score $\geq 20$) in cases versus controls were also determined.

RESULTS: Ninety-six subjects with macromastia and 103 control subjects participated in this study. Age was similar between groups, but subjects with macromastia had a higher BMI ($P = .02$). Adolescents with macromastia had lower Short-Form 36v2 domain, Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale, and Breast-Related Symptoms Questionnaire scores and higher EAT-26 scores compared with controls. Macromastia was also associated with a higher risk of disordered eating behaviors. In almost all cases, the impact of macromastia was independent of BMI category.

CONCLUSIONS: Macromastia has a substantial negative impact on health-related quality of life, self-esteem, physical symptoms, and eating behaviors in adolescents with this condition. These observations were largely independent of BMI category. Health care providers should be aware of these important negative health outcomes that are associated with macromastia and consider early evaluation for adolescents with this condition. Pediatrics 2012;130:e339–e346
Macromastia (breast hypertrophy) is a common condition that negatively impacts the physical and mental health of adult women who have this disorder.1–14 Although macromastia often develops during adolescence,15 the impact of macromastia on overall health-related quality of life (HRQOL) in this age group is unknown. Reduction mammoplasty, surgical reduction of breast size, is the most effective means of improving symptoms and HRQOL in adults.2,4,6 However, many parents and physicians are reluctant to consider surgical correction for adolescents.26,27 Data on the impact of macromastia on younger patients are needed to better inform health care providers, patients, and families in making appropriate treatment decisions.

Retrospective data have shown that reasons for seeking reduction mammoplasty during adolescence included pain, poor self-esteem, and difficulty finding properly fitting clothes.27 Women who underwent reduction mammoplasty as adolescents reported experiencing teasing, embarrassment, and low self-confidence preoperatively.28

There is also evidence that younger women (<30 years old) are troubled by the psychosocial consequences of macromastia, including undesired attention and poor self-image.29 Additionally, eating disorders may be associated with macromastia in young adults.18,30 These limited retrospective studies suggest a considerable impact of macromastia on adolescents and imply that early intervention may alleviate physical and psychosocial symptoms. However, the burden of macromastia in a younger population has not been measured prospectively through validated testing methodologies. We aimed to determine the physical and psychosocial impact of macromastia on adolescent patients considering reduction mammoplasty in comparison with a control group.

METHODS

Subjects

Eligible subjects included adolescents and young women between the ages of 12 and 21 years who were diagnosed with bilateral macromastia by a plastic surgeon and had no history of breast surgery. Diagnosis was based on symptom profile, physical examination, and modified Shnur criteria.31,32 Subjects with macromastia were prospectively enrolled at the time of initial consultation through the Adolescent Breast Clinic at the Department of Plastic and Oral Surgery at Children’s Hospital Boston from October 2008 through August 2011.

Concurrently, female controls in the same age range were prospectively enrolled at clinics within the Department of Plastic and Oral Surgery or the Division of Adolescent/Young Adult Medicine (a nonsurgical clinic) at the same institution. Control subjects were eligible if they reported a current state of good health with no significant past medical or surgical history (including diagnosis of an eating disorder or mental health issue), no diagnosis of a benign breast disorder, no breast complaint, and no previous treatment for a breast condition. Informed consent or assent was obtained from all subjects and a parent or guardian, as applicable. This study was approved by the Children’s Hospital Boston Committee on Clinical Investigation.

Demographics and Clinical Presentation

Height and weight were obtained on all participants. BMI was calculated by dividing weight (kg) by height squared (m²). BMI-for-age percentiles for participants between ages less than 20 years old were calculated by using the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Child and Teen BMI Calculator, which accounts for age and gender.53 Participants were designated as normal-weighted if BMI < 85th percentile for their age, overweight if BMI was between the 85th to 94th percentile for age, and obese if BMI ≥ 95th percentile for age. For participants aged 20 years or older, BMI category (normal-weighted, overweight, or obese) was determined by using the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Adult BMI Calculator.54

Because of the age range of our sample, we used BMI category as a covariate in subsequent analyses. Other relevant medical information, including self-reported breast symptoms, was obtained from medical records of subjects with macromastia.

Measures

Study subjects were asked to complete 4 self-administered surveys: the Short-Form 36v2 (SF-36), the Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale (RSES), the Breast-Related Symptoms Questionnaire (BRSQ), and the Eating Attitudes Test-26 (EAT-26).

