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**WHAT’S KNOWN ON THIS SUBJECT:** Stimulants are widely used as a therapeutic option in the United States and increasingly in Europe. The effect of stimulant drug treatment on academic performance among children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder is unclear. Long-term follow-up studies on the topic are scarce.

**WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS:** Our results indicate that earlier stimulant treatment of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder is associated with a lower risk of decline in academic performance. Girls show a definite benefit only in mathematics, whereas boys show marginal benefits in both mathematics and language arts.

**OBJECTIVE:** We evaluated the hypothesis that later start of stimulant treatment of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder adversely affects academic progress in mathematics and language arts among 9- to 12-year-old children.

**METHODS:** We linked nationwide data from the Icelandic Medicines Registry and the Database of National Scholastic Examinations. The study population comprised 11,872 children born in 1994–1996 who took standardized tests in both fourth and seventh grade. We estimated the probability of academic decline (drop of ≥5.0 percentile points) according to drug exposure and timing of treatment start between examinations. To limit confounding by indication, we concentrated on children who started treatment either early or later, but at some point between fourth-grade and seventh-grade standardized tests.

**RESULTS:** In contrast with nonmedicated children, children starting stimulant treatment between their fourth- and seventh-grade tests were more likely to decline in test performance. The crude probability of academic decline was 72.9% in mathematics and 42.9% in language arts for children with a treatment start 25 to 36 months after the fourth-grade test. Compared with those starting treatment earlier (≥12 months after tests), the multivariable adjusted risk ratio (RR) for decline was 1.7 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.2–2.4) in mathematics and 1.1 (95% CI: 0.7–1.8) in language arts. The adjusted RR of mathematics decline with later treatment was higher among girls (RR, 2.7; 95% CI: 1.2–6.0) than boys (RR, 1.4; 95% CI: 0.9–2.0).

**CONCLUSIONS:** Later start of stimulant drug treatment of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder is associated with academic decline in mathematics. Pediatrics 2012;130:e53–e62
Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) is a neurodevelopmental disorder affecting 5% to 10% of school-aged children in the United States and Europe. Drug treatment of ADHD with stimulants (and atomoxetine) is now widely used as a therapeutic option in the United States and increasingly in Europe. Nevertheless, the increasing use of ADHD drugs is debated, chiefly because of concerns of overuse, addiction, and uncertainty about the long-term outcomes of treatment.

Stimulant treatment consistently has been shown to be effective in improving inattention, hyperactivity, and impulsivity, the core symptoms of ADHD among school-aged children, but evidence supporting gains in academic performance is equivocal. Controlled trials have reported acutely improved cognitive performance after short durations of treatment, but studies on longer-term academic effects in naturalistic settings are scarce. Existing studies, with follow-up periods from 6 to 13 years, have revealed improved performance in mathematics but inconsistent results for reading improvement. Gender-specific effects have not been reported; several methodologic limitations, including reliance on self-reports of medication use, have hindered interpretation.

In Iceland, the use of methylphenidate stimulants to treat children with ADHD is more common than in most European countries, ~5 times the use in the neighboring Nordic countries, and reportedly similar to use in the United States. With ~100% complete national registration of prescription drug utilization and mandatory standardized scholastic tests for all children at age 9 and 12, Iceland offers a unique setting to study academic performance among children who are treated with medication for ADHD.

In this study, we are interested in the effects of stimulant treatment of ADHD on academic progress. Comparing all medicated children with nonmedicated children would be subject to substantial bias from confounding by treatment indication. To limit such bias, we restricted the study to comparisons among children who were treated but whose treatment started at different times. We focused on children starting treatment within the age range of 9 to 12 years and examined the effect of later versus early treatment on academic progress within this group. This restriction leaves a smaller but more homogeneous study population, reducing the bias from confounding by indication.

Among children treated either early or later within the age range of 9 to 12, we evaluated the hypothesis that a later start of drug treatment for ADHD would adversely affect academic progress in mathematics and language arts.

**METHODS**

**Study Setting and Population**

Our source population was all 13,617 children born in 1994, 1995, and 1996 and registered in the Icelandic school system. We obtained data from January 1, 2003 through December 31, 2008 on psychotropic drug prescription fills and standardized test results in mathematics and language arts for this national cohort. By using the personal identification number unique to every citizen, we linked records from the National Population Registry to the Icelandic Medicines Registry and the Database of National Scholastic Examinations. The final study population comprised all children who took a standardized test in both fourth grade (age 9) and seventh grade (age 12) (n = 11,872). Of these children, 11,619 took both mathematics examinations, and 11,542 took both examinations in language arts.