Survey selection was based on a review of tools that had been previously used in adult macromastia research and in adolescent and young adult samples.1,4–6,10,11,18,25,30,55–42 Participants completed the surveys independently either in clinic or at home.

The SF-36 is a widely utilized 36-item measure that evaluates HRQOL in 8 domains: physical functioning, role-physical, bodily pain, general health, vitality, social functioning, role-emotional, and mental health.43 Domain scores are transformed to a scale of 0 to 100; a higher SF-36 domain score is associated with a better HRQOL. We report transformed domain scores for case-control comparison.

The RSES is a 10-item scale designed to measure global self-esteem.40 Respondents indicate if they strongly agree, agree, disagree, or strongly disagree with statements such as “On the whole, I am satisfied with myself.” Scores range from 10 to 40; a higher score indicates better self-esteem.44
The BRSQ is a 13-item survey designed to quantitate physical symptoms experienced by patients with macromastia. The respondent indicates how often she experiences each symptom, such as “I have breast pain” or “I have painful bra strap grooves,” ranging from all of the time to none of the time. A higher score corresponds to fewer, less severe symptoms.\(^4\)\(^2\)

The EAT-26 is a 26-item scale that assesses attitudes and behaviors regarding eating and body image. Respondents indicate how often they agree with statements such as “I find myself preoccupied with food” or “I engage in dieting behavior.” Scores of 20 and above are indicative of disordered eating.\(^4\)\(^2\)

We conducted our analyses on mean EAT-26 score and whether or not subjects scored above this threshold.

In addition to these 4 questionnaires, control participants were asked to complete a short investigator-designed survey to determine if they had breast concerns unaddressed by a health care provider. Control participants were asked if they had ever considered breast surgery to increase or reduce breast size, to self-report bra size, and if they experienced breast-related symptoms such as back, neck, and shoulder pain, trouble participating in sports, and difficulty finding clothes that fit.

### Data Management and Statistical Methods

Study data were collected and managed by using REDCap electronic data capture tools hosted at Children’s Hospital Boston (in conjunction with support from Harvard Catalyst). REDCap (Research Electronic Data Capture) is a secure, web-based application designed to support data capture for research studies, providing (1) an intuitive interface for validated data entry, (2) audit trails for tracking data manipulation and export procedures, (3) automated export procedures for seamless data downloads to common statistical packages, and (4) procedures for importing data from external sources.\(^4\)\(^5\)\(^4\)\(^1\)

Statistical analyses were performed by using Stata 10.1 (College Station, TX). Demographics and clinical information were compared between groups by using independent 2-sample \(t\) test, Pearson \(\chi^2\), or Fisher exact test as appropriate.

The scores for the SF-36 domains, RSES, BRSQ, and EAT-26 were generated according to algorithms provided by Ware et al,\(^4\)\(^6\) Rosenberg,\(^4\)\(^0\) Kerrigan et al,\(^5\) and Garner et al,\(^4\)\(^2\) respectively. Linear regression models were fit to determine the effect of macromastia on each SF-36 domain score and independent survey score (BRSQ, EAT-26, and RSES). Covariates of interest included age and BMI category; these were included in the models if a statistically significant difference was detected between subjects with macromastia and controls.

The relationship between macromastia and elevated EAT-26 score (\(\geq 20\)) was determined by \(\chi^2\) testing. Odds ratios (ORs) for the risk of an elevated EAT-26 score were determined by logistic regression. A \(P\) value of \(< .05\) was considered statistically significant for all analyses.

### RESULTS

Between October 2008 and August 2011, 96 patients with macromastia and 103 controls participated in this study. Neither mean age between the cases and controls (17.5 \(\pm\) 1.8 years vs 17.8 \(\pm\) 2.7 years) nor distribution of race/ethnicity differed (Table 1). Subjects with macromastia had a higher mean BMI than the control group (28.4 \(\pm\) 6.2 kg/m\(^2\) vs 26.3 \(\pm\) 6.7 kg/m\(^2\), \(P = .02\)). A greater proportion of control subjects were of normal weight (55%) than subjects with macromastia (29%) (\(P < .001\); Table 1, Fig 1). Six (6%) subjects with macromastia indicated having been previously treated for an eating disorder, and an additional 13 (14%) subjects had been previously treated for anxiety and/or depression. Thirty-four (35%) subjects with macromastia had a family history of general breast disorders, and 28 (29%) had a family history of breast cancer.

Participants with macromastia reported higher rates of breast pain, skin breakdown and rashes (intertrigo), perceived difference in breast size, difficulty participating in sports, difficulty finding clothes that fit, and associated back, neck, and shoulder pain (\(P < .001\), Table 2). Age at thelarche did not differ between groups (\(P = .14\)). The most frequently reported cup size in the macromastia group was DD in contrast to B in the control group. Twenty (19%) control participants indicated they believed their breasts were too large for their bodies, and 13 (13%) would consider surgery to reduce breast size but had not pursued treatment.

Subjects with macromastia scored lower than control subjects in all SF-36 domains, the RSES, and the BRSQ, and higher on the EAT-26 (Table 3). Macromastia was a significant predictor of lower scores in each domain on the SF-36 (\(P < .05\), Table 3), indicating that subjects with macromastia had a lower HRQOL in comparison with controls. Additionally, subjects with macromastia had lower self-esteem (RSES score \(P = .001\)), more severe breast-related symptoms (BRSQ \(P < .001\)), and more disordered eating behaviors (EAT-26 \(P = .02\)) in comparison with controls (Table 3). After adjusting for BMI category, differences in the SF-36 general health domain and EAT-26 scores were no longer significant between groups (Table 3; \(P > .05\)). However, mean scores of the remaining 7 SF-36 domains, RSES, and BRSQ remained significantly lower in subjects with macromastia than in controls (Table 3). We also compared the prevalence
of disordered eating thoughts and behaviors (defined as EAT-26 score ≥ 20) between groups. The prevalence of disordered eating was greater in the macromastia subjects (n = 17, 18%) than in controls (n = 6, 6%; P = .009). Subjects with macromastia demonstrated a 3.5 odds of reaching the cutoff for disordered eating in comparison with controls (95% confidence interval 1.3–9.2; P = .01). Adjusting for BMI category lessened this risk (OR = 3.0), but case status remained a significant determinant of reaching the EAT-26 cutoff score (95% confidence interval 1.1–8.2; P = .03).

**DISCUSSION**

Adolescents with macromastia report debilitating physical and emotional symptoms that are well known to treating physicians but have not been assessed in a large, prospective study. In an effort to demonstrate the morbidity of macromastia during adolescence, 4 validated surveys previously used in patients with macromastia, adolescents, or both, were administered. Subjects with macromastia had negatively impacted HRQOL, lower self-esteem, more breast-related symptoms, and more disordered eating thoughts and behaviors than in a group of female controls. These data suggest that macromastia has a substantial negative impact in a younger population and have implications for early treatment recommendations.

Adult women with macromastia report severe breast-related symptoms such as back, neck, and shoulder pain, difficulty exercising, and difficulty finding properly fitting clothes.1–5,7,9,14,16,18,23,47–40 It is not surprising that adolescents with macromastia in this study report similar concerns. Interestingly, control subjects reported a number of symptoms including back (17%), neck (10%), and shoulder pain (13%). Control participants had not presented to a provider for a breast-related issue. However, 13% of controls indicated at least some interest in pursuing surgery to decrease breast size; only 2 of these participants were obese. The unexpectedly high level of breast concerns may be related to selection bias, because adolescents with unreported yet underlying concerns for breast health may have been more interested in participating in this study. Although including these subjects in the control group decreases the observed difference in symptoms between groups, these differences remained significant. This observation also provides insight into the prevalence of breast-related symptoms in adolescents.

Obesity and macromastia are strongly associated; ~30% of adults with macromastia are obese.50–52 Our results confirm this observation with high rates of overweight (35%) and obesity (35%) in our subjects with macromastia. Thus, we controlled for BMI category. In all 8 domains of HRQOL covered in the SF-36, subjects with macromastia scored lower than control subjects. Our results correspond with findings in adult studies.1,4,11,24,35,37 and suggest that macromastia negatively affects both the physical and mental aspects of a young woman’s health. BMI category did not impact the effect of macromastia for 7 of 8 SF-36 domains. Thus, the effects of macromastia on HRQOL are not explained by weight alone. Adolescent patients with macromastia anecdotally report unwanted attention and embarrassment because of their large breasts. To date, no objective

### TABLE 1 Demographic Variables Reported by Adolescents With Macromastia (n = 96) and Control Subjects (n = 103)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Macromastia</th>
<th>Controls*</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, y ± SD (range)</td>
<td>17.5 ± 1.8</td>
<td>17.8 ± 2.7</td>
<td>.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m² ± SD (range)</td>
<td>28.4 ± 6.2</td>
<td>26.3 ± 6.7</td>
<td>.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI category, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.001c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>28 (29)</td>
<td>56 (54)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight</td>
<td>34 (35)</td>
<td>27 (27)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obese</td>
<td>34 (35)</td>
<td>19 (18)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race/ethnicity, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>21d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>51 (53)</td>
<td>41 (40)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black or African American</td>
<td>20 (21)</td>
<td>34 (33)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native American</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>10 (10)</td>
<td>11 (11)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Declined to answer/NA</td>
<td>14 (15)</td>
<td>15 (15)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Height and weight were not obtained for 1 control participant. Percentages for BMI category are out of n = 102.