**ADHD Drug Exposure**

The Icelandic Medicines Registry contains information for each person dispensed prescription drugs as an outpatient since January 1, 2003. Completeness ranges from 93.7% to 99.9% of all dispensed outpatient prescriptions for the years 2003–2008. For each dispensed prescription in the study, we received information on drug name, number of defined daily doses (DDDs), Anatomic Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) code, date, and pharmacy of the filled prescription. ADHD drugs were defined according to the World Health Organization ATC classification as drugs within the “nervous system” (N) category, pertaining to the category of “centrally acting sympathomimetics” (N06BA). Chemical substances included were amphetamine (N06BA01), methylphenidate (N06BA04), and atomoxetine (N06BA09). Other chemical substances within the ATC category N06BA were not available in Iceland or not prescribed to children at the time during the study period. All drugs included had ADHD as their main indication, according to clinical guidelines and drug package inserts.

The Icelandic Medicines Registry does not hold information on the indication for drug treatment. In Iceland, however, an ADHD diagnosis must be verified by a pediatric, psychiatric, or neurologic specialist for reimbursement, so it is reasonable to assume that essentially all medicated children fulfilled the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition criteria for ADHD before treatment.

We defined the start of therapy to be the first prescription after a period of at least 11 months during which no prescriptions for an ADHD drug were filled. After this period, we considered the start date of treatment for each child to be the date of the first dispensing of a prescription for an ADHD drug (stimulant or atomoxetine). To reduce confounding by indication, we restricted the main analysis to children who started treatment between test dates in fourth and seventh grade. We categorized medicated children according to the timing...
of their treatment initiation after their fourth-grade test: within 12 months, 13 to 24 months, or 25 to 36 months after the fourth-grade test. The last category we designated as later treatment. The total number of filled DDDs corresponds to the cumulative drug exposure for each child. The DDD is defined by the World Health Organization Collaborating Centre for Drug Statistics Methodology. Complete compliance with this technical unit of measurement (the equivalent of 30 mg of methylphenidate, 80 mg of atomoxetine, or 15 mg of amphetamine per day) would result in the cumulative DDD for each child being equal to the number of treatment days from start to test day. But because children might take more or <1 DDD each day, the cumulative DDD may not necessarily be equal to the number of treatment days. We considered treatment to have been discontinued early if children filled <90 DDDs of an ADHD drug. We classified children as treated on their test day in seventh grade if the number of DDDs on the last prescription overlapped with the test day.

We assumed that children were being treated concurrently with other psychotropic drugs if a prescription was filled for another psychotropic drug within the 90-day period after the dispensing of an ADHD drug. Other psychotropic drugs were defined as all drugs, other than ADHD drugs, pertaining to ATC drug category N, including antidepressants (N06A), antipsychotics (N05A), anxiolytics, hypnotics and sedatives (N05B, N05C), and other psychotropic drugs (N01, N02, N03, N04, N06C, N06D, N07).

Academic Outcomes
The standardized tests in mathematics and language arts are nationally coordinated assessments within the Icelandic school system, mandatory for all children in fourth grade (9-year-olds) and seventh grade (12-year-olds). We obtained the test scores, test dates, school name, and school region for each child who took tests during 2003–2008. Some test scores were missing owing to disability, illness on the test day, migration to or from Iceland between tests, or unspecified absence. Tests are scored on a scale of 0.0 to 10.0. We converted the scores to percentiles. We measured change in performance by subtracting the fourth-grade percentile rank from the seventh-grade rank. We defined an academic decline to be a drop of ≥5.0 percentile points.

Data Analysis
We described medicated and nonmedicated populations by demographic characteristics and by ADHD drug treatment (ie, type of drugs used, early discontinuation, concurrent psychotropic drug treatment, and treatment on test day) according to time of treatment start. We estimated risks, as well as risk ratios (RRs) and differences, for a drop in performance in the mathematics and language arts test. First we estimated crude measures, and then we controlled for performance level on the fourth-grade test (categorized into terciles), gender, birth month (categorized as January–May, June–August, September–December), birth place (urban, rural, outside Iceland), school region (urban, rural), change of schools, concurrent psychotropic drug treatment, treatment on test day, and early discontinuation of ADHD drug treatment (<90 DDDs). For stratified analyses, we standardized results to the distribution of the total medicated test-participating population 2005–2008.29 In these analyses, we excluded children who scored in the lowest fifth percentile on the fourth-grade test, because they were unable to decline in rank by at least 5.0 percentile points. We also conducted a modified Poisson regression analysis to adjust for all confounders simultaneously.30 Finally, we ran a sensitivity analysis to assess the influence of selection bias that would result if untested children had a different association between later treatment start and academic decline than the children tested.31 We assumed a range of reference risks and RRs in the group of children not taking either or both examinations. For those who received early treatment, we assumed values of 25%, 33%, 50%, and 75% for the risk of academic decline. To each of these assumed values, we then applied a range of 0% to 100% risk of decline for children who received later treatment, because they could have had either a greater or lesser academic decline than test-participating children. These assumptions produced a range of RRs from 0.0 to 4.0 among non–test participants with later treatment, which we then took into account to get an overall estimate that included projected results from these missing children.

We used PASW Statistics (version 18; SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL) and Excel spreadsheets (Microsoft, Redmond, WA) to run analyses. This study was approved by the National Bioethics Committee (VSNb2008040016/03-7) and the Data Protection Authority (2008040343) in Iceland.

RESULTS
Of the 13 617 children registered in the Icelandic school system, 1029 children (8%) were treated with ADHD drugs at any time during the study period. Test participation, that is, children taking tests in both fourth and seventh grade in either mathematics or language arts, was lower for the total medicated population (72%) than the nonmedicated general population (88%) (Fig 1). Of 317 children who began treatment between the fourth- and seventh-grade tests, 236 took both tests, resulting in 65%, 85%, and 75% participation for children starting medication at ≤12 months, 13 to 24 months, and 25 to 36 months, respectively, after the date of fourth-grade
tests. Demographic and baseline characteristics among test participants varied only slightly by timing of treatment start (Table 1). Overall, boys were more likely to be medicated than girls, as were children born in the last third of the calendar year compared with those born earlier. Medicated children scored considerably lower on their fourth-grade tests (taken before their start of treatment) than the nonmedicated population. Nearly all medicated test-participating children were treated with methylphenidate (96%); 9% were treated simultaneously with the nonstimulant atomoxetine, and 34% were treated concurrently with another psychotropic drug (Table 2). Methylphenidate was used mainly in extended-release formulations. Of the medicated population, 14% discontinued treatment within 3 months of initiation; that is, they filled <90 DDDs of an ADHD drug. Children who started treatment within 12 months after fourth-grade tests received, on average, more than double the supply (filled DDDs) of ADHD drugs before tests in seventh grade, compared with those who started later (Table 2).

**Change in Academic Performance**

Among children in the nonmedicated general population, performance on average did not change much between tests in fourth and seventh grade; the crude mean percentile score change was a rise of 0.4 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.0–0.8) in mathematics and 0.0 (95% CI: −0.3 to 0.4) in language arts. In contrast, mean performance level among medicated children declined. The decline was concentrated among those with later treatment initiation and was much more striking for mathematics than for language arts, with a mean decline of 9.4 percentile points in mathematics for those with delayed treatment initiation (Table 3).