†Two-sided P value for independent t test;

**Pearson χ²**;

Fisher exact test.

![FIGURE 1](distribution-of-bmi-category-between-study-groups-dark-gray-normal-weighted-light-gray-overweight-white-obese.png)

**FIGURE 1** Distribution of BMI category between study groups. Dark gray, normal weighted; light gray, overweight; white, obese.
measurements to quantify these self-esteem issues have been published. Our subjects with macromastia demonstrated lower RSES scores than our controls. This finding suggests that patients with macromastia have lower self-esteem in comparison with their peers and parallels the negative mental health SF-36 domain scores. This result was similarly independent of BMI, indicating that negative self-esteem related to macromastia is due to the condition itself and is not simply a product of age or weight.

In addition to assessing self-reported symptoms, study participants completed the BRSQ. The differences observed between groups in self-reported symptoms imply that adolescents with macromastia experience more breast symptoms. Administration of the BRSQ to younger patients is novel and allows for standardized measurement of the extent and severity of macromastia symptoms. Participants with macromastia scored significantly lower on the BRSQ than did control subjects, indicating more severe and numerous breast-related symptoms. This observation was independent of BMI category, suggesting that breast-related concerns are due to breast hypertrophy rather than to weight.

Macromastia is associated with eating disorders. In our study, subjects with macromastia had a higher risk of disordered eating (EAT-26 ≥ 20) than controls independent of BMI category (OR = 3.0; P = .03). This observed 3-fold risk underscores the increased incidence of disordered eating behaviors in our patients with macromastia. Our study design precludes any conclusions about the cause of eating disorders in adolescent patients with macromastia. However, the negative impact on self-esteem and body image may increase the risk of disordered eating behaviors in these patients. Health care providers should be aware of this association and assess adolescent patients with macromastia for patterns of disordered eating.

The observed impact on HRQOL, self-esteem, and eating behaviors in adolescents with macromastia may indicate that early intervention to alleviate breast symptoms may be warranted to improve these negative health outcomes. In adult women, reduction mammoplasty resolves breast-related symptoms and improves self-esteem, eating behaviors, and overall HRQOL. Additionally, nonsurgical methods of macromastia treatment such as weight loss have been shown to be ineffective. Reduction mammoplasty, therefore, has become the standard of care for adult women with macromastia.

In contrast, treatment recommendations for adolescents with macromastia are limited. Health care providers and parents may be reluctant to offer reduction mammoplasty to adolescents for concerns of age, physical and psychological maturity, effect on future lactation, possible need for repeat surgery, effect on body image, or comorbidity with obesity. Reduction mammoplasty as teenagers report high satisfaction levels throughout adulthood. Obesity status does not affect outcome or satisfaction, suggesting that obesity should not be viewed as an absolute contraindication to surgery. Reduction mammoplasty may even serve as a motivating factor for further weight loss, in part, because of increased ability to exercise. However, whereas these studies and results of studies in adult women would imply overall postoperative improvement in a younger population as

### Table 2: Self-reported Breast Symptoms in Adolescents With Macromastia (n = 96) Compared With Control Subjects (n = 103)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptoms</th>
<th>Macromastia</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>P*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Breast pain</td>
<td>40 (42)</td>
<td>14 (14)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significant difference in breast size</td>
<td>27 (28)</td>
<td>8 (8)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin breakdown or rashes around or beneath breasts</td>
<td>39 (42)</td>
<td>8 (8)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficulty participating in sports</td>
<td>71 (75)</td>
<td>12 (12)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficulty finding clothes that fit</td>
<td>85 (89)</td>
<td>23 (22)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Back pain</td>
<td>85 (88)</td>
<td>17 (17)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neck pain</td>
<td>90 (94)</td>
<td>10 (10)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoulder pain</td>
<td>79 (82)</td>
<td>13 (13)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values shown are number of patients, with percentage in parentheses.