### Table 1 Characteristics of Study Population by Exposure to ADHD Drug Treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Nonmedicated Population, n (%)</th>
<th>Medicated Population, Time Since Fourth-Grade Test Until ADHD Drug Treatment, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total 11 126 (100)</td>
<td>85 (100) 90 (100) 61 (100) 13 617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Male 5458 (49)</td>
<td>59 (69) 65 (72) 41 (67) 592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female 5668 (51)</td>
<td>26 (31) 25 (28) 20 (33) 131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth year</td>
<td>1994 3751 (34)</td>
<td>36 (42) 34 (38) 17 (28) 106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1995 3636 (33)</td>
<td>17 (20) 28 (31) 22 (36) 98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1996 3739 (34)</td>
<td>32 (38) 28 (31) 22 (36) 106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth month</td>
<td>January–April 3682 (33)</td>
<td>23 (27) 26 (29) 18 (30) 131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>May–August 3895 (35)</td>
<td>24 (28) 27 (30) 19 (31) 131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>September–December 3459 (32)</td>
<td>38 (45) 37 (41) 24 (39) 94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth place</td>
<td>Urban 6906 (62)</td>
<td>56 (66) 64 (71) 38 (62) 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rural 3522 (32)</td>
<td>24 (28) 22 (24) 19 (31) 131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School region, fourth grade</td>
<td>Outside Iceland 698 (6)</td>
<td>5 (6) 4 (4) 4 (7) 94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Urban 6627 (63)</td>
<td>52 (61) 64 (71) 42 (69) 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rural 4499 (43)</td>
<td>33 (39) 26 (29) 19 (31) 131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mathematics test, fourth-grade percentile rank</td>
<td>66.7th–100th 3803 (34)</td>
<td>10 (12) 13 (15) 8 (14) 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>33.4th–66.6th 3689 (34)</td>
<td>21 (25) 21 (24) 14 (24) 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1–33.3rd 3512 (32)</td>
<td>52 (63) 55 (62) 36 (62) 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language arts test, fourth-grade percentile rank</td>
<td>66.7th–100th 3815 (35)</td>
<td>5 (6) 8 (9) 5 (8) 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>33.4th–66.6th 3706 (34)</td>
<td>23 (27) 17 (19) 20 (33) 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0–33.3rd 3459 (31)</td>
<td>57 (67) 63 (72) 35 (58) 31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figures and Tables**

**Figure 1**

Origin of study population. a Prevalent users are children already being treated before the fourth-grade tests. b Incidence users are children who began treatment after the fourth-grade tests.

**Table 1**

Characteristics of Study Population by Exposure to ADHD Drug Treatment.
Children treated with ADHD drugs had an increased risk of academic decline compared to those not treated. The risk was higher among those treated for longer periods. The crude RR of academic decline among children treated for more than 2 years was 1.6 (95% CI: 1.4 to 1.8), compared to 1.3 for those treated for less than 1 year (95% CI: 1.1 to 1.5).

The estimated effect of later treatment was increased for children still being treated with ADHD drugs on their fourth-grade test than for those treated concurrently with other psychotropic drugs. The estimated effect was increased for children still being treated with ADHD drugs on their test day in seventh grade (RR: 1.9) compared with those no longer being treated on test day (RR: 1.5).

Table 5 shows the association between later start of ADHD drug treatment and decline in language arts performance stratified by children’s performance on their fourth-grade test, gender, and concurrent psychotropic drug treatment. The adjusted effect estimates did not differ much from the crude estimates, indicating little confounding by each of the stratification variables. Later treatment had a larger effect for children who scored in the lowest third (RR: 2.1) and middle third (RR: 1.9) on their fourth-grade test than for those who scored in the highest third (RR: 1.1). The absolute risk of academic decline in mathematics was higher for girls than boys (86.7% vs 66.7%), as was the RR: 3.6 for girls versus 1.4 for boys. Furthermore, the effect of later treatment start was slightly stronger for children not receiving any concurrent psychotropic drug treatment than for those treated concurrently with other psychotropic drugs. The estimated effect was increased for children still being treated with ADHD drugs on their test day in seventh grade (RR: 1.9) compared with those no longer being treated on test day (RR: 1.5).

Table 6 shows the association between later start of ADHD drug treatment and decline in language arts performance stratified by children’s performance on their fourth-grade test, gender, and concurrent psychotropic drug treatment. The adjusted effect estimates did not differ much from the crude estimates, indicating little confounding by each of the stratification variables. The estimated effect of later treatment was slightly stronger for children not receiving any concurrent psychotropic drug treatment than for those treated concurrently with other psychotropic drugs. The estimated effect was increased for children still being treated with ADHD drugs on their test day in seventh grade (RR: 1.9) compared with those no longer being treated on test day (RR: 1.5).

The adjusted estimates of the effect of later drug treatment on academic performance remained the same or changed only minimally when we...
stratified the data by other covariates (ie, birth year, birth month, birth place, school region, and change of school; data not shown), indicating only negligible confounding by these variables. Similarly, the RRs reported in Tables 3 to 5 remained nearly the same when controlling simultaneously for all covariates in a Poisson regression analysis: RR = 1.7 (95% CI: 1.2–2.4) in mathematics and RR = 1.1 (95% CI: 0.7–1.8) in language arts. Compared with the nonmedicated general population, we found that the adjusted risk of academic decline was 1.6 times greater (95% CI: 1.4–1.8) in mathematics and 1.3 times greater (95% CI: 1.1–1.6) in language arts for children who started treatment any time between tests in fourth and seventh grade.

**Sensitivity Analysis**

Figure 2 displays the estimated RR from the main analysis adjusted for hypothetical selection bias (y axis) given the assumed RRs among non–test participants (x axis). The depicted lines, 1 for each assumed reference risk, represent adjusted RRs for a range of associations between later treatment and academic decline among non–test participant children. These adjusted RRs varied from 1.0 to 2.2 in mathematics and from 0.6 to 1.7 in language arts. The sensitivity analysis indicates that the basic findings would look roughly the same over a broad range of assumptions about the risks and associations among children who did not take both tests.

**DISCUSSION**

The results of this population-based, nationwide study indicate that earlier treatment with ADHD drugs between the ages of 9 and 12 years is associated with a lower risk of a decline in academic performance, particularly in mathematics. Our data reveal that the apparent advantage of earlier treatment differs for boys and girls. Girls show a definite benefit only in mathematics, whereas boys show marginal benefits in both mathematics and language arts. The study has several important limitations. First, we have no information about the underlying ADHD diagnosis, subtype, severity of the condition, or potential comorbid learning or psychiatric disorders. In Iceland, the studied
drugs are not reimbursable unless a diagnosis for ADHD has been made by a specialist. To limit confounding by indication, we restricted the primary comparison with children who started treatment for ADHD sometime between their tests in fourth and seventh grade, so that all in the analysis had indications for ADHD treatment at some point. Confounding by indication still may arise from differences that relate to the age at initiation of treatment. Children with severe symptoms and more persistent academic problems might be expected to begin treatment with medication earlier than those with less severe symptoms. Our results, however, indicate that those who started drug treatment soonest after the fourth-grade test declined the least academically in mathematics. The cumulative drug exposure for these children was, on average, double the exposure of those with later treatment. Further, analyses that included children who started treatment before the fourth-grade test and after the seventh-grade test resulted in the same basic pattern of results (data not shown). We attempted to capture coexisting psychiatric disorders by accounting for concurrent psychotropic drug treatment and found that the observed effect of late treatment on academic performance was stronger among those medicated exclusively with stimulants, that is, not concurrently with other psychotropic drugs. Comorbid learning disabilities could confound our results if diagnosed between tests and related to timing of medication start. The reported associations could either be exaggerated, if such a diagnosis would lead to a delay in drug treatment start, or underestimated, if the diagnosis accelerated treatment start.

Second, the study lacks information on concurrent behavioral therapy or educational school services received by children in the study population. Availability of such services in Iceland is low, however, and in light of evidence indicating that combined therapy provides only modest advantages compared with drug treatment alone,12,32 this limitation may not be of major concern. Third, it is possible that children initiating treatment earlier also have more family or social support that aids their academic performance. Because our findings are based not on a single test result but on self-matched comparisons that contrast seventh-grade test results with fourth-grade test results, family setting would not confound the results