### Table 3: Linear Regression Models on the Effect of Macromastia on Mean Survey Score

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SF-36 domain, mean, SD</th>
<th>Macromastia (n = 96)</th>
<th>Controls (n = 103)</th>
<th>P_B</th>
<th>P_A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physical functioning</td>
<td>68.7 ± 25.4</td>
<td>91.0 ± 18.5</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role-physical</td>
<td>66.6 ± 26.4</td>
<td>88.7 ± 17.7</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bodily pain</td>
<td>49.5 ± 21.3</td>
<td>72.5 ± 19.4</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General health</td>
<td>67.8 ± 18.8</td>
<td>74.2 ± 20.0</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitality</td>
<td>40.1 ± 16.7</td>
<td>49.4 ± 15.8</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social functioning</td>
<td>67.4 ± 27.4</td>
<td>82.7 ± 20.7</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role-emotional</td>
<td>73.4 ± 27.5</td>
<td>85.9 ± 19.6</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental health</td>
<td>64.0 ± 20.1</td>
<td>72.8 ± 18.5</td>
<td>.002</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSES, mean, SD</td>
<td>29.9 ± 5.4</td>
<td>33.2 ± 5.7</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRSQ, mean, SD</td>
<td>36.4 ± 18.4</td>
<td>92.8 ± 10.9</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EAT-26, mean, SD</td>
<td>10.7 ± 11.0</td>
<td>7.5 ± 7.8</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P* value for regression coefficient (β) for case status.

B Unadjusted.

A Adjusted for BMI category.
well, the effect of reduction mamma-
plasty on the HRQOL, self-esteem, breast-
related symptoms, and eating behaviors as measured by standardized question-
naires in adolescent patients remains
unknown.

The results of the current study confirm
results of aforementioned retrospec-
tive studies and the anecdotal accounts
of decreased overall quality of life in
adolescent patients with macromastia
who present to our institution for re-
duction mammaplasty consult. Although
no single set of treatment guidelines
applies to all symptomatic macromastia
patients, our clinic requires at least 2
detailed preoperative consultations with
a pediatric plastic surgeon. Both patient
and parent(s)/guardian(s) need to fully
understand the risk and benefits of re-
duction mammaplasty and be in agree-
ment before the patient undergoes
surgery. A good candidate for surgery is
at or near skeletal maturity, is a non-
smoker, reports severe and consistent
symptoms, and has no physical health
contraindications. History or presence
of an eating disorder is assessed, and
referrals to specialists are made as
required. Counseling and support for
weight loss in overweight and obese
patients are provided. For obese patients
considering weight loss, the potential
negative aesthetic result of massive
weight loss postreduction mamma-
plasty, as reported by others, is dis-
cussed. Postoperatively, our patients
anecdotally report consistent symptom
relief and presumably improved HRQOL,
self-esteem, and eating attitudes. How-
ever, postoperative survey and out-
comes data are needed to assess the
efficacy of reduction mammaplasty in
young patients and to contribute to
evidence-based treatment guidelines.

Study limitations should be acknowled-
ed. Differences existed in BMI be-
tween cases and controls; we thus
controlled for BMI. There are no SF-36
normative values for adolescents as
young as those included in our study; we
thus used a control sample to compare
scores. We allowed for 10% missing
data in our analyses, which may have
affected mean scores. The results of
this study may not be generalizable
because we recruited subjects from an
urban pediatric tertiary care facility.
The inclusion criteria of our control
group were broad, and many of these
subjects had some breast concerns.
However, control subjects were re-
cruited from clinics where providers
routinely screen for benign breast
disorders and refer patients for re-
duction mammaplasty consultation if
necessary. Therefore, there were op-
tunities for potential participants to
express breast-related concerns to
a health care provider before consent.
Nonetheless, differences in survey scores
between groups suggest that subjects
who identify as having macromastia
and seek surgical treatment have an
impacted HRQOL above and beyond
females who may have considered but
have not yet pursued treatment for such
issues.

CONCLUSIONS

There is a substantial negative impact
on HRQOL, self-esteem, physical symp-
toms, and eating behaviors in adoles-
cents and young adults with macromastia
regardless of BMI. These results indicate
important clinical considerations when
treating younger patients and will con-
tribute to the development of evidence-
based treatment recommendations for
macromastia in this age group. Health
care providers should be aware of
the emotional and physical impact of
macromastia and consider early evalu-
ation for this disorder.
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