| TABLE 5 Stratified Risks and Standardized Effect Estimates of Decline in Language Arts According to Timing of ADHD Drug Treatment Initiation |
|---------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Performance on fourth-grade test | Time Since Fourth-Grade Test Until ADHD Drug Treatment |
| Scoring in lowest third         | ≤12 mo       | 13–24 mo     | 25–36 mo     |
| Declined in performance ≥5.0   |              |              |              |
| percentile points, n            |              |              |              |
| Total, n                        | 11           | 16           | 7            |
| Risk, %                         | 38           | 47           | 24           |
| Standardized risk, %            | 29           | 34           | 29           |
| Standardized risk difference, % (95% CI) | 0 (ref) | 6 (–11 to 22) | 3 (–16 to 21) |
| Standardized RR (95% CI)        | 1.0 (ref)    | 1.2 (0.77 to 1.7) | 1.1 (0.67 to 1.7) |
| Gender                          |              |              |              |
| Boys                            |              |              |              |
| Declined in performance ≥5.0   |              |              |              |
| percentile points, n            |              |              |              |
| Total, n                        | 14           | 24           | 16           |
| Risk, %                         | 42           | 51           | 31           |
| Standardized risk, %            | 33           | 47           | 52           |
| Standardized risk difference, % (95% CI) | 1.0 (ref) | 1.2 (0.76 to 1.7) | 1.2 (0.76 to 1.9) |
| Standardized RR (95% CI)        | 1.0 (ref)    | 1.2 (0.76 to 1.7) | 1.2 (0.76 to 1.9) |
| Concurrent psychotropic drug treatment |              |              |              |
| No                              |              |              |              |
| Declined in performance ≥5.0   |              |              |              |
| percentile points, n            |              |              |              |
| Total, n                        | 13           | 23           | 8            |
| Risk, %                         | 38           | 53           | 28           |
| Standardized risk, %            | 34           | 43           | 29           |
| Standardized risk difference, % (95% CI) | 0 (ref) | 4 (–14 to 21) | 5 (–13 to 23) |
| Standardized RR (95% CI)        | 1.0 (ref)    | 1.1 (0.71 to 1.7) | 1.1 (0.73 to 1.8) |
| Yes                             |              |              |              |
| Declined in performance ≥5.0   |              |              |              |
| percentile points, n            |              |              |              |
| Total, n                        | 12           | 8            | 13           |
| Risk, %                         | 27           | 19           | 21           |
| Standardized risk, %            | 44           | 42           | 62           |
| Standardized risk difference, % (95% CI) | 0 (ref) | 4 (–14 to 21) | 5 (–13 to 23) |
| Standardized RR (95% CI)        | 1.0 (ref)    | 1.1 (0.71 to 1.7) | 1.1 (0.73 to 1.8) |

*Standardized to the distribution of the total medicated test-participating population, 2003–2008. ref, reference.*
unless it had different age-specific effects on test performance or the family dynamic had changed during the time between the 2 tests. Such effects are possible. For example, parents could become increasingly aware of the child’s problem after the fourth-grade test results and take additional measures to improve academic performance. Fourth, the study would have been stronger if it included children with ADHD who were prescribed stimulants but did not actually take any medication, to account for the effects of only seeking treatment. Our study population is limited to examination takers in both fourth and seventh grade, and test participation, as expected, was lower among the medicated population than the nonmedicated population. Test participation also varied between early and late treatment initiators between the fourth- and seventh-grade tests. We assessed this potential source of bias with a sensitivity analysis. Assuming a null association among the non–test participants, we found that the adjusted main effect estimates did not vary greatly from those reported among test participants. We caution that our main findings, however, may not apply to children too impaired by ADHD or its comorbidities to participate in regular school activities. Consistent with the previously established association between ADHD and poor academic outcomes, we found that children medicated for ADHD fare worse academically compared with their nonmedicated peers and that their performance generally declines with time, particularly in mathematics, when initiation of drug treatment is delayed.

Previous studies lend support to some of our findings. Interestingly, Molina et al found that mathematics scores were the only functional outcome positively associated with past-year parent-reported medication use during follow-up of participants of the Multimodal Treatment Study of Children with ADHD at years 3, 6, and 8 after enrollment, suggesting a beneficial effect of continued medication treatment that may be unique to mathematic achievement. Studies indicate that language disorders and mathematical disability have separate cognitive profiles. Possibly, stimulant drug treatment has more positive effects on the cognitive function underlying mathematical ability than on that underlying language ability. Scheffler et al recently found that parent-reported drug treatment was associated with higher mathematical achievement test scores within a US sample of 594 elementary school children with ADHD, but higher reading scores were dependent on longer treatment durations. Barbaresi et al demonstrated that stimulant treatment of children with ADHD was associated with improved reading achievement, decreased school absenteeism, and decreased grade retention within a population-based sample of 349 children diagnosed with ADHD. The gender difference in our data could reflect random variability from small numbers, but it also might be consequent to real differences in the academic benefit of stimulant treatment. Girls diagnosed with ADHD present predominantly with symptoms of inattention and have lower levels of hyperactivity than boys with ADHD, which may play a role in how early the disorder is detected and when treatment starts. Previous studies, however, have found neither gender nor ADHD subtype as modifiers of stimulant treatment outcomes. The results of this nationwide follow-up study indicate that early, rather than later, initiation of drug treatment is associated with a reduced risk of declining academic performance among boys and girls with ADHD, especially in mathematics.
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